SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

YURI KABANOV
CHRISTOPHE STRICKER

On the true submartingale property, d'après Schachermayer

Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 36 (2002), p. 413-414
<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_2002__36__413_0>

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2002, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM
Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

On the true submartingale property, d'après Schachermayer

Yuri Kabanov and Christophe Stricker
UMR 6623, Laboratoire de Mathématiques,
Université de Franche-Comté
16 Route de Gray, F-25030 Besançon Cedex, FRANCE

In a recent preprint [2] on utility maximization Walter Schachermayer revealed the importance of a class of integrands ("strategies" in financial terminology) H for which the stochastic integral $H \cdot S$ ("value process") is a supermartingale. His arguments used implicitly a criterion when a nonnegative local submartingale is a (true) submartingale. We give here a short discussion of this result together with its applications.

We use the following standard notation: if σ is a stopping time and $A \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ then σ_A is the stopping time coinciding with σ on A and equal to ∞ on $\bar{A}$.

Lemma 1 *A local submartingale $Y \geq 0$ stopped at time $T \in [0, \infty)$ is a submartingale iff for each sequence of stopping times (τ^n) with $P(\tau^n < \infty) \to 0$*

$$\liminf_n EY_{\tau^n} I_{\{\tau^n < \infty\}} = 0. \tag{1}$$

Proof. If Y is a submartingale, then $Y_\infty = Y_T$ is integrable and

$$EY_{\tau^n} I_{\{\tau^n < \infty\}} \leq EY_T I_{\{\tau^n < \infty\}} \to 0.$$

To prove the converse, put $\sigma^n := \inf\{t : Y_t \geq n\}$. It follows from (1) that Y^{σ^n} is a submartingale (being bounded by an integrable random variable). It remains to verify that for each $t \leq T$ the sequence of random variables $Y_{t \wedge \sigma^n} \geq 0$ contains a uniformly integrable subsequence, i.e., in virtue of the well-known criterion, that $EY_t < \infty$ and $EY_{t \wedge \sigma^n} \to EY_t$ along a subsequence. To this aim, let $A^n := \{\sigma^n \leq t\}$. Clearly,

$$EY_t \leq nP(\bar{A}^n) + EY_t I_{A^n} = nP(\bar{A}^n) + EY_{t_{A^n}} I_{\{t_{A^n} < \infty\}} < \infty$$

for sufficiently large n (due to (1) with $\tau^n = t_{A^n}$). Taking into account that

$$0 \leq EY_{\sigma^n} I_{A^n} \leq EY_{\sigma^n} I_{\{\sigma^n < \infty\}} \to 0$$

along a subsequence we infer that

$$EY_{t \wedge \sigma^n} = EY_{\sigma^n} I_{A^n} + EY_t I_{\bar{A}^n} \to EY_t$$

(along the same subsequence). □

A semimartingale X is called σ-martingale (or a martingale of class (Σ_m)) if there exists an integrand G taking values in the interval $]0,1]$ such that the process $G \cdot X$ is a local martingale.

Proposition 2 *A σ-martingale X with $X_0 = 0$ and $X = X^T$ is a supermartingale iff for each sequence of stopping times (τ^n) with $P(\tau^n < \infty) \to 0$*

$$\liminf_n EX^-_{\tau^n} I_{\{\tau^n < \infty\}} = 0. \tag{2}$$

Proof. It follows from (2) by the Ansel–Stricker theorem [1] that X is a local martingale and hence $Y := X^-$ is a local submartingale satisfying (1). By the above lemma Y is a submartingale dominated by the martingale $M_t := E(Y_T|\mathcal{F}_t)$. Since $X \geq -M$, the local martingale X is a supermartingale. □

In [2] the above criterion is used in the following form:

Proposition 3 *A σ-martingale X with $X_0 = 0$ and $X = X^T$ is not a supermartingale iff there is a sequence of stopping times (τ^n) with $P(\tau^n < \infty) \to 0$ such that $X_{\tau^n} I_{\{\tau^n < \infty\}} \leq 0$ and*

$$\lim_n EX_{\tau^n} I_{\{\tau^n < \infty\}} < 0.$$

This is just a reformulation of the previous assertion because

$$EX_{\tau_A} I_{\{\tau_A < \infty\}} = -EX^-_\tau I_{\{\tau < \infty\}}$$

where $A := \{X_\tau \leq 0\}$.

References

[1] Ansel J.-P., Stricker C. Couverture des actifs contingents. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré*, **30** (1994), 2, 303-315.

[2] Schachermayer W. How potential investments may change the optimal portfolio for the exponential utility. Preprint, June 2001.