SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

DAVAR KHOSHNEVISAN

On sums of i.i.d. random variables indexed by N parameters

Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 34 (2000), p. 151-156

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_2000__34__151_0>

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2000, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

On Sums of iid Random Variables Indexed by N Parameters*

By D. Khoshnevisan
The University of Utah

Summary. Motivated by the works of J.L. Doob and R. Cairoli, we discuss reverse N-parameter inequalities for sums of i.i.d. random variables indexed by N parameters. As a corollary, we derive Smythe's law of large numbers.

1. Introduction

For any integer $N \geqslant 1$, let us consider $\mathbb{Z}_+^N \triangleq \{1, 2, \cdots\}^N$ and endow it with the following partial order: for all $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$,

$$\mathbf{n} \preccurlyeq \mathbf{m} \iff n_i \leqslant m_i, \quad \text{for all } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant N.$$

Suppose $\{X, X(\mathbf{k});\ \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N\}$ is a sequence of independent, identically distributed random variables, indexed by $\mathbb{Z}_+^N$. The corresponding random walk S is given by:

$$S(\mathbf{n}) \triangleq \sum_{\mathbf{k} \preccurlyeq \mathbf{n}} X(\mathbf{k}), \qquad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N.$$

According to Cairoli and Dalang [CD], for all $p > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{\mathbf{n}} \left| \frac{S(\mathbf{n})}{\langle \mathbf{n} \rangle} \right| < \infty \quad &\iff \quad \mathbb{E}\left[|X| \left(\log_+ |X| \right)^N \right] < \infty, \\ \mathbb{E} \sup_{\mathbf{n}} \left| \frac{S(\mathbf{n})}{\langle \mathbf{n} \rangle} \right|^p < \infty \quad &\iff \quad \mathbb{E}|X|^p < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

Here and throughout, for all $x > 0$,

$$\log_+ x \triangleq \begin{cases} \ln(x), & \text{if } x > e \\ 1, & \text{if } 0 < x \leqslant e \end{cases},$$

* Research partially supported by NSA and NSF

and for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$, $\langle \mathbf{n} \rangle \triangleq \prod_{j=1}^N n_j$. When $N = 1$, this is classical. In this case, J.L. DOOB has given a more probabilistic interpretation of this fact by observing that $S(n)/n$ is a reverse martingale; cf. CHUNG [Ch] for this and more. The goal of this note is to show how a quantitative version of the method of DOOB can be carried out, even when $N > 1$. Our approach involves projection arguments which are reminiscent of some old ideas of R. CAIROLI; see CAIROLI [Ca], CAIROLI AND DALANG [CD] and WALSH [W].

Perhaps the best way to explain the proposed approach is by demonstrating the following result which may be of independent interest. For related results and a wealth of further references, see [CD], SHORACK AND SMYTHE [S1] and SMYTHE [S2].

Theorem 1. *For all $p > 1$,*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} \left| \frac{S(\mathbf{n})}{\langle \mathbf{n} \rangle} \right|^p \leqslant \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^{Np} \mathbb{E}|X|^p. \tag{1.2}$$

Moreover, the corresponding L^1 norm has the following bound:

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} \left| \frac{S(\mathbf{n})}{\langle \mathbf{n} \rangle} \right| \leqslant \left(\frac{e}{e-1} \right)^N \left\{ N + \mathbb{E}\left[|X| \left(\log_+ |X| \right)^N \right] \right\}. \tag{1.3}$$

Theorem 1 implies the "hard" half of both displays in eq. (1.1). The easy half is obtained upon observing that for all $p \geqslant 1$,

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{\mathbf{n}} \left| \frac{S(\mathbf{n})}{\langle \mathbf{n} \rangle} \right|^p \geqslant 2^{-p} \mathbb{E} \sup_{\mathbf{n}} \left| \frac{X(\mathbf{n})}{\langle \mathbf{n} \rangle} \right|^p,$$

and directly calculating the above.

An enhanced version of Theorem 1 is stated and proved in Section 2. There, we also demonstrate how to use Theorem 1 together with Banach space arguments to obtain the law of large numbers for $S(\mathbf{n})$ due to SMYTHE [S2].

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

I will prove (1.3) of Theorem 1. Eq. (1.2) follows along similar lines. In fact, it turns out to be alot simpler to prove more. Define for all $p \geqslant 0$,

$$\Psi_p(x) \triangleq x \left(\log_+ x \right)^p, \qquad x > 0.$$

I propose to prove the following extension of Theorem 1:

Theorem 1-bis. *For all $p \geqslant 0$,*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} \Psi_p \left(\frac{S(\mathbf{n})}{\langle \mathbf{n} \rangle} \right) \leqslant (p+1)^N \left(\frac{e}{e-1} \right)^N \left\{ N + \mathbb{E}\Psi_{p+N}\left(|X| \right) \right\}.$$

Setting $p \equiv 0$ in Theorem 1-bis, we arrive at Theorem 1.

Let us recall the following elementary fact:

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose* $\{M_n; n \geqslant 1\}$ *is a reverse martingale. Then for all* $p > 1$,

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{n \geqslant 1} |M_n|^p \leqslant \Big(\frac{p}{p-1}\Big)^p \mathbb{E}|M_1|^p. \tag{2.1}$$

For any $p \geqslant 0$,

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{n \geqslant 1} \Psi_p\big(|M_n|\big) \leqslant (p+1)\Big(\frac{e}{e-1}\Big)\Big\{1 + \mathbb{E}\Psi_{p+1}\big(|X|\big)\Big\}. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. Eq. (2.1) follows from integration by parts and the maximal inequality of DOOB. Likewise, one shows that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{n \geqslant 1} \Psi_p\big(|M_n|\big) \leqslant \Big(\frac{e}{e-1}\Big)\Big\{1 + \mathbb{E}\Big[\Psi_p\big(|M_1|\big) \ln_+ \Psi_p\big(|M_1|\big)\Big]\Big\}.$$

For all $x > 0$, $\ln_+ \Psi_p(x) \leqslant \ln_+ x + p \ln_+ \ln_+ x$. Eq. (2.2) follows easily. ◊

Now, each $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$ can be thought of as $\mathbf{n} = \big(\widehat{\mathbf{n}}, n_N\big)$, where $\widehat{\mathbf{n}}$ is defined by $\widehat{\mathbf{n}} \triangleq \big(n_1, \cdots, n_{N-1}\big) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{N-1}$. For all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$ and all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n_N$, define

$$Y(\widehat{\mathbf{n}}, j) \triangleq \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} n_j} \sum_{i_1=1}^{n_1} \cdots \sum_{i_{N-1}=1}^{n_{N-1}} X(\widehat{\mathbf{i}}, j).$$

Clearly,

$$\frac{S(\mathbf{n})}{\langle \mathbf{n} \rangle} = \frac{1}{n_N} \sum_{j=1}^{n_N} Y(\widehat{\mathbf{n}}, j), \qquad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N. \tag{2.3}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{R}(k) \triangleq \sigma\big\{X(\mathbf{m}); m_N > k\big\} \vee \sigma\big\{S(\mathbf{m}); m_N = k\big\}, \qquad k \geqslant 1,$$

where $\sigma\{\cdots\}$ represents the ($\mathbb{P}$–completed) σ–field generated by $\{\cdots\}$.

Lemma 2.2. $\{\mathcal{R}(k); r \geqslant 1\}$ *is a reverse filtration indexed by* $\mathbb{Z}_+^1$.

Proof. This means that $\mathcal{R}(k) \supset \mathcal{R}(k+1)$ — a simple fact. ◊

Lemma 2.3. *For all* $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$,

$$\frac{S(\mathbf{n})}{\langle \mathbf{n} \rangle} = \mathbb{E}\big[Y(\widehat{\mathbf{n}}, 1) \mid \mathcal{R}(n_N)\big].$$

Assuming Lemma 2.3 for the moment, let us prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1-bis. Without loss of generality, we can and will assume that

$$\mathbb{E}\Psi_{p+N}\big(|X|\big) < \infty. \tag{2.4}$$

Otherwise, there is nothing to prove. When $N = 1$, the result follows immediately from Lemma 2.1. Our proof proceeds by induction over N. Suppose Theorem 1-bis holds for all sums of iid random variables indexed by $\mathbb{Z}_+^{N-1}$ whose incremental distribution is the same as that of X. We will prove it holds for N. By Lemma 2.3,

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^N}\Psi_p\Big(\frac{S(\mathbf{n})}{\langle\mathbf{n}\rangle}\Big)\leqslant\mathbb{E}\sup_{k\geqslant 1}\Psi_p\Big(\mathbb{E}\big[W\mid\mathcal{R}(k)\big]\Big),$$

where

$$W\triangleq\sup_{n_1,\cdots,n_{N-1}\geqslant 1}\big|Y(\widehat{\mathbf{n}},1)\big|.$$

However, $\{Y(\widehat{\mathbf{n}},1);\widehat{\mathbf{n}}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{N-1}\}$ is the average of a random walk indexed by $\mathbb{Z}_+^{N-1}$ with the same increments as S. Therefore, by the induction assumption,

$$\mathbb{E}\Psi_p(W)\leqslant(p+1)^{N-1}\Big(\frac{e}{e-1}\Big)^{N-1}\Big\{N-1+\mathbb{E}\Psi_{p+N}(|X|)\Big\}. \tag{2.5}$$

In particular, $\mathbb{E}W<\infty$. Together with with Lemma 2.1's eq. (2.2), this implies that $M_k\triangleq\mathbb{E}\big[W\mid\mathcal{R}(k)\big]$ is a reverse martingale, By eq. (2.2) of Lemma 2.1,

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\sup_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^N}\Psi_p\Big(\frac{S(\mathbf{n})}{\langle\mathbf{n}\rangle}\Big)\Big]\leqslant(p+1)\Big(\frac{e}{e-1}\Big)\Big\{1+\mathbb{E}\big[\Psi_p(W)\big]\Big\}.$$

Note that $(p+1)e(e-1)^{-1}\geqslant 1$. Therefore, applying (2.5) to this inequality, we obtain Theorem 1-bis. ◊

Proof of Lemma 2.3. Recall (2.3). It remains to show that for $1\leqslant j\leqslant n_N$,

$$\mathbb{E}\big[Y(\widehat{\mathbf{n}},j)\mid\mathcal{R}(n_N)\big]=\mathbb{E}\big[Y(\widehat{\mathbf{n}},1)\mid\mathcal{R}(n_N)\big]. \tag{2.6}$$

To this end, we observe that $\{Y(\widehat{\mathbf{n}},j);1\leqslant j\leqslant n_N\}$ is a sequence of iid random variables. By exchangeability,

$$\mathbb{E}\big[Y(\widehat{\mathbf{n}},j)\mid\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{n})\big]=\mathbb{E}\big[Y(\widehat{\mathbf{n}},1)\mid\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{n})\big], \tag{2.7}$$

where for all $\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^N$,,

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{n})\triangleq\sigma\big\{S(\mathbf{k});\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^N\text{ with }k_N=n_N\text{ and }k_j\leqslant n_j,\text{ for all }1\leqslant j\leqslant N-1\big\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{C}_0(n_N)$ denote the sigma-field generated by $\{X(\mathbf{k});\ k_N>n_N\}$ and define

$$\mathcal{C}(n_N)\triangleq\mathcal{C}_0(n_N)\vee\sigma\{X(\mathbf{k});\ k_N=n_N\text{ and for some }1\leqslant j\leqslant N-1,\ k_j>n_j\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{n})$ is independent of $\mathcal{C}(n_N)$ and

$$\mathcal{R}(n_N)=\mathcal{C}(n_N)\vee\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{n}). \tag{2.8}$$

Eq. (2.6) follows from (2.7), (2.8) and the elementary fact that the collection $\{Y(\widehat{\mathbf{n}}, j); 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n_N\}$ is independent of $\mathcal{C}(n_N)$. $\Diamond$

Open Problem.* Motivated by the proof of Theorem 1-bis — and in the notation of that proof — consider:

$$T(n_N)(\widehat{\mathbf{n}}) \triangleq \frac{1}{n_N} \sum_{j=1}^{n_N} Y(\widehat{\mathbf{n}}, j).$$

It is easy to see that $T(n_N)$ is a reverse martingale which takes its values in the space of all sequences indexed by $\mathbb{Z}_+^{N-1}$. For all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$ and any two reals $a < b$, define $U_{a,b}(n_N)(\widehat{\mathbf{n}})$ to be the total number of upcrossings of the interval $[a, b]$ before time n_N of the (real valued) reverse martingale $k \mapsto T(k)(\widehat{\mathbf{n}})$. Is it true that there exist constants C_1 and C_2 (which depend **only** on N) such that

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\sup_{\widehat{\mathbf{n}} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{N-1}} U_{a,b}(n_N)(\widehat{\mathbf{n}})\Big] \leqslant C_1 \frac{\mathbb{E}\big[\sup_{\widehat{\mathbf{n}} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{N-1}} |T(1)(\widehat{\mathbf{n}}) - a|\big]}{(b-a)^{C_2}}? \tag{2.9}$$

Note that when $N = 1$, the supremum is vacuous. In this case, the above holds with $C_1 = C_2 = 1$ and is DOOB's upcrossing inequality for the reversed martingale T. If it holds, (2.9) and Theorem 1 together imply SMYTHE's strong law of large numbers; cf. [S2]. The main part of the aforementioned result is the following:

Theorem 2. ([S2]) *Suppose*

$$\mathbb{E}\big[|X|\big(\log_+ |X|\big)^{N-1}\big] < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}X = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Then almost surely,

$$\lim_{\langle \mathbf{n} \rangle \to \infty} \frac{S(\mathbf{n})}{\langle \mathbf{n} \rangle} = 0.$$

Remark. Classical arguments show that condition (2.10) is necessary as well.

Proof. I will first prove Theorem 2 for $N = 2$. Let c_0 denote the collection of all bounded functions $a : \mathbb{Z}_+^1 \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim_{k \to \infty} |a(k)| = 0$. Topologize c_0 with the supremum norm: $\|a\| \triangleq \sup_k |a(k)|$. Then, c_0 is a separable Banach space. Let

$$\xi_j(k) \triangleq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} X(i, j).$$

---

* **Added Note.** Since this article was accepted for publication, we have found the answer to the open problem above to be affirmative.

Note that ξ_j are i.i.d. random functions from $\mathbb{Z}_+^1$ to $\mathbb{R}$. By Theorem 1, for all $j \geqslant 1$, $\mathbb{E}\|\xi_j\| \leqslant e^2(e-1)^{-2}\{2 + \mathbb{E}[|X| \log_+ |X|]\} < \infty$. By the classical strong law of large numbers, $\xi_1, \xi_2, \cdots$ are i.i.d. elements of c_0. The most elementary law of large numbers on Banach spaces will show that as elements of c_0, almost surely,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_j = 0.$$

See LEDOUX AND TALAGRAND [LT; Corollary 7.10] for this and much more. In other words, almost surely

$$\lim_{n_1\to\infty} \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i_1=1}^{n_1} X(i_1, i_2) = 0,$$

uniformly over all $i_2 \geqslant 1$. Plainly, this implies the desired result and much more when $N = 2$. The general case follows by inductive reasoning; the details are omitted. ◊

REFERENCES.

[Ca] R. CAIROLI, (1970). Une ingálité pour martingales á indices multiples et ses applications, *Sém. de Prob.* IV, 1–27, Lecture Notes in Math., **124**, Springer, New York

[CD] R. CAIROLI AND R.C. DALANG, (1996). *Sequential Stochastic Optimization*, Wiley, New York

[Ch] K.L. CHUNG, (1974). *A Course in Probability Theory*, Second Ed., Academic Press, New York

[LT] M. LEDÓUX AND M. TALAGRAND, (1991). *Probability in Banach Spaces*, Springer, New York

[S1] G.R. SHORACK AND R.T. SMYTHE, (1976). Inequalities for $\max|S_{\mathbf{k}}|/b_{\mathbf{k}}$ where $\mathbf{k} \in N^r$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **54**, 331–336

[S2] R.T. SMYTHE, (1973). Strong law of large numbers for r–dimensional arrays of random variables, *Ann. Prob.*, **1**(1), 164–170

[W] J.B. WALSH, (1986). Martingales with a multidimensional parameter and stochastic integrals in the plane, *Lectures in Probability and Statistics*, 329–491, Lecture Notes in Math. **1215**, Springer, New York

Department of Mathematics
Salt Lake City, UT. 84112
davar@math.utah.edu