SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

JOHN B. WALSH

A non-reversible semi-martingale

Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 16 (1982), p. 212

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_1982__16__212_0>

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1982, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

A NON REVERSIBLE SEMI-MARTINGALE

John B. Walsh
University of British Columbia

The time-reversal of a semi-martingale may fail to be a semi-martingale. Here is a simple example.

Let B_t be a standard Brownian motion and, inspired by Barlow's example in [1], let ϕ be a measurable function which maps $C[0,1]$ one-to-one into $[0,1]$. Let $T(\omega) = \phi(\{B_t(\omega),\ 0 \le t \le 1\})$, and define

$$X_t = \begin{cases} B_t & \text{if } 0 \le t \le 1 \\ B_1 & \text{if } 1 \le t \le T+1 \\ B_{t-T} & \text{if } t \ge 1+T\ . \end{cases}$$

Then X is just a Brownian motion with a flat spot of length $T \le 1$ interpolated from $t = 1$ to $t = T+1$. T is $\sigma\{X_s, s \le 1\}$-measurable, so that it is easy to see that X is a martingale.

Now reverse X from $t = 2$: let $\tilde{X}_t = X_{2-t}$ for $0 \le t \le 2$. Let $(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)$ be the natural filtration of $\tilde{X}$. Note that T is $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1$-measurable, hence so is $\{\tilde{X}_t, t \le 1\}$, since it is just the time-reversal of $\phi^{-1}(T)$. Consequently, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1$ for $t > 1$. Any martingale on these fields will be constant on $(1,2)$ and any semi-martingale will have finite variation there. But $\tilde{X}_t$ has infinite variation on $(1,2)$, so it is not a semi-martingale relative to the $(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)$. By Stricker's theorem [2], it can't be a semi-martingale relative to any filtration whatsoever.

References

[1] Barlow, M.T. On Brownian Local Time. Preprint.

[2] Stricker, C. Quasimartingales, martingales locales, semi-martingales, et filtrations naturelles, ZW 39, (1977) p 55-64.