

The p -adic Measure on the Orbit of an Element of C_p .

V. ALEXANDRU (*) - N. POPESCU (**) - M. VĂJĂITU (**) - A. ZAHARESCU (***)

ABSTRACT - Given a prime number p and the Galois orbit $O(x)$ of an element x of C_p , the topological completion of the algebraic closure of the field of p -adic numbers, we study functionals on the algebra $\mathcal{C}(O(x), C_p)$ with values in a subfield of C_p .

Introduction.

Let p be a prime number, \mathbb{Q}_p the field of p -adic numbers, $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ a fixed algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p , and C_p the completion of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ with respect to the p -adic valuation. Let $O(x)$ denote the orbit of an element $x \in C_p$, with respect to the Galois group $G = \text{Gal}_{\text{cont}}(C_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$. We are interested in the behavior of rigid analytic functions defined on $E(x) = (C_p \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus O(x)$, the complement of $O(x)$. In the present paper we provide several results concerned with functionals defined on the algebra $\mathcal{C}(O(x), C_p)$ with values in a suitable subfield of C_p . This investigation is needed in the more general attack on the problem of explicit description of rigid analytic functions on $E(x)$, since, as we shall see below, there is a close relationship between these functionals and certain classes of rigid analytic functions. The paper consists of seven sections. The first one contains notations and some basic results. The

(*) Indirizzzo dell'A.: Department of Mathematics, University of Bucharest, Romania.

E-mail: vralex@k.ro

Partially supported by Grant no. 27.694/2005, cod CNCSIS 849.

(**) Indirizzzo degli A.: Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, P.O. Box 1-764, RO-014700, Bucharest, Romania.

E-mail: Nicolae.Popescu@imar.ro Marian.Vajaitu@imar.ro

Partially supported by CEEX Program of the Romanian Ministry of Education and Research, Contract No. CEx 05-D11-11/2005.

(***) Indirizzzo dell'A.: Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Altgeld Hall, 1409 W. Green Street, Urbana, IL, 61801, USA.

E-mail: zaharesc@math.uiuc.edu

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11S99.

second section is concerned with linear functions and functionals on various fields. Section 4 studies p -adic measures and p -adic equivariant measures on $O(x)$. Theorem 1 shows that the study of all functionals on $\mathcal{C}(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p)$ can be reduced to the study of all functionals on $\mathcal{C}_G(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p)$. Then, using results from the previous sections, the functionals on $\mathcal{C}(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p)$ are closely related to the trace. Theorems 2 and 3 are useful complements to Theorem 1. In order to further clarify the relation between functionals and rigid analytic functions, in Section 5 we investigate the Cauchy transform of a function with respect to a measure. In the last section we present an analogue at an important theorem of Barsky [B], which relates the measures on $O(x)$ with a suitable class of rigid analytic functions on the complement of $O(x)$. We remark that some of the results of this paper can be extended to a wider class of compact subsets of \mathbb{C}_p .

1. Notations and basic results.

1. Let p be a prime number, \mathbb{Q}_p the field of p -adic numbers, $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ a fixed algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p , and \mathbb{C}_p the completion of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (see [Ar], [APZ1], [APZ2]). Denote by G the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ endowed with the Krull topology. One knows that G is canonically isomorphic to $G = \text{Gal}_{\text{cont}}(\mathbb{C}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, the group of all continuous automorphisms of \mathbb{C}_p . We shall identify these two groups.

For any closed subgroup H of G denote $\text{Fix}(H) = \{x \in \mathbb{C}_p : \sigma(x) = x \text{ for all } \sigma \in H\}$. Then $\text{Fix}(H)$ is a closed subfield of \mathbb{C}_p . If $x \in \mathbb{C}_p$, denote $H(x) = \{\sigma \in G : \sigma(x) = x\}$. Then $H(x)$ is a subgroup of G , and $\text{Fix}(H(x)) = \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p[x]}$ is the closure of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}_p[x]$ in \mathbb{C}_p . We say that x is a *topological generic element* of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p[x]}$. Moreover, by [APZ1] one knows that any closed subfield K of \mathbb{C}_p has a topological generic element, i.e. there exists $x \in K$ such that $K = \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p[x]}$.

2. Let $x \in \mathbb{C}_p$. Denote $O(x) = \{\sigma(x) : \sigma \in G\}$ the orbit of x . The map $\sigma \rightsquigarrow \sigma(x)$ from G to $O(x)$ is continuous, and it defines a homeomorphism from $G/H(x)$ (endowed with the quotient topology) to $O(x)$ (endowed with the induced topology from \mathbb{C}_p) (see [APZ1]). In such a way, $O(x)$ is a closed compact and totally disconnected subspace of \mathbb{C}_p , and the group G acts continuously on $O(x)$: if $\sigma \in G$, $\tau(x) \in O(x)$ then $\sigma \star \tau(x) = (\sigma\tau)(x)$. One has the following result:

PROPOSITION 1. 1) *The subfield $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p[x]}$ is canonically isomorphic to the set of all equivariant continuous functions $f : O(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$, i.e. the contin-*

uous functions which verify the condition: $f(\sigma \star y) = \sigma(f(y))$ for all $\sigma \in G$ and $y \in O(x)$.

2) There exists a family $\{M_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ of polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}_p[x]$ such that

i) $\deg M_n(x) = n$ for all $n \geq 0$,

ii) $\frac{1}{p} < |M_n(x)| \leq 1$,

iii) Any element $f \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}_p[x]}$ can be written uniquely in the form: $f = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n M_n(x)$ where $\{a_n\}_n$ is a sequence of elements in \mathbb{Q}_p such that $\lim_n a_n = 0$. Moreover one has: $|f| = \sup_{n \geq 0} |a_n M_n(x)|$.

3) If $K_x = \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}_p[x]} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, then $\widetilde{K}_x = \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}_p[x]}$ and $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/K_x)$ is canonically isomorphic to $H(x)$.

2. Linear functions and functionals.

1. Let K/k be a (not necessarily finite) algebraic extension, and $\{K_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, $K_0 = k$, $K_n \subset K_{n+1}$ for any n , a family of subfields of K , finite over k such that $\cup_n K_n = K$. Denote by $\mathcal{L}(K/k)$ the set of all k -linear maps of K into k . Then $\mathcal{L}(K/k)$ is in a canonical way a K -vector space. Namely if $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(K/k)$ and $x \in K$ then $x\varphi$ is the linear map defined by $(x\varphi)(a) = \varphi(xa)$ for all $a \in K$.

Now assume that k is of zero characteristic and for all $a \in K$ denote by $\text{Tr}_{K/k}(a)$ or simply by $\text{Tr}(a)$ the element of k defined as follows: if $k \subseteq K' \subset K$ is a finite intermediate extension and $a \in K'$, then $\text{Tr}(a) = \frac{1}{[K' : k]} \text{tr}_{K'/k}(a)$, where $\text{tr}_{K'/k}(a)$ denotes the usual relative trace of a over k . It is clear that $\text{Tr}(a)$ is independent of the choice of K' .

If K/k is a finite extension, then for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(K/k)$ there exists a unique $\alpha \in K$ such that $\varphi = \alpha \text{Tr}$. Now assume $[K : k] = \infty$ and let φ_n be the restriction of φ to K_n . Then $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{L}(K_n/k)$ and so there exists a unique element $\alpha_n \in K_n$ such that for all $a \in K_n$ one has:

$$(1) \quad \varphi_n(a) = \text{Tr}(\alpha_n a).$$

Thus for any $a \in K_n$, one has: $\varphi_{n+1}(a) = \varphi_n(a)$, and so by (1) one has: $\text{Tr}(\alpha_{n+1} a) = \text{Tr}(\alpha_n a)$. This means that

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{[K_{n+1} : K_n]} \text{tr}_{K_{n+1}/K_n}(\alpha_{n+1}) = \alpha_n, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Conversely, let $A = \{\alpha_n\}_n$ be a sequence of elements of K such that $\alpha_n \in K_n$ for all $n \geq 0$, and that condition (2) is accomplished. Then for any $n \geq 0$ denote by $\varphi_n^A : K_n \rightarrow k$ the map $\varphi_n^A(a) = Tr(\alpha_n a)$, $a \in K_n$. By (2) there results that one can define a linear map $\varphi^A : K \rightarrow k$ such that the restriction of φ^A to K_n is just φ_n^A . Denote $P = \lim \left(K_n, \frac{1}{[K_{n+1} : K_n]} tr_{K_{n+1}/K_n} \right)_{n \geq 0}$.

Then by the above considerations there results that the map $A \rightsquigarrow \varphi^A$ defines a k -isomorphism between the k -vector space P and $\mathcal{L}(K/k)$.

2. Now assume that K is endowed with an ultrametric absolute value $|\cdot| : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We consider the functionals $\varphi : K \rightarrow k$, i.e. all k -linear maps which are continuous.

A linear map $\varphi : K \rightarrow k$ is continuous if and only if there exists a positive real number M such that $|\varphi(a)| \leq M|a|$, for any $a \in K$. Since φ is defined uniquely by a sequence $A = \{\alpha_n\}_n$, $\alpha_n \in K$ for all $n \geq 0$, which verify condition (2), then φ is continuous if and only if there exists a positive real number M such that

$$|Tr(\alpha_n y)| \leq M|y|,$$

for all n , and all $y \in K_n$. Now one can write $y = \frac{x}{\alpha_n}$, where $x \in K_n$, and so the above condition can be written as:

$$|Tr(x)| \cdot |\alpha_n| \leq M|x|,$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and any $x \in K_n$.

Let $L = K_n$, be fixed. We want to relate $\sup_{x \in K_n} \frac{tr(x)}{[L : k]|x|}$ and $\frac{\mathcal{D}_L}{[L : k]}$, where \mathcal{D}_L is the different of L with respect to k (see [Ar]).

REMARK 1. Any $x \in K_n^\times$ can be represented as $x = p^{l_x} \alpha$, where $1 \geq |\alpha| > \frac{1}{p}$ and l_x is integer. Then one has: $\max_{x \in K_n} \frac{|tr(x)|}{|x|} = \max_{x \in K_n, \frac{1}{p} < |x| \leq 1} \frac{|tr(x)|}{|x|}$, and the quotient between this number and $M_{K_n} = \max \left\{ |tr(x)| : x \in K_n, \frac{1}{p} < |x| \leq 1 \right\}$ is a real number whose module belongs to the real interval $\left[1, \frac{1}{p}\right)$.

If $\alpha \in K_n$ then $|\alpha| \leq |\mathcal{D}_{K_n}|^{-1}$ if and only if $|tr(\alpha O_{K_n})| \leq 1$. (Here $|tr(\alpha O_{K_n})| = \max \{ |tr(\alpha x)| : x \in O_{K_n}$, the ring of integers of K_n .) Let us denote by m_{K_n} the integer part of $\log_{1/p} |\mathcal{D}_{K_n}|$. If $\alpha = p^{-m_{K_n}}$, then $|tr(p^{-m_{K_n}} O_{K_n})| \leq 1$, or equivalently $|tr(O_{K_n})| \leq (1/p)^{m_{K_n}}$. This means that $M_{K_n} \leq (1/p)^{m_{K_n}}$.

Let $\alpha = p^{-(m_{K_n}+1)}$. Then there exists $y \in O_{K_n}$ such that $|trp^{-(m_{K_n}+1)}y| > 1$ or equivalently $\log_{1/p}|try| < m_{K_n} + 1$. Therefore one obtains

$$\log_{1/p}(M_{K_n}) < m_{K_n} + 1 \text{ or } \left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^{m_{K_n}+1} < M_{K_n}.$$

Thus we have $m_{K_n} \leq \log_{1/p}(M_{K_n}) < m_{K_n} + 1$. In conclusion, one has the following result:

PROPOSITION 2. *The linear mapping $\varphi : K \rightarrow k$, $\varphi = \varphi^A$, is continuous if and only if there exists a positive real number M such that:*

$$(3) \quad \frac{|\mathcal{D}_{K_n}| |\alpha_n|}{[K_n : k]} \leq M, \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

REMARK 2. Let $x \in \mathbb{C}_p$ such that $Tr(x)$ is defined (see [APZ2]). One has the following result. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}_p[x] \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, and $K = \cup_n K_n$ be the union of a filtered family of finite extensions of \mathbb{Q}_p . The following assertions are equivalent:

- 1) The sequence $\{|Tr(M_n(x))|\}_n$ is bounded (see Proposition 1).
- 2) The sequence $\left\{ \frac{|\mathcal{D}_{K_n/\mathbb{Q}_p}|}{|[K_n : \mathbb{Q}_p]|} \right\}_n$ is bounded.
- 3) The linear map $Tr : K \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ is continuous.

These results are related to some results from [APP].

3. Denote by \mathcal{A} the set of all elements $A \in P$ which verify condition (3) for a suitable $M > 0$. It is easy to see that \mathcal{A} is a k -vector subspace of P . Denote by K' the k -vector space of all k -functionals on K . By the above considerations one has:

PROPOSITION 3. *The mapping $A \rightsquigarrow \varphi^A$ defines an isomorphism of k -vector spaces between \mathcal{A} and K' .*

Now let $A = \{\alpha_n\}_n$ be an element of \mathcal{A} such that $\alpha_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. Let $\psi \in K'$, $\psi = \varphi^B$, $B = \{\beta_n\}_n \in \mathcal{A}$. Denote $u_n = \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n}$, $n \geq 0$. We assert that the sequence $\{u_n\}_n$ is bounded, i.e. there exists a real number $M > 0$ such that $|u_n| \leq M$ for all $n \geq 0$. Indeed, assume that there exists a subsequence $\{u_{q_n}\}$ such that $|u_{q_n}| \rightarrow \infty$. Then $|1/u_{q_n}| \rightarrow 0$ and so $\lim \psi(1/u_{q_n}) = 0$. But $\psi(1/u_{q_n}) = Tr(\alpha_{q_n})$, and thus $\varphi^A(1) = 0$, a contradiction.

If for any $n \geq 0$, one denotes $\varphi_n = u_n \varphi^A$, then one has: $\psi(x) = \lim_n \varphi_n(x)$ for any $x \in K$. Indeed, for n large enough one has: $\varphi_n(x) = (u_n \varphi^A)(x) = \varphi^A(u_n x) = \text{Tr}(\alpha_n u_n x) = \text{Tr}(\beta_n x) = \psi(x)$. This shows that the sequence $\{\varphi_n\}_n$ converges pointwise to ψ in K' and so by the Banach-Steinhaus Theorem (see [R]) one has $\psi = \lim_n \varphi_n = \lim_n (u_n \varphi^A)$.

PROPOSITION 4. *Notations and hypotheses are as above. Let $\varphi = \varphi^A$. Denote by S_A the family of all sequences $u = \{u_n\}_n$ such that:*

- 1) $u_n \in K_n$ for all $n \geq 1$.
- 2) The sequence of real numbers $|u_n|$ is bounded.
- 3) One has: $\frac{1}{[K_{n+1} : K_n]} \text{tr}_{K_{n+1}/K_n}(u_{n+1}) = u_n, n \geq 0$.

For any $u = \{u_n\}_n \in S_A$, denote by u_φ the mapping defined by: $(u_\varphi)(x) = \lim_n \varphi(u_n x)$. Then $u_\varphi \in K'$ and if $\varphi \neq 0$, then for any $\psi \in K'$ there exists a unique $u \in S_A$ such that $u_\varphi = \psi$.

We leave the details to the reader.

3. Integration on $C(X, K)$.

1. Let K be a field which is complete with respect to an ultrametric absolute value $|\cdot|$, and let X be a compact ultrametric space. Denote by $C(X, K)$ the K -algebra of all continuous functions from X to K (see [Sch]). Also, denote by $\Omega(X)$ the collection of all the open compact subspaces of X . By a K -valued measure on X we mean a function $\mu : \Omega(X) \rightarrow K$ such that:

- (i) If $U, V \in \Omega(X)$, $U \cap V = \emptyset$, then $\mu(U \cup V) = \mu(U) + \mu(V)$ (additivity).
- (ii) $\|\mu\| = \sup\{|\mu(U)| : U \in \Omega(X)\} < \infty$ (boundedness).

The K -valued measures on K form a normed vector space $M(X, K)$ under the obvious operations and with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined by (ii).

The following statement (see [Sch]) can be viewed as the ultrametric analog of Riesz representation theorem:

PROPOSITION 5. *For each $\varphi \in C(X, K)'$ (the space of all functionals on $C(X, K)$), the mapping $U \rightsquigarrow \varphi(\xi_U) = \mu_\varphi(U)$, $U \in \Omega(X)$, is a measure μ_φ on X (here ξ_U denotes the characteristic function of U). The mapping $\varphi \rightsquigarrow \mu_\varphi$ is a K -linear isometry of $C(X, K)'$ onto $M(X, K)$.*

4. Equivariant measures on $O(x)$.

1. Let $x \in \mathbb{C}_p$. The subset of $C(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p)$ consisting of all equivariant elements (see Proposition 1) is denoted by $C_G(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p)$. The mapping $f \rightsquigarrow f(x)$ defines an isomorphism between $C_G(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p)$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p[x]}$. We shall identify these \mathbb{Q}_p -algebras via this isomorphism.

Let $f \in C(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p)$ and $\sigma \in G$. Denote by $\sigma \star f$ the function defined by: $(\sigma \star f)(y) = f(\sigma^{-1}(y))$ for all $y \in O(x)$. In this way the group G acts continuously on the algebra $C(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p)$.

2. Let K be a closed and normal subfield of \mathbb{C}_p (i.e. for any $\sigma \in G$, one has $\sigma(K) = K$). By an *equivariant K -functional* on $C(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p)$ we mean a linear and continuous map $\varphi : C(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p) \rightarrow K$ such that $\varphi(\sigma \star f) = \sigma(\varphi(f))$ for all $\sigma \in G$ and all $f \in C(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p)$. It is easy to see that by the correspondence stated in Proposition 5, the equivariant K -functionals on $C(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p)$ are in one to one correspondence with the so called *equivariant measures* on $O(x)$, i.e. the elements $\mu \in M(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p)$, such that $\mu(\sigma U) = \sigma(\mu(U))$ for all $U \in \Omega(O(x))$ and $\sigma \in G$. In this paper we consider mainly the case $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and shall investigate the above correspondence between functionals and measures.

THEOREM 1. *Any \mathbb{Q}_p -functional $\varphi : C_G(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ can be uniquely extended to a \mathbb{C}_p -functional $\tilde{\varphi} : C(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ and this functional provides us with a measure μ_φ on $O(x)$ with values in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. The measure μ_φ is equivariant.*

Next, we recall an important criterion for the existence of a measure with given properties.

PROPOSITION 6 (The abstract Kummer congruences, [Ka]). *Let \mathcal{X} be a compact ultrametric space, let O_p be the ring of integers of \mathbb{C}_p and let $\{f_i\}$ be a system of continuous functions from $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}, O_p)$. If the \mathbb{C}_p -linear span of $\{f_i\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ and $\{\lambda_i\}$ is an arbitrary system of elements of O_p , then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- a) *There is $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X}, O_p)$ with the property $\int_{\mathcal{X}} f_i d\mu = \lambda_i$.*
- b) *For an arbitrary choice of elements $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{C}_p$ almost all of which vanish,*

$$\sum_i \gamma_i f_i(x) \in p^n O_p \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{X} \text{ implies } \sum_i \gamma_i \lambda_i \in p^n O_p.$$

Now, let $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a bounded sequence of \mathbb{Q}_p . Let us define $\varphi : C_G(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that $\varphi(M_n(x)) = a_n$. As we know from Proposition 1 any $f \in C_G(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p)$ can be written as $f = \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n M_n(x)$, with $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$. We define $\varphi(f) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n a_n$. Using Theorem 1 we have a similar result of abstract Kummer congruences. More precisely we have:

PROPOSITION 7. *Let $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a bounded sequence of \mathbb{Q}_p . There exists a unique functional $\varphi : C(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ such that $\varphi(M_n(x)) = a_n$, for any $n \geq 0$.*

THEOREM 2. *If μ is an equivariant measure on $O(x)$ with values in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ then the mapping $f \rightsquigarrow \int_{O(x)} f(t) d\mu(t)$ is a functional on $C_G(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p)$ with values in \mathbb{Q}_p .*

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. We use the notations from Proposition 1. For any $s \geq 0$ let us denote $A_s = \varphi(M_s(x))$. Then for any $u = \sum_s a_s M_s(x)$, one has $\varphi(u) = \sum_s a_s A_s$. Since the equality is true for any $u \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}_p[x]}$, there results that the sequence $\{A_s\}_s$ of p -adic numbers is bounded and so the set of all \mathbb{Q}_p -functionals on $\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}_p[x]} = C_G(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p)$ is in one to one correspondence with the set of bounded sequences $\{A_s\}_s$ of p -adic numbers.

Now let U be an open ball on $O(x)$, $x \in U$, and denote $H(U) = \{\sigma \in G : \sigma U = U\}$. Then $H(U)$ is a subgroup of G , and denote by K_U the subfield of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ fixed by $H(U)$. Since $H(x) \subseteq H(U)$, then $K_U \subseteq K_x = \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}_p[x]} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. It is clear that $[G : H(U)] < \infty$ and let $S_n = \{\sigma_1 = e, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n\}$ be a system of representatives for the right cosets of G with respect to $H(U)$. Also choose $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that $K_u = \mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha)$. It is clear that the elements $\{\sigma_1(\alpha), \dots, \sigma_n(\alpha)\}$ are distinct, and the balls $\{\sigma_i(U)\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ are pair-wise disjoint and cover $O(x)$. Let us put

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} f_0^{(U)} = \sum_{\sigma_i \in S_n} \sigma_i(1) \xi_{\sigma_i(U)} = \xi_{O(U)} \\ f_1^{(U)} = \sum_{\sigma_i \in S_n} \sigma_i(\alpha) \xi_{\sigma_i(U)} \\ \dots \\ f_{n-1}^{(U)} = \sum_{\sigma_i \in S_n} \sigma_i(\alpha^{n-1}) \xi_{\sigma_i(U)}. \end{cases}$$

We assert that the function $f_i^{(U)}$ is *equivariant* for all i , $0 \leq i < n$, i.e. $f_i^{(U)}(\sigma(y)) = \sigma(f_i^{(U)}(y))$ for all $y \in O(x)$ and any $\sigma \in G$. For that it is enough to assume $i = 1$. Firstly, we remark that one has: $f_i^{(U)}(y) = \sigma_i(y)$ for $y \in \sigma_i(U)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Now we can write: $f_{\sigma,1}^{(U)} = \sigma f_1^{(U)}(\sigma^{-1}(y)) = \sigma \sigma_i(x)$ if $\sigma^{-1}(y) \in \sigma_i U$ (or equivalently $x \in \sigma \sigma_i(U)$).

It is clear that the following permutations

$$(5) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 U & \sigma_2 U & \dots & \sigma_n U \\ \sigma \sigma_1 U & \sigma \sigma_2 U & \dots & \sigma \sigma_n U \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(x) & \sigma_2(x) & \dots & \sigma_n(x) \\ \sigma \sigma_1(x) & \sigma \sigma_2(x) & \dots & \sigma \sigma_n(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

coincide, so the applications

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 U & \sigma_2 U & \dots & \sigma_n U \\ \sigma_1(x) & \sigma_2(x) & \dots & \sigma_n(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \sigma \sigma_1 U & \sigma \sigma_2 U & \dots & \sigma \sigma_n U \\ \sigma \sigma_1(x) & \sigma \sigma_2(x) & \dots & \sigma \sigma_n(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

also coincide. This shows that $f_1^{(U)} = f_{\sigma,1}^{(U)}$, i.e. f_1 is equivariant.

Furthermore (4) is a Cramer system whose determinant is different from zero. It follows that

$$(6) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} Q_p(x) f_i^{(U)} = \sum_{i=1}^n Q_p(x) \zeta_{\sigma_i U},$$

and this sum is direct. This shows that the functional φ can be extended uniquely to a functional

$$\tilde{\varphi} : C_G(O(x), C_p) \otimes_{Q_p} \overline{Q}_p \rightarrow \overline{Q}_p.$$

According to ([Sch], page 273), the C_p -algebra $C(O(x), C_p)$ has an orthonormal basis consisting of characteristic functions of balls. Then the \overline{Q}_p -subalgebra $C_G(O(T), C_p) \otimes_{Q_p} \overline{Q}_p$ is dense in the C_p -algebra $C(O(T), C_p)$. But then $\tilde{\varphi}$ can be extended uniquely to a C_p -functional:

$$\tilde{\varphi} : C(O(T), C_p) \rightarrow C_p.$$

Then by Riesz's Theorem (Proposition 5) there exists a unique measure μ_φ on $O(x)$ associated to $\tilde{\varphi}$. It is clear that for any ball U in $O(x)$, $\mu_\varphi(U) = \tilde{\varphi}(\zeta_U)$, can be obtained from (4) by applying the functional $\tilde{\varphi}$. We must show that the measure μ_φ is equivariant. For that let as above U be an open ball of $O(x)$ which contains x . Denote $A = (a_{ij})$ the $n \times n$ matrix, where $a_{ij} = \sigma_i(x^j)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $0 \leq j < n - 1$. Then by (4) there results:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_{\sigma_1(U)} \\ \vdots \\ \zeta_{\sigma_n(U)} \end{pmatrix} = A^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} f_0^{(U)} \\ \vdots \\ f_{n-1}^{(U)} \end{pmatrix}$$

and so by applying $\tilde{\varphi}$ one has:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu_\varphi(\sigma_1(U)) \\ \vdots \\ \mu_\varphi(\sigma_n(U)) \end{pmatrix} = A^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi(f_0^{(U)}) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi(f_{n-1}^{(U)}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now for $\sigma \in G$ denote by A_σ the matrix obtained from A by applying σ to all the entries of A . Then $(A_\sigma)^{-1} = A_\sigma^{-1}$.

Since $f_i^{(U)}$ are equivariant functions, one has: $f_i^{(\sigma(U))} = f_i^{(U)}$ for all $\sigma \in G$. Then one obtains $\mu_\varphi(\sigma U) = \sigma \mu_\varphi(U)$, for all $\sigma \in G$, as claimed. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Let μ be an equivariant measure on $O(x)$ with values in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Denote by $\varphi : \mathcal{C}(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ the functional associated to μ . We must show that for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_G(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p)$ one has $\varphi(f) \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. Since any element $f \in \mathcal{C}_G(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p) = \mathbb{Q}_p\widetilde{[x]}$ is a limit of a sequence $\{a_n\}_n$ of elements of K_x (see [APZ1]) it is enough to show that for $a \in K_x$, one has $\varphi(a) \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. But this follows by the proof of Proposition 5 (see [Sch]) since μ is equivariant. Some details are left to the reader (see also the proof of Theorem 3). \square

3. By the above considerations there results that if $\varphi : \mathcal{C}_G(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ is a functional then the associated measure μ_φ (Proposition 5) takes values in $K_x = \mathbb{Q}_p\widetilde{[x]} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. At this point we describe the equivariant measures on $O(x)$ with values in \mathbb{Q}_p .

THEOREM 3. *For the element $x \in \mathbb{C}_p$ the following assertions are equivalent:*

- 1) *There exists a functional $\varphi : \mathcal{C}_G(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that for any open ball U of $O(x)$ one has: $\mu_\varphi(U) \in \mathbb{Q}_p$.*
- 2) *The function $Tr : K_x \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ defined by $Tr(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\deg \alpha} \cdot tr_{\mathbb{Q}_p(x)/\mathbb{Q}_p}(\alpha)$ is continuous and one has: $\varphi(\alpha) = Tr(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in K_x$.*

PROOF. Let $\alpha \in K_x$, and $\alpha = \sigma_1(\alpha), \dots, \sigma_n(\alpha)$ be all the conjugates of α over \mathbb{Q}_p , $n = \deg(\alpha)$. Since $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_p\widetilde{[x]}$, denote $\bar{\alpha} : O(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ the local constant function defined by $\bar{\alpha}(\sigma(x)) = \sigma(\alpha)$. One has: $\varphi(\alpha) = \varphi(\bar{\alpha})$. The elements $\sigma_i(x)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ are all distinct and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a real number such that all the balls $B(\sigma_i(x), \varepsilon)$ are pairwise disjoint. Denote $H(x, \varepsilon) = \{\sigma \in G : |\sigma(x) - x| < \varepsilon\}$. Then $H(x, \varepsilon)$ is a subgroup of G of finite index. If $N = [G : H(x, \varepsilon)]$ and $\{\tau_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ is a set of right representatives for the cosets of G with respect to $H(x, \varepsilon)$, then the balls $\{B(\tau_i(x), \varepsilon)\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ cover $O(x)$

and are any two disjoint. One has $B(\tau_i(x), \varepsilon) = \tau_i(B(x, \varepsilon))$, and so by hypothesis there results that $\mu_\varphi(B(\tau_i(x), \varepsilon)) = \mu_\varphi(B(x, \varepsilon))$. Since $\varphi(1) = 1$, one has:

$$\mu_\varphi(\sigma(x)) = 1 = \sum_i \mu_\varphi(B(\tau_i(x), \varepsilon)) = N\mu_\varphi(B(x, \varepsilon)).$$

According to our choice of ε , there results that on any ball $B(\tau_i(x), \varepsilon)$ the function $\bar{\alpha}$ is constant and $N = k \deg(\alpha)$, where k is an integer. Then

$$\varphi(\bar{\alpha}) = \sum_i \bar{\alpha}(\tau_i(x))\mu_\varphi(B(\tau_i(x), \varepsilon)).$$

Since for exactly k balls $B(\tau_i(x), \varepsilon)$ the function $\bar{\alpha}$ takes the same values $\sigma_j(\alpha)$, by the above considerations one further obtains

$$\varphi(\alpha) = \varphi(\bar{\alpha}) = \sum_{j=1}^n k\sigma_j(\alpha)\mu_\varphi(B(x, \varepsilon)) = nk\mu_\varphi(B(x, \varepsilon)) \cdot \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j(\alpha) \right) = Tr(\alpha).$$

The implication from 2) to 1) is left to the reader. □

REMARK 3. Let φ be as in Theorem 3. By the above considerations there results that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, one has: $\mu_\varphi(B(x, \varepsilon)) = \frac{1}{N}$, where $N = [G : H(x, \varepsilon)]$. This shows that the p -adic measure μ_φ coincides with the p -adic Haar measure π_x defined in [APZ2].

Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3 there results that if $\mathbb{Q}_p \subset K_1 \subset \dots \subset K_n \subset \dots \subset K_x$ is a tower of finite extensions of \mathbb{Q}_p such that $\cup_n K_n = K_x$, then by considerations from Section 3, one has $\varphi = \varphi^A$, when $A = \left\{ \frac{1}{[K_n : \mathbb{Q}_p]} \right\}_{n \geq 1}$.

5. Cauchy Transforms on $O(x)$.

1. Let $x \in \mathbb{C}_p$. For any real number $\varepsilon > 0$ denote $B(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in \mathbb{C}_p : |x - y| < \varepsilon\}$ and $B[x, \varepsilon] = \{y \in \mathbb{C}_p : |x - y| \leq \varepsilon\}$. Also denote $E(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in \mathbb{C}_p : |y - t| \geq \varepsilon, \text{ for all } t \in O(x)\}$. The complement of $E(x, \varepsilon)$ in $\mathbb{C}_p \cup \{\infty\}$ is denoted by $V(x, \varepsilon)$. Both sets $E(x, \varepsilon)$ and $V(x, \varepsilon)$ are open and closed, and one has: $\cap_\varepsilon V(x, \varepsilon) = O(x)$. Denote $E(x) = \cup_\varepsilon E(x, \varepsilon) = \mathbb{C}_p \cup \{\infty\} \setminus O(x)$.

For any $x \in \mathbb{C}_p$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ denote $H(x, \varepsilon) = \{\sigma \in G : |\sigma(x) - x| < \varepsilon\}$ and $H[x, \varepsilon] = \{\sigma \in G : |\sigma(x) - x| \leq \varepsilon\}$. Let S_ε (respectively \bar{S}_ε) be a complete

system of representatives for the right cosets of G with respect to $H(x, \varepsilon)$ (respectively $H[x, \varepsilon]$). Then $E(x, \varepsilon) = \cup_{\sigma \in S_\varepsilon} B(\sigma(x), \varepsilon)$.

2. Let $\varphi : C(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p) \rightarrow K$ be a functional, where K is a suitable closed subfield of \mathbb{C}_p . Also denote by μ the measure associated to φ according to Proposition 5. Then for any $f \in C(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p)$ one has:

$$\varphi(f) = \int_{O(x)} f(t) d\mu(t).$$

For any $z \in E(x)$ and any $f \in C(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p)$, the function $T(f, z) : O(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ defined by

$$T(f, z)(t) = \frac{f(t)}{z - t}, \quad t \in O(x)$$

belongs to $C(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p)$. Hence for any $z \in E(x)$ one can define the element

$$F_K(\mu, f, z) = \int_{O(x)} \frac{f(t)}{z - t} d\mu(t),$$

called the *Cauchy transform of f with respect to μ (or φ)*.

Now let $z_0 \in E(x)$. Then for any $z \in E(x)$ and $t \in O(x)$ one can write:

$$(7) \quad \frac{f(t)}{z_0 - t} = \frac{f(t)}{z - t} + \frac{f(t)(z - z_0)}{(z - t)^2} + \dots + \frac{f(t)(z - z_0)^n}{(z - t)^{n+1}} + \dots$$

Generally the series (7) does not converge, but it converges for a suitable choice of z . Indeed, let $|z_0 - t| \geq \varepsilon$ for all $t \in O(x)$, and let a be a real number such that $0 < \frac{\varepsilon}{a} < 1$. Then for any $z \in B(z_0, \varepsilon^2/a)$, the series (7) converges since one has: $\left| \frac{z - z_0}{z - t} \right|^n \leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{a} \right)^n \cdot \frac{1}{\varepsilon} < 1$, for any $t \in O(x)$. Hence for any $z \in B(z_0, \varepsilon^2/a)$ one can write:

$$(8) \quad \int_{O(x)} \frac{f(t)}{z_0 - t} d\mu(t) = \int_{O(x)} \frac{f(t)}{z - t} d\mu(t) + \dots + \int_{O(x)} \frac{f(t)(z - z_0)^n}{(z - t)^{n+1}} d\mu(t) + \dots$$

and this series is also convergent (since φ is continuous) for all $z \in B(z_0, \varepsilon^2/a)$.

3. Let $\{\varepsilon_n\}_n$ be a strictly decreasing sequence of positive real numbers with limit zero. One can assume that $S_n \subseteq S_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 0$, where $S_n = S_{\varepsilon_n}$

For any $n \geq 0$, choose an element $a_n \in K_x$ such that $|x - a_n| < \varepsilon_n$. Then by ([Sch], page 276) it follows that

$$F_K(\mu, f, z) = \lim_n \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \mu(B(\sigma(x), \varepsilon_n)) \frac{f(\sigma(x))}{z - \sigma(x)}.$$

By this equality it also follows that

$$(9) \quad F_K(\mu, f, z) = \lim_n \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \mu(B(\sigma(x), \varepsilon_n)) \frac{f(\sigma(a_n))}{z - \sigma(a_n)}.$$

By the above considerations one obtains the following result.

THEOREM 4. *Let $x \in \mathbb{C}_p$, and let $\varphi : C(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p) \rightarrow K$ be a functional, where K is a closed subfield of \mathbb{C}_p . Then for any $f \in C(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p)$ the function $F_K(\mu, f, z) : E(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ defined by (8) is a rigid analytic function on $E(x)$, and $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} F_K(\mu, f, z) = 0$.*

4. If the field K and the functional φ are fixed we shall write simply $F(f, z)$ instead of $F_K(\mu, f, z)$.

6. Equivariant Cauchy Transforms.

1. For $x \in \mathbb{C}_p$ consider an equivariant functional $\varphi : C_G(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$. By Theorem 1 the associate measure $\mu = \mu_\varphi$ is equivariant. One has the following result:

THEOREM 5. *For any nonzero element $f \in C_G(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p)$, the function $F(f, z)$, the Cauchy transform of f with respect to φ , is an equivariant rigid analytic function defined on $E(x)$. Any element of $O(x)$ is a singular point for $F(f, z)$, if $F(f, z) \neq 0$.*

PROOF. We observe that for any $z \in E(x)$ and any $\sigma \in G$, one has $\sigma(z) \in E(x)$. Recall that $F(f, z)$ is *equivariant* if for any $\sigma \in G$ one has: $F(f, \sigma(z)) = \sigma(F(f, z))$. Now this equality is true since φ is equivariant (see (8)). Furthermore if $F(f, z) \neq 0$, it has a singular point (a pole) which must belong to $O(x)$. Then by equivariance all elements of $O(x)$ are poles for $F(f, z)$. □

COROLLARY 1. For an element $x \in \mathbb{C}_p$ the following assertions are equivalent:

- a) $O(x)$ is a finite set.
- b) $x \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.
- c) There exists an element $f \in C_G(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p)$, $f \neq 0$ such that $F(f, z) \in \mathbb{Q}_p(z)$.

2. For $f \in C_G(O(x), \mathbb{C}_p)$ the element $f(x)$ belongs to $\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}_p[x]}$, and so with notations as in Proposition 1 one has: $f(x) = \sum_n a_n M_n(x)$. Then for any $t \in O(x)$ it follows $f(t) = \sum_n a_n M_n(t)$. Then by (8) one can write:

$$F(f, z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \int_{O(x)} \frac{f(t)(z - z_0)^n}{(z - t)^{n+1}} d\mu(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{m \geq 0} \int_{O(x)} \frac{a_m M_m(t)(z - z_0)^n}{(z - t)^{n+1}} d\mu(t).$$

7. Cauchy Transforms of measures.

1. In what follows we shall prove that Barsky's Theorem (see [B]) is valid for $E(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{C}_p$. Namely, we shall prove that there exists a bijective mapping between the measures on $O(x)$ and rigid analytic functions on $E(x)$ with residue zero at infinity and which verify some boundary conditions.

Let $F : E(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ be a rigid analytic function such that $F(\infty) = 0$ and that the set of real numbers $\{\varepsilon \|F\|_{E(x, \varepsilon)}\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ is bounded. (Here $\|F\|_{E(x, \varepsilon)} = \sup_{z \in E(x, \varepsilon)} |F(z)|$.)

One knows that $E(x, \varepsilon) = \mathbb{C}_p \cup \{\infty\} \setminus V(x, \varepsilon)$, and $V(x, \varepsilon) = \cup_{\sigma \in S_\varepsilon} B(\sigma(x), \varepsilon)$. By the Mittag-Leffler Theorem (see [FV]) one can write:

$$F(z) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_\varepsilon} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_{n, \sigma}^{(\varepsilon)}}{(z - \sigma(x))^n}, \quad z \in E(x, \varepsilon), \quad a_{n, \sigma}^{(\varepsilon)} \in \mathbb{C}_p.$$

One also has

$$F(z) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_\varepsilon} F_\sigma^{(\varepsilon)}(z),$$

where $F_\sigma^{(\varepsilon)}(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_{n, \sigma}^{(\varepsilon)}}{(z - \sigma(x))^n}$, $\frac{|a_{n, \sigma}^{(\varepsilon)}|}{\varepsilon^n} \rightarrow 0$. Then by Cauchy's inequalities, one obtains

$$(10) \quad |a_{n, \sigma}^{(\varepsilon)}| \leq \varepsilon^n \|F\|_{E(x, \varepsilon)}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

By the unicity of Mittag-Leffler's conditions for any $0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon$ one has

$$F_\sigma^{(\varepsilon)}(z) = \sum_{\substack{\tau \in S_{\varepsilon'} \\ \hat{\tau} = \hat{\sigma}}} F_\tau^{(\varepsilon')}(z), \quad z \in E(x, \varepsilon)$$

(here $\hat{\tau} = \hat{\sigma}$ means $\tau \in \sigma H(x, \varepsilon)$) and so

$$(11) \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_{n,\sigma}^{(\varepsilon)}}{(z - \sigma(x))^n} = \sum_{\substack{\tau \in S_{\varepsilon'} \\ \hat{\tau} = \hat{\sigma}}} \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{a_{m,\tau}^{(\varepsilon')}}{(z - \tau(x))^m}.$$

Since $|z - \sigma(x)| \geq \varepsilon$, and $|\sigma(x) - \tau(x)| < \varepsilon$, it follows that $|z - \tau(x)| = |z - \sigma(x)|$ and so:

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{a_{m,\tau}^{(\varepsilon')}}{(z - \tau(x))^m} &= \frac{a_{m,\sigma}^{(\varepsilon')}}{(z - \sigma(x))^m \left(1 - \frac{\tau(x) - \sigma(x)}{z - \sigma(x)}\right)^m} \\ &= \frac{a_{m,\sigma}^{(\varepsilon')}}{(z - \sigma(x))^m} \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{m+k-1}{k} \left[\frac{\tau(x) - \sigma(x)}{z - \sigma(x)}\right]^k. \end{aligned}$$

If we denote $m + k = n$, then by identifying the coefficients of the terms of degree n in (11) and (12) one obtains:

$$(13) \quad a_{n,\sigma}^{(\varepsilon)} = \sum_{\substack{\tau \in S_{\varepsilon'} \\ \hat{\tau} = \hat{\sigma}}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} a_{n-k,\tau}^{(\varepsilon')} (\tau(x) - \sigma(x))^k,$$

where $n \geq 1$.

Now for any $n \geq 1$ one defines a sequence $\{\mu_{n,\varepsilon}\}_{n,\varepsilon}$ of measures on $O(x)$ by the equality

$$(14) \quad \mu_{n,\varepsilon} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_\varepsilon} a_{n,\sigma}^{(\varepsilon)} \cdot \delta_{\sigma(x)},$$

where δ_y denotes the Dirac measure concentrated at $y \in \mathbb{C}_p$.

By (13) one obtains, for $n = 1$:

$$a_{1,\sigma}^{(\varepsilon)} = \sum_{\substack{\tau \in S_{\varepsilon'} \\ \hat{\tau} = \hat{\sigma}}} a_{1,\tau}^{(\varepsilon')}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon' \leq \varepsilon.$$

This equality further implies that for any ball B of radius δ , $\varepsilon \leq \delta$, one has $\mu_{1,\varepsilon}(B) = \mu_{1,\varepsilon'}(B)$ whereas $\varepsilon' \leq \varepsilon$. Then by (10) and the Banach-Steinhaus Theorem (see [R]) there results that the mapping

$$B \rightsquigarrow \mu_1(B) = \lim_{\varepsilon} \mu_{1,\varepsilon}(B)$$

(where B runs over all the open balls of $O(x)$) defines a p -adic measure on $O(x)$. One says that $\mu = \mu_1$ is the *measure associated* to the rigid analytic function F .

Furthermore, for $n = 2$, by (13) one obtains

$$a_{2,\sigma}^{(\varepsilon)} = \sum_{\substack{\tau \in S_{\varepsilon'} \\ \tau = \bar{\sigma}}} [a_{2,\tau}^{(\varepsilon')} + a_{1,\tau}^{(\varepsilon')}(\tau(x) - \sigma(x))].$$

If B is an open ball of $O(x)$ of radius δ , by the previous equality and (14) there results that

$$\mu_{2,\varepsilon} - \mu_{2,\varepsilon'} = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_{\varepsilon} \\ \sigma(x) \in B}} \left[\sum_{\substack{\tau \in S_{\varepsilon'} \\ \tau = \bar{\sigma}}} a_{1,\tau}^{(\varepsilon')}(\tau(x) - \sigma(x)) \right]$$

and so by (10) one has:

$$|\mu_{2,\varepsilon} - \mu_{2,\varepsilon'}| \leq \varepsilon \varepsilon' \|F\|_{E(x,\varepsilon')} \leq \varepsilon M$$

where $M = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \varepsilon \|F\|_{E(x,\varepsilon)} < \infty$, by hypothesis. Then by (10) and the Banach-Steinhaus Theorem, there exists a measure μ_2 on $O(x)$ defined by

$$\mu_2(B) = \lim_{\varepsilon} \mu_{2,\varepsilon}(B),$$

for all the open balls B of $O(x)$. In the same manner for all $n \geq 3$ one can define a measure μ_n on $O(x)$ by:

$$\mu_n(B) = \lim_{\varepsilon} \mu_{n,\varepsilon}(B).$$

Next, by an easy computation it follows that for all $n \geq 2$, one has $\|\mu_{n,\varepsilon}\| \leq M\varepsilon^{n-1}$, and so $\mu_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 2$. In what follows we shall prove that

$$(15) \quad F(z) = \int_{O(x)} \frac{1}{z-t} d\mu(t), \quad z \in E(x).$$

Indeed, with the above notations and using (10) one has:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| F(z) - \int_{O(x)} \frac{1}{z-t} d\mu_{1,\varepsilon}(t) \right| &= \left| F(z) - \sum_{\sigma \in S_{\varepsilon}} \frac{a_{1,\sigma}^{(\varepsilon)}}{z - \sigma(x)} \right| \\ &\leq \varepsilon^2 \|F\|_{E(x,\varepsilon)} \leq M\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

for $|z|$ sufficiently large. It is clear, by the definition of $\mu = \mu_1$, that (see (14))

one has:

$$\int_{O(x)} \frac{1}{z-t} d\mu(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{O(x)} \frac{1}{z-t} d\mu_{1,\varepsilon}(t)$$

and so by the last inequality one obtains (15) for $|z|$ sufficiently large. Because $E(x)$ is infra-connected, by analytic continuation one obtains (15) for all $z \in E(x)$. Finally one has the following result:

THEOREM 6. *For any $x \in \mathbb{C}_p$, there exists a bijective mapping between the p -adic measures on $O(x)$ and the functions $F : E(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ which verify the following conditions:*

- i) F is rigid analytic on $E(x)$, and $F(\infty) = 0$.
- ii) The set of real numbers $\{\varepsilon \|F\|_{E(x,\varepsilon)}\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ is bounded.

Moreover, by this bijective mapping the rigid analytic and equivariant functions are in one to one correspondence with equivariant measures on $O(x)$.

8. Cauchy Transforms of Lipschitzian Distributions.

Let X be an arbitrary compact subset of \mathbb{C}_p without isolated points. A map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ is said to be λ -Lipschitzian provided there exists a positive real number λ such that $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \lambda|x - y|$, for any $x, y \in X$. A map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ is said to be Lipschitzian provided there exists a real number λ for which f is λ -Lipschitzian. Let us remark that $Lip(X, \mathbb{C}_p)$, the set of Lipschitzian functions, is a \mathbb{C}_p -vector space. Moreover, it is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} + \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|.$$

Let us denote by $\Omega(X)$ the set of open compact subsets of X . It is clear that any element of $\Omega(X)$ is a disjoint finite union of open balls of X .

A distribution μ on X with values in \mathbb{C}_p is a map $\mu : \Omega(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ which is finitely additive, thus if $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D_i$ with $D_i \in \Omega(X)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $D_i \cap D_j = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, then $\mu(D) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(D_i)$.

We call a distribution $\mu : \Omega(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ Lipschitzian provided that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $0 < \delta \leq \delta_\varepsilon$ and any $x \in X$, $\delta|\mu(B(x, \delta))| \leq \varepsilon$.

One knows (see [VZ, Theorem 1]) that any Lipschitzian function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ is integrable with respect to any Lipschitzian distribution $\mu : \Omega(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$. Moreover, by the proof of this result, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that if

$$S(\mu, f, B_1, \dots, B_n, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)\mu(B_i)$$

is an arbitrary Riemann sum with $x_i \in B_i$ and B_i open ball of radius $\delta_i \leq \delta_\varepsilon$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, the following inequality holds:

$$(16) \quad \left| S(\mu, f, B_1, \dots, B_n, x_1, \dots, x_n) - \int_X f(t)d\mu(t) \right| \leq \varepsilon \max\{1, 2\lambda\},$$

where λ is the Lipschitzianity constant with respect to f .

Let us consider now an element $z \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \setminus X$, and $f \in Lip(X, \mathbb{C}_p)$. Define $T(f, z) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ by

$$(17) \quad T(f, z)(t) = \frac{f(t)}{z - t}, \quad t \in X.$$

It is easy to see that $T(f, z)$ is well defined and Lipschitzian. In fact, if $d = d(z, X)$ is the distance from z to X , and $t_1, t_2 \in X$ we have

$$(18) \quad |T(f, z)(t_1) - T(f, z)(t_2)| = \left| \frac{f(t_1)}{z - t_1} - \frac{f(t_2)}{z - t_2} \right| \leq A|t_1 - t_2|,$$

where $A = A(z, f, X) = \frac{1}{d^2} \max\{\lambda|z|, \lambda \sup_{t \in X} |t|, \sup_{t \in X} |f(t)|\}$.

We can integrate this function with respect to any Lipschitzian distribution, so the map

$$F_X(\mu, f, z) = \int_X T(f, z)(t)d\mu(t)$$

is well defined. It is the Cauchy transform of the Lipschitzian function f with respect to the Lipschitzian distribution μ . Using a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 6.1 from [APZ2] one obtains the following result.

THEOREM 7. *Let X be a compact subset of \mathbb{C}_p without isolated points, μ a Lipschitzian distribution on X , and $f \in Lip(X, \mathbb{C}_p)$. Then*

$$(19) \quad F_X(\mu, f, z) := \int_X \frac{f(t)}{z - t} d\mu(t)$$

is well defined, rigid analytic on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \setminus X$, and vanishes at infinity. Moreover, any element of X is a singular point of $F_X(\mu, f, z)$.

Next, let $f \in Lip(X, \mathbb{C}_p)$ and $z \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \setminus X$. From (16), with $T(f, z)$ instead of f and Σ for the corresponding Riemann sum, one has

$$(20) \quad |\Sigma - F_X(\mu, f, z)| \leq \varepsilon \max \{1, 2A\},$$

where A is defined above. If $(B_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ is a covering of X with disjoint open balls of radius δ_ε , we have from the definition of μ that

$$(21) \quad |\Sigma| \leq \frac{\varepsilon M}{d\delta_\varepsilon},$$

where $M = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$. From (20) and (21) one has

$$(22) \quad |F_X(\mu, f, z)| \leq \varepsilon \max \left\{ 1, 2A, \frac{M}{d\delta_\varepsilon} \right\},$$

and so

$$(23) \quad d^2 |F_X(\mu, f, z)| \leq \varepsilon \max \left\{ d^2, 2d^2 A, \frac{Md}{\delta_\varepsilon} \right\}.$$

Denoting by $E_d(X)$ the complement in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)$ of a d -neighborhood of X , and using the definition of A , from (23) we obtain

$$(24) \quad \lim_{d \rightarrow 0} d^2 \|F_X(\mu, f, z)\|_{E_d(X)} \leq B\varepsilon,$$

where B is an absolute constant that does not depend on d . Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 8. *Let X be a compact subset of \mathbb{C}_p without isolated points. Let $f \in Lip(X, \mathbb{C}_p)$ and let μ be a Lipschitzian distribution on X . To any pair (μ, f) as above, we can associate a rigid analytic function $F_X(\mu, f, z)$ in such a way that it vanishes at infinity, and satisfies the boundary condition:*

$$(25) \quad \lim_{d \rightarrow 0} d^2 \|F_X(\mu, f, z)\|_{E_d(X)} = 0.$$

If X , μ and f are equivariant then $F_X(\mu, f, z)$ is also equivariant.

A natural question that arises is to provide a converse to the statement of this theorem.

REFERENCES

- [A] V. ALEXANDRU, *On the transcendence of the trace function*, Proceedings of the Romanian Academy, vol. 6, no. 1 (2005), pp. 11–16.
- [APP] V. ALEXANDRU - E.L. POPESCU - N. POPESCU, *On the continuity of the trace*, Proceedings of the Romanian Academy, Series A, vol. 5, no. 2 (2004), pp. 117–122.
- [APZ1] V. ALEXANDRU - N. POPESCU - A. ZAHARESCU, *On closed subfields of C_p* , J. Number Theory, 68, 2 (1998), pp. 131–150.
- [APZ2] V. ALEXANDRU - N. POPESCU - A. ZAHARESCU, *Trace on C_p* , J. Number Theory, 88, 1 (2001), pp. 13–48.
- [APZ3] V. ALEXANDRU - N. POPESCU - A. ZAHARESCU, *A representation theorem for a class of rigid analytic functions*, Journal de Theories des Nombres de Bordeaux, 15 (2003), pp. 639–650.
- [Ar] E. ARTIN, *Algebraic Numbers and Algebraic Functions*, Gordon and Breach, N.Y. 1967.
- [B] D. BARSKY, *Transformation de Cauchy p -adique et algebre d'Iwasawa*, Math. Ann., 232 (1978), pp. 255–266.
- [Br] F. BRUHAT, *Integration p -adique*, Seminaire Bourbaki, 14e annee, 1961/62, no. 229.
- [FV] J. FRESNEL - M. VAN DER PUT, *Geometrie Analytique Rigide et Applications*, Birkhauser, Basel, 1981.
- [Ka] N. M. KATZ, *p -adic L -functions for CM -fields*, Invent. Math., 48 (1978), pp. 199–297.
- [R] W. RUDIN, *Functional Analysis*, Mc. Graw Hill Book Company, N.Y., 1973.
- [Sch] W. H. SCHIKHOF, *Ultrametric calculus. An introduction to p -adic Analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, U.K., 1984.
- [VZ] M. VĂJĂITU - A. ZAHARESCU, *Lipschitzian Distributions*, to appear in Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 12 settembre 2006.