MAURIZIO CHICCO
MARINA VENTURINO

A priori inequalities in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ for solutions of elliptic equations in unbounded domains

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, tome 102 (1999), p. 141-149

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1999__102__141_0>

REND. SEM. MAT. UNIV. PADOVA, Vol. 102 (1999)

A priori Inequalities in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ for Solutions of Elliptic Equations in Unbounded Domains.

MAURIZIO CHICCO - MARINA VENTURINO (*)

ABSTRACT - We prove some a priori inequalities in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ for subsolutions of elliptic equations in divergence form, with Dirichlet's boundary conditions, in unbounded domains.

1. Introduction.

In an open subset Ω of $\mathbb{R}^n$, not necessarily bounded, we consider a linear uniformly elliptic second order operator in variational form with discontinuous coefficients, associated to the bilinear form

$$a(u, v) = \int_\Omega \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} v_{x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i u_{x_i} v + d_i u v_{x_i}) + cuv \right\} dx \tag{1}$$

If $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ is a solution of the inequality

$$a(u, v) \leq \int_\Omega \left\{ f_0 v + \sum_{i=1}^n f_i v_{x_i} \right\} dx \qquad \forall v \in C_0^1(\Omega),\ v \geq 0 \text{ in } \Omega\,, \tag{2}$$

we can consider the problem of determining the minimal hypotheses on the coefficients b_i, d_i, c of the bilinear form (1) and on the known functions f_i $(i = 0, 1, \ldots, n)$ for the subsolution u to be (essentially) bounded from above in Ω. Such a problem was already studied e.g. in [2] and [3],

(*) Indirizzo degli AA.: Dipartimento di Metodi e Modelli Matematici, Università di Genova, P.le Kennedy Pad. D, 16129 Genova, Italia.

where an inequality of the kind

$$\text{(3)} \qquad \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\Omega} u \leq \max(0, \max_{\partial\Omega} u) + K_1 \left\{ \|f_0\|_{L^{p/2}(\Omega)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|f_i\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \right\} + K_2 \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

was proved, by supposing Ω bounded and f_i, $d_i \in L^p(\Omega)$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$, f_0, $c \in L^{p/2}(\Omega)$, $p > n$.

The aim of the present work is to extend these results first of all allowing the set Ω to be unbounded and relaxing the hypotheses on the functions f_0, f_i, b_i, d_i, c $(i = 1, 2 \ldots, n)$. Finally, the constants in the a priori inequality (3) are explicitly evaluated.

2. Notations and Hypotheses.

Let Ω be an open subset (bounded or unbounded) of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $a_{ij} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ $(i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$, $\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} t_i t_j \geq \nu |t|^2$ $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ a.e. in Ω, where ν is a positive constant. Let $c^+ := \max(c, 0)$, $c^- := \min(c, 0)$ and suppose that $c^+ \in L^{2n/(n+2)}(\Omega')$ for any Ω' bounded, $\Omega' \subset \Omega$. Let us define the spaces

$$\text{(4)} \qquad X^p(\Omega) := \{ f \in L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega) \colon \omega(f, p, \delta) < +\infty \ \forall \delta > 0 \}$$

$$\text{(5)} \qquad X^p_0(\Omega) := \{ f \in X^p(\Omega) \colon \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \omega(f, p, \delta) = 0 \}$$

where

$$\text{(6)} \qquad \omega(f, p, \delta) := \sup \{ \|f\|_{L^p(E)} \colon E \text{ measurable}, \ E \subset \Omega, \ \operatorname{meas}(E) \leq \delta \}.$$

REMARK 1. If $f \in L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$, we define, for $k > 0$,

$$\text{(7)} \qquad \phi(f, p, k) := \inf \{ \operatorname{meas}(E) \colon E \text{ measurable}, \ E \subset \Omega, \ \|f\|_{L^p(E)} \geq k \},$$

and we have

$$\text{(8)} \qquad f \in X^p(\Omega) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \exists k_0 > 0 \text{ such that } \phi(f, p, k_0) > 0,$$

$$\text{(9)} \qquad f \in X^p_0(\Omega) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \phi(f, p, k) > 0 \quad \forall k > 0.$$

REMARK 2. If G is a measurable subset of Ω such that $\operatorname{meas}(G) \leq \phi(f, p, k)$, then it turns out that $\|f\|_{L^p(G)} \leq k$. In fact, if not there would exist a subset G_0 of G with positive measure but so small that

$$\|f\|_{L^p(G\setminus G_0)} > k$$

which is in contradiction with the definition of ϕ, since $\operatorname{meas}(G\setminus G_0) < \operatorname{meas}(G)$. ■

REMARK 3. If $1 \leq q < p$ it turns out $X^p(\Omega) \subset X_0^q(\Omega)$.
In fact, if $E \subset \Omega$, $\operatorname{meas}(E) \leq \delta$, $f \in X^p(\Omega)$ we have

$$\|f\|_{L^q(E)} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(E)} [\operatorname{meas}(E)]^{(p-q)/pq} \leq \omega(f, p, \delta)\delta^{(p-q)/pq}$$

whence

$$\omega(f, q, \delta) \leq \omega(f, p, \delta)\delta^{(p-q)/pq}. \qquad ■$$

We denote by S the constant in the Sobolev inequality

$$\|g\|_{L^{2n/(n-2)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq S \|g_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \qquad \forall g \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

It is a well known fact (see e.g. [4]) that S is given by the following formula:

$$S = [n(n-2)\pi]^{-1/2} \Gamma(n)^{1/n} \Gamma(n/2)^{-1/n}. \tag{10}$$

LEMMA. *Let $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, $B \subset \Omega$, $u = 0$ in B. Then there exists a sequence $\{u_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that $u_j = 0$ in B, u_j has compact support in Ω $(j = 1, 2, \ldots)$, $\lim_j \|u - u_j\|_{H^1(\Omega)} = 0$.*

PROOF. It follows from the results of [3] that $u^+ := \max(u, 0)$, $u^- := \min(u, 0)$ both belong to $H_0^1(\Omega)$, therefore we may assume without loss of generality that $u \geq 0$ in Ω. By definition of $H_0^1(\Omega)$, there exists a sequence $\{\phi_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset C_0^1(\Omega)$ such that $\lim_j \|u - \phi_j\|_{H^1(\Omega)} = 0$; we may assume $\phi_j \geq 0$ in Ω $(j = 1, 2, \ldots)$. Consider the functions $u_j := \min(u, \phi_j)$ $(j = 1, 2, \ldots)$. These functions are in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and they vanish on B and where $\phi_j = 0$. Furthermore it is easy to verify that $|(u - u_j)_x| \leq |(u - \phi_j)_x|$ where all the derivatives exist (i.e. almost everywhere in Ω), whence

$$\|(u - u_j)_x\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \|(u - \phi_j)_x\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \qquad (j = 1, 2, \ldots). \tag{11}$$

Therefore the sequence $\{u_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ has the required properties. ■

3. Main result.

THEOREM. *In addition to the hypotheses mentioned above, we assume:* $p > n$, $c^- \in X_0^{np/(n+p))}(\Omega)$, $b_i \in X_0^n(\Omega)$, $d_i \in X_0^p(\Omega)$, $f_i \in X^p(\Omega)$ $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$, $f_0 \in X^{np/(n+p)}(\Omega)$, $u \in H^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$,

$$(12) \qquad a(u, v) \leq \int_\Omega \left\{ f_0 v + \sum_{i=1}^n f_i v_{x_i} \right\} dx \qquad \forall v \in C_0^1(\Omega), \quad v \geq 0 \ \ in \ \Omega .$$

Furthermore suppose that there exists a nonnegative real number m *such that* $\max(u - m, 0) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Then there exist constants K_1, K_2, K_3, *depending on the coefficients of* $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, *on* n *and* p, *such that*

$$(13) \qquad \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_\Omega u \leq K_1 \|\max(u - m, 0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + 2^{np/(p-n)} m +$$
$$+ K_2 \left\{ S\omega(f_0, np/(p+n), K_3) + \sum_{i=1}^n \omega(f_i, p, K_3) \right\}$$

where:

S *is the Sobolev constant* (10),
$K_1 = (4/3)^{np/(p-n)} + 2^{np/(p-n)} K_3^{-1/2}$,
$K_2 = (3S/\nu)[2^{np/(p-n)} - 1]$,
$K_3 = \min\{1, \phi(b_i, n, \nu/(6Sn)), \phi(d_i, p, \nu/(6Sn)), \phi(c^-, np/(p+n), \nu/(6S^2))$ $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n)\}$

PROOF. First of all we notice that if $t \geq m$ obviously the function $u_t := \max(u - t, 0)$ is in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ as well. Moreover, it is easy to check that (12) is verified also by nonnegative functions $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ with compact support contained in Ω. In fact, let A be an open bounded set containing the support of v, such that $\overline{A} \subset \Omega$. It is easy to find a sequence $\{v_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_0^1(A)$ which converges to v in the norm of $H^1(A)$. We can write (12) with v_j instead of v and let j go to infinity, taking into account Hölder's and Sobolev's inequalities and the fact that $u \in H^1(A)$ by hypothesis (and also $u \in L^{2n/(n-2)}(A)$). So, (12) is true if $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ with compact support contained in Ω. Then from the lemma above we can find a sequence of functions $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ having compact support in Ω, vanishing where $u_t = 0$ (i.e. where $u \leq t$), and converging to u_t in the norm of $H^1(\Omega)$. As before, we can write (12) with u_j instead of v and let j go to infinity, because u_t and u_j are different from zero only in a (fixed) set of finite measure, in which $u = u_t + t$, thus allowing again the use of Hölder's and Sobolev's inequalities. We conclude that (12) can be written with v replaced by u_t (where it is always $t \geqslant m$). Let us denote for brevity

$$\Omega_t := \{x \in \Omega : u(x) > t\}.$$

By using Hölder's and Sobolev's inequalities, and taking into account our previous hypotheses, we deduce

$$\nu \|(u_t)_x\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_t)} \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega_t} a_{ij} u_{x_i} (u_t)_{x_j} \, dx ,$$

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i u_{x_i} u_t \, dx \right| \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega_t} | b_i (u_t)_{x_i} u_t | \, dx \leqslant S \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|b_i\|_{L_n(\Omega_t)} \|(u_t)_x\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_t)},$$

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i u (u_t)_{x_i} \, dx \right| \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega_t} | d_i u_t (u_t)_{x_i} | \, dx + t \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega_t} | d_i (u_t)_{x_i} | \, dx \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant S \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|d_i\|_{L^p(\Omega_t)} (\text{meas}\, \Omega_t)^{(p-n)/np} \|(u_t)_x\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_t)} +$$

$$+ t \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|d_i\|_{L^p(\Omega_t)} (\text{meas}\, \Omega_t)^{(p-2)/2p} \|(u_t)_x\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)},$$

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} c^- u u_t \, dx \right| \leqslant \int_{\Omega_t} | c^- u_t^2 | \, dx + t \int_{\Omega_t} | c^- u_t | \, dx \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant S^2 \|c^-\|_{L^{np/(n+p)}(\Omega_t)} (\text{meas}\, \Omega_t)^{(p-n)/np} \|(u_t)_x\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)} +$$

$$+ tS \|c^-\|_{L^{np/(n+p)}(\Omega_t)} (\text{meas}\, \Omega_t)^{(p-2)/2p} \|(u_t)_x\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)},$$

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} f_0 u_t \, dx \right| \leqslant S \|f_0\|_{L^{np/(n+p)}(\Omega_t)} (\text{meas}\, \Omega_t)^{(p-2)/2p} \|(u_t)_x\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)},$$

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i (u_t)_{x_i} \, dx \right| \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|f_i\|_{L^p(\Omega_t)} (\text{meas}\, \Omega_t)^{(p-2)/2p} \|(u_t)_x\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}.$$

Therefore it follows easily from (12)

(14) $\qquad \nu \|(u_t)_x\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_t)} \leqslant$

$$\leqslant t \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \|d_i\|_{L^p(\Omega_t)} + S \|c^-\|_{L^{np/(n+p)}(\Omega_t)} \right] (\text{meas}\, \Omega_t)^{(p-2)/2p} \|(u_t)_x\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)} +$$

$$+\left[S\|f_0\|_{L^{np/(n+p)}(\Omega_t)}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\|f_i\|_{L^p(\Omega_t)}\right](\operatorname{meas}\Omega_t)^{(p-2)/2p}\|(u_t)_x\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}+$$

$$+S\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\|b_i\|_{L^n(\Omega_t)}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\|d_i\|_{L^p(\Omega_t)}(\operatorname{meas}\Omega_t)^{(p-n)/np}\right]\|(u_t)_x\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_t)}+$$

$$+S^2\|c^-\|_{L^{np/(n+p)}(\Omega_t)}(\operatorname{meas}\Omega_t)^{(p-n)/np}\|(u_t)_x\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_t)}.$$

For brevity, let us denote $\alpha(t):=\operatorname{meas}(\Omega_t)$. Then we get

$$\text{(15)}\quad \left\{\nu-S\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\|b_i\|_{L^n(\Omega_t)}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\|d_i\|L^p(\Omega_t)[\alpha(t)]^{(p-n)/np}+\right.\right.$$

$$\left.\left.+S\|c^-\|_{L^{np/(n+p)}(\Omega_t)}[\alpha(t)]^{(p-n)/np}\right]\right\}\|(u_t)_x\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}\leqslant$$

$$\leqslant\left[S\|f_0\|_{L^{np/(n+p)}(\Omega_t)}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\|f_i\|_{L^p(\Omega_t)}\right][\alpha(t)]^{(p-2)/2p}+$$

$$+t\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\|d_i\|_{L^p(\Omega_t)}+S\|c^-\|_{L^{np/(n+p)}(\Omega_t)}\right][\alpha(t)]^{(p-2)/2p}.$$

We notice that, when $t\geqslant m$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega_m}(u-m)^2\,dx\geqslant\int_{\Omega_t}(u-m)^2\,dx\geqslant(t-m)^2\alpha(t)$$

that is:

$$\text{(16)}\qquad \alpha(t)\leqslant\frac{\|u_m\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_m)}}{(t-m)^2}\qquad\forall t>m\,.$$

Now we define (see (7))

$$\text{(17)}\quad \delta_0:=\min\{1,\ \phi(b_i,n,\nu/(6Sn)),\ \phi(d_i,p,\nu/(6Sn)),$$
$$\phi(c^-,np/(n+p),\nu/(6S^2)),\ (i=1,2,\dots,n)\}$$

$$\text{(18)}\qquad t_0:=m+\frac{\|u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}}{\delta_0^{1/2}}$$

(please note that $\delta_0>0$ because of our previous hypotheses and remark 1).

Then if $t\geqslant t_0$ we have

$$\text{(19)}\qquad \alpha(t)\leqslant\alpha(t_0)\leqslant\frac{\|u_m\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}}{(t_0-m)^2}=\delta_0$$

therefore by the definition of ϕ and remark 2 we deduce

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \|b_i\|_{L^n(\Omega_t)} \leqslant \nu/(6S), \tag{20}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \|d_i\|_{L^p(\Omega_t)} \leqslant \nu/(6S), \tag{21}$$

$$\|c^-\|_{L^{np/(n+p)}(\Omega_t)} \leqslant \nu/(6S^2). \tag{22}$$

From (16), (17), (19) it follows $\alpha(t) \leqslant 1$; then from (15), (20), (21), (22) when $t \geqslant t_0$ we get

$$(\nu/2)\|(u_t)_x\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)} \leqslant [\alpha(t)]^{(p-2)/2p}\Big[t\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\|d_i\|_{L^p(\Omega_t)} + S\|c^-\|_{L^{np/(n+p)}(\Omega_t)}\Big) + \tag{23}$$
$$+ S\|f_0\|_{L^{np/(n+p)}(\Omega_t)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\|f_i\|_{L^p(\Omega_t)}\Big].$$

Let us denote, for brevity,

$$K_4 := (2S/\nu)\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\|d_i\|_{L^p(\Omega_{t_0})} + S\|c^-\|L^{np/(n+p)}(\Omega_{t_0})\Big) \tag{24}$$

$$K_5 := (2S/\nu)\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\|f_i\|_{L^p(\Omega_{t_0})} + S\|f_0\|L^{np/(n+p)}(\Omega_{t_0})\Big) \tag{25}$$

and apply Hölder's and Sobolev's inequalitites to (23), thus obtaining

$$\|u_t\|_{L^1(\Omega_t)} \leqslant [\alpha(t)]^{(2+n)/2n}\|u_t\|_{L^{2n/(n-2)}(\Omega_t)} \leqslant [\alpha(t)]^{1+(p-n)/np}(K_4 t + K_5) \tag{26}$$

Now we follow a procedure of [1]. Define

$$\beta(t) := \|u_t\|_{L^1(\Omega_t)}, \qquad t \geqslant t_0 \tag{27}$$

and note that it turns out $\beta(t) = \int_t^{+\infty} \alpha(s)\,ds$. Therefore

$$\beta'(t) = -\alpha(t) \leqslant 0 \qquad \text{a.e. in } [t_0, +\infty). \tag{28}$$

From (26), (28) we get the differential inequality

$$\beta(t) \leqslant (K_4 t + K_5)[-\beta'(t)]^{1+(p-n)/np} \qquad \text{a.e. in } [t_0, +\infty) \tag{29}$$

Suppose now, by contradiction, that $\beta(t) > 0\ \forall t \geqslant t_0$ (i.e., by definition of

$\beta(t)$, $\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\Omega} u = +\infty$). Then in (29) we can divide by $\beta(t)$ obtaining

$$-\beta'(t)[\beta(t)]^{-np/(np+p-n)} \geqslant (K_4 t + K_5)^{-np/(np+p-n)} \tag{30}$$

Integrating (30) between t_0 and $t^* > t_0$ (suppose for the moment $K_4 > 0$), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K_4[\beta(t_0)]^{(p-n)/(np+p-n)} - K_4[\beta(t^*)]^{(p-n)/(np+p-n)} \geqslant \\ \geqslant (K_4 t^* + K_5)^{(p-n)/(np+p-n)} - (K_4 t_0 + K_5)^{(p-n)/(np+p-n)} \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

which gives a contradiction when t^* tends to $+\infty$.

Then it must be $\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\Omega} u < +\infty$. We can rewrite (31) with $t_0 < t^* < \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\Omega} u$; by letting t^* tend to $\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\Omega} u$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} (K_4 \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\Omega} u + K_5)^{(p-n)/(np+p-n)} \leqslant \\ \leqslant (K_4 t_0 + K_5)^{(p-n)/(np+p-n)} + K_4[\beta(t_0)]^{(p-n)/(np+p-n)} \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Please note that the constant K_4 is not greater than 2/3 because of (21), (22). From (32) by easy calculations we get

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\Omega} u \leqslant (4/3)^{np/(p-n)} \|u_{t_0}\|_{L^1(\Omega_{t_0})} + 2^{np/(p-n)} t_0 + (3/2)[2^{np/(p-n)} - 1] K_5 \tag{33}$$

whence, by recalling the definition of t_0 (18) and K_5 (25) one can write

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\Omega} u \leqslant 2^{np/(p-n)} m + [(4/3)^{np/(p-n)} + 2^{np/(p-n)} \delta_0^{-1/2}] \|u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \\ + (3S/\nu[2^{np/(p-n)} - 1] \left[S \|f_0\|_{L^{np/(p+n)}(\Omega_{t_0})} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|f_i\|_{L^p(\Omega_{t_0})} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Finally, by taking into account (19), the definition of δ_0 (see (17)) and the functions ϕ, ω, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\Omega} u \leqslant 2^{np/(p-n)} m + [(4/3)^{np/(p-n)} + 2^{np/(p-n)} \delta_0^{-1/2}] \|u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \\ + (3S/\nu)[2^{np/(p-n)} - 1] \left[S\omega(f_0, np/(p+n), \delta_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega(f_i, p, \delta_0) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

with δ_0 given by (17). ∎

REMARK 4. If we suppose, in addition to the hypotheses of the previous theorem, that there exists $q \geqslant 1$ such that $u_m \in L^q(\Omega)$, then we can write, instead of (16) and (18)

$$\alpha(t) \leq \|u_m\|^q_{L^q(\Omega_m)}(t-m)^{-q} \qquad \forall t > m\,, \tag{16'}$$

$$t_0 := m + \|u_m\|_{L^q(\Omega)}\,\delta_0^{-1/q} \tag{18'}$$

and proceeding as before we get to the conclusion in the form

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\Omega} u \leq 2^{np/(p-n)} m + [(4/3)^{np/(p-n)} + 2^{np/(p-n)}\delta_0^{-1/q}]\|u_m\|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \tag{35'}$$

$$+ (3S/\nu)[2^{np/(p-n)} - 1]\left[S\omega(f_0, np/(p+n), \delta_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\omega(f_i, p, \delta_0)\right]$$

where δ_0 is always given by (17).

REMARK 5. Suppose the coefficients d_i and c^- of the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ to be identically zero. Then the constant K_4 defined in (24) vanishes, and by integrating (30) we get, more simply,

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\Omega} u \leq t_0 + (np+p-n)/(p-n)\, K_5^{np/(np+p-n)}\|u_{t_0}\|^{(p-n)/(np+p-n)}_{L^2(\Omega)} \tag{36}$$

whence, by taking into account the definitions of t_0, δ_0, ..., and Young's inequality, we deduce

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\Omega} u \leq m + (\delta_0^{-1/2} + 1)\|u_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + [np/(p-n)]K_5. \tag{37}$$

This inequality is of the same kind of (35), but the coefficient of m in it is now 1.

Acknowledgment: We are grateful to dr. Laura Servidei for correcting English style.

REFERENCES

[1] H. BRÉZIS - P. L. LIONS, *An estimate related to the strong maximum principle*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (5), **17**-A (1980), pp. 503-508.

[2] C. MIRANDA, *Alcune osservazioni sulla maggiorazione in L^ν delle soluzioni deboli delle equazioni ellittiche del secondo ordine*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4), **61** (1963), pp. 151-170.

[3] G. STAMPACCHIA, *Le problème de Dirichlet pour les équations elliptiques du second ordre à coefficients discontinus*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **15** (1965), pp. 189-258.

[4] G. TALENTI, *Best constant in Sobolev inequality*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4), **110** (1976), pp. 353-372.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 23 ottobre 1997.