RENDICONTI
del
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO
della
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

M. K. AOUF
H. M. HOSSEN

Meromorphic starlike functions of order α with alternating coefficients

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, tome 92 (1994), p. 221-230

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1994__92__221_0>

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1994, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM
Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

REND. SEM. MAT. UNIV. PADOVA, Vol. 92 (1994)

Meromorphic Starlike Functions of Order α with Alternating Coefficients.

M. K. AOUF - H. M. HOSSEN (*)

ABSTRACT - Coefficient inequalities, distortion theorems and class preserving integral operators are obtained for meromorphic functions with alternating coefficients that are starlike of order α, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$.

1. Introduction.

Let Σ denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \tag{1.1}$$

which are regular in the punctured disc $U^* = \{z: 0 < |z| < 1\}$. The Hadamard product or convolution of two functions $f, g \in \Sigma$ will be denoted by $f * g$. Let

$$\begin{cases} D^n f(z) = \dfrac{1}{z(1-z)^{n+1}} * f(z), \qquad n \geq 0, \\ D^n f(z) = \dfrac{1}{z}\left(\dfrac{z^{n+1} f(z)}{n!}\right)^{(n)}, \\ D^n f(z) = \dfrac{1}{z} + \displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta(n, k+1)\, a_k z^k, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$\delta(n, k+1) = \binom{n+k+1}{n}. \tag{1.3}$$

(*) Indirizzo degli AA.: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Mansoura, Mansoura, Egypt.

In [1] the authors obtained a new criterion for meromorphic starlike functions of order $\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1)$ via the basic inclution relationship $M_{n+1}(\alpha) \subset M_n(\alpha)$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $n \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$, $N = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$, where $M_n(\alpha)$ is the class consisting of functions in Σ satisfying

$$(1.4) \quad \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - 2\right\} < -\frac{n+\alpha}{n+1}, \quad |z| < 1, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1, \quad n \in N_0 .$$

The condition (1.4) is equivalent to

$$(1.5) \quad \text{(i)} \qquad \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} = \frac{(n+1) + (n+3-2\alpha)w(z)}{1 + w(z)},$$

$w(z) \in H = \{w \text{ regular}, \ w(0) = 0 \text{ and } |w(z)| < 1, \ z \in U = \{z: |z| < 1\}\}$, or equivalently,

$$(1.6) \quad \text{(ii)} \qquad \left| \frac{(n+1)\left(\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - 1\right)}{(n+1)\left(\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - 1\right) - 2(1-\alpha)} \right| < 1 .$$

We note that $M_0(\alpha) = \Sigma^*(\alpha)$, is the class of meromorphically starlike functions of order $\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1)$ and $M_0(0) = \Sigma^*$, is the class of meromorphically starlike functions. The class $M_n(0) = M_n$ was introduced by Ganigi and Uralegaddi [2].

Let σ_A be the subclass of Σ which consisting of functions of the form

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{cases} f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + a_1 z - a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 \ldots, & a_k \geq 0, \\ f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} a_k z^k, & a_k \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

and let $\sigma_A^*(\alpha, n) = M_n(\alpha) \cap \sigma_A$.

In this paper coefficient inequalities, distortion theorems for the class $\sigma_A^*(\alpha, n)$ are determined. Techniques used are similar to these of Silverman [3] and Uralegaddi and Ganigi [4]. Finally, the class

preserving integral operators of the form

$$F(z) = \frac{c}{z^{c+1}} \int_0^z t^c f(t)\,dt \qquad (c > 0) \tag{1.8}$$

is considered.

2. Coefficient inequalities.

THEOREM 1. Let $f(z) = 1/z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$. If

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [(n+1)\delta(n+1, k+1) - (n+2-\alpha)\delta(n, k+1)]|a_k| \leqslant \leqslant (1-\alpha), \tag{2.1}$$

then $f(z) \in M_n(\alpha)$.

PROOF. Suppose (2.1) holds for all admissible values of α and n. It suffices to show that

$$\left| \frac{(n+1)\left(\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - 1\right)}{(n+1)\left(\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - 1\right) - 2(1-\alpha)} \right| < 1 \quad \text{for } |z| < 1.$$

We have

$$\left| \frac{(n+1)\left(\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - 1\right)}{(n+1)\left(\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - 1\right) - 2(1-\alpha)} \right| =$$

$$= \left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (n+1)[\delta(n+1, k+1) - \delta(n, k+1)]\, a_k z^{k+1}}{2(1-\alpha) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [(n+1)\delta(n+1, k+1) - (n+3-2\alpha)\delta(n, k+1)]\, a_k z^{k+1}} \right| \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(n+1)[\delta(n+1,k+1)-\delta(n,k+1)]\,|a_k|}{2(1-\alpha)-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}[(n+1)\delta(n+1,k+1)-(n+3-2\alpha)\delta(n,k+1)]\,|a_k|}.$$

The last expression is bounded above by 1, provided

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(n+1)[\delta(n+1,k+1)-\delta(n,k+1)]\,|a_k| \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant 2(1-\alpha)-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}[(n+1)\delta(n+1,k+1)-(n+3-2\alpha)\delta(n,k+1)]\,|a_k|$$

which is equivalent to

$$(2.2)\qquad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}[(n+1)\delta(n+1,k+1)-(n+2-\alpha)\delta(n,k+1)]\,|a_k| \leqslant (1-\alpha)$$

which is true by hypothesis.

For functions in $\sigma_A^*(\alpha, n)$ the converse of the above theorem is also true.

THEOREM 2. A function $f(z)$ in σ_A is in $\sigma_A^*(\alpha, n)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}[(n+1)\delta(n+1,k+1)-(n+2-\alpha)\delta(n,k+1)]\,a_k \leqslant (1-\alpha).$$

PROOF. In view of Theorem 1 it suffices to show the only if part. Suppose that

$$(2.3)\qquad \mathrm{Re}\left\{\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)}-2\right\} =$$

$$= \mathrm{Re}\left\{\frac{-1/z+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k-1}[\delta(n+1,k+1)-2\delta(n,k+1)]\,a_k z^k}{1/z+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k-1}\delta(n,k+1)\,a_k z^k}\right\} <$$

$$< -\frac{n+\alpha}{n+1}.$$

Choose values of z on the real axis so that $\left(\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)}-2\right)$ is real. Upon clearing the denominator in (2.3) and letting $z \to -1$ through real

values, we obtain

$$(n+1) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (n+1)[\delta(n+1, k+1) - 2\delta(n, k+1)]\, a_k \geqslant$$

$$\geqslant (n+\alpha)\left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta(n, k+1)\, a_k\right]$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [(n+1)\delta(n+1, k+1) - (n+2-\alpha)\delta(n, k+1)]\, a_k \leqslant (1-\alpha).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

COROLLARY 1. If $f(z) \in \sigma_A^*(\alpha, n)$, then

$$a_k \leqslant \frac{1-\alpha}{(n+1)\delta(n+1, k+1) - (n+2-\alpha)\delta(n, k+1)} \quad (k \geqslant 1).$$

Equality holds for the functions of the form

$$f_k(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \\ + (-1)^{k-1} \frac{1-\alpha}{(n+1)\delta(n+1, k+1) - (n+2-\alpha)\delta(n, k+1)} z^k.$$

3. Distortion theorems.

THEOREM 3. If $f(z) = 1/z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} a_k z^k$ $(a_k \geqslant 0)$, is in $\sigma_A^*(\alpha, n)$, then for $0 < |z| = r < 1$,

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1-\alpha}{(n+1)\delta(n+1, 2) - (n+2-\alpha)\delta(n, 2)} r \leqslant |f(z)| \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1-\alpha}{(n+1)\delta(n+1, 2) - (n+2-\alpha)\delta(n, 2)}$$

with equality for the function

$$(3.2) \quad f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \\ + \frac{1-\alpha}{(n+1)\delta(n+1, 2) - (n+2-\alpha)\delta(n, 2)} z \quad \text{at } z = r, ir.$$

PROOF. Suppose $f(z)$ is in $\sigma_A^*(\alpha, n)$. In view of Theorem 2, we have

$$[(n+1)\delta(n+1,2)-(n+2-\alpha)\delta(n,2)]\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}a_k \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}[(n+1)\delta(n+1,k+1)-(n+2-\alpha)\delta(n,k+1)]a_k \leqslant (1-\alpha)$$

which evidently yields

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}a_k \leqslant \frac{1-\alpha}{(n+1)\delta(n+1,2)-(n+2-\alpha)\delta(n,2)}.$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$|f(z)| \leqslant \frac{1}{r}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}a_k r^k \leqslant \frac{1}{r}+r\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}a_k \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \frac{1}{r}+\frac{1-\alpha}{(n+1)\delta(n+1,2)-(n+2-\alpha)\delta(n,2)}r.$$

Also

$$|f(z)| \geqslant \frac{1}{r}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}a_k r^k \geqslant \frac{1}{r}-r\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}a_k \geqslant$$

$$\geqslant \frac{1}{r}-\frac{1-\alpha}{(n+1)\delta(n+1,2)-(n+2-\alpha)\delta(n,2)}r.$$

Hence the results of (3.1) follow.

THEOREM 4. If $f(z)=1/z+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k-1}a_k z^k$ $(a_k \geqslant 0)$, is in $\sigma_A^*(\alpha, n)$, then for $0<|z|=r<1$,

$$(3.3)\quad \frac{1}{r^2}-\frac{1-\alpha}{(n+1)\delta(n+1,2)-(n+2-\alpha)\delta(n,2)} \leqslant |f'(z)| \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \frac{1}{r^2}+\frac{1-\alpha}{(n+1)\delta(n+1,2)-(n+2-\alpha)\delta(n,2)}.$$

The result is sharp, the extremal function being of the form (3.2).

PROOF. From Theorem 2, we have

$$[(n+1)\delta(n+1,2)-(n+2-\alpha)\delta(n,2)]\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}ka_k\leqslant$$

$$\leqslant\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}[(n+1)\delta(n+1,k+1)-(n+2-\alpha)\delta(n,k+1)]a_k\leqslant(1-\alpha)$$

which evidently yields

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}ka_k\leqslant\frac{1-\alpha}{(n+1)\delta(n+1,2)-(n+2-\alpha)\delta(n,2)}.$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$|f'(z)|\leqslant\frac{1}{r^2}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}ka_kr^{k-1}\leqslant\frac{1}{r^2}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}ka_k\leqslant$$

$$\leqslant\frac{1}{r^2}+\frac{1-\alpha}{(n+1)\delta(n+1,2)-(n+2-\alpha)\delta(n,2)}.$$

Also

$$|f'(z)|\geqslant\frac{1}{r^2}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}ka_kr^{k-1}\geqslant\frac{1}{r^2}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}ka_k\geqslant$$

$$\geqslant\frac{1}{r^2}-\frac{1-\alpha}{(n+1)\delta(n+1,2)-(n+2-\alpha)\delta(n,2)}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

Putting $n=0$ in Theorem 4, we get.

COROLLARY 2. If $f(z)=1/z+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k-1}a_kz^k$, $a_k\geqslant 0$, is in $\sigma_A^*(\alpha,0)=\sigma_A^*(\alpha)$, then for $0<|z|=r<1$,

$$\frac{1}{r^2}-\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\leqslant|f'(z)|\leqslant\frac{1}{r^2}+\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}.$$

The result is sharp.

We observe that our result in Corollary 2 improves the result of Uralegaddi and Ganigi [4, Theorem 3 (Equation 4)].

4. Class preserving integral operators.

In this section we consider the class preserving integral operators of the form (1.6).

THEOREM 5. If $f(z) = 1/z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} a_k z^k$, $a_k \geq 0$, is in $\sigma_A^*(\alpha, n)$ then

$$F(z) = cz^{-c-1} \int_0^z t^c f(t)\, dt = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{c}{c+k+1} a_k z^k, \qquad c > 0$$

belongs to $\sigma_A^*(\beta(\alpha, n, c), n)$, where

$$\beta(\alpha, n, c) = \frac{(n+1)(2+\alpha c)[\delta(n+1, 2) - \delta(n, 2)] - 2(1-\alpha)\delta(n, 2)}{(n+1)(2+c)[\delta(n+1, 2) - \delta(n, 2)] - 2(1-\alpha)\delta(n, 2)}.$$

The result is sharp for

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1-\alpha}{(n+1)\delta(n+1, 2) - (n+2-\alpha)\delta(n, 2)} z.$$

PROOF. Suppose $f(z) \in \sigma_A^*(\alpha, n)$, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [(n+1)\delta(n+1, k+1) - (n+2-\alpha)\delta(n, k+1)] a_k \leq (1-\alpha).$$

In view of Theorem 2 we shall find the largest value of β for which

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(n+1)\delta(n+1, k+1) - (n+2-\beta)\delta(n, k+1)]}{1-\beta} \cdot \frac{c}{c+k+1} a_k \leq 1.$$

It is sufficient to find the range of values of β for which

$$\frac{c[(n+1)\delta(n+1, k+1) - (n+2-\beta)\delta(n, k+1)]}{(1-\beta)(c+k+1)} \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{[(n+1)\delta(n+1, k+1) - (n+2-\alpha)\delta(n, k+1)]}{1-\alpha} \quad \text{for each } k$$

solving the above inequality for β we obtain

$$\beta \leqslant \frac{(n+1)(k+1+\alpha c)[\delta(n+1,k+1)-\delta(n,k+1)]-(k+1)(1-\alpha)\delta(n,k+1)}{(n+1)(k+1+c)[\delta(n+1,k+1)-\delta(n,k+1)]-(k+1)(1-\alpha)\delta(n,k+1)}.$$

For each α and c fixed let

$$F(k) =$$

$$= \frac{(n+1)(k+1+\alpha c)[\delta(n+1,k+1)-\delta(n,k+1)]-(k+1)(1-\alpha)\delta(n,k+1)}{(n+1)(k+1+c)[\delta(n+1,k+1)-\delta(n,k+1)]-(k+1)(1-\alpha)\delta(n,k+1)}.$$

Then

$$F(k+1) - F(k) = \frac{A}{B} > 0 \qquad \text{for each } k,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A = c(1-\alpha)(n+1)\{&(n+1)[\delta(n+1,k+1)-\delta(n,k+1)]\cdot \\ &\cdot[\delta(n+1,k+2)-\delta(n,k+2)]+(1-\alpha)(k+1)\cdot \\ &\cdot[\delta(n+1,k+2)-\delta(n,k+2)]\delta(n,k+1)-(1-\alpha)(k+2)\cdot \\ &\cdot[\delta(n+1,k+1)-\delta(n,k+1)]\delta(n,k+2)\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B = \{&(n+1)(c+k+2)[\delta(n+1,k+2)-\delta(n,k+2)]- \\ &-(k+2)(1-\alpha)\delta(n,k+2)\}\{(n+1)(c+k+1)\cdot \\ &\cdot[\delta(n+1,k+1)-\delta(n,k+1)]-(k+1)(1-\alpha)\delta(n,k+1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $F(k)$ is an increasing function of k. Since

$$F(1) = \frac{(n+1)(2+\alpha c)[\delta(n+1,2)-\delta(n,2)]-2(1-\alpha)\delta(n,2)}{(n+1)(2+c)[\delta(n+1,2)-\delta(n,2)]-2(1-\alpha)\delta(n,2)}$$

the result follows.

REMARK. Putting $n = 0$ in the above theorems, we have the results obtained by Uralegaddi and Ganigi [4].

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the referee of the paper for his helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

[1] M. K. Aouf - H. M. Hossen, *New criteria for meromorphic univalent functions of order* α, Nihonkai Math. J., **5** (1994), no. 1, pp. 1-11.

[2] M. D. Ganigi - B. A. Uralegaddi, *New criteria for meromorphic univalent functions*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R.S. Roumanie (N.S.), **33** (81) (1989), no. 1, pp. 9-13.

[3] H. Silverman, *Univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **51** (1975), pp. 109-116.

[4] B. A. Uralegaddi - M. D. Ganigi, *Meromorphic starlike functions with alternating coefficients*, Rend. Mat. (7), **11** (1991), pp. 441-446.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 14 dicembre 1992
e, in forma revisionata, il 13 luglio 1993.