RENDICONTI
del
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO
della
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

ATTILIO LE DONNE

On prime ideals of $C(X)$

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, tome 58 (1977), p. 207-214

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1977__58__207_0>

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1977, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM
Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

REND. SEM. MAT. UNIV. PADOVA, Vol. 58 (1977)

On prime ideals of C (X)

ATTILIO LE DONNE (*)

Introduction.

In the paper [*DO*] De Marco and Orsatti have studied the mapping $\sigma : P \longmapsto P \cap C^*(X)$ of $\mathcal{S}(C(X))$ into $\mathcal{S}(C^*(X))$, the spectra of the prime ideals of $C(X)$ and $C^*(X)$ respectively.

If M is a maximal ideal of $C(X)$, $\sigma(M) = M \cap C^*$ is comparable with every prime ideal $P^* \subset M^*$ if M^* is the unique maximal ideal of C^* containing σM. σ subordinates a bijection preserving inclusion between the prime ideals of $C(X)$ contained in M and the prime ideals of $C^*(X)$ contained in $M \cap C^*(X)$.

σM is the minimum prime ideal of $C^*(X)$ comparable with every prime ideal contained in M^* iff M has the same property in $C(X)$; in this case M will be called ramified. We generalize the definition at every prime ideal (necessarily z-ideal) of $C(X)$: that is, a prime ideal P of $C(X)$ is ramified if it is the minimum prime ideal comparable with every prime ideal contained in P. We give several equivalent conditions for a prime z-ideal to be ramified ; we produce a result, due to De Marco and independent from the remaining work, stating that every maximal fixed ideal of a space satisfying the first axiom of countability is ramified.

The main result of this paper is the theorem stating that every prime z-ideal in a metric space is ramified.

I. X denotes a T_2 completely regular topological space, $C(X)$ the ring of continuous functions, βX the Stone — Čech compactifi-

---

(*) Current address : Seminario Matematico Università di Padova, via Belzoni 7-Padova.

Lavoro eseguito nell'ambito dei gruppi di Ricerca Matematica del C.N.R.

cation (βX is a subspace of Spec $(C(X))$, the set of prime ideals of $C(X)$ with spectral topology). For every $p \in \beta X$, M^p will be the maximal ideal associated to it. For every ideal P of $C(X)$, $Z[P]$ is the z-filter of P. If $\mathfrak{F}$ is a z-filter, $Z^{\leftarrow}[\mathfrak{F}]$ is the z-ideal of $\mathfrak{F}$.

PROPOSITION. *Let Q be a prime ideal of $C(X)$. Let $R(Q)$ denote the ideal sum of all the minimal prime ideals contained in P; $R(Q)$ is smallest among the prime ideals comparable with every prime ideal contained in Q.*

PROOF. Let $P \subset Q$ be prime. P contains a minimal ideal P_α. As either P or $R(Q)$ contain P_α, they are comparable. If now P is comparable with every prime contained in Q, then it contains the minimal prime ideals contained in Q, then $P \supset R(Q)$.

DEFINITION 1. Let Q be a prime ideal of $C(X)$. We say Q is *ramified* if $Q = R(Q)$.

DEFINITION 2. Let $p \in \beta X$. We say p is *ramified* if M^p is ramified.

DEFINITION 3. Let $p \in \beta X$. We say p is *totally ramified* if every prime z-ideal Q is ramified, with $Q \subset M^p$.

Analogously X is said to be ramified (*r.* totally ramified) if every $p \in \beta X$ is ramified (r. totally ramified).

COROLLARY. *A ramified prime ideal is necessarily a z-ideal.*

PROOF. A minimal prime ideal is a z-ideal. [*GJ* 14.7].

LEMMA. *If I and J are z-ideals then $Z[I+J] = (Z[I], Z[J])$ (the z-filter generated by $Z[I]$ and $Z[J]$) and every element of $Z[I+J]$ is the intersection of two elements of $Z[I]$ and $Z[J]$.*

PROOF. Trivial.

THEOREM. *Let Q be a non-minimal prime z-ideal. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) Q is ramified;

(b) for every $Z \in Z[Q]$ there exist $Z_1, Z_2 \in Z[Q]$ such that $Z_1 \cap Z_2 \subset Z$; and, if $Z' \in Z(X)$ is such that $Z' \supset Z_1 \setminus Z_2$ or $Z' \supset Z_2 \setminus Z_1$, then $Z' \in Z[Q]$;

(c) for every $Z \in Z[Q]$ there exist $Z_1, Z_2 \in Z[Q]$ such that $Z_1 \cap Z_2 \subset Z$ and $Q \in cl_{Spec(C(X))}(Z_1 \setminus Z_2) \cap cl_{Spec(C(X))}(Z_2 \setminus Z_1)$;

(*d*) *every cozero set* $A = X \setminus Z$ *with* $Z \in Z[Q]$ *is containded in a* $B = X \setminus Z_0$ *with* $Z_0 \in Z[Q]$ *and* B *non* C^* *— embedded in* $B \cup \{Q\} \subset \subset Spec(C(X))$;

(*e*) Q *is generated by the functions of* Q *that change their sign in every zero set of* $O_Q = \cap \{P_\alpha : P_\alpha$ *minimal prime ideals contained in* $Q\}$.

PROOF.

$(b) \Longleftrightarrow (c)$.

A base of neighborhoods of Q in Spec $(C(X))$ is produced by the sets $V_f = \{P \in \text{Spec}\,(C(X)) : P \not\ni f\}$ with $f \notin Q$. But $V_f \cap X = \{p \in X : M_p \not\ni f\} = \{p \in X : f(p) \neq 0\} = X \setminus Z(f)$ (with $Z(f) \notin Z[Q]$). Then we have $Q \in cl_{Spec C(X)} (Z_1 \setminus Z_2)$ iff for each $Z' \notin Z[Q]$ it is $(X \setminus Z') \cap (Z_1 \setminus Z_2) \neq \varnothing$ i.e. iff for each $Z' \notin Z[Q]$ it is $Z' \supset Z_1 \setminus Z_2$.

$(a) \Rightarrow (b)$.

Call P_α $(\alpha \in I)$ the minimal prime ideals. Put $Z \in Z[Q] = Z\left[\sum_{P_\alpha \subset Q} P_\alpha\right]$. Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ a set of indexes minimal for the property: $Z \in Z[P_{\alpha_1} + \ldots + P_{\alpha_n}]$. If $n > 1$, $Z = Z_1 \cap Z_2$ with $Z_1 \in Z[P_{\alpha_1} + \ldots + P_{\alpha_{n-1}}]$ and $Z_2 \in Z[P_{\alpha_n}]$ by lemma. Then Z_1, Z_2 satisfy the hypothesis of (b). In fact they belong to $Z[Q]$ and if $Z' \in Z(X) \setminus Z[Q]$ is such that, for example, $Z' \supset Z_1 \setminus Z_2$ i.e. $Z' \cup Z \supset Z_1 \in Z[P_{\alpha_1} + \ldots + P_{\alpha_{n-1}}]$ being $P_{\alpha_1} + \ldots + P_{\alpha_{n-1}}$ a prime z-ideal, then $Z \in Z[P_{\alpha_1} + \ldots + P_{\alpha_{n-1}}]$ against the hypothesis. Analogously if $Z' \cup Z \supset Z_2 \in Z[P_{\alpha_n}]$ and $Z' \notin Z[Q]$ then $Z \in Z[P_{\alpha_n}]$. If now $n = 1$ i.e. if $Z \in Z[P_\alpha]$, $P_\alpha \subset Q$ being Q not minimal there exists $P_\beta \neq P_\alpha$, $P_\beta \subset Q$. Let $Z_0 = Z \cap Z' \cap Z''$ with $Z' \in Z[P_\alpha] \setminus Z[P_\beta]$ and $Z'' \in Z[P_\beta] \setminus Z[P_\alpha]$: then $Z_0 \in Z[P_\alpha + P_\beta] \setminus (Z[P_\alpha] \cup Z[P_\beta])$, and we can apply to Z_0 the previous argument.

$(b) \Rightarrow (a)$.

Put $Z \in Z[Q]$ and let $Z_1, Z_2 \in Z[Q]$ satisfy the property (b) for Z. Let $I = Z^{\leftarrow}[(Z_1)]$ (where (Z_1) is the z-filter generated by Z_1) and $S = \{h \cdot k :$ with $Z(h) = Z_0$ and $k \notin Q\} \cup (C(X) \setminus Q)$ with $Z_0 = Z_1 \cap Z_2$. S is closed under multiplication and disjoint from I; in fact $I \subset Q$ and if $h \cdot k \in I$ with $Z(h) = Z_0$ and $k \notin Q$, we have $Z(h \cdot k) \supset Z_1$, $Z(h) \cup Z(k) \supset Z_1$, $Z(k) \supset Z_1 \setminus Z_0$ and for (b) we have $Z(k) \in Z[Q]$. Then there is an ideal Q_1 containing I, disjoint from S and maximal with respect to this property: namely $Z[Q_1] \ni Z_1$, $Z[Q_1] \not\ni Z_0$ and $Q_1 \in Q$ (such an ideal is prime and a z-ideal because

$Z^{\leftarrow}[Z[Q_1]]$ has the same property). Doing the same for Z_2, we obtain a prime z-ideal Q_2 such that $Z[Q_2] \ni Z_2$, $Z[Q_2] \not\ni Z_0$ and $Q_2 \subset Q$. Then $Z_0 = Z_1 \cap Z_2 \in Z[Q_1 + Q_2] = (Z[Q_1], Z[Q_2])$ so that Q is ramified.

$(b) \Rightarrow (d)$.

Let $A = X \setminus Z$ with $Z \in Z[Q]$; then there exist Z_1, Z_2 satisfying the property (b). Put $B = X \setminus Z_0$ with $Z_0 = Z_1 \cap Z_2$. Being $Z_0 \subset Z$, it is $B \supset A$; now $Z_1 \setminus Z_0$ and $Z_2 \setminus Z_0$ are disjoint zero sets of B, but their closure in $B \cup \{Q\}$ contains the point Q.

$(d) \Rightarrow (c)$.

Let $Z \in Z[Q]$. Put $A = X \setminus Z$ and take $B = X \setminus Z_0$ with $Z_0 \in Z[Q]$ satisfying (d). Then there is a bounded function on B, not extensible to $B \cup \{Q\}$, hence as x approximates Q, f has two limit points, i.e. there are two disjoint zero-sets Z_1', Z_2' of B, containing Q in their closure. With $Z_1 = Z_1' \cup Z_0$ and $Z_2 = Z_2' \cup Z_0$ we have (c).

$(a) \Rightarrow (e)$.

It is sufficient to see that every $f \in P_\alpha \subset Q$, with P_α a minimal prime ideal and $f > 0$, is a sum of functions that change their sign on every zero-set of O_Q. If $f \notin O_Q$, there is $P_\beta \subset Q$ such that $f \notin P_\beta$; hence if $g \notin P_\alpha$, $g > 0$ is such that $fg = 0$ then $g \in P_\beta \subset Q$ and $f = (2f - g) - (f - g)$; and if $h \in O_Q$ it is $Z(f) \supset Z(h)$ and $Z(g) \supset Z(h)$. If $f \in O_Q$ let $f' \in P_\beta \setminus P_\alpha$ with $P_\alpha, P_\beta \subset Q$; put $g' \notin P_\alpha, f'g' = 0$; then $g' \in P_\beta$ and $f = (f + f' - g') - (f' - g')$, and, as f vanishes on every zero set of O_Q, we have (f).

$(e) \Rightarrow (a)$.

Let $f \in Q$ be a function that changes its sign on every zero set of O_Q. Put $f = f^+ - f^-$ (where $f^+ = f \vee 0$ and $f^- = (-f) \vee 0$), it is $f^+ f^- = 0$ hence if $P_\alpha \subset Q$ we have, for example, $f^+ \in P$ but $f^+ \notin O_Q$ and then there is a $P_\beta \subset Q$ such that $f^+ \notin P_\beta$; hence $f^- \in P_\beta$ and $f \in P_\alpha + P_\beta \subset Q$. (q.e.d.).

In the special case where $Q = M^p$, (c) and (f) take the form:

(c') for each $Z \in Z[M^p]$ there exist $Z_1, Z_2 \in Z[M^p]$ such that $Z_1 \cap Z_2 \subset Z$ and $p \in cl_{\beta X}(Z_1 \setminus Z_2) \cap cl_{\beta X}(Z_2 \setminus Z_1)$

(e') M^p is generated by the functions of M^p that change their sign on every zero-set of O^p.

One may wonder whether in this theorem, if $Z \notin Z[O_Q]$, $Z_1 \cap Z_2 = Z$ can be assumed; this is true only if $Z \notin \bigcup_{P_\alpha \subset Q} Z[P_\alpha]$,

otherwise it may be not true. In fact let $\sigma \notin N$, put $X = N \cup \{\sigma\}$ with every point of N isolated, and let the neighborhoods of o the $\{\sigma\} \cup H_1 \cup H_2$, with $H_1 \in \mathfrak{U}_1$ and $H_2 \in \mathfrak{U}_2$ two distinct free ultrafilters on N. For σ there are tree prime z-ideals:

$$M_\sigma = Z^{\leftarrow}(\{\sigma\}), \quad P_1 = Z^{\leftarrow}\{\{\sigma\} \cup H_1 : H_1 \in \mathfrak{U}_1\},$$
$$P_2 = Z^{\leftarrow}\{\{\sigma\} \cup H_2 : H_2 \in \mathfrak{U}_2\}.$$

It is $P_1 + P_2 = M_\sigma$. P_1, P_2 are minimal and M_σ is ramified. If $f \in M_\sigma$ with $\operatorname{coz} f \in \mathfrak{U}_1 \setminus \mathfrak{U}_2$ (or $\operatorname{coz} f \in \mathfrak{U}_2 \setminus \mathfrak{U}_1$) then $\operatorname{coz} f$ is C^* — embedded in the whole X.

We note that in this space every finitely generated ideal is generated by two functions.

2. Proposition. (De Marco). *Let X satisfy the first axiom of countability. Then for every non-isolated point $p \in X$, there are non-maximal prime ideals P_1 and P_2 such that $P_1 + P_2 = M_p$.*

Proof. Let $(x_n)_{n \in N}$ be a sequence of distinct points, converging to p in X $(x_n \neq p)$. Select on $D = \{x_n : n \in N\}$ two distinct free ultrafilters $\mathfrak{U}_1, \mathfrak{U}_2$. For $i = 1, 2$, put:
$P_i = \{f \in C(X) : Z(f) \supset A$ for an $A \in \mathfrak{U}_i\}$; P_i is a prime z-ideal of $C(X)$. In fact P_i is clearly a z-ideal and if $Z(fg) \supset A$ and $A \in \mathfrak{U}_i$ we have $(Z(f) \cap D) \cup (Z(g) \cap D) \supset A$: this implies that either $Z(f) \cap D$ or $Z(g) \cap D$ belongs to $\mathfrak{U}_i$; hence, for example, $Z(f) \supset Z(f) \cap D \in \mathfrak{U}_i$, then $f \in P_i$. Hence P_i is prime. For the continuity of the f, $f(p) = 0$ for every $f \in P_i$, hence P_i $(i = 1, 2)$ is contained in M_p.

Suppose now that D is chosen as follows. Let $g \in C(X)$, $g \geq 0$ be such that $Z(g) = \{p\}$ (this is possible because in a $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ space every compact G_δ — set is a zero-set).

Let $V_1 \supset V_2 \supset \ldots$ be a base of neighborhoods of p and (a_n) a real sequence constructed inductively in the following way:

$$0 < a_1, a_1 \in g[V_1]; \; 0 < a_2 < a_1, a_2 \in g[V_2] \text{ etc.}$$

For every n, let $x_n \in V_n$ such that $g(x_n) = a_n$. Let D be the set of the x_n. Clearly $(x_n)_{n \in N}$ is formed of distinct points and converges to p. Take now $A_1 \in \mathfrak{U}_1$, $A_2 \in \mathfrak{U}_2$ such that $A_1 \cap A_2 = \varnothing$ and let $B_1 = g[A_1]$, $B_2 = g[A_2]$. Finally let $\varphi_i \in C(R)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ such that $Z(\varphi_i) = B_i \cup \{0\}$ and $\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 = 1$. Put $u_i = \varphi_i g$. Then $u_i \in P_i$ because $Z(u_i) \supset A_i$; and we have that $u_1 + u_2 = g$ $((u_1 + u_2)(x) = (\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) g(x) = g(x))$.

3. – *Theorem on metric spaces.*

LEMMA. *Let X be a perfectly normal space, Q a prime z-ideal of $C(X)$. If $Z[Q]$ contains no nowhere dense set, then Q is minimal.*

PROOF. Take $f \in Q$ and let $g \in C(X)$ be such that $Z(g) = cl_X(X \setminus Z(f))$; $g \notin Q$ because $\operatorname{int}(Z(g) \cap Z(f)) = \varnothing$. Being $fg = 0$, f belongs to every prime ideal contained in Q. Hence Q is minimal.

THEOREM. *Every metric space is totally ramified.*

PROOF. Let Q be a non-minimal prime z-ideal of $C(X)$. For the lemma $Z[Q]$ contains a nowhere dense zero-set Z. For a lemma given by Hausdorff [W 4.39] there exists a discrete set $D \subset X \setminus Z$ with $cl_X D = D \cup Z$.

We want to find two disjoint subsets D_1 and D_2 of D such that $Z = cl\, D_1 \cap cl\, D_2$. Putting $Z_1 = Z \cup D_1$, $Z_2 = Z \cup D_2$ for (b) of theorem at n. 1 we have that Q is ramified.

Put $Y = D \cup Z$. For every $x \in Y$, define $\eta(x) = \min_{\varepsilon > \delta(x)} w(B(x, \varepsilon))$ where $\delta(x)$ is the distance of x from Z; $B(x, \varepsilon)$ is the open ball in Y of center x and radius ε; w is the weight.

It is $\aleph_0 \leq \eta(x) \leq |D|$. For each $H \subset Y$ and each infinite cardinal $\alpha \leq |D|$, put $H_\alpha = \{x \in H : \eta(x) = \alpha\}$. We prove that: $Z_\alpha \setminus cl \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} Z_\beta \subseteq cl\, D_\alpha \setminus cl(D \setminus D_\alpha)$.

In fact if $z \in Z$ there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $w(B(z, \varepsilon)) = \alpha$, if $d \in D$ with $d \in B(z, \varepsilon/2)$ it is $\varepsilon/2 > \delta(d)$, $B(d, \varepsilon/2) \subseteq B(z, \varepsilon)$ and hence $\eta(d) \leq \alpha$, i.e. $z \notin cl \bigcup_{\beta > \alpha} D_\beta$; now if $z \in cl \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} D_\beta$ for each $\varepsilon' > 0$ there exists $d \in D$ with $\eta(d) < \alpha$ and $d \in B(z, \varepsilon'/2)$, hence there exists $\varepsilon'' \leq \varepsilon'/2$ such that $\varepsilon'' > \delta(d)$; $w(B(d, \varepsilon'')) = \eta(d) < \alpha$; then there is $z' \in Z$ with $z' \in B(d, \varepsilon'')$; we have $z' \in \bigcup_{\beta \leq \eta(d)} z_\beta$ and $z' \in B(z, \varepsilon')$; hence $z \in cl \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} Z$.

Now, for a generalization of an exercise of [E 4c], there is a partition of Y_α with $Y_\alpha^i (i \in I_\alpha)$ clopen sets of Y_α that have a dense subset of cardinality not bigger than α.

Let us prove that for each $\alpha \leq |D|$, there exist two sets D_α^1, $D_\alpha^2 \subset D_\alpha$ such that $D_\alpha^1 \cap D_\alpha^2 = \varnothing$ and $Z_\alpha \subseteq (cl \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} Z_\beta) \cup cl\, D_\alpha^i$ for $i = 1, 2$; in fact $Z_\alpha = \bigcup_i (Y_\alpha^i \cap Z_\alpha)$; $Y_\alpha^i \cap Z_\alpha$ contains a dense

subset of cardinality a^i with $a^i \leq a$. Consider a^i as an ordinal (i.e. the minimum ordinal of cardinality a^i). We can write: $Y_\alpha^i \cap Z_\alpha = cl_{Z_\alpha} \{ y_1^i, y_2^i, \ldots y_\nu^i \ldots \}_{\nu < a^i \leq \alpha}$.

Now for each $y_\nu^i \notin cl \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} Z_\beta$ take a sequence of distinct points, $(d_\nu^{in})_n \to y_\nu^i$ with $d_\nu^{in} \in D_\alpha \cap Y_\alpha^i$ such that $d_\nu^{in} \notin \{ d_{\nu'}^{im} : \nu' < \nu, m \in N \}$. This is possible because y_ν^i has a neighborhood disjoint from $D \setminus D_\alpha$, and besides, if $a > \aleph_0$, every neighborhood of it has weight $\geq a$ and card $\{ d_{\nu'}^{im} : \nu' < \nu, m \in N \} < a$; if $a = \aleph_0$ then there exists a neighborhood of y^i disjoint from $\{ d_{\nu'}^{im} : \nu' < \nu, m \in N \}$, as ν is in this case finite.

Put then $D_\alpha^1 = \{ d_\nu^{i(2n)} : n \in N, \nu < a^i, i \in I_\alpha \}$ and

$$D_\alpha^2 = \{ d_\nu^{i(2n+1)} : n \in N, \nu < a^i, i \in I_\alpha \}.$$

If now $D_1 = \bigcup_{\alpha \leq |D|} D_\alpha^1$, $D_2 = \bigcup_{\alpha \leq |D|} D_\alpha^2$, we have $D_1 \cap D_2 = \varnothing$ and $Z = cl\, D_1 \cap cl\, D_2$.

4. – *Some problems.*

PROBLEM 1. Is there a relation for a space X between being totally ramified and having particular ramified subsets ?

PROBLEM 2. Is it equivalent to ask that every cozero set of X be ramified and that X be totally ramified ?

We have only a partial answer for these problems, namely.

PROPOSITION : *Let X be ramified. Every cozero set of X is ramified iff*: (i) *for each prime z-ideal Q, between Q and $R(Q)$ there are no(prime) z-ideal*; (ii) *if Q has an immediate successor in the z-ideal then it is ramified* $(Q = R(Q))$.

PROOF. As a zero-set we consider for (i) a set A belonging to $Z[Q]$ but not to the z-filter of a prime between Q and $R(Q)$; for (ii), a set A belonging to the z-filter of the successor of Q but not to $Z[Q]$: if ι is the immersion of $X \setminus A$ in X we consider the lattice-isomorfism $\iota^\#$ of [GJ 4, 12].

REFERENCES

[DO] G. De Marco A. Orsatti, *Commutative rings in which every prime ideal is contained in a unique maximal ideal*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. - Vol. 30 Nov. 1971, 459-466.

[E] R. Engelking, *Outline of General Topology*, New York, John Wiley and Sons, Inc. 1968.

[GJ] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Princeton, Van Nostrand, 1960.

[W] Russel C. Walker, *The Stone-Čech Compactification*, Springer-Verlag 1974.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione l'11 ottobre 1977.