

W. B. VASANTHA KANDASAMY

On K-Boolean Rings

Publications du Département de Mathématiques de Lyon, 1992, fascicule 2A
, p. 73-77

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PDML_1992__2A_73_0

© Université de Lyon, 1992, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la série « Publications du Département de mathématiques de Lyon » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

On K-Boolean Rings

W.B.Vasantha Kandasamy
Department of Mathematics,
Indian Institute of Technology,
Madras - 600 036, India.

In this note we define K-Boolean rings which are a generalization of a Boolean ring and obtain conditions for a ring to be K-Boolean in general and in particular for a group ring RG to be K-Boolean, where R denotes a ring and G a group, RG the group ring of G over R .

Definition 1. Let R be a ring with identity we say R is a K-Boolean ring if $x^{2k} = x$ for every $x \in R$ and for some natural number k .

Remark. When $k = 1$ we trivially get R to be a Boolean ring.

Proposition 2. Every Boolean ring is a K-Boolean ring.

Proof. Given R is a Boolean ring hence $x^2 = x$ for every $x \in R$; so clearly for every $x \in R$, $x^{2k} = x$. Hence R is K-Boolean.

Proposition 3. Every k-Boolean ring need not be a Boolean ring.

Proof. By an example. Take $Z_2 = (0,1)$ to be the field of characteristic two and $G = \langle g \mid g^3 = 1 \rangle$. Clearly $Z_2G = \{0, 1, g, g^2, 1+g, 1+g^2, g+g^2, 1+g+g^2\}$ is 2-Boolean which is clearly not Boolean as $1+g$ is in Z_2G but $(1+g)^2 \neq 1+g$. Hence the result.

Example. Let $Z_2 = (0,1)$ be the field of characteristic two and $G = \langle g \mid g^2 = 1 \rangle$. Clearly Z_2G is not n -Boolean for any n ; as $1+g \in Z_2G$ but $(1+g)^2 = 0$. In view of the above example we have the following.

Proposition 4. Let $Z_2 = (0,1)$ be a field of characteristic two and $G = \langle g \mid g^{2n}=1 \rangle$ be a cyclic group of even order. Then the group ring Z_2G is not a n -Boolean ring for any n .

Proof. Clearly $1+g^n \in Z_2G$ with $(1+g^n)^2 = 0$; hence Z_2G is not n -Boolean for any n .

The above result can be generalized to any commutative ring of characteristic two as follows.

Theorem 5. Let R be a commutative ring of characteristic two and $G = \langle g \mid g^{2n}=1 \rangle$ be a cyclic group of even order. Then the group ring RG is not a n -Boolean ring for any n .

Proof. As in the case of proposition 4 we have $1+g^n \in RG$ with $(1+g^n)^2 = 0$, hence the group ring RG is not a n -Boolean ring for any n .

Proposition 6. If R is a n -Boolean ring then R has no non zero nilpotent elements.

Proof. Obvious.

Theorem 7. Let $Z_2 = (0,1)$ be the field of characteristic two and $G = \langle g \mid g^{2^{n+1}}=1 \rangle$. Then the group ring Z_2G is γ -Boolean with $\gamma = n+1$ and $2(n+1) = 2^s (s > 1)$.

Proof. Take any $\alpha \in Z_2G$, since we have for every $g \in G$;
 $g^{2^{n+1}} = 1$, $\alpha^{2(n+1)} = \alpha$ for every $\alpha \in Z_2G$. Thus Z_2G is $(n+1)$ -Boolean.

Remark. If $2(n+1) \neq 2^s$ for some s we will not have $\alpha^{2(n+1)} = \alpha$.
 By an example take $G = \langle g \mid g^5=1 \rangle$, $(1+g)^6 \neq 1+g$.

The above theorem can be true only if we put some conditions on the ring R as follows:

Theorem 8. Let R be a commutative ring of characteristic two with no nontrivial nilpotents and in which every element γ in R is of the form $\gamma = \gamma^{2(n+1)}$ and $G = \langle g | g^{2n+1} = 1 \rangle$. Then the group ring RG is γ -Boolean with $\gamma = n+1$ and $2(n+1) = 2^s (s > 1)$.

Proof. Obvious.

Theorem 9. Let R be a n -Boolean ring then characteristic of R is two.

Proof. Four possibilities arise (i) characteristic of R is odd (ii) characteristic of R is even not-equal to 2. (iii) characteristic of R is 2^n and (iv) characteristic of R is zero.

Case (i). Let characteristic of R be odd; say $2n+1$. Since $1 \in R$ we have an integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m < 2n+1$ with $m^2 = 1$. Thus R is not n -Boolean for any integer n .

Case (ii). Let characteristic of R be even say $2n$, since $1 \in R$ clearly $2n-1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ but $(2n-1)^2 = 1$ hence R is not n -Boolean for any integer n .

Case (iii). Characteristic of R is 2^n ($n > 1$);

Let $m = \frac{2^n}{2} + 1$ then $m^2 = 1$ so R is not n -Boolean.

Case (iv). Let characteristic of R be zero; since $1 \in R$ we have $\mathbb{Z}^+ U \mathbb{Z}^- U \{0\} \subseteq R$. Clearly for no natural integer $\pm n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ U \mathbb{Z}^- U \{0\}$ we have $n^r = n$. Hence R is n -Boolean. Hence the characteristic of R is two.

Theorem 10. Let RG be the group ring of a group G over the ring R and G a group in which every element is of finite order. RG is n -Boolean if and only if R is a n -Boolean ring of characteristic two and G is a commutative group in which every element is of odd order m with $m+1 = 2^s$ for some $s > 1$.

Proof. Since $1 \in G$ we have $R.1 = R \subseteq RG$. Let us assume RG to be n -Boolean this implies by Theorem 9 and definition of a n -Boolean ring characteristic of RG is two and R is commutative since $R \subseteq RG$ and R is n -Boolean ring. G is a commutative group since RG is commutative further every element in G is of odd order for if an element g is of even order we have $g^{2r}=1$ so $(1+g+\dots+g^{2r-1})^2 = 0$ hence RG is not n -Boolean. Hence the claim.

Conversely if R is n -Boolean ring and G is a commutative group in which every element is of odd order with $m+1 = 2^s$, clearly RG is n -Boolean as RG is commutative with characteristic of RG to be two and every element $\alpha \in RG$ is such that $\alpha^{2k} = \alpha$ for some k , as every element in G is of odd order. Hence the result.

In the above theorem we must have $m+1 = 2^s$ for if $m+1 \neq 2^s$ for any s we will not have $\alpha^{2(m+1)} = \alpha$. By an example take $G = \langle g | g^9=1 \rangle$, $(1+g)^{10} = (1+g)^2(1+g)^2(1+g)^6 = (1+g^2)(1+g^2)(1+g) = (1+g^4)(1+g)^6 = (1+g^4)(1+g)^4(1+g)^2 = (1+g^4)(1+g^4)(1+g^2) = (1+g^8)(1+g^2) \neq 1+g$. Hence we must have $m+1 = 2^s$; then only when we expand we will always have the power of that element to be exactly divided in twos till the end.

Theorem 11. Let R be a finite commutative ring of characteristic two with no non zero nilpotent elements. Then R is a K -Boolean ring if and only if every element in R is of odd order m with $m+1 = 2^s$.

Proof. One way is obvious, for if every element is of odd order m with $(m+1) = 2^s$ then clearly R is a K -Boolean ring.

Conversely if R is a K -Boolean ring then we have $x^{2k} = x$ for every $x \in R$; if K is not a odd number such that $k+1 \neq 2^s$ then we have $(1+x)^{2k} \neq 1+x$.

Hence the theorem.

Reference

- [1] Jacobson, N. Structure of Rings, A.M.S. (1956).