

BRUNO PARVAIX

Substitution invariant sturmian bisequences

Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux, tome 11, n° 1 (1999),
p. 201-210

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=JTNB_1999__11_1_201_0

© Université Bordeaux 1, 1999, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux » (<http://jtnb.cedram.org/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

Substitution invariant Sturmian bisequences

par BRUNO PARVAIX

RÉSUMÉ. Les suites sturmiennes indexées sur \mathbb{Z} , de pente α et d'intercept ρ , sont laissées fixes par une substitution non triviale si et seulement si α est un nombre de Sturm et ρ appartient à $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. On remarque aussi que les suites de Beatty permettent de définir des partitions de l'ensemble des entiers relatifs.

ABSTRACT. We prove that a Sturmian bisequence, with slope α and intercept ρ , is fixed by some non-trivial substitution if and only if α is a Sturm number and ρ belongs to $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. We also detail a complementary system of integers connected with Beatty bisequences.

1. INTRODUCTION

Beatty sequences $(\lfloor n\alpha + \rho \rfloor)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(\lceil n\alpha + \rho \rceil)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ have been studied extensively. Many papers deal with the case $\rho = 0$, see [1, 9, 10, 14, 15, 28, 29]. The inhomogeneous case is also discussed from several points of view [6, 7, 16, 20, 21, 22]. By the way, this Note provides a new contribution about *complementary systems* of integers. This problem arose, in various forms, in the works of A. S. Fraenkel [13], R. L. Graham [17] and R. Tijdeman [30, 31].

A natural way to examine Beatty sequences is to consider the class of *Sturmian words* defined by G. A. Hedlund and M. Morse in the context of topological dynamics, see [25, 26]. For further details, both [3] and [8] contain extensive lists of references. Here we are especially interested in substitution invariant Sturmian words. In [27] we elicited properties about some right-sided infinite Sturmian words the *intercept* of which is a particular homography of the *slope*. We therefore obtained a partial generalization of Crisp *et al.*'s main Theorem concerning *cutting sequences* [12]. The aim of this Note is the full characterization of Sturmian bisequences which are fixed by some non-trivial substitution.

2. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

Let $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{N}^- = \{-1, -2, \dots\}$. Let $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{N}^- \cup \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. We consider the sets $\mathcal{Z}_{\beta, \delta} = \{[k\beta + \delta] \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\mathcal{Z}'_{\beta, \delta} = \{[k\beta + \delta] \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, with β irrational and δ real. As usual $[x]$ is the integer part and $\lceil x \rceil$ the ceiling of any real number x . Let $r_{\beta, \delta}$ and $r'_{\beta, \delta}$ be the generating bisequences of $\mathcal{Z}_{\beta, \delta}$ and $\mathcal{Z}'_{\beta, \delta}$: we set

$$r_{\beta, \delta}(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \in \mathcal{Z}_{\beta, \delta} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad r'_{\beta, \delta}(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \in \mathcal{Z}'_{\beta, \delta} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We say that two subsets of \mathbb{Z} are a complementary system if they form a partition of \mathbb{Z} .

Let \mathcal{A}^* be the free monoid generated by the two-letter alphabet $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1\}$. The set of right-sided infinite words is denoted by \mathcal{A}^ω and ${}^\omega\mathcal{A}$ is the set of left-sided infinite words. A bisequence is a doubly infinite word and ${}^\omega\mathcal{A}^\omega$ is the set of bisequences over \mathcal{A} . We say that the bisequences $\dots v_{-2}v_{-1}v_0v_1v_2\dots$ and $\dots v'_{-2}v'_{-1}v'_0v'_1v'_2\dots$ are equal if there exists an integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $v_i = v'_{i+k}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. In this event, we note $\dots v_{-2}v_{-1}v_0v_1v_2\dots \simeq \dots v'_{-2}v'_{-1}v'_0v'_1v'_2\dots$.

Let α be irrational and ρ be real. Consider the bisequences $z_{\alpha, \rho}$ and $z'_{\alpha, \rho}$ defined by

$$z_{\alpha, \rho}(n) = \lfloor (n + 1)\alpha + \rho \rfloor - \lfloor n\alpha + \rho \rfloor - \lfloor \alpha \rfloor$$

and

$$z'_{\alpha, \rho}(n) = \lceil (n + 1)\alpha + \rho \rceil - \lceil n\alpha + \rho \rceil - \lceil \alpha \rceil$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. A bisequence x is said to be Sturmian if $x \simeq z_{\alpha, \rho}$ or $x \simeq z'_{\alpha, \rho}$ for a suitable choice of α and ρ . It is clear that $z_{\alpha, \rho}(n) = z_{\alpha+1, \rho}(n)$ and $z'_{\alpha, \rho}(n) = z'_{\alpha+1, \rho}(n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, so without loss of generality, we may take $0 < \alpha < 1$. Finally, a right-sided infinite word y is Sturmian if there exist a Sturmian bisequence x and a left-sided infinite word y' such that $x \simeq y'y$. Noting that Sturmian words are intimately related to straight lines in the plane, the number α is the slope and ρ the intercept.

A substitution f is a map from \mathcal{A}^* into itself such that $f(uu') = f(u)f(u')$ for all finite words u and u' . Let $w = w_0w_1w_2\dots$ be a right-sided infinite word. Let Inv be the operator defined by $Inv(w) = \dots w_2w_1w_0$ and $Inv(Inv(w)) = w$. As usual, we set $f(w) = f(w_0)f(w_1)f(w_2)\dots$ and $f(Inv(w)) = \dots f(w_2)f(w_1)f(w_0)$. The image of $\dots v_{-2}v_{-1}v_0v_1v_2\dots$ under f is $\dots f(v_{-2})f(v_{-1})f(v_0)f(v_1)f(v_2)\dots$. A one-sided infinite word y is fixed by f if $f(y) = y$, and a bisequence x is fixed by f if $f(x) \simeq x$.

Moreover a substitution f is *Sturmian* if $f(w)$ is a right-sided infinite Sturmian word whenever w is. F. Mignosi and P. Séébold proved that a substitution f is Sturmian if and only if f is a composition of the three

substitutions $E : \begin{matrix} 0 \mapsto 1 \\ 1 \mapsto 0 \end{matrix}, \varphi : \begin{matrix} 0 \mapsto 01 \\ 1 \mapsto 0 \end{matrix}$ and $\tilde{\varphi} : \begin{matrix} 0 \mapsto 10 \\ 1 \mapsto 0 \end{matrix}$ in any order and number [24]. A substitution f is *locally Sturmian* if there exists a right-sided infinite Sturmian word w such that $f(w)$ is Sturmian. J. Berstel and P. Séébold stated that any locally Sturmian substitution is actually Sturmian [4, 5].

Furthermore a substitution is non-trivial if it differs from the identical transformation over \mathcal{A} . In [27] we proved that if a right-sided infinite Sturmian word is fixed by some non-trivial substitution then its slope α , with $0 < \alpha < 1$, is a *Sturm number*, that is, there exists an integer $n \geq 2$ such that:

$$\alpha = [0, 1 + k_n, \overline{k_{n-1}, \dots, k_2, k_1 + k_n}] \text{ with } (k_1, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$$

or

$$\alpha = [0, 1, k_n, \overline{k_{n-1}, \dots, k_2, k_1 + k_n}] \text{ with } (k_1, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{*2}$$

where the partial quotients k_2, \dots, k_{n-1} belong to \mathbb{N}^* . Remark that these numbers were introduced, in a slightly different way, by Crisp *et al.* [12].

3. RESULTS

As usual, for any quadratic irrational α , let $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = \{p + q\alpha \mid (p, q) \in \mathbb{Q}^2\}$ be the splitting field of α over \mathbb{Q} . The main result of this Note is the full characterization of Sturmian bisequences which are invariant under some non-trivial substitution:

Theorem 1. *Let x be a Sturmian bisequence with slope $0 < \alpha < 1$. The word x is fixed by some non-trivial substitution if and only if α is a Sturm number and ρ belongs to $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.*

In [27], we computed the slope and the intercept of $f(x)$ for any Sturmian substitution f and any right-sided infinite Sturmian word x . Lemma 2 is a translation of these formulas for Sturmian bisequences:

Lemma 2. *Let α be irrational with $0 < \alpha < 1$ and let ρ be real. Then*

$$E(z_{\alpha, \rho}) \simeq z'_{1-\alpha, 1-\rho} \text{ and } \varphi(z_{\alpha, \rho}) \simeq z'_{\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}, \frac{1-\rho}{2-\alpha}} \simeq \tilde{\varphi}(z_{\alpha, \rho}).$$

Moreover

$$E(z'_{\alpha, \rho}) \simeq z_{1-\alpha, 1-\rho} \text{ and } \varphi(z'_{\alpha, \rho}) \simeq z_{\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}, \frac{1-\rho}{2-\alpha}} \simeq \tilde{\varphi}(z'_{\alpha, \rho}).$$

The proof of these properties requires a careful study of generating bisequences of Beatty bisequences:

Lemma 3. *Let $\beta > 1$ be irrational and δ be real. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$r_{\beta, \delta}(n) = z'_{\frac{1}{\beta}, \frac{-\delta}{\beta}}(n) \text{ and } r'_{\beta, \delta-1}(n) = z_{\frac{1}{\beta}, \frac{-\delta}{\beta}}(n).$$

As an immediate corollary, we can characterize the occurrences of a letter in any Sturmian bisequence. More precisely we remark that

$$\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid z_{\gamma,\nu}(n) = 1\} = \mathcal{Z}'_{\frac{1}{\gamma}, \frac{-\nu}{\gamma}-1} \text{ and } \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid z'_{\gamma,\nu}(n) = 1\} = \mathcal{Z}_{\frac{1}{\gamma}, \frac{-\nu}{\gamma}}$$

for each γ irrational with $0 < \gamma < 1$ and ν real. This result is a generalization of earlier work of A. S. Fraenkel, M. Mushkin and U. Tassa dealing with the homogeneous case [15]. From Lemma 3 we also obtain a property about complementary systems of integers:

Proposition 4. *Let $\beta > 1$ be irrational and δ be real. Then $\mathcal{Z}_{\beta,\delta}$ and $\mathcal{Z}'_{\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}, \frac{-\delta}{\beta-1}-1}$, as well as $\mathcal{Z}'_{\beta,\delta}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}, \frac{-\delta}{\beta-1}+1}$, are complementary systems of integers.*

4. PROOFS

First of all, we examine the generating bisequences of Beatty bisequences:

Proof of Lemma 3. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $z'_{\frac{1}{\beta}, \frac{-\delta}{\beta}}(n) = 1$ we state that

$$\frac{n}{\beta} - \frac{\delta}{\beta} \leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{\beta} - \frac{\delta}{\beta} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{n+1}{\beta} - \frac{\delta}{\beta} \right\rceil - 1 < \frac{n+1}{\beta} - \frac{\delta}{\beta}$$

thus $n \leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{\beta} - \frac{\delta}{\beta} \right\rceil \beta + \delta < n + 1$. Next comes $\left\lfloor \left[\frac{n}{\beta} - \frac{\delta}{\beta} \right] \beta + \delta \right\rfloor = n$ and $r_{\beta,\delta}(n) = 1$.

Conversely, if $r_{\beta,\delta}(n) = 1$ there exists an integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\lfloor k\beta + \delta \rfloor = n$. We therefore observe that

$$\left\lceil \frac{n}{\beta} - \frac{\delta}{\beta} \right\rceil - 1 < \frac{n}{\beta} - \frac{\delta}{\beta} \leq k < \frac{n+1}{\beta} - \frac{\delta}{\beta} \leq \left\lceil \frac{n+1}{\beta} - \frac{\delta}{\beta} \right\rceil.$$

It follows that $\left\lceil \frac{n}{\beta} - \frac{\delta}{\beta} \right\rceil \leq k < \left\lceil \frac{n+1}{\beta} - \frac{\delta}{\beta} \right\rceil$ and $z'_{\frac{1}{\beta}, \frac{-\delta}{\beta}}(n) = 1$.

The truth of the first statement is now clear, and we turn to the second part of the proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $z_{\frac{1}{\beta}, \frac{-\delta}{\beta}}(n) = 1$ then

$$\frac{n}{\beta} - \frac{\delta}{\beta} < \left\lceil \frac{n}{\beta} - \frac{\delta}{\beta} \right\rceil + 1 = \left\lceil \frac{n+1}{\beta} - \frac{\delta}{\beta} \right\rceil \leq \frac{n+1}{\beta} - \frac{\delta}{\beta}$$

hence we have

$$n < \left\lceil \frac{n+1}{\beta} - \frac{\delta}{\beta} \right\rceil \beta + \delta \leq n + 1$$

that is $\left\lfloor \left[\frac{n+1}{\beta} - \frac{\delta}{\beta} \right] \beta + \delta - 1 \right\rfloor = n$. This implies that $r'_{\beta,\delta-1}(n) = 1$.

Conversely, if $r'_{\beta, \delta-1}(n) = 1$ then there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\lceil k\beta + \delta - 1 \rceil = n$. Thus we check $z'_{\frac{1}{\beta}, \frac{-\delta}{\beta}}(n) = 1$ since

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n}{\beta} - \frac{\delta}{\beta} \right\rfloor \leq \frac{n}{\beta} - \frac{\delta}{\beta} < k \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{\beta} - \frac{\delta}{\beta} \right\rfloor.$$

□

In order to describe the complementary system of integers, connected with a Beatty bisequence, we need to introduce the following Lemma:

Lemma 5. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ be irrational and ρ be real. Then $E(z_{\alpha, \rho}) \simeq z'_{1-\alpha, 1-\rho}$ and $E(z'_{\alpha, \rho}) \simeq z_{1-\alpha, 1-\rho}$.*

Proof. We only detail the proof concerning the first result. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since the relation $\lfloor a \rfloor = -\lceil -a \rceil$ holds for each real number a , we verify

$$z'_{1-\alpha, 1-\rho}(n) = 1 - (\lceil -n\alpha - \rho \rceil - \lceil -(n+1)\alpha - \rho \rceil) = 1 - z_{\alpha, \rho}(n) = E(z_{\alpha, \rho}(n)).$$

□

Proof of Proposition 4. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. From Lemma 3, we remark that

$$n \in \mathcal{Z}_{\beta, \delta} \Leftrightarrow r_{\beta, \delta}(n) = 1 \Leftrightarrow z'_{\frac{1}{\beta}, \frac{-\delta}{\beta}}(n) = 1.$$

Then Lemma 5 implies that

$$n \in \mathcal{Z}_{\beta, \delta} \Leftrightarrow z_{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}, \frac{\beta+\delta}{\beta}}(n) = 0 \Leftrightarrow r'_{\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}, -\frac{\beta+\delta}{\beta-1}-1}(n) = 0 \Leftrightarrow r'_{\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}, -\frac{\delta}{\beta-1}-1}(n) = 0.$$

In other words, we get

$$n \in \mathcal{Z}_{\beta, \delta} \Leftrightarrow n \notin \mathcal{Z}'_{\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}, -\frac{\delta}{\beta-1}-1}.$$

Furthermore, since $\beta > 1$ we can affirm that any integer occurs at most one time in $\mathcal{Z}_{\beta, \delta}$. Clearly this property also holds for $\mathcal{Z}'_{\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}, -\frac{\delta}{\beta-1}-1}$. In short, the sets $\mathcal{Z}_{\beta, \delta}$ and $\mathcal{Z}'_{\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}, -\frac{\delta}{\beta-1}-1}$ are a complementary system of integers. The part of proof concerning $\mathcal{Z}'_{\beta, \delta}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}, \frac{-\delta}{\beta-1}+1}$ is similar in all respects. □

From now on we study properties of substitution invariant Sturmian bisequences.

Proof of Lemma 2. Assume first that $0 \leq \rho < 1$. We split the bisequence $z_{\alpha, \rho}$ into the words

$$w = z_{\alpha, \rho}(0)z_{\alpha, \rho}(1) \dots z_{\alpha, \rho}(m) \dots \in \mathcal{A}^\omega$$

and

$$w' = \dots z_{\alpha, \rho}(-m) \dots z_{\alpha, \rho}(-2)z_{\alpha, \rho}(-1) \in {}^\omega \mathcal{A}.$$

Let $\varphi(w) = y_0 y_1 \dots$ with $y_j \in \mathcal{A}$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots$. We observe that $y_0 = 0 = z'_{\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}, \frac{1-\rho}{2-\alpha}}(0)$. Let n_{q+1} be the $(q+1)$ -th occurrence of the letter 0 in the word $\varphi(w)$ for each $q \geq 1$. We easily check:

$$n_{q+1} = (q + \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (1 - z_{\alpha, \rho}(i)) + 1) - 1 = 2q - \lfloor q\alpha + \rho \rfloor = \lceil q(2 - \alpha) - \rho \rceil.$$

For each $n \geq 1$ we state that:

$$\begin{aligned} y_n = 0 &\Leftrightarrow \exists q \in \mathbb{N}^* \quad n = \lceil q(2 - \alpha) - \rho \rceil \\ &\Leftrightarrow \exists q \in \mathbb{Z} \quad n = \lceil q(2 - \alpha) - \rho \rceil \\ &\Leftrightarrow r'_{2-\alpha, -\rho}(n) = 1 \\ &\Leftrightarrow z_{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}, \frac{\rho-1}{2-\alpha}}(n) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 5, we prove that $y_n = 0$ if and only if $z'_{\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}, \frac{1-\rho}{2-\alpha}}(n) = 0$. In short we obtain $\varphi(w) = (z'_{\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}, \frac{1-\rho}{2-\alpha}}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. To compute $\varphi(w')$, we remark that

$$w' = \dots z'_{\alpha, 1-\rho}(m) \dots z'_{\alpha, 1-\rho}(1) z'_{\alpha, 1-\rho}(0).$$

Indeed, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} z_{\alpha, \rho}(-n) &= \lfloor (-n+1)\alpha + \rho \rfloor - \lfloor -n\alpha + \rho \rfloor - \lfloor \alpha \rfloor \\ &= -\lfloor (n-1)\alpha - \rho \rfloor + \lceil n\alpha - \rho \rceil - \lfloor \alpha \rfloor \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$z_{\alpha, \rho}(-n) = z'_{\alpha, -\rho}(n-1) = z'_{\alpha, 1-\rho}(n-1).$$

If we write $w' = \dots a_m \dots a_1 a_0$ over ${}^\omega\mathcal{A}$, we get

$$\varphi(w') = \dots 01^{1-a_m} \dots 01^{1-a_1} 01^{1-a_0}$$

because $\varphi(0) = 01$ and $\varphi(1) = 0$. We can deduce that

$$\text{Inv}(\varphi(w')) = 1^{1-a_0} 01^{1-a_1} 0 \dots 1^{1-a_m} 0 \dots = \tilde{\varphi}(a_0 a_1 \dots a_m \dots)$$

and $\varphi(w') = \text{Inv}(\tilde{\varphi}((z'_{\alpha, 1-\rho}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}))$. Much as above, we verify

$$\varphi((z'_{\alpha, 1-\rho}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = (z_{\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}, \frac{\rho}{2-\alpha}}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Moreover we observe that $\tilde{\varphi}(a) = 1^{1-a} 0$ and $\varphi(a) = 01^{1-a}$ for each $a \in \{0, 1\}$. Next comes $\varphi(u) = 0\tilde{\varphi}(u)$ for any $u \in \mathcal{A}^w$, and consequently $\varphi(w') = \text{Inv}((z_{\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}, \frac{1-\alpha+\rho}{2-\alpha}}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$. Bearing in mind that $z_{\alpha, \rho} \simeq w'w$, and noting that $z_{\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}, \frac{1-\alpha+\rho}{2-\alpha}}(n) = z'_{\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}, \frac{1-\rho}{2-\alpha}}(-n-1)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we finally obtain $\varphi(z_{\alpha, \rho}) \simeq z'_{\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}, \frac{1-\rho}{2-\alpha}}$. To conclude, we must prove that the relation

$\varphi(z_{\alpha,\rho+k}) \simeq z'_{\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}, \frac{1-(\rho+k)}{2-\alpha}}$ holds for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $z'_{\beta,\delta+1} \simeq z'_{\beta,\delta} \simeq z'_{\beta,\delta+\beta}$ for arbitrarily β irrational and δ real, we directly claim:

$$z'_{\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}, \frac{1-(\rho+k)}{2-\alpha}} \simeq z'_{\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}, \frac{1-(\rho+k)}{2-\alpha} + k - k\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}} \simeq z'_{\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}, \frac{1-\rho}{2-\alpha}} \simeq \varphi(z_{\alpha,\rho}) \simeq \varphi(z_{\alpha,\rho+k}).$$

The computation of $\tilde{\varphi}(z_{\alpha,\rho+k})$ becomes trivial because we have $\tilde{\varphi}(v) \simeq \varphi(v)$ for each $v \in {}^\omega\mathcal{A}^\omega$. Finally, the part of proof concerning $z'_{\alpha,\rho}$ is similar in all respects. \square

For each Sturmian substitution f it is therefore clear that $f(x)$ is a Sturmian bisequence whenever x is. Now we turn to the proof of Theorem 1. Some preliminaries are required. Let x and y be two Sturmian bisequences. Let f be a substitution such that $f(x) \simeq y$. There exist a word $x' \in {}^\omega\mathcal{A}$ and a right-sided infinite Sturmian word x'' such that $x \simeq x'x''$. Since we have $y \simeq f(x')f(x'')$, the word $f(x'')$ is a right-sided infinite Sturmian word. Thus f is locally Sturmian and consequently f belongs to the monoid $\{E, \varphi, \tilde{\varphi}\}^*$.

Let us recall some basic properties about Sturmian bisequences. For any irrational α we set $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\alpha = \{a + b\alpha \mid (a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}$. Let Δ be the set of couples (β, δ) with $0 < \beta < 1$ irrational and δ real. We also set $\mathcal{U} = \{(\beta, \delta) \in \Delta \mid \forall k \in \mathbb{Z} \ k\beta + \delta \notin \mathbb{Z}\}$. Let $(\alpha, \rho) \in \Delta$ and $(\alpha', \rho') \in \Delta$. We have $z_{\alpha,\rho} \simeq z_{\alpha',\rho'}$ if and only if $\alpha = \alpha'$ and $\rho - \rho' \in \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\alpha$, see [26]. A similar result can be stated from the relation $z'_{\alpha,\rho} \simeq z'_{\alpha',\rho'}$. Furthermore, if $z_{\alpha,\rho} \simeq z'_{\alpha',\rho'}$ then (α, ρ) belongs to \mathcal{U} and $z_{\alpha,\rho} \simeq z'_{\alpha,\rho}$. In short, if two Sturmian bisequences are equal then they have the same slope in $]0, 1[$. Bearing these remarks in mind, we therefore obtain:

Lemma 6. *Let x be a Sturmian bisequence with slope $0 < \alpha < 1$. If x is invariant under some non-trivial substitution then α is a Sturm number.*

Proof (Sketch). Assume that there exists a non-trivial substitution f such that $f(x) \simeq x$. Then f belongs to $\{E, \varphi, \tilde{\varphi}\}^*$. Let $\beta \in]0, 1[$ be the slope of $f(x)$ which is obtained by Lemma 2. Clearly this computation can be done regardless of intercepts, and there exists an homography h , with integer coefficients, such that $\beta = h(\alpha)$. Therefore it only remains to solve the equation $\alpha = h(\alpha)$. In this context, we have yet observed that α is a Sturm number: for a full characterization of the homographies connected with Sturmian substitutions, see the proof of Theorem 1 in [27]. \square

In order to prove our main result, we add here a new necessary condition of invariance:

Lemma 7. *Let x be a Sturmian bisequence, with slope α and intercept ρ . If x is invariant under some non-trivial substitution then ρ belongs to $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.*

Proof. Assume, without loss of generality, that $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let f be a non-trivial substitution such that $f(x) \simeq x$. Lemma 6 implies that α is a Sturm number. Since α is a quadratic irrational, the image of α under any homography, with integer coefficients, belongs to $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Using Lemma 2, we compute the image of x under f . Let β be the slope and δ be the intercept we obtain. It is clear that $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ and $0 < \beta < 1$. We also remark that $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) + \rho\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Since $f(x) \simeq x$, we must check $\beta = \alpha$ and $\delta - \rho \in \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\alpha$. Next comes $\rho \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. \square

Combining Lemmas 6 and 7, we establish the “only if part” of Theorem 1. Now we turn to the proof of the “if part”: the idea is to use some properties that we reported in [27]. First of all, a technical result concerning Sturmian continuations is required [26].

Definition 8 (cf. [26]). Let y be a right-sided infinite Sturmian word. A Sturmian continuation of y is a left-sided infinite word y' such that $y'y$ is a Sturmian bisequence.

Lemma 9 (cf. [26]). *Let α be irrational with $0 < \alpha < 1$ and ρ be real. Each right-sided infinite Sturmian word y , with slope α and intercept ρ , admits at least one and at most two Sturmian continuations. In the case where y admits different Sturmian continuations there exist two integers $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k_2 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $\rho = k_1 + k_2\alpha$.*

Definition 10 (cf. [27]). For each $m \geq 1$, we set

$$C'(m) = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid 0 \leq a + b \leq m, 0 \leq a \leq m\} \setminus \{(m, 0)\}.$$

A right-sided infinite Sturmian word y is said to be permitted if there exist an irrational α with $0 < \alpha < 1$, an integer $m \geq 1$ and a couple of integers $(a, b) \in C'(m)$ such that $y = (z_{\alpha, \frac{a}{m} + \frac{b}{m}\alpha}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ or $y = (z'_{\alpha, \frac{a}{m} + \frac{b}{m}\alpha}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Proposition 11 (cf. [27]). *Let α be a Sturm number. Each permitted word y , with slope α , is invariant under some non-trivial substitution.*

Proof of Theorem 1. Let α be a Sturm number and $\rho \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Let x be a Sturmian bisequence such that $x \simeq z_{\alpha, \rho}$. Clearly there exists $(a, b, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ with $n \geq 1$ such that $\rho = \frac{a+b\alpha}{n}$. Moreover, since $z_{\alpha, \delta+1} \simeq z_{\alpha, \delta} \simeq z_{\alpha, \delta+\alpha}$ for each real δ , we actually have $x \simeq z_{\alpha, \frac{a \pmod n + (b \pmod n)\alpha}{n}}$. As usual, the residue $i \pmod n$ is the integer j , with $0 \leq j < n$, such that there exists an integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $j = i + kn$. For each real δ we set

$$z_{\alpha, \delta}^+ = z_{\alpha, \delta}(0)z_{\alpha, \delta}(1) \dots \quad \text{and} \quad \dots z_{\alpha, \delta}(-2)z_{\alpha, \delta}(-1) = z_{\alpha, \delta}^-.$$

We first assume that $a \pmod n + b \pmod n \leq n$. Then

$$y = z_{\alpha, \frac{a \pmod n + (b \pmod n)\alpha}{n}}^+$$

is a right-sided infinite permitted word. From Proposition 11, it follows that there exists a non-trivial Sturmian substitution f such that $f(y) = y$. Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} x &\simeq z_{\alpha, \frac{a \pmod n + (b \pmod n)\alpha}{n}} \\ &\simeq z_{\alpha, \frac{a \pmod n + (b \pmod n)\alpha}{n}}^- z_{\alpha, \frac{a \pmod n + (b \pmod n)\alpha}{n}}^+ \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$f(x) \simeq f\left(z_{\alpha, \frac{a \pmod n + (b \pmod n)\alpha}{n}}^-\right) z_{\alpha, \frac{a \pmod n + (b \pmod n)\alpha}{n}}^+$$

Hence the word y admits $z_{\alpha, \frac{a \pmod n + (b \pmod n)\alpha}{n}}^-$ and $f\left(z_{\alpha, \frac{a \pmod n + (b \pmod n)\alpha}{n}}^-\right)$ as Sturmian continuations. If the relation

$$f\left(z_{\alpha, \frac{a \pmod n + (b \pmod n)\alpha}{n}}^-\right) = z_{\alpha, \frac{a \pmod n + (b \pmod n)\alpha}{n}}^-$$

is not valid then Lemma 9 implies that there exists $(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $k_2 \geq 1$ such that

$$\frac{a \pmod n + (b \pmod n)\alpha}{n} = k_1 + k_2\alpha.$$

In this event, since α is irrational we observe that $k_2 = 0$, which leads to a contradiction. We therefore obtain $f(x) \simeq x$.

If $n+1 \leq a \pmod n + b \pmod n$ we state that $(a \pmod n, (b \pmod n) - n)$ belongs to $C'(n)$. Since $x \simeq z_{\alpha, \frac{a \pmod n + ((b \pmod n) - n)\alpha}{n}}$ we easily verify that there exists a non-trivial substitution g such that $g(x) \simeq x$.

There are no other possibilities and the truth of the claim is now clear for the word $z_{\alpha, \rho}$. The proof concerning $z'_{\alpha, \rho}$ is similar in all respects. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Bang, *On the sequence $\lfloor n\alpha \rfloor$* , Math. Scand. **5** (1957), 69–76.
- [2] S. Beatty, *Problem 3173*, Amer. Math. Monthly **33** (1926) 159. Solutions, *ibid.*, 34 (1927) 159.
- [3] J. Berstel, *Recent results on Sturmian words*, in: J. Dassow (Ed.), Proc. DLT'95, World Scientific, Singapore (1996).
- [4] J. Berstel and P. Séébold, *A characterization of Sturmian morphisms*, Lect. Notes Comp. Sci. **711** (1993), 281–290.
- [5] J. Berstel et P. Séébold, *Morphismes de Sturm*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. **1** (1994), 175–189.
- [6] J. M. Borwein and P. B. Borwein, *On the generating function of the integer part $\lfloor n\alpha + \gamma \rfloor$* , J. Number Theory **43** (1993), 293–318.
- [7] D. Bowman, *Approximation of $\lfloor n\alpha + s \rfloor$ and the zero of $\{n\alpha + s\}$* , J. Number Theory **50** (1995), 128–144.
- [8] T. C. Brown, *Descriptions of the characteristic sequence of an irrational*, Canad. Math. Bull. **36** (1993), 15–21.
- [9] I. G. Connell, *Some properties of Beatty sequences I*, Canad. Math. Bull. **2** (1959), 190–197.
- [10] I. G. Connell, *Some properties of Beatty sequences II*, Canad. Math. Bull. **3** (1960), 17–22.
- [11] E. Coven and G. A. Hedlund, *Sequences with minimal block growth*, Math. Systems Theory **7** (1973), 138–153.

- [12] D. Crisp, W. Moran, A. Pollington and P. Shiue, *Substitution invariant cutting sequences*, J. Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux **5** (1993), 123–137.
- [13] A. S. Fraenkel, *Complementary systems of integers*, Amer. Math. Monthly **84** (1977), 114–115.
- [14] A. S. Fraenkel, J. Levitt and M. Shimshoni, *Characterization of the set of values $f(n) = \lfloor n\alpha \rfloor$* , Discrete Math. **2** (1972), 335–345.
- [15] A. S. Fraenkel, M. Mushkin and U. Tassa, *Determination of $\lfloor n\theta \rfloor$ by its sequence of differences*, Canad. Math. Bull. **21** (1978), 441–446.
- [16] A. S. Fraenkel and R. Holzman, *Gap problems for integer part and fractional part sequences*, J. Number Theory **50** (1995), 66–86.
- [17] R. L. Graham, *Covering the positive integers by disjoint sets of the form $\{\lfloor n\alpha + \beta \rfloor : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$* , J. Comb. Theor. Ser. A **15** (1973), 354–358.
- [18] S. Ito, *On a dynamical system related to sequences $\lfloor nx + y \rfloor - \lfloor (n-1)x + y \rfloor$* , Dynamical Systems and Related Topics, Nagoya (1990), 192–197.
- [19] S. Ito and S. Yasutomi, *On continued fractions, substitutions and characteristic sequences*, Japan. J. Math. **16** (1990), 287–306.
- [20] T. Komatsu, *On the characteristic word of the inhomogeneous Beatty sequence*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **51** (1995), 337–351.
- [21] T. Komatsu, *The fractional part of $n\theta + \varphi$ and Beatty sequences*, J. Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux **7** (1995), 387–406.
- [22] T. Komatsu, *A certain power series associated with a Beatty sequence*, Acta Arith. **LXXVI** (1996), 109–129.
- [23] T. Komatsu and A. J. van der Poorten, *Substitution invariant Beatty sequences*, Japan. J. Math. **22** (1996), 349–354.
- [24] F. Mignosi et P. Séebold, *Morphismes sturmiens et règles de Rauzy*, J. Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux **5** (1993), 221–233.
- [25] M. Morse and G. A. Hedlund, *Symbolic Dynamics*, Amer. J. Math. **60** (1938), 815–866.
- [26] M. Morse and G. A. Hedlund, *Symbolic Dynamics II. Sturmian trajectories*, Amer. J. Math. **62** (1940), 1–42.
- [27] B. Parvaix, *Propriétés d’invariance des mots sturmiens*, J. Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux **9** (1997), 351–369.
- [28] J. Rosenblatt, *The sequence of greatest integers of an arithmetic progression*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **17** (1978), 213–218.
- [29] K. B. Stolarsky, *Beatty sequences, continued fractions and certain shift operators*, Canad. Math. Bull. **19** (1976), 473–482.
- [30] R. Tijdeman, *On disjoint pairs of Sturmian bisequences*, Mathematical Institute, Leiden University, Report W96-02 (1996).
- [31] R. Tijdeman, *On complementary triples of Sturmian bisequences*, Indag. Math. **7** (1996), 419–424.

Bruno PARVAIX
 LACO, Faculté des Sciences,
 123 avenue Albert Thomas
 F-87060 LIMOGES
 E-mail : parvaix@alpha1.unilim.fr