CAHIERS DE
TOPOLOGIE ET GÉOMÉTRIE DIFFÉRENTIELLE
CATÉGORIQUES

JIRI ROSICKÝ

Equational categories

Cahiers de topologie et géométrie différentielle catégoriques, tome 22, n° 1 (1981), p. 85-95

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CTGDC_1981__22_1_85_0>

© Andrée C. Ehresmann et les auteurs, 1981, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Cahiers de topologie et géométrie différentielle catégoriques » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

CAHIERS DE TOPOLOGIE ET GEOMETRIE DIFFERENTIELLE
3e COLLOQUE SUR LES CATEGORIES DEDIE A CHARLES EHRESMANN
Vol. XXII-1 (1981)

EQUATIONAL CATEGORIES

by Jiri ROSICKÝ

This paper contains some structure theory of equational categories (in the sense of Linton [3]), of Beck categories (see Manes [5]) and of completions that they provide. It continues the previous author's investigations [10 and 11].

We shall work in a given universe $\mathfrak{U}$ in Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory with the axiom of choice. The universe $\mathfrak{U}_f$ of hereditarily finite sets is permitted. Elements of $\mathfrak{U}$ will be called sets, subsets of $\mathfrak{U}$ classes and sets (in the sense of ZF) will be called metaclasses. It would be possible to work more generally in a suitable set theory with the above three levels of sets. There are the corresponding levels of categories: small categories, categories and metacategories.

The category of all sets will be denoted by $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{U})$ (briefly by $\mathcal{S}$). Under a *concrete category* we will mean a couple $(\mathfrak{A}, U)$ consisting of a category $\mathfrak{A}$ and of a faithful functor $U: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathcal{S}$. Sometimes we will denote it briefly by $\mathfrak{A}$. A *concrete functor* $F: (\mathfrak{A}, U) \to (\mathfrak{A}', U')$ is a functor $F: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}'$ such that $U'.F = U$. A concrete subcategory means a subcategory such that the inclusion functor is concrete. More generally, we could work with categories over $\mathcal{S}$, i.e. without the assumption of faithfulness of U. But the much more important generalization consists in the replacement of $\mathcal{S}$ by an arbitrary category $\mathfrak{X}$. In order to be more concise we shall work over $\mathcal{S}$ and shall not present the results in their full generality.

I. EQUATIONAL CATEGORIES.

A *type* t is defined as a metaclass of operation symbols. Their arities are arbitrary cardinals (i.e. the cardinals belonging to $\mathfrak{U}$). t_n will

denote the metaclass of all n-ary operation symbols from t. *An equational metacategory* (t,I)-Alg consists of all t-algebras satisfying a given metaclass I of equations. If $\mathfrak{R}$ is a t-algebra and $f \in t$ then $f^{\mathfrak{R}}$ denotes the interpretation of f in $\mathfrak{R}$. The reasons why t and I are metaclasses will appear gradually. Now we only indicate that if t is a class then t-Alg need not be a category. Some smallness conditions on equational categories are discussed in Reiterman [7].

It is well-known that *monadic categories* coincide with equational categories $(\mathfrak{A}, U)$ such that U has a left adjoint (see Linton [3]) and that Beck's theorem characterizes them as concrete categories such that the underlying functor into $\mathcal{S}$ has a left adjoint and creates coequalizers of U-absolute pairs. We remark that equational metacategories are quite natural from the point of view of universes. In the case of $\mathfrak{U}_\varphi$, (t,I)-Alg consists of all finite universal algebras from a variety given by a set (in the sense of ZF) of finitary operations. Since a variety often has infinite free algebras over finite sets, (t,I)-Alg generally is far from being monadic.

Under an ∞-filtered limit we mean a limit taken over an ordered metaclass such that any of its subsets has a lower bound. The dual concept is an ∞-filtered colimit. We remark that class-indexed colimits of categories are categories, which is not true for limits (even ∞-filtered).

1.1. THEOREM. *Any equational metacategory is an ∞-filtered limit of equational categories. If $\mathfrak{U} \neq \mathfrak{U}_\varphi$ then equational metacategories coincide with ∞-filtered limits of monadic categories (limits in the sense of concrete categories and concrete functors).*

PROOF. Let $\mathfrak{E} = (t,I)$-Alg be an equational metacategory. Assign to each set $s \subset t$ the category $\mathfrak{E}_s = (s, I_s)$-$Alg$ where I_s consists of all equations from I written by means of operation symbols of s (i.e. (t,I) is the conservative extension of (s, I_s)). We get the concrete functors

$$R_{s',s}: \mathfrak{E}_{s'} \to \mathfrak{E}_s, \quad \text{for } s \subset s',$$

of reducts and similarly $R_s: \mathfrak{E} \to \mathfrak{E}_s$. It is easy to see that R_s form the limit cone for $R_{s',s}$. Moreover, if $\mathfrak{U} \neq \mathfrak{U}_\varphi$ then $\mathfrak{E}_s$ is monadic.

Conversely, let $F_d: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{M}_d$ be an ∞-filtered limit of $F_{d,d'}: \mathfrak{M}_d \to \mathfrak{M}_{d'}$. If $\mathfrak{M}_d = (t_d, I_d)\text{-}Alg$ then $F_{d,d'}$ induce morphisms

$$e_{d',d}: (t_{d'}, I_{d'}) \to (t_d, I_d)$$

of equational theories. Then $\mathfrak{A}$ is isomorphic to $(t,I)\text{-}Alg$ where (t,I) is the colimit of $e_{d',d}$.

Under a *monadic completion* of a concrete category $\mathfrak{A}$ we shall mean a reflection of $\mathfrak{A}$ into monadic categories. It therefore consists of a monadic category $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$ and of a concrete functor $M_{\mathfrak{A}}: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$ (briefly denoted by M) such that for any concrete functor $F: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{M}$ monadic there exists a unique concrete functor $\hat{F}: \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A}) \to \mathfrak{M}$ with $\hat{F}.M = F$. Following Linton [4], $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$ exists iff $(\mathfrak{A}, U)$ is tractable, i.e. iff there exists the codensity monad R_U of U, and R_U then is the monad of $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$. Of course, an *equational completion of* $\mathfrak{A}$ is a reflection $E_{\mathfrak{A}}: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{A})$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ into equational categories.

1.2. COROLLARY. *An equational completion of* $\mathfrak{A}$ *is a reflection of* $\mathfrak{A}$ *into equational metacategories.* (Proof follows by 1.1.)

If $(\mathfrak{A}, U)$ is a concrete metacategory then its *canonical type* $t_{\mathfrak{A}}$ consists of all natural transformations $U^n \to U$ where n runs over cardinals ($U^n(A)$ is defined as $U(A)^n$). Any $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ gives rise to the $t_{\mathfrak{A}}$-algebra $L(A)$ by the setting

$$\phi^{L(A)} = \phi_A \quad \text{for any } \phi \in t_{\mathfrak{A}}.$$

If $I_{\mathfrak{A}}$ denotes all the equations which hold in $L(\mathfrak{A})$ (i.e. for any $L(A)$, $A \in \mathfrak{A}$) then we get the equational metacategory $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A}) = (t_{\mathfrak{A}}, I_{\mathfrak{A}})\text{-}Alg$ (see Linton [4]). Then $L_{\mathfrak{A}}: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})$ is a concrete functor. Following [4], if $\mathfrak{A}$ is tractable then $L: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})$ is the monadic completion of $\mathfrak{A}$. If $F: \mathfrak{A} \to (t,I)\text{-}Alg$ is a concrete functor then any term p of type t defines the natural transformation $pF \in t_{\mathfrak{A}}$ by means of

$$(pF)_A = p^{F(A)} \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

1.3. LEMMA. *Any concrete functor* $F: \mathfrak{A} \to (t,I)\text{-}Alg$ *can be factorized*

through $L_{\mathfrak{A}}$.

PROOF. The desired concrete functor

$$\hat{F}: \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A}) \to (t,I)\text{-}Alg \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{F}.L = F$$

is given by means of

$$f^{\hat{F}(\mathfrak{R})} = (fF)^{\mathfrak{R}} \quad \text{for all } \mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A}) \text{ and all } f \in t.$$

A concrete category $\mathfrak{A}$ will be called *canonically equational* if $L_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is an isomorphism. An exemple of an equational category $\mathfrak{A}$ which is not canonically equational and such that $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})$ is a category (see [6]) shows that, in spite of 1.3, $L_{\mathfrak{A}}$ need not be an equational completion of $\mathfrak{A}$ (and not only owing to the size of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})$). The reason is that $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})$ may contain too many operations. Nevertheless, 1.3 makes a monad from $\mathfrak{L}$, which implies that whenever $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})$ is not canonically equational then the same holds for $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A}))$ (see [11]).

2. BECK CATEGORIES.

We recall that a *Beck category* is a concrete category $(\mathfrak{A}, U)$ such that U creates limits and coequalizers of U-absolute pairs. A *Beck completion* of a concrete category $(\mathfrak{A}, U)$ is a reflection $B_{\mathfrak{A}}: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ into Beck categories.

2.1. THEOREM. *Let $(\mathfrak{A}, U)$ be a small concrete category. Then $M_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is the Beck completion of $\mathfrak{A}$ (it even is the reflection of $\mathfrak{A}$ into Beck metacategories).*

PROOF. Consider a concrete functor $F: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}$ into a Beck metacategory $(\mathfrak{B}, V)$. Denote the forgetful functor of $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$ by $\mathbb{W}$ and let S or T be the right Kan extension of F or M resp. along U. We recall that R denotes the codensity monad of U. Let

$$\sigma: S.U \to F, \quad \tau: T.U \to M \quad \text{and} \quad \rho: R.U \to U$$

be the natural transformations exhibiting the corresponding Kan extensions. Clearly

$$\mathbb{V}.T = V.S = R \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{V}\tau = V\sigma = \rho .$$

There are unique natural transformations $\bar{\sigma}: S.R \to S$ and $\bar{\tau}: T.R \to T$ such that

$$\sigma . S\rho = \sigma . \bar{\sigma} U \quad \text{and} \quad \tau . T\rho = \tau . \bar{\tau} U .$$

Evidently, $\mathbb{V}\bar{\tau} = V\bar{\sigma} = \mu$ is the multiplication of the monad R.

Any R-algebra (X, h) gives rise to the absolute coequalizer

$$R^2 X \underset{Rh}{\overset{\mu_X}{\rightrightarrows}} RX \xrightarrow{h} X$$

The parallel pair on the left is the image by $\mathbb{V}$ or V of

$$TRX \underset{Th}{\overset{\bar{\tau}_X}{\rightrightarrows}} TX \quad \text{or} \quad SRX \underset{Sh}{\overset{\bar{\sigma}_X}{\rightrightarrows}} SX$$

resp. The R-algebra (X, h) is the creating object in $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$ and we shall denote the creating object in $\mathfrak{B}$ by $\hat{F}(X, h)$. It is easy to see that $\hat{F}: \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A}) \to \mathfrak{B}$ is a concrete functor. Since $M(A) = (UA, \rho_A)$, $\hat{F}.M = F$ holds. The unicity of $\hat{F}$ is proved in [10] (see 3.7).

2.2. THEOREM. *Any concrete category $\mathfrak{A}$ has a Beck completion which even is a reflection of $\mathfrak{A}$ into Beck metacategories.*

PROOF. $\mathfrak{A}$ is an ∞-filtered colimit of the diagram consisting of all small full subcategories of $\mathfrak{A}$ together with the inclusions. $\mathfrak{M}$ takes this diagram to the diagram D of monadic categories. Theorem 2.1 together with the fact that an ∞-filtered colimit of Beck categories is a Beck category implies that the colimit of D is the Beck completion of $\mathfrak{A}$.

2.3. COROLLARY. *Beck categories coincide with ∞-filtered colimits of monadic categories.*

2.3 is proved in [10]; the indicated colimits are class-indexed and of concrete categories. $B_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is full iff there is a full concrete functor from $\mathfrak{A}$ into a Beck category. If $B_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is full then U reflects limits and coequalizers of U-absolute pairs. The converse is true provided U creates limits (see [10], 4.3).

3. BIRKHOFF SUBCATEGORIES.

A *Birkhoff subcategory* is defined as a full subcategory of an equational metacategory closed under products, subalgebras and homomorphic images (see Manes [5]). Birkhoff subcategories behave well in weakly compact universes. A universe $\mathcal{U}$ is weakly compact if for any tree which is a class and all its levels are sets there exists a path through it. $\mathcal{U}_f$ is weakly compact following König's Lemma. Concerning weakly compact cardinals, see e.g. [2].

The use of weak compactness in our situation lies in the following lemma (if $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{A}$ then an extension of ϕ to $\mathcal{D}$ means a natural transformation $\psi : W^n \to W$, where W is the restriction of U on $\mathcal{D}$ such that $\psi_B = \phi_B$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$).

3.1. LEMMA. *Let $\mathcal{U}$ be weakly compact, $(\mathcal{B}, V)$ be a full concrete subcategory of a concrete category $(\mathcal{A}, U)$ and $\phi : V^n \to V$ be a natural transformation which can be extended to $\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C}$ for any set $\mathcal{C}$ of objects of $\mathcal{A}$. Then ϕ can be extended to $\mathcal{A}$.*

PROOF. The class of objects of $\mathcal{A}$ which do not belong to $\mathcal{B}$ is a union of an ascending chain $\mathcal{C}_0 \subset \ldots \mathcal{C}_a \subset \ldots$ of its subsets indexed by all ordinals. Consider the tree T which consists of all extensions of ϕ to $\mathcal{C}_a$ with the ordering given by the restrictions. Since any $\mathcal{C}_a$ is a set, levels of T are sets too. Then a path through T provides the extension of ϕ to $\mathcal{A}$.

3.2. THEOREM. *Let $\mathcal{U}$ be weakly compact and $\mathcal{A}$ be a concrete category. Then the concrete functor $K : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ given by 2.2 is the full embedding making $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ a Birkhoff subcategory of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$.*

PROOF. The fullness of K is proved in 6.9 of [10] in the case of $\mathcal{U}_f$. The proof for a weakly compact universe is the same because 3.1 plays the role of 6.8 of [10]. Since the underlying functor W of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ creates limits any morphism $f : A \to A'$ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ with

$$W(A) = W(A') \quad \text{and} \quad W(f) = id_{WA}$$

must be the identity on $A = A'$. Hence K is a full embedding. The fact that $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ is a Birkhoff subcategory of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})$ is proved in 6.10 of [10] for $\mathfrak{U}_\varphi$ and the weakly compact case is the same, again.

3.3. COROLLARY. *If $\mathfrak{U}$ is weakly compact then Beck categories coincide with Birkhoff subcategories of equational metacategories.*

3.4. COROLLARY. *Let $\mathfrak{U}$ be weakly compact and $(\mathfrak{A}, U)$ be a concrete category such that U creates limits. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) There exists a full concrete embedding of $\mathfrak{A}$ into an equational metacategory.

(ii) U reflects coequalizers of U-absolute pairs.

Proof follows by 3.3 and Theorem 4.3 of [10].

3.5. LEMMA *(Goralčík, Koubek). Let $\mathfrak{U}$ be weakly compact and $(\mathfrak{B}, V)$ be a Birkhoff subcategory of an equational category $(\mathfrak{E}, U)$. Then any natural transformation $\phi: V^n \to V$ can be extended to $\mathfrak{E}$.*

PROOF. Let $\mathfrak{E} = (t, I)\text{-}Alg$. For any set $\mathcal{C}$ of algebras from $\mathfrak{B}$ there exists a term $p(\mathcal{C})$ of type t such that

$$p(\mathcal{C})^{\mathfrak{R}} = \phi_{\mathfrak{R}} \quad \text{for all } \mathfrak{R} \in \mathcal{C}$$

(see the proof of the Proposition from [11]).

Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be a set of algebras from $\mathfrak{E}$ not belonging to $\mathfrak{B}$. Define the equivalence relation on the metaclass of all n-ary terms of type t as follows:

$$p \sim q \quad \text{iff} \quad p^{\mathfrak{R}} = q^{\mathfrak{R}} \quad \text{for all } \mathfrak{R} \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

Since $\sim$ has only a set of equivalence classes, there is an equivalence class T such that $\mathfrak{B}$ is the union of all $\mathcal{C}$ such that $p(\mathcal{C}) \in T$. The setting $\psi_{\mathfrak{R}} = p^{\mathfrak{R}}$ for $p \in T$ gives the extension of ϕ to $\mathfrak{B} \cup \mathcal{Z}$.

Thus the result follows from 3.1.

In an arbitrary $\mathfrak{U}$, 3.5 holds for any $\mathfrak{E}$ such that the number of its objects has the tree property. Goralčík and Koubek (see [1]) have proved

3.5 in $\mathcal{U}_\varphi$ for any equational metacategory $\mathfrak{E}$ by means of the following argument. Under a regular extension of ϕ we shall mean an extension of ϕ which is induced by a term (in the sense of the beginning of the proof of 3.5) on any set of algebras. The proof of 3.5 shows that ϕ can be regularly extended to each $\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{E}$. The assertion now follows from the fact that there is a maximal regular extension of ϕ. Indeed, any filtered set of regular extensions has an upper bound. However, the last statement holds only for $\mathcal{U}_\varphi$ because otherwise ∞-filtered does not mean filtered.

The next theorem is the extension of Birkhoff variety Theorem to the equational case (for $\mathfrak{E} = (t, I)\text{-}Alg$ being monadic implies that $t_{\mathfrak{E}} = t$). This theorem was proved by Reiterman (see [8]) in $\mathcal{U}_\varphi$. Goralčík and Koubek (see [1]) have generalized the theorem to any equational metacategory (in $\mathcal{U}_\varphi$ again; see the above remark) and they have also simplified Reiterman's original proof. Their proof starts from 3.5 and works for a weakly compact universe, too. For the reader's convenience, we write down how 3.6 follows from 3.5. We remark that this derivation is analogous to the proof of 6.10 of [10] (6.10 uses the types $t_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha}$, 3.6 $t_{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{C}_\alpha)}$; these types generally are distinct). We mention that 3.6 does not infer that $\mathfrak{B}$ is equational.

3.6. THEOREM *(Reiterman). Let $\mathcal{U}$ be weakly compact and $\mathfrak{B}$ be a Birkhoff subcategory of an equational category $\mathfrak{E}$. Then $\mathfrak{B}$ is determined in $\mathfrak{E}$ by equations of the type $t_{\mathfrak{E}}$ (i. e. if $\phi_{\mathfrak{R}} = \psi_{\mathfrak{R}}$ for any $\phi, \psi \in t_{\mathfrak{E}}$ having the same restriction on $\mathfrak{B}$ then $\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{B}$).*

PROOF. Let $\mathfrak{E} = (t, I)\text{-}Alg$ and U be the forgetful functor of $\mathfrak{E}$. Following [10] 6.5, $\mathfrak{B}$ is a union of an ascending chain $\mathfrak{M}_0 \subset \ldots \mathfrak{M}_\alpha \subset \ldots$ of monadic categories indexed by all the ordinals. Let $\mathfrak{R}$ be an algebra from $\mathfrak{E}$ not belonging to $\mathfrak{B}$ and n be the cardinality of $U(\mathfrak{R})$. For any α there are n-ary terms p_α, q_α of type t such that the equation $p_\alpha^{\mathfrak{R}} = q_\alpha^{\mathfrak{R}}$ holds on $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha$ and not on $\mathfrak{R}$. Since there is only a set of mappings $U(\mathfrak{R})^n \to U(\mathfrak{R})$ we may assume that

$$(p_\alpha)^{\mathfrak{R}} = (p_\beta)^{\mathfrak{R}} \quad \text{and} \quad (q_\alpha)^{\mathfrak{R}} = (q_\beta)^{\mathfrak{R}} \quad \text{for any } \alpha, \beta.$$

Since terms induce natural transformations, following 3.1 and 3.5 there are $\phi, \psi \in t_{\mathfrak{E}}$ having the same restriction on $\mathfrak{B}$ and such that $\phi_{\mathfrak{R}} \neq \psi_{\mathfrak{R}}$.

4. EQUATIONAL COMPLETION.

4.1. LEMMA. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a concrete category and $\phi, \psi \in t_{\mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{A})}$ such that $\phi E_{\mathfrak{A}} = \psi E_{\mathfrak{A}}$. Then $\phi = \psi$.*

PROOF. Let $\mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{A}) = (t, I)\text{-}Alg$ and consider the type $t' = t \cup \{\phi, \psi\}$. Then I is a theory of type t', and let $I' = I \cup \{\phi = \psi\}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{E} = (t', I)\text{-}Alg \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{E}' = (t', I')\text{-}Alg$$

are equational categories. Denote by $T: \mathfrak{E}' \to \mathfrak{E}$ the inclusion and by $R: \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{A})) \to \mathfrak{E}$ the reduct. Since $\phi E_{\mathfrak{A}} = \psi E_{\mathfrak{A}}$, there is a concrete functor $F: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{E}'$ such that

$$R . L_{\mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{A})} . E_{\mathfrak{A}} = T . F .$$

Hence there is a concrete functor

$$\hat{F}: \mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{A}) \to \mathfrak{E}' \quad \text{with} \quad T . \hat{F} = R . L_{\mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{A})} .$$

But it follows that $\phi = \psi$.

Now, we can state the main theorem.

4.2. THEOREM. *Let $\mathfrak{U}$ be weakly compact and $\mathfrak{A}$ a concrete category. Then an equational completion of $\mathfrak{A}$ exists iff $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ is equational and $B_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is in this case the equational completion of $\mathfrak{A}$.*

PROOF. If $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ is equational then $B_{\mathfrak{A}}$ evidently is the equational completion of $\mathfrak{A}$. Assume that $\mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{A}) = (t, I)\text{-}Alg$ exists. There appear concrete functors

$$\hat{E}: \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{A}) \to \mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{A}) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{L}: \mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{A}) \to \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})$$

with

$$\hat{E} . B = E \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{L} . E = L$$

(concerning $\hat{L}$ see 1.2). Since $\hat{L} . \hat{E}$ is the functor K from 3.2, $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ is a Birkhoff subcategory of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})$ and hence of $\mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{A})$, too. Following 3.6 and 4.1, $\mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{A}) = \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ holds.

Hence a Beck category which is not equational can not have an equational completion. Concerning such a Beck category see [10], 6.1.

A concrete category $\mathfrak{A}$ will be called *stable* if

$$\phi\mathfrak{R} = (\phi L)^{\mathfrak{R}} \quad \text{for all} \quad \phi \in t_{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})} \quad \text{and all} \quad \mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A}).$$

4.3. THEOREM. *Consider the following statements for a given concrete category* $\mathfrak{A}$*:*

(i) $L_{\mathfrak{A}}: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})$ *is the Beck completion of* $\mathfrak{A}$.

(ii) $L_{\mathfrak{A}}: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})$ *is the equational completion of* $\mathfrak{A}$.

(iii) $\mathfrak{A}$ *is stable and* $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})$ *is a category.*

Then (i) $\Rightarrow$ *(ii)* $\Rightarrow$ *(iii). If* $\mathfrak{U}$ *is weakly compact, then all three statements are equivalent.*

PROOF. Clearly (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume (ii) and let $\phi \in t_{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})}$. Let $\psi \in t_{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})}$ be given by the setting

$$\psi\mathfrak{R} = (\phi L)^{\mathfrak{R}} \quad \text{for all} \quad \mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A}).$$

Since $\phi L = \psi L$, $\phi = \psi$ holds by 4.1 and thus $\mathfrak{A}$ is stable.

Let $\mathfrak{U}$ be weakly compact and (iii) hold. Following 3.6, $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ is determined in $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})$ by equations of type $t_{\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})}$ and hence by equations of type $t_{\mathfrak{A}}$ because $\mathfrak{A}$ is stable. However, if an equation of type $t_{\mathfrak{A}}$ holds in $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ then it also holds in $\mathfrak{A}$ and thus in $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})$, too. Therefore we have $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{A}) = \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})$ and (i) is proved.

If $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})$ is monadic then $\mathfrak{A}$ clearly is stable and thus 4.3 implies that 2.1 holds for any tractable $\mathfrak{A}$ in a weakly compact $\mathfrak{U}$. Concerning an example of a stable concrete category $\mathfrak{A}$ such that $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})$ is not monadic, see [11]. If $\mathfrak{A}$ is stable then it is easy to see that $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})$ is canonically equational. I do not know whether the converse is true or not. Notice nevertheless that the consequence of 3.6 is that if $\mathfrak{U}$ is weakly compact and $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{A})$ a canonically equational category, then $B_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is the equational completion of $\mathfrak{A}$.

4.4. PROPOSITION. *Let* (t, I)*-Alg be an equational completion of* $\mathfrak{A}$. *Then*

$(t_{\mathfrak{A}}, I_{\mathfrak{A}})$ is a conservative extension of (t, I).

PROOF. The result is given by the fact that for all terms p, q of type t, $pE_{\mathfrak{A}} = qE_{\mathfrak{A}}$ implies, by 4.1, that the equation $p = q$ follows from I.

REFERENCES.

1. P. GORALČÍK & V. KOUBEK, Pseudovarieties and pseudotheories, *Proc. Col. on finite algebras,* Szeged 1979 (to appear).
2. K. KUNEN, Combinatorics, in *Handbook of mathematical Logic*, North-Holland (1977), 371-402.
3. F.E.J. LINTON, Some aspects of equational categories, *Proc. Conf. categ. Algebra, La Jolla 1965,* Springer (1966), 84-94.
4. F.E.J. LINTON, An outline of functorial semantics, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 80, Springer (1969), 7-52.
5. E.G. MANES, *Algebraic theories*, Springer, 1976.
6. J. REITERMAN, Structure-semantics relation for non-varietal theories, *Alg. Univ.* (to appear).
7. J. REITERMAN, Large algebraic theories with small algebras, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 19 (1978), 371-380.
8. J. REITERMAN, *The Birkhoff theorem for finite algebras*, Preprint.
9. J. ROSICKÝ, Strong embeddings into categories of algebras over a monad II, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinæ* 15 (1974), 131-147.
10. J. ROSICKÝ, On algebraic categories, *Proc. Col. Univ. Alg.,* Esztergom 1977 (to appear).
11. J. ROSICKÝ, On algebraic categories II, submitted to *Alg. Univ.*
12. J. ROSICKÝ & L. POLÁK, Implicit operations on finite algebras, *Proc. Col. on finite algebras,* Szeged 1979 (to appear).

Department of Mathematics
P F UJGP
Janackovo nam 2a
66295 BRNO. TCHECOSLOVAQUIE.