CAHIERS DE
TOPOLOGIE ET GÉOMÉTRIE DIFFÉRENTIELLE
CATÉGORIQUES

GAVIN C. WRAITH

Localic groups

Cahiers de topologie et géométrie différentielle catégoriques, tome 22, n° 1 (1981), p. 61-66

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CTGDC_1981__22_1_61_0>

© Andrée C. Ehresmann et les auteurs, 1981, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Cahiers de topologie et géométrie différentielle catégoriques » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

CAHIERS DE TOPOLOGIE ET GEOMETRIE DIFFERENTIELLE

3e COLLOQUE SUR LES CATEGORIES DEDIE A CHARLES EHRESMANN

Vol. XXII-1 (1981)

LOCALIC GROUPS

by Gavin C. WRAITH

Perhaps the most significant recent development in topos theory since the publication of P.T. Johnstone's book [2] has been the understanding of the importance of locales. Charles Ehresmann [1] was the first to stress the localic aspect of topology, that open sets are more fundamental than points.

Recall that a locale is a complete lattice in which finite infima distribute over arbitrary suprema. In symbols, for any element x and subset S of the locale, we have the rule

$$x \wedge \bigvee S = \bigvee \{ x \wedge y \mid y \in S \}.$$

A map f of locales from L to M is defined to be a function f^* from M to L (note the reversal of direction) preserving finite infima and arbitrary suprema. We include among these the maximal element $\top$ and the minimal element $\bot$.

The lattice $O(X)$ of open subsets of a topological space X is a locale. O is evidently a functor. On the full subcategory of sober spaces and continuous maps it is full and faithful, so it is convenient to identify a sober space with its locale of open sets. The notion of locale is straightforward to formulate in a topos, and it is now well established that the localic approach is the right way to do topology in a topos [4].

To set out notation, let us denote by $Loc(\mathcal{E})$ the category of locales in the topos $\mathcal{E}$. The subobject classifier $\Omega_{\mathcal{E}}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ has a canonical locale structure, and is terminal in $Loc(\mathcal{E})$. For the map of locales

$$L \xrightarrow{\ h\ } \Omega_{\mathcal{E}}$$

we denote $h^*(u)$ by $[\![u]\!]$ so that, for example

$$[\![true]\!] = \top, \quad [\![false]\!] = \bot.$$

Direct image functors of geometric morphisms preserve locale structure [7]. It follows that for any geometric morphism

$$\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\quad v \quad} \mathcal{E}$$

we have a locale $v_*(\Omega_{\mathcal{F}})$ in $\mathcal{E}$. In fact, all locales in $\mathcal{E}$ are of this form [3], and we have an equivalence between $Loc(\mathcal{E})$ and the category of localic $\mathcal{E}$-toposes.

We shall write

$$Points(L) \quad \text{for} \quad Hom_{Loc(\mathcal{E})}(\Omega_{\mathcal{E}}, L),$$

so that *Points* is a functor from $Loc(\mathcal{E})$ to $\mathcal{E}$. It is right adjoint to the functor which takes an object A of $\mathcal{E}$ to the discrete locale $P(A)$. An open sublocale of a discrete locale is discrete, but in general a discrete locale may have sublocales that are neither open nor discrete.

We say that a locale L *has enough points* if the end adjunction

$$P(Points(L)) \xrightarrow{\quad \epsilon \quad} L$$

is surjective, i. e. if ϵ^* is faithful. In *Sets* a locale is spatial, i. e. is of the form $O(X)$ for some topological space X, if and only if it has enough points. Locales without enough points arise naturally. If $f: Y \to X$ is a continuous map between sober topological spaces, we obtain a locale $f_*(\Omega_Y)$ in $shv(X)$ which determines it completely. $Points(f_*(\Omega_Y))$ is the sheaf of sections of f, so $f_*(\Omega_Y)$ has enough points only if f has enough local sections. It should be clear that $f_*(\Omega_Y)$ is discrete only if f is a local homeomorphism.

The point that I want to make in this article is that certain mathematical structures often carry a natural topology - we shall see some examples below - which it is easy to overlook. For most purposes it may be harmless to do so. However, when it comes to formulating these structures in a topos, it is vital to take account of the topology, so that one gets localic structures. To forget the topology is tantamount to applying the *Points* functor, which sometimes throws the baby out with the bathwater in a manner which I hope the examples below will make clear.

If A and B are sets, we can think of them as discrete topological

spaces and we can form the product space $\prod_A B$ whose points correspond to functions from A to B. If A is infinite, this space is not discrete. Analogously, if A and B are objects of a topos $\mathcal{E}$ we can form the locale $Map(A, B)$ in $\mathcal{E}$ by taking an $A \times B$-indexed family of generators

$$< a \vdash\!\!\rightarrow b >, \quad a \in A, \ b \in B$$

satisfying the relations

$$< a \vdash\!\!\rightarrow b > \wedge < a \vdash\!\!\rightarrow b' > \leq [\![b = b']\!], \qquad \bigvee_b < a \vdash\!\!\rightarrow b > = \mathrm{T}.$$

It should be clear that we have

$$Points(Map(A, B)) = B^A$$

and that in *Sets* we have $Map(A, B) = \prod_A B$ with the product topology (note that we have suppressed the use of O).

By adding the further relations

$$< a \vdash\!\!\rightarrow b > \wedge < a' \vdash\!\!\rightarrow b > \leq [\![a = a']\!]$$

we get a locale $Inj(A, B)$, or by adding the further relations

$$\bigvee_a < a \vdash\!\!\rightarrow b > = \mathrm{T}$$

we get a locale $Surj(A, B)$, or by adding both we get a local $Bij(A, B)$. It should be clear what their points are.

In the case $\mathcal{E} = Sets$, a completeness theorem of Makkai and Reyes [6] says that if B is finite or countable, then $Surj(\mathrm{N}, B)$ is spatial. However, if B is uncountable $Surj(\mathrm{N}, B)$ has no points, but is nonetheless a nontrivial locale.

We define $Perm(A)$ to be $Bij(A, A)$; it is clearly a localic group, i. e. a group object in $Loc(\mathcal{E})$. Let us describe its structure in slightly more detail. It has an identity

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{e} Perm(A) \quad \text{given by} \quad e^* < a \vdash\!\!\rightarrow a' > = [\![a = a']\!].$$

It has a multiplication

$$Perm(A) \times Perm(A) \xrightarrow{m} Perm(A)$$

given by

$$m^* < a \vdash\!\!\rightarrow a'' > = \bigvee_{a'} p_1^* < a \vdash\!\!\rightarrow a' > \wedge p_2^* < a' \vdash\!\!\rightarrow a'' >$$

where p_1, p_2 are the projections from the product $Perm(A) \times Perm(A)$. The inverse map is clearly given by

$$< a \mapsto a' > \mapsto < a' \mapsto a > .$$

Let us consider localic groups acting continuously on objects. If A is an object of $\mathfrak{E}$ and G is a localic group, this means that we have an action

$$G \times P(A) \xrightarrow{\alpha} P(A).$$

This is exponentially adjoint in $Loc(\mathfrak{E})$ to a homomorphism of localic groups $\tilde{\alpha} : G \to Perm(A)$ and $\tilde{\alpha}^*$ is completely determined by its values on the generators $< a \mapsto a' >$ of $Perm(A)$. Thus α is completely determined by the map $A \times A \to G$ in $\mathfrak{E}$ given by

$$(a, a') \mapsto \tilde{\alpha}^* < a \mapsto a' > .$$

The fixed point subobject of A under the G-action α is given by

$$Fix(G, A) = \{ a \in A \mid \tilde{\alpha}^* < a \mapsto a > = \mathrm{T} \}.$$

Dually,

$$\neg [\![\tilde{\alpha}^* < a \mapsto a' > = \bot]\!]$$

is an equivalence relation on A, whose classes we call the *orbits of the action.*

$Perm(A)$ has a canonical action on A, and since

$$[\![< a \mapsto a' > = \bot]\!] = false$$

we deduce that every element of A belongs to the same orbit under $Perm(A)$. In other words, $Perm(A)$ acts transitively on A, as indeed it should.

By applying the functor $Points$ we get from a continuous G-action on A an action of $Points(G)$ on A. It is *not* the case that

$$Aut(A) = Points(Perm(A))$$

acts transitively on A. To see this, consider the case $\mathfrak{E} = shv(I)$, the category of sheaves on the unit interval, and take A to be the sheaf pictured below:



Suppose that P and Q lie in the stalk A_t at $t \in I$ and that P is a bifurcation point and that Q is not. Clearly, there is no open neighborhood U of t for which there is an automorphism A/U interchanging P and Q.

In general $Fix(G, A)$ is contained in $Fix(Points(G), A)$, but these two subobjects of A do not necessarily coincide. A convenient example, due originally to M. Fourman, and used by Kennison [5] and the author [8], concerns Galois groups.

The fundamental theorem of Galois theory states the following: let $K \subset L$ be an algebraic separable normal extension of fields, and let $G(L/K)$ be the group of K-automorphisms of L with the Krull topology. Then there is a bijection between the intermediate extensions $K \subset F \subset L$ and the closed subgroups $H \subset G(L/K)$ given by

$$H \mapsto Fix(H), \quad F \mapsto G(L/F).$$

Provided we interpret $G(L/K)$ as the localic group of K-automorphisms of L, this results holds in a topos [8]. Consider the case when $\mathcal{E} = shv(I)$ again, and where $K \subset L$ is the inclusion of constant sheaves on I induced by the standard inclusion $\mathrm{R} \subset \mathrm{C}$. It is no surprise to find that $G(L/K)$ is then the discrete localic group $P(Z_2)$. Let F be the intermediate sheaf of fields given by

$$F_t = \begin{cases} \mathrm{C} & 0 \leq t < 1 \\ \mathrm{R} & t = 1 \end{cases}.$$

In pictures:



Then $G(L/F)$ is $p_*(\Omega_Y)$ where $p: Y \to I$ is the group object in topological spaces over I with trivial fibre over $t < 1$ and fibre Z_2 over 1. In pictures



It is clear that p has no non-zero local sections, so that

$$Aut_F(L) = Points(G(L/F)) = 1.$$

This example demonstrates two things:

a) that Galois theory does not work in a topos if one looks at the group of automorphisms objects, instead of the localic group of automorphisms,

b) that the fixed point subobject of L under $G(L/F)$ is not the same as that under $Points(G(L/F))$.

Of course this is a phenomenon which does not manifest itself with topological groups in *Sets*. If one has a topological group acting continuously on a set, the fixed point subset is clearly unaltered if we happen to forget the topology. As we have seen this circumstance is misleading when we look at the analogous situation in a topos.

REFERENCES.

1. C. EHRESMANN, Gattungen von lokalen Strukturen, *Jber. Deutsch. Math.* Verein. 60 (1958), 59-77.
2. P. T. JOHNSTONE, *Topos theory*, L.M.S. Math. Monographs nº 10, Academic Press, 1977.
3. P. T. JOHNSTONE, Factorization and pullback theorems for localic geometric morphisms, *Univ. Cath. de Louvain, Sém. Math. Pures,* Rapport nº 79 (1979).
4. P. T. JOHNSTONE, Factorization theorems in topology and topos theory, *Seminarberichte Fachbereich Math. Fernuniversität,* Nr. 7 (1980), 37-53.
5. J. KENNISON, *Galois theory and profinite action in a topos,* Preprint, Univ. of Sussex, 1978.
6. M. MAKKAI & G. REYES, First order categorical logic, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 611, Springer (1977).
7. C. J. MIKKELSEN, *Lattice theoretic and logical aspects of elementary topoi,* Thesis, Aarhus Univ., 1976.
8. G. C. WRAITH, *Galois theory in a topos,* To appear in J. of Pure and Applied Algebra.

Mathematics Department
University of Sussex
Falmer
BRIGHTON BN1 9QH. ENGLAND