

# COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

ARJEH M. COHEN

GABRIELE NEBE

WILHELM PLESKEN

## **Cayley orders**

*Compositio Mathematica*, tome 103, n° 1 (1996), p. 63-74

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM\\_1996\\_\\_103\\_1\\_63\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1996__103_1_63_0)

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1996, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

# Cayley orders

ARJEH M. COHEN<sup>1</sup>, GABRIELE NEBE and WILHELM PLESKEN<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Fac. Wisk. en Inf., TU Eindhoven, Postbox 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands*

<sup>2</sup>*RWTH, Lehrstuhl B für Mathematik, Templergraben 64, 52062 Aachen, Germany*

Received 3 May 1994; accepted in final form 7 May 1995

## 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a finite subgroup of a real simple Lie group  $A$ . Then, viewing  $A$  as the real points of a simple algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{R}$  and using a result of Weil (cf. [Wei 64], [Slo 93], [CoW 94]), we can find a number field  $K$  and a  $K$ -form  $A_K$  of  $A$  so that  $G$  is conjugate in  $A$  to a subgroup of the group  $A_K(K)$  of the  $K$ -rational points of  $A_K$ .

If  $A$  is compact of type  $G_2$ , then  $A$  is known to be the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(C)$  of the real Cayley division ring  $C$ . In line with the above result, one might expect, for a finite subgroup  $G$  of  $A$ , a  $K$ -form  $C_K$  of  $C$  into whose automorphism group  $G$  embeds. Such a form  $C_K$  will be called a  $K$ - $G$ -form (see below for a precise definition). Pushing it even further, one may ask for an  $RG$ -invariant order in  $C_K$ , where  $R$  is the ring of integers in  $K$ .

In [CoW 83], the finite subgroups of  $G_2(\mathbb{C})$ , resp.  $\text{Aut}(C)$ , are described. The maximal finite ones that are not contained in a proper closed Lie subgroup (of nonzero dimension) are isomorphic to  $2^3 \cdot \text{GL}(3, 2)$ ,  $G_2(2)$ ,  $\text{PSL}(2, 8)$ , or  $\text{PSL}(2, 13)$  (one conjugacy class for each isomorphism type, see [Gri 94]). Viewed as subgroups of  $\text{GL}(C)$ , they have unique minimal splitting fields  $K$ , namely  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}(\cos(2\pi/9))$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{13})$  in the respective cases. It turns out that there is a unique  $K$ -form  $C_K$  with  $G \leq \text{Aut}(C_K)$ .

Passing to the arithmetic of the situation, call a full  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $L$  in  $C_K$  a *Cayley order* for  $G$ , if

- (i)  $L$  is multiplicatively closed;
- (ii)  $L$  is  $G$ -invariant;
- (iii)  $L$  is maximal with (i) and (ii).

**GENERAL LEMMA.** *Let  $L$  be a Cayley order in  $C_K$  for  $G$ . Then  $L$  is an  $R$ -lattice containing the unit element  $e_0 = 1$  of  $C_K$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the full  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice generated by  $RL$  and  $Re_0$ . It is a Cayley order for  $G$  again and contains  $L$ , so must coincide with  $L$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* Let  $C_{\mathbb{Q}}^0$  be the usual Cayley division algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (see section 2 below). A Cayley order in  $C_{\mathbb{Q}}^0$  for the trivial group is a set of integral elements in the sense of [Dic 23], pp. 141–142; see also properties (i)–(iv) listed in [Cox 46].

In [Cox 46], Coxeter pointed out a Cayley order for the trivial group with  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ , which also is a Cayley order for  $G_2(2)$ . In [vdBS 59], it is shown that this Cayley order is unique up to isomorphism for the trivial group in  $C_{\mathbb{Q}}^0$ . This Cayley order is known to have 240 invertible elements. Its number theory has been investigated in [Reh 94].

The main result of this paper, which uses computer calculations as described in Section 4.2.2 of [HoP 89], contends that for all four maximal finite closed subgroups there are unique Cayley orders. But the Cayley orders for the three groups  $\neq G_2(2)$  are less interesting in the sense that no surprising invertible elements are found to occur except for some well-known ones for  $2^3 \cdot \mathrm{GL}(3, 2)$ . For instance the Cayley order for the latter group is spanned by the usual monomial basis  $e_0, \dots, e_7$  (see below) and  $\frac{1}{2}(e_0 + \dots + e_7)$ ; its invertible elements are  $\pm e_i$  for  $i = 0, \dots, 7$ .

**THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be a subgroup of  $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$  isomorphic to one of  $2^3 \cdot \mathrm{GL}(3, 2)$ ,  $G_2(2)$ ,  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, 8)$ , and  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, 13)$ , and let  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}(2 \cos(2\pi/9))$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{13})$  in the respective cases. Then there is a unique  $K$ - $G$ -form  $C_K$  of  $C$  on which  $G$  acts. Moreover, inside  $C_K$  there is a unique Cayley order for  $G$ . In the latter two cases, all of their invertible elements are contained in the units of  $R$ , the ring of integers of  $K$  (via the identification of  $R \cdot e_0$  with  $R$ , where  $e_0$  is the identity element of  $C$ ).*

## 2. Preliminaries

We first recall an explicit construction of the real Cayley division ring  $C$ . As a vector space,  $C$  is 8-dimensional over  $\mathbb{R}$  with basis  $(e_i | i = 0, \dots, 7)$  (the nonzero indices will be taken mod 7 with values in  $1, \dots, 7$ ). With respect to this basis the multiplication is given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_i^2 &= -e_0 && \text{for } i = 1, \dots, 7, \\ e_i e_j &= -e_j e_i = e_k && \text{if } (i, j, k) = (1 + \ell, 2 + \ell, 4 + \ell) \text{ for some } \ell, \\ e_0 e_j &= e_j e_0 = e_j && \text{for all } j. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by  $C_{\mathbb{Q}}^0$  the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subalgebra of  $C$  with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis  $e_0, \dots, e_7$ . By  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  we denote the standard inner product with respect to this basis. A characteristic property of  $C$  is that the corresponding quadratic form  $N$  with  $N(x) := (x, x)$  is multiplicative, i.e.,  $N(xy) = N(x)N(y)$  for all  $x, y \in C$ . Moreover this inner product defines an involution  $- : C \rightarrow C$ ,  $x \mapsto 2(x, e_0)e_0 - x$ . Then  $(x, y)e_0 = \frac{1}{2}(x\bar{y} + y\bar{x}) = (x\bar{y}, e_0)e_0$  for all  $x, y \in C$ .

Let  $\pi : C \rightarrow C$  be the orthogonal projection onto  $\mathbb{R}e_0 = \mathrm{Fix}_C(-)$  and  $\pi' := \mathrm{id} - \pi$ . Then  $\pi(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x + \bar{x}) = (x, e_0)e_0$  and  $\pi'(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x - \bar{x})$  for all  $x \in C$ .

Note  $C = \mathbb{R}e_0 \oplus V$  with  $V = \langle e_1, \dots, e_7 \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \pi'(C)$ , the orthogonal complement of  $\mathbb{R}e_0$  in  $C$ .

Let  $G$  be a finite subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(C)$ . Call a  $K$ -subspace  $C_K$  of  $C$  a  $K$ - $G$ -form of  $C$ , if

- (i)  $C_K$  has a  $K$ -basis which is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -basis of  $C$ ;
- (ii)  $C_K$  is a  $K$ -subalgebra of  $C$ ;
- (iii)  $G$  acts on  $C_K$  by  $K$ -algebra automorphisms.

Denote the orthogonal complement (with respect to  $N$ ) of  $Ke_0$  in  $C_K$  by  $V_K$ .

Thus, for example,  $C_{\mathbb{Q}}^0$  is a  $K$ -1-form of  $C$  and  $V_{\mathbb{Q}} = \langle e_1, \dots, e_7 \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

For the proof of the next lemma one needs the following

**MULTIPLICATION FORMULA.**  $\pi'(x \cdot \pi'(x \cdot y)) = (x, y)x - (x, x)y$  for all  $x, y \in V$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in V$ . Then using the fact that  $\pi'(z) = z - (z, e_0)e_0$  for all  $z \in C$ , one gets  $\pi'(x \cdot \pi'(x \cdot y)) = x(xy) - (xy, e_0)x - (x(xy), e_0)e_0 + (xy, e_0)(x, e_0)e_0 (*)$ . Since  $x, y \in V$  one has  $(y, e_0) = (x, e_0) = 0$  and  $x(xy) = x^2y = -N(x)y \in V$ . Moreover  $(xy, e_0) = (x, \bar{y}) = -(x, y)$ . Using  $(*)$ , we find  $\pi'(x \cdot \pi'(x \cdot y)) = -N(x)y + (x, y)x$ .  $\square$

**UNIQUE  $K$ - $G$ -FORM LEMMA.** *Let  $K$  be a subfield of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $G \leq \text{Aut}(C)$  is conjugate under  $\text{GL}(C)$  to a subgroup of  $\text{GL}_8(K)$ . Assume that the character of  $G$  on  $C$  is  $1 + \chi$  with  $\chi$  absolutely irreducible.*

- (a) *There exists at most one  $K$ - $G$ -form  $C_K$  of  $C$ .*
- (b) *If  $\chi$  satisfies  $(\chi^{2-}, \chi) = 1$  (where  $\chi^{2-}$  denotes the character of  $G$  on the skewsymmetric part  $\wedge^2 V$  of  $V \otimes V$ ), then there exists a  $K$ - $G$ -form  $C_K$  of  $C$ .*

*Proof.*

- (a) Let  $C_K, C'_K$  be  $K$ - $G$ -forms of  $C$ . Clearly  $C_K = Ke_0 \oplus V_K$ , with  $V_K$  a simple  $KG$ -submodule of  $V$  (the orthogonal complement of  $\mathbb{R}e_0$  in  $C$ ). Similarly  $C'_K = Ke_0 \oplus V'_K$ . By absolute irreducibility there exists a  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $V'_K = \lambda V_K$ , because a  $KG$ -isomorphism from  $V_K$  to  $V'_K$  extends uniquely to an  $\mathbb{R}G$ -isomorphism of  $V$ . Choose  $v_1, v_2 \in V_K$  with  $v_1 v_2 = \alpha e_0 + w$  and  $0 \neq w \in V_K$ . Then  $\lambda v_1 \lambda v_2 = \lambda^2 \alpha e_0 + \lambda(\lambda w)$ . Since  $\lambda w \in \lambda V_K = V'_K$  and  $\lambda^2 w \in V'_K$  one concludes that  $\lambda \in K$ .
- (b) The morphism  $\wedge^2 V \rightarrow V$  determined by  $x \wedge y \mapsto \pi'(xy)$  is  $G$ -equivariant. But, by the character condition, any such morphism is a scalar multiple of a nonzero generator of the 1-space of  $G$ -equivariant morphisms  $\wedge^2 V \rightarrow V$ . This generator is defined over  $V_K$ , and so there is  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$ , such that  $\pi'(xy) \in \lambda V_K$  for all  $x, y \in V_K$ . Replacing  $V_K$  by  $\lambda^{-1}V_K$ , we find that

$$\pi'(xy) \in V_K \quad \text{for all } x, y \in V_K.$$

But then the multiplication formula shows that  $N(x) \in K$  and  $(x, y) \in K$  for all  $x, y \in V_K$ . In particular,  $xy = \pi'(xy) + \pi(xy) = \pi'(xy) + (xy, e_0)e_0 \in Ke_0 + V_K$ . We conclude that  $Ke_0 + V_K$  is a  $K$ - $G$ -form.  $\square$

Now, let  $G$  be one of the four maximal finite subgroups mentioned above and let  $K$  be the minimal splitting field of the representation of  $G$  on  $C$ , resp.  $V$ . Then  $G$  satisfies the character conditions of the unique  $K$ - $G$ -form lemma, hence there is a unique  $K$ - $G$ -form in each case. Our computations show that  $C_K = KC_{\mathbb{Q}}^0$ . The latter follows immediately in the first 3 cases of  $G$ , but after some calculation in the last case (cf. below). It can also be concluded from [Spr 63], pg. 14. This establishes the first statement of the theorem in Section 1.

Coming to the arithmetic let  $L$  be a Cayley order for  $G$  in  $C_K$ . Then, as a  $KG$ -module,  $KL$  is isomorphic to  $Ke_0 \oplus V_K$  where  $V_K = \langle e_1, \dots, e_7 \rangle_K$  is a simple  $KG$ -module of dimension 7. Set  $L_1 := L \cap V_K$  and  $L'_1 := \pi'(L)$ . Then  $L_1$  and  $L'_1$  are  $RG$ -lattices in  $V_K$  by the General Lemma.

**NORM LEMMA.** *For any  $x \in L$  we have  $N(x) \in R$  and  $\bar{x} = 2(x, e_0)e_0 - x \in L$ .*

*Proof.* For  $x \in L$  consider left multiplication with  $x$ . Its characteristic polynomial lies in  $R[t]$ , since  $xL \subseteq L$ . On the other hand  $x$  is a root of the quadratic polynomial  $t^2 - 2(e_0, x)t + N(x)$  which must therefore divide the characteristic polynomial and hence lies in  $R[t]$ . The first part follows from a look at the constant term. The linear term gives  $2(x, e_0) \in R$ , so, by the General Lemma,  $2(x, e_0)e_0 \in L$ , whence  $\bar{x} \in L$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY.** *Either  $L = \text{Re}_0 \oplus L_1$  or  $\text{Re}_0 \oplus L_1 \subset L \subset \frac{1}{2}\text{Re}_0 \oplus L'_1$  with  $L'_1/L_1 \cong \frac{1}{2}R/R$  and  $2(L_1, L'_1) \subseteq R$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $R \supseteq 2(e_0, L) = 2(e_0, \pi(L))$  one has  $\pi(L) \subseteq \frac{1}{2}R$ . Since  $2R$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ , there are only two possibilities:  $\pi(L) = R$  or  $\pi(L) = \frac{1}{2}R$ . Moreover  $2(L_1, L'_1) = 2(L_1, L) \subseteq R$ .  $\square$

For all four groups  $G$  it turns out that the second possibility occurs, i.e.,  $L$  is a subdirect product of  $\frac{1}{2}\text{Re}_0$  and  $L'_1$  amalgamated over the common factor module  $\frac{1}{2}R/R \cong L'_1/L_1 \cong \mathbb{F}_2^n$  with  $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$  on which  $G$  acts trivially. For the prime ideals  $\wp$  of  $R$  not containing 2 the above corollary has an important consequence.

**ODD PRIME LEMMA.** *Let  $\wp$  be a prime ideal of  $R$  not dividing 2. Then the  $\wp$ -adic completion  $L_{\wp}$  of  $L$  is given by  $R_{\wp}e_0 \oplus (L_1)_{\wp}$  where  $(L_1)_{\wp}$  is the unique  $R_{\wp}G$ -sublattice  $X$  of  $K_{\wp} \otimes_K V_K$  with  $X = X^{\#} := \{x \in K_{\wp} \otimes_K V_K \mid (X, x) \subseteq R_{\wp}\}$ .*

*Proof.* From the decomposition numbers, cf. [JLPW 94], one immediately sees that  $X/\wp X$  is a simple  $R/\wp G$ -module in all four cases. Therefore the set of  $R_{\wp}G$ -lattices in  $K_{\wp} \otimes_K V_K$  forms a chain of the kind  $\dots \supseteq \wp^{-1}X \supseteq X \supseteq \wp X \supseteq \dots$ . Our later constructions show that there is an  $RG$ -lattice  $Y$  in  $V_K$  such that  $Y \cdot Y \subseteq \text{Re}_0 \oplus Y$  and  $[Y^{\#} : Y]$  is a 2-power, where  $Y^{\#} := \{x \in V_K \mid (Y, x) \subseteq R\}$ .

(For instance  $2L_1$  satisfies these requirements.) Hence there is exactly one  $R_\varphi G$ -lattice  $X$  in  $K_\varphi \otimes_K V_K$  satisfying  $X = X^\#$ . Moreover  $X \cdot X \subseteq R_\varphi e_0 \oplus X$ .  $\square$

This lemma leaves only the prime 2 to be investigated. There the lattice of  $RG$ -lattices in  $V_K$  is more complicated. It can however be computed by the method described in [HoP 89] pg. 105, which runs roughly as follows: Let  $M$  be any full  $RG$ -lattice in  $V_K$  and  $M'$  be a maximal  $RG$ -sublattice of  $M$ . Then  $M/M'$  is a simple  $(R/\varphi R)G$ -module for some prime  $\varphi$  in  $R$ , hence  $M'$  is the kernel of an epimorphism  $M \rightarrow S$  for some simple  $(R/\varphi R)G$ -module  $S$ .

The remainder of this paper is devoted to this investigation and hence a case by case proof of the second part of the theorem in Section 1.

The final point of this section concerns the notation for matrices: they act from the right;  $\text{diag}(A_1, \dots, A_n)$  denotes the block diagonal matrix with  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  on the (block-)diagonal; for a permutation  $\pi$  in the symmetric group  $S_n$  usually given in disjoint cycle notation,  $P_n(\pi)$  denotes the  $n \times n$ -permutation matrix whose  $(i, j)$ -entry is 1 if  $i\pi = j$  and 0 otherwise.

### 3. The case $G = 2^3 \cdot \text{GL}(3, 2)$

Here  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ . With respect to the basis  $(e_1, \dots, e_7)$  of  $V_K$ , the group  $G$  is generated by the following two matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{diag}(1, 1, 1, -1, -1, 1, 1) \cdot P_7((1, 2)(3, 6)), \\ &\text{and } P_7((1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7)) \text{ (cf. [Cox 46]).} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can take  $V_K = \bigoplus_{i=1}^7 \mathbb{Q}e_i$ . Up to isomorphism (i.e., up to multiplication with elements of  $\mathbb{Q}^*$ ) there are five  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -lattices  $M_1, \dots, M_5$  in  $V_K$ . Representatives can be chosen as follows

$$\begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2}M_1 \\ M_2 \\ \\ M_5 \\ M_1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{l} M_1 = \langle e_1, \dots, e_7 \rangle \\ M_2 = \langle \sum_{i=1}^7 \alpha_i e_i \mid \sum_{i=1}^7 \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha_i \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \rangle \\ M_4 = \langle M_1, \frac{1}{2}(e_3 + e_5 + e_6 + e_7), \frac{1}{2}(e_2 + e_4 + e_5 + e_6), \\ \quad \frac{1}{2}(e_1 + e_4 + e_6 + e_7) \rangle \\ M_5 = \langle M_1, \frac{1}{2}(e_1 + \dots + e_7) \rangle \end{array} \end{array}$$

$\frac{1}{2}M_1/M_2$ ,  $M_2/M_4$ , and  $M_4/M_1$  are nonisomorphic simple  $\mathbb{F}_2 G$ -modules of dimensions 1, 3, 3, respectively. One has  $M_1 \cdot M_1 = \mathbb{Z}e_0 \oplus M_1$ , but  $\langle M_1, \frac{1}{2}(e_0 + \dots + e_7) \rangle$  is still multiplicatively closed, whereas  $M_4 \cdot M_4 = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}e_0 \wedge^S \frac{1}{2}M_1$  is the

subdirect product of  $\frac{1}{2}M_1$  and  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}e_0$  amalgamated over the common factor module  $S \cong \frac{1}{2}M_1/M_2 \cong \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}e_0/\mathbb{Z}e_0$  and  $M_5 \cdot M_5 = \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{Z} \oplus M_2$ . Since the multiplicative closures of the lattices  $M_4$  and  $M_5$  are no longer lattices, one has that, as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice, the unique Cayley order for  $G$  is generated by  $e_0, \dots, e_7$  and  $\frac{1}{2}(e_0 + \dots + e_7)$ .

#### 4. The case $G = G_2(2)$

Again  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ . With respect to the basis  $(e_1, \dots, e_7)$  of  $V_K$ , the group  $G$  is generated by the two matrices

$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -1) \cdot P_7((1, 6)(4, 7)).$$

Thus we can take  $V_K = \oplus_{i=1}^7 \mathbb{Q}e_i$ . Up to isomorphism (i.e., up to multiplication with elements of  $\mathbb{Q}^*$ ) there are two  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -lattices  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  in  $V_K$ . Representatives can be chosen as follows:  $M_1 = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3, e_6, \frac{1}{2}(e_1 + e_2 + e_5 + e_6), \frac{1}{2}(e_2 + e_3 + e_6 + e_7), \frac{1}{2}(e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4) \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $M_2 = \langle 2M_1, e_3 + e_4 + e_6 \rangle$ . Both  $M_1/M_2$  and  $M_2/2M_1$  are simple  $\mathbb{F}_2G$ -modules of dimensions 6 and 1, respectively. One has  $M_2 \cdot M_2 = \mathbb{Z}e_0 \oplus M_2$ , and  $M_1 \cdot M_1 = \langle e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3, \frac{1}{2}(e_0 + e_3 + e_4 + e_6), \frac{1}{2}(e_1 + e_2 + e_5 + e_6), \frac{1}{2}(e_2 + e_3 + e_6 + e_7), \frac{1}{2}(e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4) \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}e_0 \wr^S \frac{1}{2}M_2$  is the subdirect product of  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}e_0$  and  $\frac{1}{2}M_2$  amalgamated over the common factor module  $S \cong \frac{1}{2}M_2/M_1 \cong \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}e_0/\mathbb{Z}e_0$ . Since  $M_1 \cdot M_1$  is multiplicatively closed, it is the unique Cayley order for  $G$ .

#### 5. The case $G = \text{PSL}(2, 8)$

Now  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ , where  $\omega^3 - 3\omega + 1 = 0$ , is the ring of all integers in  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\omega) = \mathbb{Q}(\cos(2\pi/9))$ . With respect to the basis  $(e_1, \dots, e_7)$  of  $V_K$ , the group  $G$  is generated by the following three matrices

$$\text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, -1, 1, -1, -1) \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \omega & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$P_7((1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7)) \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \omega + \omega^2 & 0 \\ 1 + \omega & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} a & b & b+1 & c & -1 & a-1 & -\omega \\ b & b+1 & -c & -1 & -a+1 & \omega & -a \\ b+1 & -c & 1 & -a+1 & -\omega & a & -b \\ -c & 1 & a-1 & -\omega & -a & b & -b-1 \\ 1 & a-1 & \omega & -a & -b & b+1 & c \\ a-1 & \omega & a & -b & -b-1 & -c & -1 \\ \omega & a & b & -b-1 & c & 1 & -a+1 \end{pmatrix} \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here  $a := 3 - \omega - \omega^2$ ,  $b := -2 + \omega^2$  and  $c := -1 - \omega$ . Again we can take  $V_K = \bigoplus_{i=1}^7 K e_i$ . The  $2 \times 2$ -matrices added indicate a correspondence with the usual presentation of  $\text{PSL}(2, 8)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2[\omega]$ . Note that  $\langle e_1, \dots, e_7 \rangle_R$  is not an  $RG$ -lattice in  $V_K$ .

Up to isomorphism (i.e., up to multiplication with elements of  $K^*$ ) there are four  $RG$ -lattices  $M_1, \dots, M_4$  in  $V_K$ . Representatives can be chosen as follows

$$\begin{array}{ll} M_1 & = \frac{1}{4}(e_1 + (\omega + \omega^2)e_2 + \omega e_3 + (1 - \omega - \omega^2)e_4 \\ & \quad - \omega^2 e_5 + (1 + 2\omega + \omega^2)e_6 - (1 + \omega)e_7) \cdot RG \\ M_2 & = \frac{1}{4}((-1 + \omega)e_1 - e_2 + (\omega + \omega^2)e_3 - \omega e_4 \\ & \quad - (1 - \omega - \omega^2)e_5 - (2 - 2\omega - \omega^2)e_6 + (1 + \omega^2)e_7) \cdot RG \\ M_3 & = \frac{1}{2}(e_1 + \omega^2 e_4 + \omega e_6 + (-2 + \omega - \omega^2)e_7) \cdot RG \\ M_4 & = \frac{1}{2}(e_1 - \omega^2 e_3 - \omega^2 e_4 + \omega e_5 + \omega^2 e_6 + (1 + \omega + \omega^2)e_7) \cdot RG \end{array}$$

$M_1/M_2$ ,  $M_1/M_3$ , and  $M_4/2M_1$  represent nonisomorphic simple  $\mathbb{F}_8 G$ -modules of dimensions 1, 4, 2, respectively.



One has  $M_1 \cdot M_1 = \frac{1}{4}\text{Re}_0 \oplus M_1$ ,  $M_2 \cdot M_2 = \frac{1}{4}\text{Re}_0 \wedge^S \frac{1}{2}M_3$ , where  $S \cong \frac{1}{4}\text{Re}_0 / \frac{1}{2}\text{Re}_0 \cong \frac{1}{2}M_3 / \frac{1}{2}M_4$ ,  $M_3 \cdot M_3 = \frac{1}{4}\text{Re}_0 \oplus \frac{1}{2}M_4$  and  $M_4 \cdot M_4 = \frac{1}{2}\text{Re}_0 \wedge^S M_3$ , where  $S \cong \frac{1}{2}\text{Re}_0 / \text{Re}_0 \cong M_3 / M_4$ . It follows that  $L = M_4 \cdot M_4$  is the unique Cayley order for  $\text{PSL}(2, 8)$ .

Invertible elements of  $L$  have invertible norms lying in  $R$ . Being interested in which invertible values from  $R$  the Cayley norm takes, we compute modulo squares, as they are the norms of elements from  $R$  themselves. Modulo squares we have  $R^*/(R^*)^2 \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ . So there are 8 invertible values modulo squares of  $R^*$ . They correspond to the 8 different sign patterns for the 3 real embeddings. But the norm values must be positive in each embedding, and so only the class of  $1 \in R^*$  occurs as a norm value. The elements of  $L$  of norm 1 are precisely  $\pm e_0$ .

## 6. The case $G = \text{PSL}(2, 13)$

We recall from [CoW 83] the following three elements of  $\text{Aut}(C)$  generating a subgroup  $G$  isomorphic to  $\text{PSL}(2, 13)$ . The action is written with respect to the basis  $e_1, \dots, e_7$  of  $V$ . The  $2 \times 2$  matrices added indicate a correspondence with the usual presentation of  $\text{PSL}(2, 13)$ .

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 7 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 & 0 & s_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c_6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s_6 \\ 0 & -s_2 & 0 & c_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_8 & -s_8 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s_8 & c_8 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -s_6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_6 \end{pmatrix} \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

where  $c_j = \cos(j\pi/13)$  and  $s_j = \sin(j\pi/13)$ ,

$$n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{13}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & -2 & -2 \\ 0 & c & d & 0 & e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d & e & 0 & c & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & u & 0 & v & w \\ 0 & e & c & 0 & d & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & v & 0 & w & u \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & w & 0 & u & v \end{pmatrix} \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

where

$$c = \frac{1}{2}(-7 + \sqrt{13} + 8 \cos(2\pi/13) + 4(3 - \sqrt{13}) \cos^2(2\pi/13)),$$

$$d = \frac{1}{2}(-7 + \sqrt{13} + 8 \cos(8\pi/13) + 4(3 - \sqrt{13}) \cos^2(8\pi/13)),$$

$$e = \frac{1}{2}(-7 + \sqrt{13} + 8 \cos(6\pi/13) + 4(3 - \sqrt{13}) \cos^2(6\pi/13)),$$

$$u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{13}}(c + 2e - 2d),$$

$$v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{13}}(e + 2d - 2c),$$

$$w = \frac{1}{\sqrt{13}}(d + 2c - 2e).$$

Note that in [CoW 83] there are some misprints:  $13 - \sqrt{13}$  should be  $3 - \sqrt{13}$  and  $\cos(\alpha)$  should be  $2 \cos(\alpha)$  in  $c, d$ , and  $e$ .

Now  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{3+\sqrt{13}}{2}]$  is the ring of all integers in  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{13})$ . The first (and main) problem is to find a  $K$ -form  $C_K$  of  $C$ . The above data can be interpreted as an  $F$ - $G$ -form  $C_F$  of  $C$  (isomorphic to  $FC_{\mathbb{Q}}^0$  as  $F$ -algebra), where  $F := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{52} + \zeta_{52}^{-1}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sin(2\pi/13))$  with  $\zeta_{52} = \exp(2\pi i/52)$ . The Galois descent from  $C_F$  to  $C_K$  can be performed roughly as follows. Let  $(V_F)_K$  be the  $KG$ -module obtained from the  $FG$ -module  $V_F$  (of dimension 7 over  $F$ ) by restricting scalars to  $K$ , so in particular  $\dim_K (V_F)_K = 7 \cdot 6$  and  $E := \text{End}_{KG}((V_F)_K) \cong K^{6 \times 6}$ . From the way  $(V_F)_K$  is given, one obtains  $F$  as a maximal subfield of  $E$  and can therefore easily construct  $E$  as a crossed product algebra of  $F$  with  $\text{Gal}(F/K) \cong C_6$ . As a result of this, a parametrization of all simple  $KG$ -submodules  $W$  of  $(V_F)_K$  ensues. One readily finds a  $W$  with  $W \cdot W \subseteq Ke_0 \oplus W$ , which therefore yields the unique  $K$ - $G$ -form  $C_K = Ke_0 \oplus W$ . To be explicit,  $W = V_K$  can be chosen as  $\lambda e_1 \cdot KG$  with  $\lambda = 13s - 64s^3 + 83s^5 - 45s^7 + 11s^9 - s^{11}$ , where  $s = \sin(2\pi/13)$  (in particular  $\lambda^2 = \frac{3\sqrt{13}-13}{2}$ ). To prove  $C_K \cong K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} C_{\mathbb{Q}}^0$  it suffices to check that the

norm forms are equivalent by [vdBS 59] pg. 410. Again by the result of [vdBS 59] on composition algebras over complete discrete valuation rings and the local-global principle for quadratic forms over number fields, cf. [Sch 85] Cor. 6.6, it suffices to check that the norm form of  $C_K$  is totally positive definite, cf. also [Spr 63].

Up to isomorphism, there are two  $RG$ -lattices  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  in  $V_K$ . The quotients  $M_1/M_2$  and  $M_2/2M_1$  represent nonisomorphic  $\mathbb{F}_4G$ -modules of dimension 1 and 6, respectively.  $M_1$  is as  $RG$ -lattice generated by  $\frac{1}{2}\lambda e_1$ , where  $\lambda$  is as above.  $M_2$  is as  $RG$ -lattice generated by  $\frac{1}{13}(\lambda_2 e_2 + \lambda_3 e_3 + \lambda_5 e_5)$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 65s^2 - 169s^4 + 130s^6 - 39s^8 + 4s^{10}$ ,  $\lambda_3 = 13 - 117s^2 + 143s^4 - 65s^6 + 13s^8 - s^{10}$ ,  $\lambda_5 = 52 - 286s^2 + 364s^4 - 182s^6 + 39s^8 - 3s^{10}$ , where  $s = \sin(2\pi/13)$  is as above ( $\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_5 = -169\lambda^2$ ).

One computes  $M_1 \cdot M_1 = \frac{1}{4}\text{Re}_0 \oplus \frac{1}{2}M_2$  and  $M := M_2 \cdot M_2 = \frac{1}{2}\text{Re}_0 \bigwedge^S M_1$ , with  $S \cong_{RG} (\frac{1}{2}R)/R \cong_{RG} M_1/M_2$ . Observe that  $M$  is multiplicatively closed, whereas the multiplicative closure of the superlattice  $M_1$  of  $M_2$  is no longer a lattice in  $C_K$ . As in the case  $G = \text{PSL}(2, 8)$  one obtains that  $M = L$  is the unique Cayley order for  $\text{PSL}(2, 13)$  and the invertible elements in  $L$  are the elements in  $R^*e_0$ .

Though everything in the above description of  $V_K$  is explicit, it is often more convenient to describe  $V_K$  with respect to a basis more adjusted to  $G$ . The matrices of  $G$  are monomial with respect to the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis  $(v_1, \dots, v_{14})$  of  $V_K$  where  $v_i = \sum_{j=1}^7 \alpha_{ij}e_j$  and

$$(\alpha_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 13\lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{13}\lambda & 0 & 0 & -2\sqrt{13}\lambda & 0 & -2\sqrt{13}\lambda & -2\sqrt{13}\lambda \\ \sqrt{13}\lambda & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_4 & \alpha_5 & \alpha_6 \\ \sqrt{13}\lambda & \lambda_3 & \lambda_2 & \alpha_7 & \lambda_5 & \alpha_8 & \alpha_9 \\ \sqrt{13}\lambda & \alpha_2 & \alpha_4 & \alpha_6 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_5 \\ \sqrt{13}\lambda & -\alpha_4 & -\alpha_1 & \alpha_5 & -\alpha_2 & \alpha_6 & \alpha_3 \\ \sqrt{13}\lambda & \lambda_5 & \lambda_3 & \alpha_8 & \lambda_2 & \alpha_9 & \alpha_7 \\ \sqrt{13}\lambda & \lambda_2 & \lambda_5 & \alpha_9 & \lambda_3 & \alpha_7 & \alpha_8 \\ \sqrt{13}\lambda & -\lambda_2 & -\lambda_5 & \alpha_9 & -\lambda_3 & \alpha_7 & \alpha_8 \\ \sqrt{13}\lambda & -\lambda_5 & -\lambda_3 & \alpha_8 & -\lambda_2 & \alpha_9 & \alpha_7 \\ \sqrt{13}\lambda & \alpha_4 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_5 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_6 & \alpha_3 \\ \sqrt{13}\lambda & -\alpha_2 & -\alpha_4 & \alpha_6 & -\alpha_1 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_5 \\ \sqrt{13}\lambda & -\lambda_3 & -\lambda_2 & \alpha_7 & -\lambda_5 & \alpha_8 & \alpha_9 \\ \sqrt{13}\lambda & -\alpha_1 & -\alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & -\alpha_4 & \alpha_5 & \alpha_6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here  $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda_2$ ,  $\lambda_3$ , and  $\lambda_5$  are as above, and

$$\alpha_1 = 39 - 260s^2 + 416s^4 - 273s^6 + 78s^8 - 8s^{10},$$

$$\alpha_2 = 13 - 91s^2 + 52s^4 + 13s^6 - 13s^8 + 2s^{10},$$

$$\alpha_3 = -78s + 364s^3 - 442s^5 + 221s^7 - 49s^9 + 4s^{11},$$

$$\alpha_4 = -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 13,$$

$$\alpha_5 = 26s - 91s^3 + 78s^5 - 26s^7 + 3s^9,$$

$$\alpha_6 = -26s + 78s^3 - 78s^5 + 39s^7 - 10s^9 + s^{11},$$

$$\alpha_7 = 13s + 26s^5 - 39s^7 + 16s^9 - 2s^{11},$$

$$\alpha_8 = 39s - 273s^3 + 390s^5 - 221s^7 + 55s^9 - 5s^{11},$$

and

$$\alpha_9 = 39s - 208s^3 + 221s^5 - 91s^7 + 16s^9 - s^{11},$$

where  $s = \sin(2\pi/13)$  is as above.

With respect to the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis  $(v_1, \dots, v_{14})$  of  $V_K$  one has

$$a = -I_{14}P_{14}((3, 12, 11, 14, 5, 6)(4, 9, 7, 13, 8, 10)),$$

$$k = P_{14}((2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14)),$$

and

$$n = \text{diag}(I_3, -1, I_2, -I_4, I_2, -1, 1)P_{14}((1, 2)(3, 14)(4, 8)(5, 6)(9, 13)(11, 12)).$$

The element in the commuting algebra of  $G$  corresponding to  $\sqrt{13}$  is  $(a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{13}$ , where

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j \\ -1 & \text{if } i = 1 \text{ or } j = 1 \text{ or } |i - j| \in \{1, 3, 4, 9, 10, 12\} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

## References

- [CoW 83] Cohen, A. M. and Wales, D. B.: Finite subgroups of  $G_2(C)$ , *Comm. Algebra*, 11 (1983) 441–459.
- [CoW 94] Cohen, A. M. and Wales, D. B.: Finite simple subgroups of semisimple complex Lie groups – a survey, pp. 77–96 in “Groups of Lie type and their geometries”, eds. W. M. Kantor and L. Di Martino, LMS Lecture Notes, no. 207, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [Cox 46] Coxeter, H. S. M.: Integral Cayley Numbers, *Duke Math. J.* 13 (1946) 561–578.
- [Dic 23] Dickson, L. E.: A new simple theory of hypercomplex integers, *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées (9)*, Vol. 2 (1923), 281–326.
- [Gri 94] Griess, Jr., R. L.: Basic Conjugacy Theorems for  $G_2$ , Preprint 1994, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor.
- [HoP 89] Holt, D. F. and Plesken, W.: Perfect Groups, Oxford University Press 1989.
- [JLPW 94] Jansen, C., Lux, K., Parker, R. A. and Wilson, R. A.: An Atlas of Brauer Characters. In preparation.
- [Reh 94] Rehm, H. P.: Prime factorization of integral Cayley octaves, *Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse*, Vol. II, no. 2, (1993) 271–289.
- [Sch 85] Scharlau, W.: Quadratic and Hermitian Forms, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [Slo 93] Slodowy, P.: Two notes on a finiteness problem in the representation theory of finite groups, *Hamburger Beiträge zur Mathematik*, Heft 21, 1993, Universität Hamburg.
- [Spr 63] Springer, T. A.: Oktaven, Jordan-Algebren und Ausnahmegruppen, Lecture Notes, Göttingen 1963.
- [vdBS 59] van der Blij, F. and Springer, T. A.: The arithmetics of octaves and of the group  $G_2$ , *Proc. Nederl. Akad. Wet.* (1959) 406–418.
- [Wei 64] Weil, A.: Remarks on the cohomology of groups, *Annals of Math.* 80 (1964) 149–157.