

# COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

MASAAKI HOMMA

## A souped-up version of Pardini's theorem and its application to funny curves

*Compositio Mathematica*, tome 71, n° 3 (1989), p. 295-302

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM\\_1989\\_\\_71\\_3\\_295\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1989__71_3_295_0)

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1989, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

*Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
http://www.numdam.org/*

## A souped-up version of Pardini's theorem and its application to funny curves

MASAAKI HOMMA

Department of Mathematics, Ryukyu University, Nishihara-cho, Okinawa, 903-01, Japan

Received 29 August 1988

Dedicated to Professor Yukihiro Kodama on his 60th birthday

### 0. Introduction

Projective geometry over fields of positive characteristic does not behave like the classical projective geometry. For example, when the characteristic of the ground field is positive, a plane curve is not always reflexive, i.e., the dual map from the curve to its dual is not always birational.

In this context, R. Pardini proved the following theorem.

**THEOREM** (Pardini [4]). *Let  $C$  be a smooth curve of degree  $d$  in projective plane  $\mathbb{P}^2$  over an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 2$ . Then  $C$  is nonreflexive if and only if  $p \mid d - 1$  and the equation of  $C$  is of the form;*

$$X_1 P_1(X_1^p, X_2^p, X_3^p) + X_2 P_2(X_1^p, X_2^p, X_3^p) + X_3 P_3(X_1^p, X_2^p, X_3^p) = 0,$$

where the  $P_i$  are homogeneous of degree  $(d - 1)/p$ .

On the other hand, the author showed the following result in the previous paper [1].

**THEOREM.** *Let  $C$  be a smooth projective plane curve of degree  $d \geq 4$  over a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Then the dual curve of  $C$  is smooth if and only if  $d - 1$  is a power of  $p$  and  $C$  is projectively equivalent to the curve defined by*

$$X_1^d + X_2^{d-1} X_3 + X_2 X_3^{d-1} = 0.$$

We proved the theorem through complicated calculation. Purposes of this note are:

- (1) to give a souped-up version of Pardini's theorem (see, §2, Cor. 2.5) and
- (2) to give a conceptual and straightforward proof of our previous theorem, using the souped-up version of Pardini's theorem and a recent result of H. Kaji [2] (see, §3).

### 1. Hasse-Schmidt differential operators on a polynomial ring

Throughout this section, we fix a polynomial ring  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  over a field  $k$ . First we define differential operators  $D_i^{(\alpha)}$  ( $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ;  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ), where  $\mathbb{N}_0$  is the nonnegative integers.

**DEFINITION 1.1.** For integers  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we define the  $k$ -linear endomorphism  $D_i^{(\alpha)}$  of  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  by

$$D_i^{(\alpha)}(X_j^m) = \delta_{ij} \binom{m}{\alpha} X_i^{m-\alpha},$$

where  $\delta_{ij}$  is Kronecker symbol and  $\binom{m}{\alpha} = (m!/\alpha!(m-\alpha)!)$ .

**REMARK 1.2.** The following properties hold:

$$(1) \ \alpha! D_i^{(\alpha)} = \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial X_i^\alpha};$$

$$(2) \ [D_i^{(\alpha)}, D_j^{(\beta)}] = 0;$$

$$(3) \ D_i^{(\alpha)} D_i^{(\beta)} = \binom{\alpha + \beta}{\alpha} D_i^{(\alpha + \beta)};$$

$$(4) \ D_i^{(\alpha)}(G \cdot H) = \sum_{v=0}^{\alpha} D_i^{(v)}(G) D_i^{(\alpha-v)}(H).$$

**DEFINITION 1.3.** Let  $F(X)$  be a homogeneous polynomial in  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  of degree  $d$  and let  $j$  be an integer with  $0 \leq j \leq d$ . We define the polynomial

$$F^{(j)}(X; Y) \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$$

by

$$F^{(j)}(X; Y) = \sum_{(\alpha)} (D_1^{(\alpha_1)} \dots D_n^{(\alpha_n)} F) Y_1^{\alpha_1} \dots Y_n^{\alpha_n},$$

where  $(\alpha)$  ranges over the set of nonnegative integers  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  with  $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = j$ .

**LEMMA 1.4.** (0)  $F^{(j)}(X; Y)$  is bihomogeneous of degree  $(d-j, j)$ .

$$(1) \ F^{(j)}(X; X) = \binom{d}{j} F(X)$$

(2) Let  $s$  and  $t$  be two variables. Then

$$F(sX + tY) = \sum_{j=0}^d F^{(j)}(X; Y) s^{d-j} t^j.$$

(3)  $F^{(j)}(X; Y) = F^{(d-j)}(Y; X)$ .

*Proof.* (0) is trivial by the definition. To prove (1), it suffices to show the formula when  $F(X)$  is a monomial. This case can be proved by using the following formula; for a fixed nonnegative integers  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  with  $e_1 + \dots + e_n = d$ , we have

$$\sum_{\substack{(\alpha) \\ \text{with} \\ \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = j}} \binom{e_1}{\alpha_1} \dots \binom{e_n}{\alpha_n} = \binom{d}{j}.$$

[This formula obtained by comparing coefficients of  $\lambda^j$  in  $(\lambda + 1)^{e_1} \dots (\lambda + 1)^{e_n}$  and  $(\lambda + 1)^d$ .]

(2) It also suffices to show the formula when  $F(X)$  is a monomial. In this case, the formula is obvious. (3): By (2), we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^d (F^{(j)}(X; Y) - F^{(d-j)}(Y; X)) s^{d-j} t^j = 0.$$

Hence we have  $F^{(j)}(X; Y) = F^{(d-j)}(Y; X)$  for any  $j$ .

## 2. A souped-up version of Pardini's theorem

From now on, we work over a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ .

Throughout of this section, we fix an irreducible curve  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  of degree  $d$ , given by the equation  $F(X_1, X_2, X_3) = 0$ .

For a smooth point  $P \in C$ , we define an integer  $m(P)$  ( $\geq 2$ ) by the intersection multiplicity of the tangent line  $T_P(C)$  and  $C$  at  $P$ . Let  $M(C) = \min\{m(P) \mid P \in \text{Reg } C\}$ , where  $\text{Reg } C$  is the set of smooth points of  $C$ . Obviously,  $M(C) = m(P)$  if  $P$  is a general point of  $C$ . It is known that if  $M(C) > 2$ , then  $M(C)$  is a power of  $p$  and  $m(P)$  or  $m(P) - 1$  is divided by  $M(C)$ . In this case,  $M(C)$  coincides with the inseparable degree of the dual map  $C \rightarrow C^*$ , where  $C^*$  is the dual curve of  $C$ . (see, for example, [1].)

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Let us fix an integer  $m \geq 3$ . Let  $P = (x) = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$  be a smooth point of  $C$ . Then  $m(P) \geq m$  if and only if  $F^{(1)}(x; Y) \mid F^{(i)}(x; Y)$  as*

polynomials in  $(Y) = (Y_1, Y_2, Y_3)$  for any  $i$  with  $2 \leq i \leq m-1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(y) \in \mathbb{P}^2$  with  $(y) \neq (x)$  and let  $l((x), (y))$  the line joining  $(x)$  and  $(y)$ . Then the divisor on  $C$  cut out by the line  $l((x), (y))$  is equal to  $\sum_{(s:t)} s(x) + t(y)$ , where  $(s:t)$  ranges over the zeros counting multiplicities of the following equation:

$$(*) \begin{cases} 0 = F(s(x) + t(y)) \\ = F(x)s^d + F^{(1)}(x; y)s^{d-1}t + \dots \\ + F^{(m-1)}(x; y)s^{d-m+1}t^{m-1} + \dots + F^{(d)}(y)t^d. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, choosing  $(y)$  on  $T_p(C)$ , we have

$m(P) \geq m \Leftrightarrow (1:0)$  is a root of  $(*)$  with multiplicity  $\geq m$

$$\Leftrightarrow F(x) = F^{(1)}(x; y) = \dots = F^{(m-1)}(x; y) = 0.$$

Note that the condition  $F(x) = F^{(1)}(x; y) = 0$  is satisfied automatically, because  $P = (x) \in C$  and  $(y) \in T_p(C)$ . Hence  $m(P) \geq m$  if and only if  $F^{(i)}(x; Y)$  (as a polynomial in  $Y$ ) vanishes on  $T_p(C)$  for  $2 \leq i \leq m-1$ . Since,  $T_p(C)$  is the line determined by  $F^{(1)}(x; Y) = 0$ , the above condition is equivalent to the condition that  $F^{(1)}(x; Y) \mid F^{(i)}(x; Y)$  for  $2 \leq i \leq m-1$ .

To prove our main theorem, we need the following lemma, whose proof is easy and omitted.

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be complete smooth curves and let  $D$  and  $E$  be effective divisors on  $C_1 \times C_2$  such that*

- (1)  *$D$  has no components of type  $\{P\} \times C_2$ ;*
- (2) *for any  $P \in C_1$ ,  $D \cap \{P\} \times C_2 \prec E \cap \{P\} \times C_2$  as divisors on  $C_2 \simeq \{P\} \times C_2$ . Then we have  $C \prec E$ .*

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Suppose that  $C$  is smooth. Let  $q = p^e$  ( $e > 0$  if  $p \neq 2$ ;  $e > 1$  if  $p = 2$ ). Then  $M(C) \geq q$  if and only if  $F^{(i)}(X; Y) = 0$  (as a polynomial in  $(X)$  and  $(Y)$ ) for  $2 \leq i \leq q-1$ .*

*Proof.* Proposition 2.1 implies the “if” part. We prove the “only if” part. Suppose the contrary: there exists  $i$  ( $2 \leq i \leq q-1$ ) with  $F^{(i)}(X; Y) \neq 0$ . Let  $H$  be the divisor on  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  determined by the equation  $F^{(i)}(X; Y) = 0$ . First we show that  $H \cap C \times C$  is a divisor on  $C \times C$ . To prove this, by the irreducibility of  $C \times C$ , and by the unmixedness theorem, it suffices to show that  $H \not\supset C \times C$ . Suppose that  $H \supset C \times C$ . Restricting the both sides of  $H \supset C \times C$  to  $C \times \{P\}$ , we have that  $F^{(i)}(X; y)$  vanishes on  $C$ . Since  $\deg_X F^{(i)}(X; y) = d - i < d = \deg C$ , we have  $F^{(i)}(X; y) = 0$  as a polynomial in  $(X)$ . This holds for any  $y \in C$ . Hence,

putting

$$F^{(i)}(X; Y) = \sum_{(\gamma)} f_\gamma(Y) X^{\gamma_1} X^{\gamma_2} X^{\gamma_3},$$

we have  $f_\gamma(Y) = 0$  on  $C$ . Since  $\deg f_\gamma(Y) = i < d$ , we have  $f_\gamma(Y) = 0$  as a polynomial in  $(Y)$ . Hence we have  $F^{(i)}(X; Y) = 0$ , which is a contradiction.

Since  $F^{(1)}(x; Y)$  is an equation of the (embedded) tangent line to  $C$  at  $(x)$  if  $(x) \in C$ , the polynomial  $F^{(1)}(X; Y)$  is nontrivial. Therefore, by an argument similar to the one above, the equation  $F^{(1)}(X; Y) = 0$  determines a divisor, say  $D$ , on  $C \times C$ .

Let  $E = H \cap C \times C$ . Since  $C$  is smooth,  $D$  has no components of type  $\{x\} \times C$  (because  $F^{(1)}(x; Y) = \sum_{i=1}^3 (\partial F / \partial X_i)(x) Y_i$ ), and since  $F^{(1)}(x; Y) \mid F^{(i)}(x; Y)$  (by Proposition 2.1), we have

$$D \cap \{x\} \times C \prec E \cap \{x\} \times C$$

for any  $(x) \in C$ . Therefore  $D < E$  by Lemma 2.2. Hence, for any point  $(y) \in C$ ,  $D \cdot C \times \{y\} \prec E \cdot C \times \{y\}$  on  $C \times \{y\} \simeq C$ . This is impossible, because

$$\deg D \cdot C \times \{y\} = \deg_X F^{(1)}(X; y) \cdot d = (d - 1)d.$$

$$\deg E \cdot C \times \{y\} = \deg_X F^{(i)}(X; y) \cdot d = (d - i)d.$$

Hence we have  $F^{(i)}(X; Y) = 0$  for  $2 \leq i \leq q - 1$ .

**COROLLARY 2.4** (Pardini). *Suppose that  $C$  is smooth. If  $M(C) > 2$ , then  $M(C) \mid d - 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $M(C)$  is a power of  $p$  and  $S(C)M(C)d^* = d(d - 1)$ , where  $S(C)$  is the separable degree of the dual map  $C \rightarrow C^*$  and  $d^* = \deg C^*$  (see, for example, [1] the proof of 5.1), it suffices to show  $p \mid d - 1$ . One can prove this by using our theorem and an argument similar to the proof of ([4], Corollary 2.2).  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *Let  $C$  be a smooth plane curve of degree  $d$ . Let  $q = p^e$  ( $e > 0$  if  $p > 2$ ;  $e > 1$  if  $p = 2$ ). Then  $M(C) \geq q$  if and only if  $q$  divides  $d - 1$  and there are three homogeneous polynomials  $P_1, P_2, P_3 \in k[X_1, X_2, X_3]$  of degree  $(d - 1)/q$  such that*

$$F(X_1, X_2, X_3) = \sum_{i=1}^3 P_i(X_1^q, X_2^q, X_3^q) X_i.$$

*Proof.* Since  $M(C)$  is a power of  $p$  if  $M(C) \geq q$ , the assumption  $M(C) \geq q$  implies  $q \mid d - 1$  (by Corollary 2.4). So it suffices to show the assertion under the

condition  $q \mid d - 1$ . Say  $(d - 1)/q = r$ . From Theorem 2.3,  $M(C) \geq q$  if and only if

$$D^{(\alpha_1)} D^{(\alpha_2)} D^{(\alpha_3)} F = 0$$

for any triples  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$  with  $2 \leq \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \leq q - 1$ . Writing

$$F = \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = d} c_{(\beta)} X_1^{\beta_1} X_2^{\beta_2} X_3^{\beta_3},$$

the above condition means that if  $c_{(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)} \neq 0$  then

$$(A) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \binom{\beta_1}{\alpha_1} \binom{\beta_2}{\alpha_2} \binom{\beta_3}{\alpha_3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ \text{for any } (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \text{ with } 2 \leq \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \leq q - 1. \end{array} \right.$$

The condition (A) is equivalent to the condition:

$$(B) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there is a permutation } \{i, j, k\} \text{ of } \{1, 2, 3\} \text{ and integers } r_i, r_j, r_k \\ \text{such that} \\ \beta_i = r_i q + 1 \\ \beta_j = r_j q \\ \beta_k = r_k q \\ r_i + r_j + r_k = r. \end{array} \right.$$

To prove this, we use the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.6. *Let  $u$  and  $v$  be nonnegative integers and  $p$  a prime number. Expand  $u$  and  $v$  by  $p$  as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} u &= a_0 + a_1 p + \cdots + a_e p^e \quad (0 \leq a_i < p). \\ v &= b_0 + b_1 p + \cdots + b_e p^e \quad (0 \leq b_i < p). \end{aligned}$$

*Then  $\binom{u}{v} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  if and only if  $a_i \geq b_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, e$ .*

*Proof.* See Schmidt [5].

Let us continue the proof of Corollary 2.5. Assume that the condition (A) is satisfied for a fixed triple  $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)$  with  $\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = rq + 1$ . Put  $\beta_v = r_v q + r'_v$  ( $0 \leq r'_v < q - 1$ ) for  $v = 1, 2, 3$ . Suppose that some  $r'_v$ , say  $r'_1$ , is greater than 1. Then we may put  $\alpha_1 = r'_1$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0$  in (A), and then we have

$$\binom{\beta_1}{\alpha_1} \binom{\beta_2}{\alpha_2} \binom{\beta_3}{\alpha_3} = \binom{r_1 q + r'_1}{r'_1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

by Lemma 2.6. This is a contradiction. Hence we have  $r_v = 0$  or 1 for any  $v = 1, 2, 3$ . Since  $\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = rq + 1$ , we have  $r'_1 + r'_2 + r'_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ . Recall  $q = p^e$  with  $e > 0$  if  $p > 2$  or  $e > 1$  if  $p = 2$ . Hence we have that there is a permutation  $\{i, j, k\}$  of  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  such that  $r'_i = 1, r'_j = r'_k = 0$ . So the condition (A) implies (B). Conversely, (B) implies (A) by Lemma 2.6. Therefore the equivalence of the two conditions has been established. This completes the proof.

### 3. An application to funny curves

In this section, we give another proof of our previous theorem which is stated in Introduction.

Let  $C$  be a smooth plane curve of degree  $d \geq 4$  over an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 0$ .

First we review our previous proof. The proof divides into two parts. The first one is to show that

(I) if  $C^*$  is smooth, then  $M(C) = d - 1$ . (Hence  $M(C)$  is a power of  $p$ , say  $q$ .)

The second one is to show that

(II) if  $M(C) = d - 1 = q$ , then  $C$  is projectively equivalent to the curve defined by  $X_1^{q+1} + X_2^q X_3 + X_2 X_3^q = 0$ .

Our new proof is as follows. Concerning the first step, we can use a nice theorem by H. Kaji [2], which is the answer to the problem posed by Kleiman ([3], page 342).

**KAJI'S THEOREM** (a restricted version). *If  $C$  is a smooth plane curve of degree  $\geq 4$ , then  $S(C) = 1$ , where  $S(C)$  is the separable degree of the dual map  $C \rightarrow C^*$ .*

Let  $g$  (resp.  $g^*$ ) be the genus of  $C$  (resp.  $C^*$ ) and  $d$  (resp.  $d^*$ ) the degree of  $C$  (resp.  $C^*$ ). Since both  $C$  and  $C^*$  are smooth plane curve, we have  $g = \frac{1}{2}(d-1)(d-2)$  and  $g^* = \frac{1}{2}(d^*-1)(d^*-2)$ . Thanks to Kaji's theorem, we have  $g = g^*$  and hence  $d = d^*$ . Since  $S(C)M(C)d^* = d(d-1)$ , we have  $M(C) = d-1$ .

Next, we show (II). By Corollary 2.5, we have that there are three linear polynomials  $P_1, P_2, P_3$  such that  $C$  is defined by the equation  $\sum_{i=1}^3 P_i(X_1^q, X_2^q, X_3^q)X_i = 0$ . By an argument similar to that of Pardini ([4], the proof of 3.7), we can show that such equations are projectively equivalent to each other. In particular, the curve  $C$  is projectively equivalent to the curve with

$$X_1^{q+1} + X_2^q X_3 + X_2 X_3^q = 0.$$

This completes the proof.

**References**

1. M. Homma, Funny plane curves in characteristic  $p > 0$ , *Comm. Algebra* 15 (1987) 1469–1501.
2. H. Kaji, On the Gauss map of space curves in characteristic  $p$ , to appear in *Comp. Math.*
3. S.L. Kleiman, Intersection theory and enumerative geometry: A decade review, *Proc. of Symposia in Pure Math.* 46, Amer. Math. Soc., (1987) 321–370.
4. R. Pardini, Some remarks on plane curves over fields of finite characteristic, *Comp. Math.* 60 (1986) 3–17.
5. F.K. Schmidt, Die Wronskisch Determinante in beliebigen differenzierbaren Funktionenkörpern, *Math. Z.* 45 (1939) 62–74.

**Note added in proof.** After the paper was submitted, the author received a preprint from A. Hefez: Nonreflexive curves (to appear in *Comp. Math.*). He found a proof of Corollary 2.5 independently of the author.