COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

JOSE M. BAYOD
J. MARTINEZ MAURICA

A characterization of the spherically complete normed spaces with a distinguished basis

Compositio Mathematica, tome 49, n° 1 (1983), p. 143-145
<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1983__49_1_143_0>

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1983, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (http://http://www.compositio.nl/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM
Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

Compositio Mathematica 49 (1983) 143–145
© 1983 Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, The Hague. Printed in The Netherlands

A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE SPHERICALLY COMPLETE NORMED SPACES WITH A DISTINGUISHED BASIS

Jose M. Bayod and J. Martinez Maurica

The theory of normed spaces over a trivially valued field (or *valued spaces*) was developed mainly by P. Robert in his series of papers [3]. He introduced the concept of distinguished basis, also called orthogonal bases in the literature, and in order to deal with spaces that posses distinguished bases, he restricted himself to V-spaces ([3], p. 16), that is, complete valued spaces E such that

$$\|E\| = \{\|x\| : x \in E\} \subset \{0\} \cup \{\rho^n : n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$$

for some real number $\rho > 1$. K.-W. Yang, [5], has given a different proof of the fact that V-spaces have a distinguished basis. All V-spaces are easily shown to be spherically complete.

In this note we give a characterization of all valued spaces which are spherically complete and have a distinguished basis. These spaces need not be V-spaces. Moreover, we answer a question of Robert ([3], p. 8), by giving examples of valued spaces without a distinguished basis.

For notations, we refer to [3] and [4].

THEOREM: *Let E be a complete valued space over a field K (i.e., a non-archimedean Banach space over a field with the trivial valuation). Then, the following are equivalent:*

(i) *E has a distinguished (or orthogonal) basis, and it is spherically complete.*

(ii) *Every strictly decreasing sequence in $\|E\|$ converges to zero.*

PROOF: Assume (ii). Let $X \subset E$ be a maximal orthogonal subset of E ([3], p. 9). It is very easy to prove that our hypothesis (ii) implies the

closed linear span of X, $[X]$, is spherically complete. Then by Ingleton's Theorem ([4], Ex. 4.H; the proof also works when K is trivially valued), if $[X] \neq \cdot E$, there is a linear projection $P: E \to [X]$ of norm one, and for any $z \in E \backslash [X]$, $z - Pz$ is orthogonal to $[X]$ and different from zero, contradicting the maximality of X.

Conversely, assume E has a distinguished basis X and is spherically complete, and that there is a sequence in $\|E\|$ strictly decreasing and bounded away from zero. Since for every nonzero element of E there is some basic vector with the same norm, there must exist a sequence (x_n) in X with strictly decreasing norms but not convergent to zero.

Call F the closed vector subspace $[x_n : n \in \mathbf{N}]$. Then F is linearly isometric to the quotient of E by the subspace generated by the other members of X, hence it must be spherically complete (Cf. [4], Th. 4.2). But it is not: consider the sequence of closed balls

$$B(x_1 + \ldots + x_n, \|x_n\|), \qquad n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

REMARKS: (1) For non-archimedean Banach spaces over a *non-trivially* valued field, the same is true: a proof can be found in [4], Th. 5.16. That proof also works in our setting, but it is much more elaborated than the one given above; our proof is also valid when the valuation is not trivial, with a minor modification: in that case one cannot be sure that the set of norm values of a basis is the same as $\|E\| \backslash \{0\}$, and one has to change (x_n) into $(\lambda_n x_n)$ for suitable $\lambda_n \in K$.

(2) It is not difficult to prove that a valued space is spherically complete and has a distinguished basis if and only if it is linearly isometric with a space $c_0(I : s)$ defined as the set

$$\{x : I \to K \mid |x(i)|\, s(i) \to 0 \text{ for the Frechet filter on } I\}$$

(where I is any nonempty set) endowed with the norm

$$\|x\|_s = \max \{s(i) \mid x(i) \neq 0\}$$

where $s : I \to [0, +\infty)$ is a function whose range does not contain any strictly decreasing sequence with a positive limit.

Consequently, one can give examples of valued spaces with a distinguished basis, apart from V-spaces.

(3) Now we can produce several examples of valued spaces without a distinguished basis:

(a) Over the real field: the fields ${}^{\rho}\mathbf{R}$ introduced by A. Robinson, regarded as valued spaces over $\mathbf{R}$ (trivially valued), are spherically complete (see [1]), and have $\|{}^{\rho}\mathbf{R}\| = [0, +\infty)$.

(b) Over any field K: the field E of formal power series with coefficients in K and rational exponents, with the set of exponents relative to nonzero coefficients well-ordered is spherically complete ([2], p. 38), and has $\|E\|$ dense in $[0, +\infty)$.

REFERENCES

[1] W.A.J. LUXEMBURG: On a class of valuation fields introduced by A. Robinson. *Israel J. Math. 25* (1976) 189–201.
[2] A.F. MONNA: Analyse non-archimédienne, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
[3] P. ROBERT: On some non-archimedean normed linear spaces, I, II, ..., VI. *Comp. Math. 19* (1968) 1–77.
[4] A.C.M. VAN ROOIJ: Non-archimedean functional analysis, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1978.
[5] K.-W. YANG: On the existence of distinguished bases in a *V*-space. *Comp. Math. 23* (1971) 307–308.

(Oblatum 6-I-1982)

Facultad de Ciencias
Av. de los Castros
Santander
Spain