COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

M. DE WILDE
P. LECOMTE

Algebraic characterizations of the algebra of functions and of the Lie algebra of vector fields of a manifold

Compositio Mathematica, tome 45, n° 2 (1982), p. 199-205
<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1982__45_2_199_0>

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1982, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (http://http://www.compositio.nl/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM
Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA, Vol. 45, Fasc. 2, 1982, pag. 199–205
© 1982 Martinus Nijhoff Publishers – The Hague
Printed in the Netherlands

ALGEBRAIC CHARACTERIZATIONS OF THE ALGEBRA OF FUNCTIONS AND OF THE LIE ALGEBRA OF VECTOR FIELDS OF A MANIFOLD

M. De Wilde and P. Lecomte

1. Introduction

Let M be a smooth, connected, Hausdorff and second countable manifold. Denote by $\mathcal{H}(M)$ the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields of M and by $C_\infty(M)$ the space of smooth functions on M. Recall that the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_X (X \in \mathcal{H}(M))$ is defined on $\otimes^p C_\infty(M)$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_X(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_p) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes (\mathcal{L}_X f_j) \otimes \cdots \otimes f_p.$$

If $L : \otimes^p C_\infty(M) \to C_\infty(M)$ is a p-linear map, the adjoint action of $\mathcal{L}_X$ on L, $ad(\mathcal{L}_X)L$ is the commutator $\mathcal{L}_X \circ L - L \circ \mathcal{L}_X$. We intend to show that the only symmetric p-linear maps $L : \otimes^p C_\infty(M) \to C_\infty(M)$ for which $ad(\mathcal{L}_X)L = 0$ for each $X \in \mathcal{H}(M)$ are the multiples of the product of p factors: $f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_p \to f_1 \cdots f_p$. It provides thus a characterization of the product by means of its derivations.

A similar question is investigated for the Lie bracket of vector fields, which is shown to be determined up to a constant by the fact that its derivations are the Lie derivatives. This question has been solved by Van Strien in [4] under stronger assumptions which will be discussed in §3.

2. Characterization of the algebraic structure of $C_\infty(M)$

LEMMA 2.1: *There exist a finite partition of the unity $\lambda_t (t \leq r)$ of M, vector fields $X_t (t \leq r) \in \mathcal{H}(M)$ and functions $\mu_t (t \leq r) \in C_\infty(M)$ such that $\lambda_t = \lambda_t X_t \cdot \mu_t$ for each $t \leq r$.*

0010–437X/82020199–07$00.20/0

PROOF: It is well known from dimension theory [2], p. 20 that M admits an open cover $U_t (t \leq r)$ such that each U_t is the disjoint union of domains of charts $U_{it} (i \in \mathbb{N})$, as well as a locally finite partition of the unity ρ_{it} $(t \leq r, i \in \mathbb{N})$ such that each ρ_{it} has compact support in U_{it}. We set $V_{it} = \{x \in U_{it} : \rho_{it}(x) > 0\}$ and choose a partition of the unity $\rho'_{it} (t \leq r; i \in \mathbb{N})$ such that supp ρ'_{it} is compact in V_{it} for all i, t.

For each $t \leq r$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we may find $\alpha_{it}, \beta_{it} \in C_\infty(M)$ with compact supports in U_{it} such that $\alpha_{it} \mid V_{it} = 1$ and $\beta_{it} = 1$ in some neighborhood of supp α_{it}. If $(x^1_{it}, \ldots, x^n_{it})$ are local coordinates in U_{it},

$$\lambda_t = \sum_i \rho'_{it},\ X_t = \sum_i \alpha_{it} D_{x^1_{it}} \text{ and } \mu_t = \sum_i \beta_{it} x^1_{it}$$

have the required properties. Hence the lemma.

Denote by A_p the subspace of $\otimes^p C_\infty(M)$ spanned by the tensors which are antisymmetric in at least two arguments.

LEMMA 2.2: *For all $f_i \in C_\infty(M)$ $(i \leq p)$, there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$, vector fields $X_i \in \mathcal{H}(M)$ $(i \leq N)$ and $f_{ik} \in C_\infty(M)$ $(i \leq N, k \leq p)$, such that*

$$f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_p - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{L}_{f_1 X_i}(f_{i1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{ip}) \in A_p.$$

Moreover the vector fields $X_i (i \leq N)$ and the functions $f_{ik} (i \leq N, k \leq p)$ can be choosen independently of f_1.

PROOF: We have

$$f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_p = \sum_{t \leq r} \lambda_t f_1 \otimes f_2 \cdots \otimes f_p. \tag{*}$$

Since $\lambda_t = \lambda_t X_t \cdot \mu_t$,

$$\lambda_t f_1 \otimes f_2 \cdots \otimes f_p = \mathcal{L}_{f_1 \lambda_t X_t}(\mu_t \otimes f_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_p) - \sum_{i>1} \mu_t \otimes f_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_1 \lambda_t X_t \cdot f_i \otimes \cdots \otimes f_p. \tag{**}$$

Let us consider for instance the term $i = 2$. Setting $X_t \cdot f_2 = g_2$, it reads

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu_t \otimes f_1 g_2 \lambda_t X_t \cdot \mu_t \otimes f_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_p \\
&\quad = \tfrac{1}{2}(\mu_t \otimes f_1 g_2 \lambda_t X_t \cdot \mu_t \otimes f_3 \otimes \cdots + f_1 g_2 \lambda_t X_t \cdot \mu_t \otimes \mu_t \otimes f_3 \otimes \cdots) \\
&\quad + \tfrac{1}{2}(\mu_t \otimes f_1 g_2 \lambda_t X_t \cdot \mu_t \otimes f_3 \otimes \cdots - f_1 g_2 \lambda_t X_t \cdot \mu_t \otimes \mu_t \otimes f_3 \cdots).
\end{aligned}$$

The last term is antisymmetric in the two first arguments, hence may be neglected. The other one can be written, setting $\frac{1}{2}g_2X_t = X'_t$,

$$\mathscr{L}_{f_1\lambda_t X'_t}(\mu_t \otimes \mu_t \otimes f_3 \otimes \cdots)$$

$$-\sum_{i>2} \mu_t \otimes \mu_t \otimes f_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_2\lambda_t X'_t \cdot f_i \otimes \cdots$$

In the terms we had to consider in (**), one of the arguments was μ_t, another one had λ_t as a factor. In the terms which we are left to consider, we now have two arguments equal to μ_t and one more divisible by λ_t. This shows the outline of the proof, which will now be achieved by proving the following, by induction on k: if one of the f_i's is divisible by $\lambda_t f$ ($f \in C_\infty(M)$) and $p-k$ others are equal to μ_t, then

$$f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_p - \sum_i \mathscr{L}_{fX_i}(f_{i1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{ip}) \in A_p$$

for suitable $X_i \in \mathscr{H}(M)$ and $f_{ij} \in C_\infty(M)$, independent of f.

For $k = 1$, assuming for instance that $f_1 = \lambda_t fg$ and $f_i = \mu_t (i > 1)$,

$$f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_p = fg\lambda_t X_t \cdot \mu_t \otimes \mu_t \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_t$$

$$= \frac{1}{p}\mathscr{L}_{fg\lambda_t X_t}(\mu_t \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_t)$$

$$+\frac{1}{p}\sum_{i>1}(fg\lambda_t X_t \cdot \mu_t \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_t - \underbrace{\mu_t \otimes \cdots \otimes fg\lambda_t X_t \mu_t \otimes \cdots}_{i})$$

has the required form.

In general, if the property holds true for k, assuming for simplicity that $f_{k+1} = \lambda_t f g_{k+1}$ and $f_i = \mu_t$ for $i > k+1$, and setting $f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_k = T$,

$$f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{k+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_t = \frac{1}{p-k}\mathscr{L}_{fg_{k+1}\lambda_t X_t}(T \otimes \mu_t \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_t)$$

$$+\frac{1}{p-k}\sum_{i>k+1}(T \otimes f_{k+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_t - \underbrace{T \otimes \mu_t \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{k+1} \otimes \cdots}_{(i)})$$

$$-\frac{1}{p-k}\sum_{i\le k} f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes fg_{k+1}\lambda_t X_t \cdot f_i \otimes \cdots \otimes f_k \otimes \mu_t \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_t$$

hence the conclusion, by induction.

Applying this to (*) for $f = f_1$ and $k = p$ yields the lemma.

PROPOSITION 2.3: *Let* $P: C_\infty(M) \times \cdots \times C_\infty(M) \to C_\infty(M)$ *be a p-linear symmetric map. If* $ad(\mathcal{L}_X)\ P = 0$ *for each* $X \in \mathcal{H}(M)$, *then*

$$P(f_1, \ldots, f_p) = k\, f_1 \cdots f_p$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{R}$.

PROOF: Since P is symmetric, P vanishes on A_p. Using lemma 2.2.,

$$P(f_1, \ldots, f_p) = \sum_i P \circ \mathcal{L}_{f_1 X_i}(f_{i1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{ip}) = \sum_i \mathcal{L}_{f_1 X_i} \circ P(f_{ij}, \ldots, f_{ip})$$

$$= f_1 \cdot \left[\sum_i X \cdot P(f_{i1}, \ldots, f_{ip}) \right],$$

where the X_i's and f_{ij}'s do not depend on f_1. Therefore

$$P(f_1, \ldots, f_p) = f_1 P(1, f_2, \ldots, f_p).$$

It is clear that $P(1, f_2, \ldots, f_p) = P'(f_2, \ldots, f_p)$ satisfies again $ad(\mathcal{L}_X)P' = 0$, thus, by induction,

$$P(f_1, \ldots, f_p) = f_1 \ldots f_p \cdot P(1, \ldots, 1).$$

The condition $ad(\mathcal{L}_X)P = 0$ clearly shows that $P(1, \ldots, 1)$ is constant, hence the result.

RESULT 2.4: *The symmetry assumption on P is necessary.*

Indeed, consider a compact, connected, oriented manifold M of dimension $p - 1$ and take the map P:

$$(f_1, \ldots, f_p) \to \int f_1\, df_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_p.$$

It is clear that p is such that $ad(\mathcal{L}_X)P = 0$ for all $X \in \mathcal{H}(M)$. However, $P \neq 0$, thus P is not even local.

3. Characterization of the algebraic structure of $\mathcal{H}(M)$

PROPOSITION 3.1: *Let* $B: \mathcal{H}(M) \times \mathcal{H}(M) \to \mathcal{H}(M)$ *be a bilinear map such that*

$$\mathcal{L}_X B(Y, Z) = B(\mathcal{L}_X Y, Z) + B(Y, \mathcal{L}_X Z),\ \forall\ X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{H}(M). \qquad (*)$$

Then there exists $k \in \mathbb{R}$ *such that*

$$B(X, Y) = k[X, Y], \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{H}(M).$$

A similar result is proved by Van Strien in [4]. The assumption (*) is replaced by the "naturality" of B, which means the following: B is supposed to be defined on every manifold M and, for every smooth open imbedding φ, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}(M) \times \mathcal{H}(M) & \xrightarrow{B_M} & \mathcal{H}(M) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_* \times \varphi_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_*} \\ \mathcal{H}(N) \times \mathcal{H}(N) & \xrightarrow{B_N} & \mathcal{H}(N) \end{array}$$

commutes (see [3]). It is clear that the naturality implies the locality of B and, using the pseudo-group of X, it also implies (*).

LEMMA 3.2: *If* $X \in \mathcal{H}(M)$ *vanishes in an open subset* U *of* M, *for each* $x \in U$, *there exists a neighborhood* ω *of* x *and vector fields* $X_i, X_i' (i \leq N)$ *such that* $X = \sum_{i \leq N} [X_i, X_i']$ *and* X_i, X_i' *vanish in* ω.

PROOF: Fix ω relatively compact in U. Choose ρ_{it} as in lemma 2.1 and $\varphi_{it} \in C_\infty(M)$ with compact support in $U_{it} \backslash \omega$ and equal to 1 in a neighborhood of supp $\rho_{it}X$. Then, if $(x^1, \ldots, x^n)$ are local coordinates in U_{it},

$$\rho_{it}X = \sum_{k \leq n} [X_{tik}, X'_{tik}],$$

where

$$X_{tik} = \varphi_{it} D_{x^k} \text{ and } X'_{tik} = \varphi_{it} \int_0^{x^k} \rho_{it} X^k \varphi_{it}^{-2} \, dx^k \cdot D_{x^k},$$

X^k being the k's component of the corresponding local form of X. In the integral, the function is extended by 0 outside the image of supp $\rho_{it}X$.

The vector fields

$$X_{tk} = \sum_{i \in N} X_{tik} \text{ and } X'_{tk} = \sum_{i \in N} X'_{tik}$$

are vanishing in ω and moreover,

$$X = \sum_{t \leq r} \sum_{i \in N} \rho_{it} X = \sum_{t \leq r} \sum_{k \leq m} [X_{tk}, X'_{tk}],$$

hence the lemma.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.1: We first prove that B is a local map. By Peetre's theorem (for the multilinear version, see [1]), it will then be a differential bilinear operator.

Suppose that $X \in \mathcal{H}(M)$ vanishes in an open subset U. For each $x_0 \in U$, there exist ω such that $x_0 \in \omega \subset U$ and X_i, X'_i vanishing in ω such that

$$X = \sum_i [X_i, X'_i].$$

Choose $Z \in \mathcal{H}(M)$ with support in ω and in a domain of chart V of M, such that $Z_{x_0} = 0$ and $D_{x_0} Z = \mathrm{I}$ for a coordinate system of V. Then

$$B(X, Y) = \sum_i [\mathcal{L}_{X_i} B(X'_i, Y) - B(X'_i, \mathcal{L}_{X_i} Y)]$$

$$= -\sum_i B(X'_i, \mathcal{L}_{X_i} Y)$$

in ω and

$$B(X, Y)_{x_0} = \mathcal{L}_Z B(X, Y)_{x_0} = \sum_i \mathcal{L}_Z B(X'_i, \mathcal{L}_{X_i} Y)_{x_0}$$

$$= \sum_i [B(\mathcal{L}_Z X'_i, \mathcal{L}_{X_i} Y)_{x_0} - B(X'_i, \mathcal{L}_Z \mathcal{L}_{X_i} Y)_{x_0}] = 0$$

because $\mathcal{L}_Z Z' = 0$ whenever $Z' = 0$ in ω. Thus $X = 0$ on U implies that $B(X, Y) = 0$ on U and B is local.

The end of the proof consists in computations on the differential operator B. The arguments of Van Strien would easily adapt to the present situation. A slightly different approach is given here for the sake of completeness.

Let us decompose B in its symmetric and antisymmetric parts, which both verify the assumption of prop. 3.1.

Fix a coordinate system $(U, x^1, \ldots, x^n)$ of M and compute B in these coordinates. Taking for X the fields D_{x^i} and $\sum_i x^i D_{x^i}$ shows that, for some A independent of $(x^1, \ldots, x^n)$,

$$B(X, Y) = A(X, D_x Y) \pm A(Y, D_x X)$$

(everything is now written in local coordinates) according to B is symmetric or antisymmetric.

The assumption on B reads then

$$\begin{aligned} &D_xX \cdot A(Y, D_xZ) - A(D_xX \cdot Y, D_xZ) - A(Y, [D_xX, D_xZ]) \\ &\quad \pm \{D_xX \cdot A(Z, D_xY) - A(D_xX \cdot Z, D_xY) - A(Z, [D_xX, D_xY])\} \quad (*) \\ &\quad = A(Y, Z \cdot D_xX) \pm A(Z, Y \cdot D_xX). \end{aligned}$$

If we choose $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}(M)$ such that $D_{x^i}D_{x^j}X = 0$ at x and $Y_x = 0$, it follows that

$$D_xX \cdot A(Z, D_xY) = A(D_xX \cdot Z_x, D_xY) + A(Z_x, [D_xX, D_xY])$$

and thus that the bilinear form $A : \mathbb{R}^n \times gl(n, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ verifies

$$P\,A(u, Q) = A(Pu, Q) + A(u, [P, Q]).$$

In other words, $Q \to A(., Q) \in L(gl(n, \mathbb{R}))$ belongs to the centralizer of the adjoint action of $gl(n, \mathbb{R})$. It is then easily seen that

$$A(u, Q) = k\left(Q - \frac{1}{n}\operatorname{tr} Q \cdot I\right) + \frac{1}{n}\operatorname{tr} Q \cdot I,$$

for some $k, l \in \mathbb{R}$. Substituting this in (*), it follows that $k = l = 0$ if B is symmetric and that $k = l$ if B is antisymmetric. Thus

$$B(X, Y) = k[X, Y],$$

hence the result.

REFERENCES

[1] M. CAHEN, M. DE WILDE and S. GUTT: Local cohomology of the algebra of C^∞ functions on a connected manifold. *Lett. in Math. Physics 4* (1980), 157–167.

[2] W. GREUB, S. HALPERIN and R. VANSTONE: *Connections, curvature and cohomology, Vol. I*, Academic Press, New York and London (1973).

[3] R. PALAIS: Natural operations on differential forms. *Trans. A.M.S. 92* (1959), 125–141.

[4] S. VAN STRIEN: Unicity of the Lie Product. *Comp. Math. 40* (1980), 79–85.

(Oblatum 5-I-1981 & 27-IV-1981)

University of Liège
Institute of Mathematics
15, Avenue des Tilleuls
B-4000 Liège, Belgium.