COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

MICHEAL N. DYER

On the essential height of homotopy trees with finite fundamental group

Compositio Mathematica, tome 36, n° 2 (1978), p. 209-224

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1978__36_2_209_0>

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1978, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (http://http://www.compositio.nl/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA, Vol. 36, Fasc. 2, 1978, pag. 209–224
Sijthoff & Noordhoff International Publishers–Alphen aan den Rijn
Printed in the Netherlands

ON THE ESSENTIAL HEIGHT OF HOMOTOPY TREES WITH FINITE FUNDAMENTAL GROUP

Micheal N. Dyer

1. Introduction

Let G be a group. A (G, i)-complex X is a finite, connected CW complex with dimension $\leq i$ having $\pi_1 X$ isomorphic to G and $\pi_j X = 0$ for $1 < j < i$. The homotopy tree $HT(G, i)$ is a directed tree whose vertices $[X]$ consist of the homotopy classes of (G, i)-complexes X; a vertex $[X]$ is connected by an edge to vertex $[Y]$ iff Y has the homotopy type of the sum $X \vee S^i$ of X and an i-sphere S^i. Let $\chi(X) = (-1)^i \chi(X)$ be the *directed* Euler characteristic of a (G, i)-complex X; $\chi_{\min} = \chi_{\min}(G, i) = \min\{\chi(X) \mid X \text{ is a } (G, i)\text{-complex}\}$. The *level* of a vertex $[X]$ is the number $\chi(X) - \chi_{\min}$. A (G, i)-complex X is a *root* provided $[X]$ has no predecessors in the tree; X is a *minimal root* iff $[X]$ is at level 0.

DEFINITION: We say that $HT(G, i)$ has *essential height* $\leq k$ iff for any two (G, i)-complexes X, Y such that $\chi(X) = \chi(Y) \geq \chi_{\min} + k$, X has the same homotopy type as Y [4].

THEOREM 1: *For any finite group π and integer $i \geq 2$, the homotopy tree $HT(\pi, i)$ has essential height ≤ 2.*

This is an easy consequence of R. Williams' generalization [15, theorem 4.6] of the cancellation theorem of H. Bass to the category of pointed modules. The proof is given in section 4.

THEOREM 2: *For any finite abelian group A, the homotopy tree $HT(A, i)$ has essential height ≤ 1.*

Throughout this paper π will denote a finite group and A a finite abelian group. In general, these are the best possible results. It is shown in [5], that for π equal to the generalized quaternion group of order 32, the tree $HT(\pi, 3)$ has essential height equal to two. For A a finite abelian group, W. Metzler [8] and A. Sieradski [9] show that there are often distinct minimal roots in these trees. The only remaining question for $HT(A, i)$ is the number of minimal roots.

A picture of the trees $HT(A, i)$ would be:



It would be very interesting to know about the height of the *simple* homotopy tree $SHT(\pi, i)$ as well.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In section 2 key isomorphisms are isolated, which are used in section 3 to show that we may "shuffle k-invariants" via certain automorphisms of the homotopy modules of minimal roots. The proofs of theorems 1 and 2 are found in section 4. In section 5 we apply our results to the problem of C.T.C. Wall concerning spaces dominated by finite two-dimensional complexes.

For example, we show the following theorem.

THEOREM 3: *Let X be any connected CW-complex which is dominated by a finite, connected 2-complex and suppose that the Wall invariant of X vanishes. If $\pi_1 X$ is a finite abelian group, then $X \vee S^2$ has the homotopy type of a finite 2-complex.*

A sharper (but more technical) version of theorem 3 is proved in section 5.

2. Certain isomorphisms

In this section we develop certain technical results necessary for the proof of theorem 2.

Let π be a finite group of order n and let $N = \Sigma_{x\in\pi} x$ be the (norm) element in $Z\pi$ consisting of the sum of all the group elements. A *unit mod* N is an element $u \in Z\pi$ for which there is an element $u' \in Z\pi$ such that $u'u$ and uu' are congruent to 1 modulo the ideal (N) generated by N. Equivalently, $u + (N)$ is a unit in the augmentation ring $Z\pi/(N)$.

The augmentation of units mod N is of some interest. The augmentation $\epsilon: Z\pi \to Z$ induces $\epsilon': Z\pi/(N) \to Z_n$. There is a homomorphism

$$\partial: Z_n^* \longrightarrow \tilde{K}_0 Z\pi$$

from the group of units in the ring Z_n to the reduced projective class group $\tilde{K}_0 Z\pi$ of $Z\pi$, defined by carrying the residue class $p + nZ = [p]$ modulo n (p is prime to n) to the class $\{(p, N)\} \in \tilde{K}_0 Z\pi$ of the projective ideal (p, N) generated by p and N (see [10, §6] and [3, sections 2–4]). For A a finite abelian group, $[p] \in \ker \partial$ iff the ideal (p, N) is isomorphic (as an A-module) to ZA [12, theorem 19.8 and the discussion following]. The following is proved in [10, lemma 6.3, page 279].

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let A be a finite abelian group. If $u \in ZA$ is a unit* mod N, *then $\epsilon'(u) \in \ker \partial$. Furthermore, given any $[p] \in \ker \partial$, then there is a unit u* mod N *such that $\epsilon(u) = p$.* □

Consider a free π-module $(Z\pi)^t$ of rank t and the (ring) homomorphism

$$\epsilon: (Z\pi)^t \longrightarrow Z^t$$

given by $\epsilon(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_t) = (\epsilon(\alpha_1), \ldots, \epsilon(\alpha_t))$. We have now the following crucial lemma.

LEMMA 2.2: *Let A be any finite abelian group and K be any submodule of $(ZA)^t$ such that $\epsilon(K) = 0$. For any unit u* mod N *in ZA, the homomorphism*

$$\bar{u}: K \longrightarrow K$$

given by multiplication by u is an isomorphism.

PROOF: u is a unit mod N implies the existence of a $u' \in ZA$ such that $u'u = uu' = 1 + \alpha N$ $(\alpha \in Z)$. $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_t)$ is a member of K iff $\epsilon(x) = (\epsilon(x_1), \ldots, \epsilon(x_t)) = 0$ iff $N \cdot x = 0$. Thus $u'ux = uu'x = x + \alpha Nx = x$ for all $x \in K$. □

Now consider the $(k+1) \times (k+1)$ integer matrix $(k \geq 1)$

$$M_{k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} q & 0 & \cdots & 0 & t \\ p_1 & q & \ddots & & 0 \\ 0 & p_2 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & q & \vdots \\ & & & p_{k-1} & q & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & b & c \end{bmatrix}.$$

A straightforward induction argument shows that

$$\det M_{k+1} = cq^k + (-1)^k p_1 \cdots p_{k-1} tb.$$

PROPOSITION 2.3: *Let π be any finite group of order n and Z the trivial π-module. Let v be a unit* mod N *in $Z\pi$ having $\epsilon(v) = c$. Suppose that $c, q, b, t, p_1, \ldots, p_{k-1}$ are integers such that*

$$cq^k + bp_1 \cdots p_{k-1} tn = 1.$$

Then the left π-homomorphism

$$\alpha : Z^k \oplus Z\pi \longrightarrow Z^k \oplus Z\pi$$

with matrix

$$\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} q & 0 & \cdots & 0 & (-1)^k \cdot t \\ p_1 & q & \ddots & & 0 \\ 0 & p_2 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & p_{k-1} & q & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & bN & v \end{bmatrix}$$

is an isomorphism.

PROOF: Let $\iota : Z\pi N \to Z\pi$ denote the natural inclusion and consider the following exact ladder of modules:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (Z)^k \oplus Z\pi N & \xrightarrow{id \oplus \iota} & (Z)^k \oplus Z\pi & \longrightarrow & Z\pi/(N) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha''} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (Z)^k \oplus (Z\pi N) & \xrightarrow{id \oplus \iota} & (Z)^k \oplus Z\pi & \longrightarrow & Z\pi/(N) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

with α', α'' the appropriate maps induced by α. The image of α restricted to $Z^k \oplus Z\pi N$ is contained in $Z^k \oplus Z\pi N$ because this is the submodule $(Z^k \oplus Z\pi)^\pi$ of elements fixed under the action of π. The matrix of α' is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} q & 0 & \cdots & 0 & (-1)^k nt \\ p_1 & q & & & 0 \\ 0 & p_2 & q & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & q & \\ & & & p_{k-1} \quad q & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \quad b & c \end{bmatrix} \qquad (\epsilon(v) = c)$$

which has determinant $ca^k + bp_1 \cdots p_{k-1}tn = 1$ and hence α' is an isomorphism. α'' is simply multiplication by the element $v \in Z\pi$ and is an isomorphism because v is a unit mod N. By the five lemma, α is an isomorphism. □

3. Shuffling *k*-invariants

Let n denote the order of the group π. For any (π, i)-complex X, it is known from [3, §2] that the $(i+1)$-cohomology group $H^{i+1}(\pi, \pi_i)$ (with coefficients in the π-module $\pi_i X = \pi_i$) is isomorphic to Z_n. Let $\iota: \pi_i \hookrightarrow C_i = C_i(\tilde{X})$, where C_i is the free π-module which is the cellular chain module of the universal cover $\tilde{X}$ of X. We use the fact that

$$H^{i+1}(\pi; \pi_i) \cong \mathrm{End}_\pi(\pi_i)/B^i,$$

with $B^i = \mathrm{im}\{\mathrm{Hom}_\pi(C_i, \pi_i) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} \mathrm{End}_\pi(\pi_i)\}$, to identify $H^{i+1}(\pi; \pi_i)$ with Z_n via $(\bar{q}: \pi_i \to \pi_i) \to q + (n)$, $q \in Z$. Thus $[1] \in Z_n$ corresponds to the class of $\mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{End}(\pi_i)$. Notice also that if $\ell: \pi_i \to \pi_i \oplus Z\pi^j$ is the natural inclusion ($Z\pi^j$ the direct sum of j copies) then $\ell_*: H^{i+1}(\pi; \pi_i) \to H^{i+1}(\pi; \pi_i \oplus Z\pi^j)$ is an isomorphism because $H^{i+1}(\pi; Z\pi) = 0$ for any finite group π $(i \geq 0)$. We identify all these groups using ℓ_*.

We say that an isomorphism $\alpha\colon \pi_i \oplus Z\pi^j \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_i \oplus Z\pi^j$ has *degree* $q \in Z_n^*$ if $\alpha_*(1) = q \in H^{i+1}(\pi; \pi_i)$.

PROPOSITION 3.1: (Bass-Williams [15]). *For each finite group* π, $i \geq 2$, *each minimal root* $X \in HT(\pi, i)$ *and each* $[q] \in \ker\{\partial\colon Z_n^* \to \tilde{K}_0 Z\pi\}$ *there exists an automorphism* $\pi_i \oplus Z\pi^2 \to \pi_i \oplus Z\pi^2$ *of degree* $[q]$.

PROOF. For each $X \in HT(\pi, i)$ and each $[q] \in \ker \partial$ it is proved in [4, page 309] that there is an integer $j \geq 2$ and an automorphism

$$\alpha\colon \pi_i X \oplus (Z\pi)^j \longrightarrow \pi_i X \oplus (Z\pi)^j$$

having degree $[q]$. However, by J. Williams' generalization [15, theorem 4.6 and the remark following 4.9] of the Bass cancellation theorem to the category of pointed modules, one may "cancel" all but two factors of $Z\pi$ while preserving the degree; i.e., there is an automorphism

$$\alpha'\colon \pi_i X \oplus (Z\pi)^2 \longrightarrow \pi_i X \oplus (Z\pi)^2$$

also having degree $[q]$. □

PROPOSITION 3.2: *Let* A *be a finite abelian group of order* n *and* Y *be any minimal root in* $HT(A, i)$. *Let* A_i *denote the* A*-module* $\pi_i(Y)$. *For each* $[q] \in \ker\{\partial\colon Z_n^* \to \tilde{K}_0 ZA\}$ *there exists an automorphism* $A_i \oplus ZA \to A_i \oplus ZA$ *of degree* $[q]$.

PROOF: Consider $A = Z_{\tau_1} \times \cdots \times Z_{\tau_s}$ $(\tau_1 | \tau_2 | \cdots | \tau_s)$ and let $n = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_s$ denote the order of the group. Let Y denote any minimal root of $HT(A, i)$. We consider the standard A-module

$$A_i = \pi_i(Y) \to C_i(\tilde{Y}) = C_i,$$

where C_i is the (finitely generated) free A-module which is the cellular chain module of the universal cover $\tilde{Y}$ of Y. Let $\nu = \operatorname{rank}_A C_i$, $\{e_i\}$ be a ZA-basis for C_i, and ψ designate the $\operatorname{rank}_Z \Sigma_i = \operatorname{im}\{\epsilon | A_i\colon A_i \to Z^\nu\}$ where $\epsilon\colon C_i \to Z^\nu$ is the augmentation on each coordinate and Σ_i is the subgroup of spherical homology classes of $H_i(Y)$.

As $\Sigma_i \hookrightarrow Z^\nu$, use the fundamental theorem of finitely generated free abelian groups to choose a new basis for Z^ν

$$\{a_1, \ldots, a_\psi, a_{\psi+1}, \ldots, a_\nu\}$$

so that the set $\{\alpha_1 a_1, \ldots, \alpha_\psi a_\psi\}$ $(\alpha_j \geq 1)$ is a basis for Σ_i.

Note that each α_j can be chosen so that $\alpha_j = \tau_{k(j)}$. We do this as follows. There is an isomorphism

$$H_i(Y)/\Sigma_i \cong H_i(A),$$

this last being a finite abelian group. Let Y^{i-1} denote the $(i-1)$-skeleton of Y and Σ_{i-1} denote the image of $\pi_{i-1}Y^{i-1}$ in $H_{i-1}(Y^{i-1})$ under the Hurewicz homomorphism. Then the following lower sequence is an exact sequence of free abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & & & C_i & \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\rightarrow & \pi_{i-1}Y^{i-1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \epsilon} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \epsilon} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_i(Y) & \longrightarrow & Z^{\nu} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

obtained by applying the augmentation homomorphism to the upper sequence. As Σ_{i-1} is free we have $Z^{\nu} \cong H_i(Y) \oplus \Sigma_{i-1}$. Since the $\operatorname{rank}_Z H_i(Y) = \operatorname{rank}_Z \Sigma_i$, $a_1, \ldots, a_\psi$ may be chosen as a basis for $H_i(Y)$ and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\psi$ will be the torsion coefficients of $H_i(A)$, each of which (by the Künneth formula) is one of the torsion coefficients of A itself.

Express the new basis $\{a_j\}$ in terms of the old basis $\{\epsilon(e_j)\}$ as follows:

$$a_j = \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} b_{jk} \cdot \epsilon(e_k) \quad (b_{jk} \in Z).$$

Use the invertible $\nu \times \nu$ integral matrix $B = (b_{jk})$ to determine a new basis of C_i

$$f_j = \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} b_{jk} e_k \quad (j = 1, \ldots, \nu).$$

With respect to this basis $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\nu}$ for C_i, $\{\alpha_j \cdot \epsilon(f_j)\}_{j=1}^{\psi}$ is a basis for $\Sigma_i \hookrightarrow Z^{\nu}$.

Because $\epsilon(A_i) = \Sigma_i$, we may choose elements $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_\psi$ of A_i such that $\epsilon(\mu_j) = \alpha_j \cdot \epsilon(f_j)$ $(j = 1, \ldots, \psi)$.

For each $k = 1, \ldots, \psi - 1$, define a homomorphism

$$r_k \colon C_i \longrightarrow C_i$$

$$\text{by} \quad r_k(f_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq j \\ N \cdot \mu_{k+1} & \text{if } k = j \end{cases} \quad (j = 1, \ldots, \nu).$$

Let $E^j_{\ell m}$ denote the elementary $j \times j$ matrix with a 1 in the ℓth row and the mth column and zeros elsewhere. Notice that the matrix of r_k with respect to $\{f_j\}$ is given by $N \cdot \alpha_{k+1} E^{\nu}_{k+1,k}$ and the matrix of the map $\epsilon(r_k)$ defined by r_k on Σ_i with respect to the basis $\{\alpha_j \cdot \epsilon(f_j)\}$ is given by $\alpha_k \cdot n \cdot E^{\psi}_{k+1,k}$. This last follows because $r_k(f_k) = \alpha_{k+1} N f_{k+1}$ which implies that $\epsilon(r_k)(\epsilon(f_k)) = \alpha_{k+1} \cdot n \cdot \epsilon(f_{k+1})$. Hence, $\epsilon(r_k)(\alpha_k \cdot \epsilon(f_k)) =$

$\alpha_k \cdot \alpha_{k+1} \cdot n \cdot \epsilon(f_{k+1}) = (\alpha_k \cdot n)(\alpha_{k+1} \cdot \epsilon(f_{k+1}))$. Notice also that r_k has image in A_i, hence $r_k | A_i \colon A_i \to A_i$ is a map of degree 0.

Now choose a unit $u \bmod N$ in ZA with $\epsilon(u) = q$. q is prime to n implies that q is prime to each τ_j $(j = 1, \ldots, s)$ and hence to each α_j $(j = 1, \ldots, \psi)$. Thus q^ψ is prime to $(\alpha_1 \cdot \cdots \alpha_{\psi-1}) n^{\psi+1}$, so choose integers b, c such that

$$cq^\psi + b(\alpha_1 \cdot \cdots \alpha_{\psi-1}) n^{\psi+1} = 1.$$

The above equation yields

$$c \equiv q^{-\psi} \pmod{n}$$

and hence $[c]$ is a member of $\ker \partial$ also. Choose a unit $v \bmod N$ in ZA such that $\epsilon(V) = c$ (see 2.1).

With all these data, we may define the isomorphism

$$\alpha \colon A_i \oplus ZA \longrightarrow A_i \oplus ZA$$

of degree $[q]$: α is given by a (2×2)-matrix of homomorphisms

$$\alpha = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \alpha_{11} \colon A_i \longrightarrow A_i & \alpha_{12} \colon ZA \longrightarrow A_i \\ \hline \alpha_{21} \colon A_i \longrightarrow ZA & \alpha_{22} \colon ZA \longrightarrow ZA \end{array} \right).$$

Let $\alpha_{11} = \bar{u} + \Sigma_{k=1}^{\psi-1} r_k | A_i$, $\alpha_{12}(1) = (-1)^\psi N \cdot f_1$, $\alpha_{21} = bNp_\psi | A_i$, and $\alpha_{22} = \bar{v}$, where $p_j \colon C_i \to ZA$ is the projection on the jth coordinate. Recall that $\bar{u} \colon A_i \to A_i$ means right multiplication by u.

To show that α is an isomorphism we decompose $A_i \hookrightarrow C_i$ by applying $\epsilon \colon (ZA)^\nu \to Z^\nu$ to A_i. Thus we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & C_i & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & (Z)^\nu & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & A_i & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & \Sigma_i \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

$\alpha | K$ is simply multiplication by u because $r_k | K = 0 = bNp_\psi | K$. Thus $\alpha | K$ is an isomorphism by lemma 2.2. α also induces a map $\alpha' \colon \Sigma_i \oplus ZA \to \Sigma_i \oplus ZA$.

It is clear (using the basis $\{\alpha_j \cdot \epsilon(f_j)\}$) that the matrix of the map $\alpha' \colon \Sigma_i \oplus ZA \to \Sigma_i \oplus ZA$ induced by α is given by



Because v is a unit mod N and

$$c \cdot q^{\psi} + b(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{\psi-1})n^{\psi+1} = 1 \quad (\epsilon(v) = c)$$

we have α' is an isomorphism (Proposition 2.3). Hence, by the five lemma, α is an isomorphism.

To see that α has degree $[q]$, observe that degree α = degree α_{11} = degree $\bar{u}$ because α_{11} is $\bar{u}$ plus maps of degree 0. But degree $\bar{u}$ = degree $\bar{q}$ = $[q]$ because $\epsilon(u - q) = 0$. □

4. Proof of Theorems 1 and 2

The proof of the main results require the use of the theory of algebraic i-types, which we now outline.

An algebraic i-type is a triple (G, π_i, k), where G is a group, π_i a G-module and k is an element of the group $H^{i+1}(G; \pi_i)$. Such triples form the objects of a category $\mathcal{T}(i)$, the category of i-types. A morphism in $\mathcal{T}$ is a pair of maps (α, β): $(G, \pi_i, k) \to (G', \pi_i', k')$ where $\alpha: G \to G'$ is a group homomorphism, $\beta: \pi_i \to \pi_i'$ is an α-homomorphism ($\beta(x \cdot y) = \alpha(x) \cdot \beta(y)$ for any $x \in G$, $y \in \pi_i$) and $\alpha^*(k') = \beta_*(k)$ in the following diagram:

$$H^{i+1}(G; \pi_i) \xrightarrow{\beta_*} H^{i+1}(G; {}_{\alpha}\pi_i') \xleftarrow{\alpha^*} H^{i+1}(G', \pi_i')$$

where ${}_{\alpha}\pi_i'$ is the G-module with structure induced by α. (α, β) is an *isomorphism* iff both α and β are bijective. We denote by $\mathcal{T}(G, i)$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{T}(i)$ whose objects (G', π_i, k) have G' isomorphic to G.

Let $\mathcal{C}(G, i)$ denote the full subcategory of TOP whose objects are (G, i)-complexes. By a theorem of S. MacLane and J.H.C. White-

head, there is (homotopy) functor $\mathbb{T}: \mathscr{C}(G, i) \to \mathscr{T}(G, i)$ defined by $\mathbb{T}(X) = (\pi_1 X, \pi_i X, kX)$, where $kX \in H^{i+1}(\pi_1 X, \pi_i X)$ is the first k-invariant of X [7]. $\mathbb{T}(f: X \to Y) = (f_{1\#}, f_{i\#})$ and for each pair of objects $X, Y \in \mathscr{C}(G, i)$, $\mathbb{T}: \mathrm{Map}(X, Y) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{T}(X), \mathbb{T}(Y))$ is surjective. This functor is not an equivalence of categories, but it is strong enough that any two (G, i)-complexes X and Y have the same homotopy type iff $\mathbb{T}(X)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{T}(Y)$ [7, theorem 1, page 42].

DEFINITION: Let π be a finite group and M be a π-module. M has the *cancellation property* iff for any module M' with

$$M' \oplus (Z\pi)^{\alpha} \cong M \oplus (Z\pi)^{\beta} \quad (\beta \geq \alpha)$$

we have $M' \cong M \oplus (Z\pi)^{\beta - \alpha}$.

For any module M over π, $M \oplus (Z\pi)^2$ has the cancellation property, by the theorem of H. Bass [12, §9]. If A is a finite abelian group and $A_i = \pi_i Y$, where Y is any (A, i)-complex, then $A_i \oplus Z\pi$ has the cancellation property [12, theorem 19.8], [3, page 267]. If π is any finite group and X is a $(\pi, 2i)$-complex, then $\pi_{2i} X \oplus Z\pi$ has the cancellation property [3, corollary 4.2, page 267]. These last two statements are corollaries to the powerful theorem of H. Jacobinski [12, theorem 19.8].

Using propositions 3.1 and 3.2, we now give a

PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREMS: Let X be any (π, i)-complex and Y be a minimal root of $HT(\pi, i)$. Consider the algebraic i-type $\mathbb{T}(X) = (\pi_1 X, \pi_i X, kX)$ of X. If $\chi(X) > \chi_{\min} + 1$, we will use 3.1 to show that X has the homotopy type of the sum $Y \vee \bigvee_t S^i$ of the minimal root Y with a bouquet of $t = \chi(X) - \chi_{\min}$ i-spheres S^i. If π is a finite abelian group and $\chi(X) > \chi_{\min}$, a similar argument (using 3.2) will show that $X \simeq Y \vee \bigvee_t S^i$.

First, we will identify $\pi_1 X$ with $\pi = \pi_1 Y$ via an arbitrary isomorphism $\theta: \pi \to \pi_1 X$. The i-type $\mathbb{T}(X)$ is isomorphic to the i-type $({}_\theta\pi_i X, k')$ via the isomorphism $(\theta, id): (\pi, {}_\theta\pi_i X, k') \to (\pi_1 X, \pi_i X, kX)$. Notice that $id: {}_\theta\pi_i X \to \pi_i X$ is a θ-isomorphism. k' is the image of kX under the isomorphism

$$\theta^*: H^{i+1}(\pi_1 X, \pi_i X) \longrightarrow H^{i+1}(\pi, {}_\theta\pi_i X)$$

(θ^* is an isomorphism by [6, page 108]). Now consider the i-type $\mathbb{T}(Y) = (\pi, \pi_i, kY)$. It follows from Schanuel's lemma that

$${}_\theta\pi_i X \oplus (Z\pi)^{\ell} \cong \pi_i \oplus (Z\pi)^{j} \quad (\pi_i = \pi_i Y)$$

as π-modules, with $t = j - \ell \geq 2$. Because $\pi_i \oplus Z\pi^2$ has the cancellation property (if π is finite *abelian*, one uses that $\pi_i \oplus Z\pi$ has the cancellation property) we have an isomorphism $\beta\colon {}_\theta\pi_i X \cong \pi_i \oplus (Z\pi)^t$. Thus

$$\mathbb{T}(X) \underset{(\theta, id)}{\cong} (\pi, {}_\theta\pi_i X, k') \underset{(id, \beta)}{\cong} (\pi, \pi_i \oplus (Z\pi)^t, k''),$$

where $k'' = \beta_*(k')$ with $\beta_*\colon H^{i+1}(\pi, {}_\theta\pi_i X) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{i+1}(\pi; \pi_i \oplus (Z\pi)^t)$ induced by β.

By theorem 3.5 of [3, page 264], we must have k'', kY members of $\ker \partial$, a multiplicative subgroup of Z_n^*. Hence by proposition 3.1, there is an isomorphism

$$\alpha\colon \pi_i \oplus (Z\pi)^t \longrightarrow \pi_i \oplus (Z\pi)^t \quad (t \geq 2)$$

of degree $kY/k'' \in \ker \partial$. This yields an isomorphism of i-types carrying $k'' \mapsto kY$:

$$(id, \alpha)\colon (\pi, \pi_i \oplus (Z\pi)^t, k'') \cong (\pi, \pi_i \oplus (Z\pi)^t, kY).$$

This last i-type is just $\mathbb{T}(Y \vee \overset{t}{V} S^i)$. Thus $\mathbb{T}(X)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{T}(Y \vee \overset{t}{V} S^i)$ and hence

$$X \simeq Y \vee \overset{t}{V} S^i. \quad \square$$

5. Spaces dominated by 2-complexes

As an application of proposition 3.1, we (almost) extend C. T. C. Wall's theorem concerning spaces dominated by finite 2-complexes [14, theorem F, page 66] to all finite (abelian) groups. The extension to finite cyclic groups has been given in [2, corollary 5.3, page 242] and, independently, in [1, theorem 4, page 261].

DEFINITION: An algebraic two-type $\mathbb{T} = (\pi, \pi_2, k)$ is *finitely 2-realizable* iff there is a $(\pi, 2)$-complex X such that $\mathbb{T}(X) \cong \mathbb{T}$.

Let π be a finite group of order n. We say that the two-type $\mathbb{T} = (\pi, \pi_2, k)$ is *finitely chain2-realizable* if there exists a free partial resolution of the trivial π-module Z of finite type realizing k; i.e., there exists an exact sequence of π-modules:

$$\mathscr{C}(\mathbb{T})\colon 0 \longrightarrow \pi_2 \longrightarrow C_2 \longrightarrow C_1 \longrightarrow C_0 \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow 0$$

where each C_i $(i = 0, 1, 2)$ is a finitely generated free π-module, such

that comparison with the standard bar resolution gives $k \in H^3(\pi, \pi_2) \cong Z_n$ (see [3], page 256). This means that $k \in \ker \partial$. The Euler character $\chi(\mathscr{C})$ is given by $\operatorname{rank}_\pi C_2 - \operatorname{rank}_\pi C_1 + \operatorname{rank}_\pi C_0$. By Schanuel's lemma, $\chi(\mathscr{C})$ depends only on $\mathbb{T}$; hence we will denote it by $\chi(\mathbb{T})$, the Euler characteristic of the (finitely chain 2-realizable) two-type $\mathbb{T}$. If A is a finite abelian group, we will show that $\chi(\mathbb{T})$, if defined, is greater than or equal to $\chi_{\min}(A, 2)$.

Let $K(n)$ denote the CW-complex which is a $K(Z_n, 1)$ and has one cell in each dimension. Now let $A = Z_{\tau_1} \times \cdots \times Z_{\tau_s}$ $(\tau_j \mid \tau_{j+1}, j = 1, 2, \ldots, s-1)$ and consider the Eilenberg-MacLane space $K_A = \prod_{j=1}^{s} K(\tau_j)$.

PROPOSITION 5.1: *In the tree $HT(A, i)$ for $i \geq 2$, the i-skeleton K_A^i of K_A is a minimal root.*

PROOF: We will show that $\chi_{\min}(A, i) = (-1)^i \chi(K_A^i)$. Because $K(n)$ has one cell in each dimension, the number $\sigma_\ell(s)$ of ℓ-cells in K_A $(\ell \geq 0)$ is precisely the numbers of ways one may choose an ordered s-tuple $(a_1, \ldots, a_s)$ (allowing repetitions) from the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, \ell\}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{s} a_j = \ell$.

Let p be any prime dividing τ_1. Then, considering Z_p as a trivial Z_{τ_j}-module $(j = 1, \ldots, s)$, we have

$$H_\ell(Z_{\tau_j}, Z_p) \cong Z_p$$

for all $l \geq 0$. By the Kunneth theorem

$$H_\ell(A, Z_p) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{0 \leq a_j \leq \ell \\ \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_j = \ell}} (Z_p)_{(a_1, \ldots, a_s)}.$$

Thus, the dimension of $H_\ell(A; Z_p)$ as a Z_p-module $\equiv h_\ell(A; Z_p) = \sigma_\ell(K_A)$. Define $\mu_i(A)$ to be the minimum of the directed Euler characteristics of truncated, finitely generated free resolutions of length i,

$$0 \longrightarrow A_i \longrightarrow C_i \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow C_1 \longrightarrow C_0 \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow 0$$

(each C_i is finitely generated, free A-module, Z is the trivial A-module) [11, page 193].

Theorem 1.2 of [11] says that

$$\mu_i(A) \geq \sum_{\ell=0}^{i} (-1)^{i-\ell} h_\ell(A, Z_p) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{i} (-1)^{i-\ell} \sigma_\ell(s) = (-1)^i \chi(K_A^i).$$

But $\mu_i(A) \leq \chi_{\min}(A, i) \leq (-1)^i \chi(K_A^i)$ by definition. Therefore K_A^i is a minimal root and $\mu_i(A) = \chi_{\min}(A, i) = \chi(K_A^i)$. □

COROLLARY 5.2: *For any finitely chain 2-realizable two type* $\mathbb{T} = (A, \pi_2, k)$, *with A a finite abelian group,* $\chi(\mathbb{T}) \geq \chi_{\min}(A, 2)$.

PROOF: By 5.1, $\chi_{\min}(A, 2) = \mu_2(A) \leq \chi(\mathbb{T})$. □

However, for any arbitrary finite group π, it is not known if there is a two type $\mathbb{T}$ such that

$$\chi(\mathbb{T}) < \chi_{\min}(\pi, 2).$$

This would occur, for example, if $HT(\pi, 2)$ has a *minimal* root X such that $\pi_2 X \cong M \oplus Z\pi$. This two type $\mathbb{T}$ would then be finitely chain 2-realizable, but *not* 2-realizable. Does this ever happen?

Recall that a π-module M has the *cancellation property* $(CP) \Leftrightarrow$ for any M' such that $M' \oplus (Z\pi)^i \cong M \oplus (Z\pi)^j$ $(i \leq j)$ we have $M' \cong M \oplus (Z\pi)^{j-i}$. For any $(\pi, 2)$-complex X, the module $\pi_2 X \oplus Z\pi$ has the cancellation property [4, §4].

THEOREM 5.3: *Let A be a finite abelian group and let* $\mathbb{T} = (A, \pi_2, k)$ *be finitely chain 2-realizable. If* $\chi(\mathbb{T}) > \chi_{\min}$, *then* $\mathbb{T} = (A, \pi_2, k)$ *is finitely 2-realizable*; *if* $\chi(\mathbb{T}) = \chi_{\min}$, *then* $\mathbb{T} \oplus ZA = (A, \pi_2 \oplus ZA, k)$ *is finitely 2-realizable.*

PROOF: Let $\mathbb{T}$ be realizable as

$$\mathscr{C}(\mathbb{T}): 0 \longrightarrow \pi_2 \longrightarrow C_2 \longrightarrow C_1 \longrightarrow C_0 \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow 0$$

with each C_i a finitely generated, free A-module. By Schanuel's lemma [10, section 1, page 269] $\pi_2(K_A^2) \oplus (ZA)^i \cong \pi_2 \oplus (ZA)^j$ $(i \geq j)$. If $i > j$, then $\pi_2(K_A^2) \oplus (ZA)^t \cong \pi_2$ $(t = i - j = \chi(\mathbb{T}) - \chi_{\min})$ [3, proposition 5.1, page 267]. Thus $(A, \pi_2, k) \cong (A, \pi_2(K_A^2) \oplus (ZA)^t, k') \cong (A, \pi_2(K_A^2) \oplus (ZA)^t, kK_A^2)$ by proposition 3.2 because k', kK_A^2 are members of $\ker \partial$. Hence, $\mathbb{T} \cong \mathbb{T}(K_A^2 \vee \overset{t}{V} S^2)$. A similar argument shows the result if $\chi(\mathbb{T}) = \chi_{\min}$. □

For an arbitrary finite group π, the following holds:

(a) If $\chi(\mathbb{T}) \geq \chi_{\min}(\pi, 2) + 2$, then $\mathbb{T}$ is 2-realizable

(b) If $\chi(\mathbb{T}) < \chi_{\min}(\pi, 2) + 2$, then $\mathbb{T} \oplus (Z\pi)^j$ is 2-realizable, where $j = \chi_{\min} + 2 - \chi(\mathbb{T})$.

COROLLARY 5.4: *Let X be a connected CW-complex having finite abelian fundamental group A and suppose that X is dominated by a finite two-dimensional complex. Let* $\mathbb{T}(X)$ *denote the algebraic two-type of X. Suppose the Wall invariant* $Wa_2[X]$ *of X vanishes. If*

$\chi(\mathbb{T}) > \chi_{\min}(A, 2)$, *then* X *has the homotopy type of an* $(A, 2)$*-complex. If* $\chi(\mathbb{T}) = \chi_{\min}$, *then* $X \vee S^2$ *has the homotopy type of a* $(\pi, 2)$*-complex.*

PROOF: Because the Wall invariant of X is zero, X has the homotopy type of a finite 3-complex [14, theorem F, page 66] Y. Furthermore, $Wa_2[X] = Wa_2[Y] = 0$ implies that $\mathbb{T}(X) \cong \mathbb{T}(Y)$ is chain 2-realizable by a free chain complex $\mathscr{C}$ of finite type. If $\chi(\mathbb{T}(X)) = \chi(\mathscr{C}) > \chi_{\min}$, then $\mathbb{T}(X)$ is realizable as a 2-complex; if $\chi(\mathbb{T}(X)) = \chi(\mathscr{C}) = \chi_{\min}$, then $\mathbb{T}(X \vee S^2)$ is realizable as a 2-complex. It then follows from theorem 1.1 of [2, page 230] that X or $X \vee S^2$ have the homotopy type of a finite two-complex. □

A similar conclusion holds for π an arbitrary finite group: If $\chi(\mathbb{T}) > \chi_{\min}(\pi, 2) + 1$ $(\chi(\mathbb{T}) \leq \chi_{\min}(\pi, 2) + 1)$ then X $(X \vee jS^2)$ has the homotopy type of a finite 2-complex (as before, $j = \chi_{\min} + 2 - \chi(\mathbb{T})$).

We formalize the notions involved in the proofs of the main theorems, 5.3 and 5.4 in the following fashion.

Let π be a finite group and X be a (π, i)-complex. We say that Aut $\pi_i X$ *is transitive on* k*-invariants* iff for each $k \in \ker \partial \subset H^{i+1}(\pi, \pi_i X)$ there is a θ-automorphism $\alpha: \pi_i X \to \pi_i X$, $\theta \in$ Aut π, such that $\alpha_*(1) = \theta^*(k)$. Recall that a θ-homomorphism α has the property that $\alpha(x \cdot y) = \theta(x)\alpha(y)$ $(x \in \pi,\ y \in \pi_i X)$. With this definition, it is clear that proposition 3.2 simply says that Aut $\pi_i(K_A^i \vee S^i)$ is transitive on k-invariants. Similarly, 3.1 says that Aut $\pi_i(X \vee 2S^i)$ is transitive on k-invariants, for any minimal root X of $HT(\pi, i)$.

Consider the function

$$s: \{0, 1\} \times \{0, 1, 2\} \times Z \to \{0, 1, 2\}$$

given by

$$s(\epsilon, \ell, \delta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ell > 0 \text{ and } \delta \geq \ell, \text{ or } \ell = 0 \text{ and } \delta > 0. \\ \ell - \delta & \text{if } \ell > 0 \text{ and } \delta < \ell \\ \epsilon & \text{if } \ell = 0 \text{ and } \delta = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that $s(0, 0, \delta) = 0$ for all $\delta \geq 0$. Then consider the following five statements about a finite group π and a *minimal* root X of $HT(\pi, 2)$.

$Tr(\ell, X)$: For some ℓ, $0 \leq \ell \leq 2$, Aut$(\pi_2 X \oplus (Z\pi)^\ell)$ is transitive on k-invariants.

$CP(\epsilon, X)$: $\pi_2 X \oplus (Z\pi)^\epsilon$ has the cancellation property $(\epsilon = 0, 1)$.

$Ht(\ell, \epsilon)$: The essential height of $HT(\pi, 2) \leq \max(\epsilon, \ell)$ $(\epsilon = 0, 1, 0 \leq \ell \leq 2)$.

$\mathscr{R}(\ell, \epsilon)$: Let $\mathbb{T} = (\pi, \pi_2, k)$ be any finitely chain 2-realizable 2-type

such that $\chi(\mathbb{T}) - \chi_{\min}(\pi, 2) = \delta$. Then $\mathbb{T} \oplus (Z\pi)^s = (\pi, \pi_2 \oplus (Z\pi)^s, k)$ is finitely 2-realizable, where $s = s(\epsilon, \ell, \delta)$.

$\mathcal{D}(\ell, \epsilon)$: Let Y be a connected complex with fundamental group π which is dominated by a finite 2-complex. Let the Wall invariant of Y vanish and $\delta = \chi(\mathbb{T}(Y)) - \chi_{\min}$. Then $Y \vee \overset{s}{\vee} S^2$ has the homotopy type of a $(\pi, 2)$-complex where $s = s(\epsilon, \ell, \delta)$.

The following theorem has a proof similar to those of 5.3, 5.4 and theorems 1 and 2.

THEOREM 5.5: *Let π be a finite group and X be a minimal $(\pi, 2)$-complex. If we assume $Tr(X, \ell)$* and *$CP(X, \epsilon)$, then $Ht(\epsilon, \ell)$, $\mathcal{R}(\epsilon, \ell)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\epsilon, \ell)$ are true.* □

EXAMPLE 1: If $\pi = A$ is a finite abelian group and $X = K^2_A$, then $\epsilon = \ell = 1$ and 5.5 yields 5.3, 5.4 and theorem 2.

EXAMPLE 2: If $\pi = Z_n$, $X = K(n)^2$ (see 5.1), then $\pi_2 X \cong I$, the augmentation ideal in $Z(Z_n)$. By [3, proposition 5.3, page 267] I has *CP*, hence $\epsilon = 0$. By proposition 4.1 of [3, page 265] I is transitive on k-invariants, hence $\ell = 0$. Thus we recover the theorem of [3] that the height of $HT(Z_n, 2)$ is zero ($Ht(0, 0)$) and theorem 5.2 ($\mathcal{R}(0, 0)$) and corollary 5.3 ($\mathcal{D}(0, 0)$) of [2].

EXAMPLE 3: Let $\pi = D_{2n}$, the dihedral group of order $2n$, with n odd. Let X be the cellular model associated with the efficient presentation $\mathcal{P} = \{x, y : y^2, yxyx^{-n+1}\}$ of D. D is a periodic group of minimal free period 4 and $\pi_2 X$ is transitive on k-invariants by [3, proposition 4.1] and has the cancellation property because D satisfies the Eichler condition (see [12, page 178] and [3, page 278]). Hence $\epsilon = \ell = 0$. Thus *$HT(D, 2)$ has height 0, any finitely chain 2-realizable 2-type (D, D_2, k) is finitely 2-realizable* and *any complex Y with $\pi_1 Y \cong D$ which is dominated by a finite 2-complex has the homotopy type of a $(D, 2)$-complex iff the Wall invariant vanishes.*

Note that the above statement is true for any group π satisfying Eichler's condition and having a $(\pi, 2)$-complex X such that $\pi_2 X \cong Z\pi/(N)$ (hence, π must be a periodic group with period 4).

EXAMPLE 4: Let G be the group of order $4n$ with efficient presentation $\mathcal{P} = \{a, b : a^n = b^2, ba = a^{-1}b\}$. G is periodic of period 4 and if X is the cellular model associated with $\mathcal{P}$, then $\pi_2 X \cong ZG/(N)$. If n is odd, then G satisfies the Eichler condition and $\epsilon = \ell = 0$ (see example

3). If n is even (for $n = 2^i$, G is called a generalized quaternion group) then, by proposition 4.2 of [3] $\mathrm{Aut}(\pi_2 X \oplus Z\pi)$ is transitive on k-invariants. Thus $\epsilon = \ell = 1$ and 5.3 and 5.4 are true with G replacing the finite abelian group π. Furthermore, the homotopy tree $HT(G, 2)$ has essential height ≤ 1.

Question: Let G be the generalized quaternion group of order 32 ($n = 8$) and let $P \subset Z\pi$ be the projective ideal of R. Swan [13] such that $P \oplus Z\pi \cong (Z\pi)^2$ but $P \ncong Z\pi$. Is the two-type $\mathbb{T} = (G, P/P^\pi, 1)$ realizable as a two-complex? If so, then the realizing complex, say Y, does not have the same homotopy type as X, for $\pi_2 X$ is not θ-isomorphic to $\pi_2 Y$ for any $\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}\, G$ [5, corollary 3.6]. If not, this would be the first known example of a stably realizable two-type ($\mathbb{T} \oplus Z\pi$ is finitely 2-realizable because $P/P^\pi \oplus Z\pi \cong Z\pi/(N) \oplus Z\pi$) which is not realizable.

REFERENCES

[1] W. Cockcroft and R. M. F. Moss: On the two-dimensional realisability of chain complexes. *Jour. London Math. Soc., 11* (1975) 257–262.

[2] M. N. Dyer, On the 2-realizability of 2-types, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 204* (1975), 229–243.

[3] M. N. Dyer, Homotopy classification of (π, m)-complexes, *Jour. Pure and Applied Algebra, 7* (1976), 249–282.

[4] M. N. Dyer, Homotopy trees: essential height and roots, *Ill. Jour. Math., 20* (1976), 306–313.

[5] M. N. Dyer, Non-minimal roots in homotopy trees, preprint.

[6] S. MacLane, *Homology*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963.

[7] S. MacLane, and J. H. C. Whitehead, *On the 3-type of a complex*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., vol. 36 (1950), 41–48.

[8] W. Metzler, Uber den Homotopietyp zweidimensionaler CW-Komplexe und Elementartransformationen bei Darstellungen von Gruppen durch Erzeugende und definierende Relationen, to appear in the *Journal fur die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik.*

[9] A. J. Sieradski, A semigroup of simple homotopy types. *Math. Zeit., 153* (1977), 135–148.

[10] R. G. Swan, Periodic resolutions for finite groups, *Ann. Math. 72* (1960), 267–291.

[11] R. G. Swan, Minimal resolutions for finite groups, *Topology 4* (1965), 193–208.

[12] R. G. Swan, K-theory of finite groups and orders, *Lecture notes in mathematics, 149* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970).

[13] R. G. Swan, Projective modules over group rings and maximal orders, *Ann. Math., 76* (1962), 55–61.

[14] C. T. C. Wall, Finiteness conditions for CW-complexes I, *Ann. Math., 81* (1965), 56–69.

[15] J. S. Williams, Free presentations and relation modules of finite groups, *Jour. Pure and Applied Algebra, 3* (1973), 203–217.

(Oblatum 22-X-1976 & 3-III-1977)

Department of Mathematics
University of Oregon
Eugene, OR 97403