Astérisque

JOHN M. FRANKS

Homology and the zeta function for diffeomorphisms

Astérisque, tome 40 (1976), p. 79-88

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_1976__40__79_0>

© Société mathématique de France, 1976, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (http://smf4.emath.fr/Publications/Asterisque/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

Société Mathématique de France
Astérisque 40 (1976) p.79-88

HOMOLOGY AND THE ZETA FUNCTION FOR DIFFEOMORPHISMS

John M. Franks

One of the interesting problems in smooth dynamical systems is to relate the dynamics to the geometry or topology of the manifold on which it occurs. In the case of discrete time systems, i.e. diffeomorphisms, an important invariant in this study is the zeta function of Artin and Mazur [1]. This is defined by $\zeta(t) = \exp(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} N_m t^m)$, where N_m is the cardinality of the fixed point set of f^m. If, as frequently happens, this is a rational function, then a finite set of complex numbers, the zeroes and poles of ζ determine all of the numbers N_m.

We consider here diffeomorphisms of compact manifolds which satisfy Axiom A and the no-cycle property, which are described below, and survey the relation of their zeta functions and homological invariants.

We will not consider the closely related topics of the entropy conjecture or the generalized zeta function of Ruelle; however these are discussed in the remarks of Manning and Ruelle respectively, in these proceedings.

§1. Axiom A diffeomorphisms with the no-cycle property.

We wish to study the structure of diffeomorphisms which satisfy Axiom A of Smale [12] and the no-cycle property, so we now briefly describe this class of diffeomorphisms.

Let $f : M \longrightarrow M$ be a C^1 diffeomorphism of a compact connected manifold M. A closed f-invariant set $\Lambda \subset M$ is called <u>hyperbolic</u> if the tangent bundle of M restricted to Λ is the Whitney sum of two Df-invariant bundles, $T_\Lambda M = E^u(\Lambda) \oplus E^s(\Lambda)$, and if there are constants $C > 0$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$ such that

$$|Df^n(v)| \leq C\lambda^n|v| \quad \text{for} \quad v \in E^s, \ n > 0$$

and

$$|Df^{-n}(v)| \leq C\lambda^n|v| \quad \text{for} \quad v \in E^u, \ n > 0 .$$

The diffeomorphism f is said to satisfy <u>Axiom A</u> if a) the non-wandering set of f, $\Omega(f) = \{x \in M : U \cap \bigcup_{n>0} f^n(U) \neq \emptyset$ for every neighbourhood U of $x\}$ is a hyperbolic set, and b) $\Omega(f)$ equals the closure of the set of periodic points of f. If f satisfies Axiom A, one has the spectral decomposition theorem of Smale [12] which says $\Omega(f) = \Lambda_1 \cup \ldots \cup \Lambda_\ell$ where Λ_i are pairwise disjoint, f-invariant closed sets and $f|_{\Lambda_i}$ is topologically transitive.

These Λ_i are called the <u>basic sets</u> of f and because f is topologically transitive on each basic set, the restrictions of the bundles E^s and E^u to Λ_i have constant dimension. The fiber dimension of $E^u(\Lambda_i)$ is called the <u>index</u> of Λ_i and will be denoted u_i.

The basic sets Λ_i have considerable structure which we illustrate by describing the structure of zero dimensional basic sets.

If A is an $n \times n$ matrix of zeroes and ones we define $\Sigma_A \subset \prod_{\mathbb{Z}} \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ by $\Sigma_A = \{(x_i)_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} | x_i \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$ and $A_{x_i x_{i+1}} = 1$ for all $i\}$ If $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ is given the discrete topology and Σ_A a topology as a subset of the product then Σ_A is a compact metrizable space.

The shift homomorphism $\sigma : \Sigma_A \longrightarrow \Sigma_A$ is defined by $\sigma((x_i)) = (x_i')$ where $x_i' = x_{i+1}$ (here (x_i) denotes the bi-infinite

sequence whose ith element is x_i) .

A result of Bowen [2] shows that on any zero-dimensional basic set Λ , f is topologically conjugate to some shift $\sigma : \Sigma_A \longrightarrow \Sigma_A$ (the matrix A is not unique however).

The no-cycle property [13] implies that it is possible to find submanifolds (with boundary and of the same dimension as M) ,

$M = M_\ell \supset \ldots \supset M_1 \supset M_0 = \emptyset$ such that

$M_{i-1} \cup f(M_i) \subset \text{int } M_i$, and

$$\Lambda_i = \bigcap_{m \in Z} f^m(M_i - M_{i-1}) .$$

Henceforth we will consider only diffeomorphisms which satisfy Axiom A and the no cycle property and all theorems will be assumed to include this as part of the hypothesis unless otherwise stated.

The following result is valid without the no cycle property and is the basis of our subsequent remarks.

Theorem. (Guckenheimer [6] , Manning [7]).
If $f : M \longrightarrow M$ *satisfies* Axiom A *then its zeta function is rational.*

In fact the proofs show that the zeta function is the quotient of two integer polynomials with constant terms 1 and that the same holds true for the zeta function of f restricted to a single basic set.

§2. Filtrations and zeta functions

Since all periodic points are contained in the basic sets $\{\Lambda_i\}$ it is useful to restrict our attention to the zeta function of f restricted to a single basic set Λ_i .

Definition : $\zeta_i = \zeta(f|_{\Lambda_i}) = \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} N_m t^m\right)$, where N_m cardinality of $\mathrm{Fix}(f^m) \cap \Lambda_i$.

Example : If $f : \Lambda_i \longrightarrow \Lambda_i$ is topologically conjugate to a subshift of finite type $\sigma : \Sigma_A \longrightarrow \Sigma_A$ described above, then a theorem of Bowen and Lanford [3], says $\zeta_i = \zeta(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\det(I - At)}$.

A function closely related to ζ_i is defined as follows

$$\eta_i = \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \tilde{N}_m t^m\right)$$

where

$$\tilde{N}_m = \sum'_{x \in \mathrm{Fix}(f^m) \cap \Lambda_i} L(f^m, x) ,$$

and

$$L(f^m, x)$$

is the Lefschetz index of the fixed point x of f^m . In our situation one can show $L(f^m; x) = \pm 1$ depending on the sign of $\det(I - Df_x^m)$, so if this sign were always + , one would have $\zeta_i = \eta_i$. The advantage of η_i is that by means of the Lefschetz fixed point formula it is easily computed in terms of homological invariants of f . A proof of the following proposition can be found in [5].

Proposition : $\eta_i(t) = \prod \det(I - f_{*k} t)^{(-1)^{k+1}}$, where

$f_{*k} : H_k(M_i, M_{i-1}; R) \circlearrowleft$ is induced by f .

Thus relationships between ζ_i and η_i relate the orbit structure of f on Λ_i to homological invariants of f .

We will say that f satisfies the orientation assumption on Λ_i if the bundle $E^u(\Lambda_i)$ is orientable and Df preserves this orientation. In fact, much of the following goes through with minor changes if Df reverses orientation, but there are serious difficulties if $E^u(\Lambda_i)$ is not orientable or orientation is preserved for some parts and reversed for others. The following result can be

found in [12] or as (2.5) of [5].

Theorem. (Smale)

The orientation assumption implies that

$$\zeta_i^i = \eta_i^{(-1)^{u_i}}$$

where u_i *is the index of* Λ_i .

Thus in this case the zeroes and poles of ζ_i are the reciprocals of the eigenvalues of $f_* : H_*(M_i, M_{i-1} ; R) \circlearrowright$.

In [2] Bowen shows that the radius of convergence of ζ_i is e^{-h} where h is the toplogical entropy of f restricted to Λ_i (see [2] for a definition). In fact using techniques of Bowen and of Manning [8] it follows that the rational function ζ_i has a pole at e^{-h} and that this is the closest pole to 0 . Thus, e^h is an eigenvalue of $f_* : H_*(M_i, M_{i-1} ; R) \circlearrowright$ by the remarks above, when the orientation assumption holds.

In [10], Ruelle and Sullivan give a very beautiful explicit construction of this eigenclass and show that it occurs in dimension u_i .

Theorem. (Ruelle-Sullivan)

The orientation assumption implies that e^h *is an eigenvalue of* $f_* : H_{u_i}(M_i, M_{i-1}; R) \circlearrowleft$, *where* h *is the topological entropy.*

This theorem was generalized by Shub and Williams in [11] to obtain an eigenclass in the homology of a relative double cover without the orientation assumption.

The following theorem from [5] gives another approach to eliminating the orientation assumption.

Theorem.

The following are equal:

a) *The rational function* $\zeta_i^{(-1)^{u_i}}$ *with all coefficients reduced* mod 2 .

b) *The rational function* η_i *with all coefficients reduced* mod 2 .

c) $\prod_{k=0}^{n} \det(I - f_{*_k} t)^{(-1)^{k+1}}$ *where* $f_{*_k} : H_k(M_i, M_{i-1} ; Z_2) \circlearrowleft$ *is induced by* f.

Thus the theorem of Smale remains true modulo 2 even without the orientation assumption. This motivates the following.

Definition.: The reduced zeta function Z_i of the basic set Λ_i is the rational function ζ_i with its coefficients reduced mod 2.

This makes sense because ζ_i is of the form $P(t)/Q(t)$ where both P and Q are polynomials with integer coefficients and constant term 1 .

The theorem above can then be interpreted as saying that the zeroes and poles of Z_i (in the algebraic closure of Z_2) are the reciprocals of eigenvalues of $f_* : H_*(M_i, M_{i-1} ; Z_2) \circlearrowleft$.

§3. Zeta functions and $H_*(M)$.

Thus far we have related ζ_i to homological invariants of the filtration manifolds M_i . It is much more valuable to establish relationships with $f_* : H_*(M) \longrightarrow H_*(M)$, since it is not always easy to determine the filtration manifolds or their homology, the following theorem is a combination of results of [4] and [5].

Theorem.

If $f : M \longrightarrow M$ *satisfies* Axiom A *and the no-cycle property then*

a) $\prod Z_i^{(-1)^{u_i}} = \prod_{k=0}^{n} \det(I - f_{*_k} t)^{(-1)^{k+1}}$, *where* $f_{*_k} : H_k(M ; Z_2)$ *is induced by* f .

b) If the orientation assumption holds for all basic sets then $\prod \zeta_i^{(-1)^{u_i}} = \prod_{k=0}^{n} \det(I - f_{*_k} t)^{(-1)^{k+1}}$, *where* $f_{*_k} : H_k(M;R)$ *is induced by* f .

Part a) of the above theorem implies that $\prod Z_i^{(-1)^{u_i}}$ depends only on the homotopy type of f , and this leads to partial answers to several interesting questions :

1) When can an isotopy remove a basic set Λ_i of f while leaving all others unchanged? A necessary condition is that $Z_i(f) = 1$.

2) When can an isotopy "cancel" two basic sets Λ_i and Λ_j leaving all others unchanged? A necessary condition is $Z_i^{(-1)^{u_i}} \cdot Z_j^{(-1)^{u_j}} = 1$.

3) When can an isotopy of f to g change a basic set $f : \Lambda_i \longrightarrow \Lambda_i$ to a different basic set $g : \Lambda_i' \longrightarrow \Lambda_i'$, leaving others unaltered? A necessary condition is $Z_i(f)^{(-1)^{u_i}} = Z_i(g)^{(-1)^{u_i}}$.

All of these problems can be seen as a generalization of the problem of simplifying a Morse function by cancelling critical points.

One can also obtain a necessary condition for a collection of abstract basic sets of a diffeomorphism of a manifold M in any homotopy class.

Theorem. [5]

$\Sigma(-1)^{u_i} \deg Z_i = -X(M)$, *where* $X(M)$ *is the Euler characteristic of* M .

§4. Morse inequalities.

There are further relations between zeta functions and the homology of M which are analogous to the Morse inequalities which relate the number of critical points of a Morse function on M and the dimension of the homology groups of M, (see, for example [9]).

Recall that these inequalities say

$$C_q - C_{q-1} + \ldots \pm C_0 \geq B_q - B_{q-1} + \ldots \pm B_0 ,$$

where C_j is the number of critical points of index j and B_j is the dimension of $H_j(M ; R)$.

To prove similar results we need dimension restrictions on the Λ_i or in their global stable and unstable manifolds $W^u(\Lambda_i)$, (see [12] for a definition). Specifically, we will say that f satisfies the dimension restrictions for q, if it is true that each basic Λ_i with index $u_i \leq q$ satisfies $\dim W^u(\Lambda_i) \leq q$ and each basic set Λ_j with index $u_j > q$ satisfies $\dim W^s(\Lambda_j) < n-q$, where $n = \dim M$. Roughly these restrictions guarantee that the basic sets can be divided into two groups, those which contribute only to homology in dimensions greater than q and those which contribute to homology only in dimensions less than or equal to q. It is shown in [5] that the dimension restrictions are satisfied for all q if $\dim \Lambda_i = 0$ for all i.

If we now consider an eigenvalue λ on homology and set

$$B_j(\lambda) = \dim \text{ generalized eigenspace for } \lambda \text{ in } H_j(M ; R) ,$$

and

$$C_j(\lambda) = \Sigma \dim \text{ generalized eigenspace for } \lambda \text{ in } H_j(M_i, M_{i-1} ; R) ,$$

where the sum is over all i, then we have the following result.

Theorem.

If $C_q(\lambda)$ *and* $B_q(\lambda)$ *are as above, then*

$$C_q(\lambda) - C_{q-1}(\lambda) + \ldots \pm C_0(\lambda) \geq B_q(\lambda) - B_{q-1}(\lambda) + \ldots \pm B_0(\lambda) \quad .$$

We can consolidate these inequalities by considering the alternating products over k of the terms $(1-\lambda t)^{C_k(\lambda)}$ and then the product over λ of the results. The analogous product for the $B_k(\lambda)$ can be formed and one sees that it differs by a polynomial. In this way we can obtain the following result.

Theorem.[5].

If the dimension restrictions hold for q *, then there is an integer polynomial* $P(t)$ *such that*

$$P(t)^{(-1)^q} \prod_{u_i \leq q} \eta_i = \prod_{0 \leq k \leq q} \det(I - f_{*_k} t)^{(-1)^{k+1}}$$

where $f_{*_k} : H_k(M ; R) \supset$ *is induced by* f .

Using this result we can directly relate the ζ_i to the homology of M . For example if orientation assumptions hold then by the theorem of Smale above $\eta_i = \zeta_i^{(-1)^{u_i}}$ so we have

$$P(t)^{(-1)^q} \prod_{u_i \leq q} \zeta_i^{(-1)^{u_i}} = \prod_{0 \leq k \leq q} \det(I - f_{*_k} t)^{(-1)^{k+1}} \quad .$$

Some applications of these inequalities can be found in [4] .

Inequalities relating Z_i to $H_*(M)$ which do not require orientation assumptions can also be obtained from the theorem above by reducing mod 2 and using the equality $Z_i^{(-1)^{u_i}}$ = the mod 2 reduction of η_i . The details of this can be found in [5] .

REFERENCES

[1] M. Artin and B. Mazur, On Periodic Points , Annals of Math. (2) 81 (1965), 82 - 99.

[2] R. Bowen, Topological Entropy and Axiom A, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 14, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence R.I., 23 - 42.

[3] R. Bowen and O. Lanford, The Zeta Funcion of Subshifts, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 14, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence R.I.

[4] J. Franks, Morse Inequalities for Zeta Functions, in Annals of Math. 102 (1975), 143 - 157.

[5] J. Franks, A Reduced Zeta Function for Diffeomorphisms, to appear, in Amer. Jour. of Math.

[6] J. Guckenheimer, Axiom A and no cycles imply $\zeta(f)$ rational, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 76 (1970), 592 - 594.

[7] A. Manning, Axiom A diffeomorphisms have rational zeta functions, Bull. London Math. Soc. 3 (1971), 215 - 220.

[8] A. Manning, There are no new Anosov Diffeomorphisms on Tori, Amer. Jour. of Math. 96 (1974), 422 - 429.

[9] J. Milnor, Morse Theory, Annals of Math. Studies 51, Princeton Univ. Press, 1963.

[10] D. Ruelle and D. Sullivan, Currents, Flows, and Diffeomorphisms, Preprint I.H.E.S., 084, 1974.

[11] M. Shub and R.F. Williams, Entropy and stability, to appear in Topology.

[12] S. Smale, Differentiable Dynamical Systems, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 73 (1967), 747 - 817.

[13] S. Smale, The Ω-Stability Theorem, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 14 (1970) 289 - 297.

Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques
35, route de Chartres
91440 Bures-sur-Yvette
France