

ANNALES SCIENTIFIQUES
DE L'UNIVERSITÉ DE CLERMONT-FERRAND 2
Série Probabilités et applications

R. ZAHAROPOL

Operator theorems on L^p -convergence to zero ($1 \leq p < +\infty$)

Annales scientifiques de l'Université de Clermont-Ferrand 2, tome 78, série *Probabilités et applications*, n° 2 (1984), p. 15-23

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASCFPA_1984__78_2_15_0

© Université de Clermont-Ferrand 2, 1984, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales scientifiques de l'Université de Clermont-Ferrand 2 » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

OPERATOR THEOREMS ON L^p -CONVERGENCE TO ZERO ($1 \leq p < +\infty$)

R. ZAHAROPOL

1. Introduction.

Let (X, Σ, m) be a measure space (where m is a positive, σ -additive measure) and let $L^p(X, \Sigma, m)$, $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ be the usual Banach spaces. A linear bounded operator $T: L^p(X, \Sigma, m) \rightarrow L^p(X, \Sigma, m)$ is called a positive contraction of $L^p(X, \Sigma, m)$ if it transforms non-negative functions into non-negative functions and if $\|T\|_p \leq 1$.

Our goal here is to prove that if T is simultaneously a positive contraction of $L^p(X, \Sigma, m)$ for every $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ and if we consider the set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$,

$$\Omega = \left\{ \frac{1}{m(A)} \cdot \int_A T 1_A d m / A \in \Sigma, 0 < m(A) < +\infty \right\}$$

then if $\inf \Omega > 0$ it follows that for every $1 \leq q < +\infty$ $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|T^{n+1} - T^n\|_q = 0$.

For notational conveniences we will recall some definitions from [1].

By a partition $E = \{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ of X we mean a finite partition of X such that $E_i \in \Sigma$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $0 < m(E_1) < +\infty$ and such that only the first k sets ($1 \leq k \leq n$) have finite non-zero measures. Let $l_p(k, \mu)$ ($1 \leq p \leq +\infty$) be the finite dimensional L^p -space defined by $\mu_i = \mu(\{i\}) = m(E_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ (that is $l_p(k, \mu) = L^p(\Gamma_k, P(\Gamma_k), \mu)$ where $\Gamma_k = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and the measure μ is generated by the real, non-zero, positive numbers $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k$). The space $l_p(k, \mu)$ will be called the finite dimensional L^p -space generated by the partition $E = \{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n\}$. The norm on $l_p(k, \mu)$ will be denoted by

$\| \cdot \|_p^-$.

If E is a partition of X we will denote by T_E the conditional expectation operator as defined by Akcoglu [1].

2. Positive Contractions of L^1 or L^∞ -spaces.

Proposition 1. Let T be a positive contraction of $L^1(X, \Sigma, m)$.

I) The following are equivalent:

- a) There exists $\rho > 0$ such that if $A, B \in \Sigma$, $0 < m(A)$, $m(B) < +\infty$,
 $A \cap B = \emptyset$ then

$$\int (1_B - 1_A) T 1_A \, d m < (1 - \rho) m(A)$$

- b) There exists $\eta > 0$ such that for every partition E of X

$$\|T_E T - I\|_1^- < 2(1 - \eta) .$$

II) If T satisfies a) (or b)) then $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|T^{n+1} - T^n\|_1 = 0$.

Proof. I) a) $\Rightarrow$ b) . Let $E = \{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ be a partition of X and let $l_1(k, \mu)$ be the finite dimensional L^1 -space generated by the partition E .

The operator $T_E T$ as a positive contraction of $l_1(k, \mu)$ has the matrix

$$\left(\frac{1}{m(E_j)} \int_{E_j} T 1_{E_i} \, d m \right)_{i,j=1, \dots, k} .$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|T_E T - I\|_1^{-1} &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} ((m(E_i))^{-1} \cdot (\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^k \frac{1}{m(E_j)} \cdot \int_{E_j} T 1_{E_i} dm \cdot m(E_j) + \\
 &+ (1 - \frac{1}{m(E_i)} \int_{E_i} T 1_{E_i} dm) \cdot m(E_i))) = \\
 &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} ((\int_{\bigcup_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^k E_j} T 1_{E_i} dm - \int_{E_i} T 1_{E_i} dm + m(E_i)) \cdot \frac{1}{m(E_i)})
 \end{aligned}$$

Using a) we obtain that

$$\|T_E T - I\|_1^{-1} < \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} (((1 - \rho)m(E_i) + m(E_i)) \cdot \frac{1}{m(E_i)}) = 2(1 - \frac{\rho}{2})$$

and if we note $\eta = \frac{\rho}{2}$ we obtain b) .

b) $\Rightarrow$ a). Let $A, B \in \Sigma$, $0 < m(A)$, $m(B) < +\infty$ be two disjoint sets. We define the partition $E = \{A, B, X \setminus (A \cup B)\}$. It follows that

$\|T_E T - I\|_1^{-1} < 2(1 - \eta)$ and we obtain that

$$(1 - \frac{1}{m(A)} \int_A T 1_A dm) m(A) + \int_B T 1_A dm < 2(1 - \eta) m(A) .$$

The last inequality implies that

$$\int (1_B - 1_A) \cdot T 1_A dm < (1 - 2\eta)m(A)$$

and a) follows as $\rho = 2\eta$.

II) Suppose T satisfies b) and let $f \in L^1(X, \Sigma, m)$ be such that $\|f\|_1 \leq 1$.

By lemma 3.1 from [1] it follows that there exists a partition E of X such that

$$\|f - T_E f\|_1 < \frac{\eta}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|Tf - T_E T T_E f\|_1 < \frac{\eta}{2} .$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - T)f\|_1 &\leq \|f - T_E f\|_1 + \|(I - T_E T)T_E f\|_1 + \|T_E^T T_E f - T f\|_1 < \\ &< \frac{\eta}{2} + 2(1 - \eta) + \frac{\eta}{2} = 2(1 - \frac{\eta}{2}) . \end{aligned}$$

We obtain that $\|I - T\|_1 \leq 2(1 - \frac{\eta}{2})$ and the proof is completed by using the "zero-two" law for positive contractions in L^1 -spaces. (Theorem 1.1 from [4]).

Proposition 2. Let T be a positive contraction of $L^\infty(X, \Sigma, m)$. The following are equivalent :

i) There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that if $A, B \in \Sigma$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, $0 < m(A)$, $m(B) < +\infty$ then

$$\int_B T(1_A - 1_B) dm < (1 - \alpha)m(B) .$$

ii) There exists $\beta > 0$ such that for every partition E of X ,

$$\|T_E T - I\|_\infty < 2(1 - \beta) .$$

Proof. i) $\Rightarrow$ ii) Let E be a partition of X and let $l_\infty(k, \mu)$ be the finite dimensional L^∞ -space generated by the partition E . The operator $T_E T$ thought as operator on $l_\infty(k, \mu)$ has the matrix $(\frac{1}{m(E_j)} \int_{E_j} T 1_{E_i} dm)_{i,j=1,\dots,k}$.

It follows that

$$\|T_E T - I\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^k \frac{1}{m(E_j)} \int_{E_j} T 1_{E_i} dm + 1 - \frac{1}{m(E_j)} \int_{E_j} T 1_{E_j} dm \right) =$$

$$= \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \left(\frac{1}{m(E_j)} \int_{E_j} T \left(\bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^k E_i \right) dm - \frac{1}{m(E_j)} \int_{E_j} T 1_{E_j} dm + 1 \right) .$$

Using i) we obtain that

$$\|T_E T - I\|_{\infty} < \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} (1 - \alpha) + 1 = 2(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}) .$$

Taking $\beta = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ the assertion follows.

ii) $\Rightarrow$ i) Let $A, B \in \Sigma$ be such that $A \cap B = \emptyset$, $0 < m(A)$, $m(B) < +\infty$.

We will define the partition $E = \{A, B, X \setminus (A \cup B)\}$.

Given that $\|T_E T - I\|_{\infty} < 2(1 - \beta)$ it follows that

$$1 - \frac{1}{m(B)} \int_B T 1_B dm + \frac{1}{m(B)} \int_B T 1_A dm < 2(1 - \beta)$$

and if we note $\alpha = 2\beta$ we obtain i).

3. The Main Results

Theorem 3. Let T be simultaneously a positive contraction of $L^q(X, \Sigma, m)$ for every $1 \leq q \leq +\infty$. If T satisfies condition a) of Proposition 1 and condition i) of Proposition 2, then for every $1 \leq p < +\infty$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|T^{n+1} - T^n\|_p = 0 .$$

Proof. We will assume $1 < p < +\infty$ for if $p = 1$ we obtain a special case of Proposition 1.

For every partition E of X we will note $S_E = T_E T$. By Proposition 2 it follows that there exists $\beta > 0$ such that for every partition E of X , $\|S_E - I\|_{\infty} < 2(1 - \beta)$. If $l_{\infty}(k, \mu)$ is the finite dimensional L^{∞} -space generated by the partition E then its dual space will be $l_1(k, \mu_0)$ where μ_0 is the counting measure ($\mu_0(\{i\}) = 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$). Using the proof of the "zero-two" law for positive contractions in L^1 -spaces (Theorem 1.1 from [4]) it follows that given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ (which depends only on β and ε) such that for every partition E of X and for every $n \geq n_1$ $\|S_E^{n+1} - S_E^n\|_{\infty} = \|S_E^{*n+1} - S_E^{*n}\|_1 < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ where S_E^* is the dual operator of S_E (S_E^* is a positive contraction of $l_1(k, \mu_0)$).

By Proposition 1 and the proof of Theorem 1.1 from [4] for the same $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ (which depends on $\frac{\rho}{2}$ and ε) such that for every partition E of X and for every $n \geq n_2$ $\|S_E^{n+1} - S_E^n\|_1 < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$.

By the Riesz convexity theorem (see for instance [2]) it follows that if $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ and $n \geq \max\{n_1, n_2\}$ then for every partition E of X $\|S_E^{n+1} - S_E^n\|_p < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$.

If we put $n_0 = \max\{n_1, n_2\}$ then from the last inequality it follows that for every partition E of X $\|S_E^{n_0+1} T_E - S_E^{n_0} T_E\|_p < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$.

Now let $f \in L^p(X, \Sigma, m)$ be such that $\|f\|_p \leq 1$. By lemma 3.1 from [1] it follows that there exists a partition E of X such that

$$\|T_E^{n_0+1} f - S_E^{n_0+1} T_E f\|_p < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

and

$$\|T_E^{n_0} f - S_E^{n_0} T_E f\|_p < \frac{\varepsilon}{3},$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{n_0+1}f - T^{n_0}f\|_p &\leq \|T^{n_0+1}f - S_E^{n_0+1}T_E f\|_p + \\ &+ \|S_E^{n_0+1}T_E f - S_E^{n_0}T_E f\|_p + \|S_E^{n_0}T_E f - T^{n_0}f\|_p < \varepsilon . \end{aligned}$$

We obtain that $\|T^{n_0+1} - T^{n_0}\|_p \leq \varepsilon$ and the theorem follows as $(\|T^{n+1} - T^n\|_p)_n$ is a non-increasing sequence.

Theorem 3 has the following consequence:

Corollary 4. Let T be simultaneously a positive contraction of $L^q(X, \Sigma, m)$ for every $1 \leq q \leq +\infty$.

If there exists $0 < \gamma < 1$ such that for every $A \in \Sigma$, $0 < m(A) < +\infty$, $\int_A T 1_A dm \geq \gamma m(A)$ then for every $1 \leq p < +\infty$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|T^{n+1} - T^n\|_p = 0 .$$

Proof. It is enough to prove that T satisfies condition a) of Proposition 1 and condition i) of Proposition 2.

Let $A, B \in \Sigma$ such that $0 < m(A), m(B) < +\infty$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$. It follows that

$$\int (1_B - 1_A) \cdot T 1_A dm \leq \int T 1_A dm - \int T 1_A dm \leq (1 - \gamma)m(A) .$$

Taking $\rho = \gamma$ it follows that condition a) of Proposition 1 is satisfied.

On the other hand

$$\int_B T(1_A - 1_B) dm \leq \int_B 1_B dm - \int_B T 1_B dm \leq (1 - \gamma)m(B)$$

(we used here that T is a positive contraction of $L^\infty(X, \Sigma, m)$ and therefore $1_B T 1_A \leq 1_B$).

Taking $\alpha = \gamma$ it follows that condition i) of Proposition 2 is also satisfied.

Remarks. 1) The fact that the existence of $\gamma > 0$ implies the existence of $\rho > 0$ was noticed by Professor Foguel.

2) If T is a positive contraction of a finite dimensional L^1 -space $L^1(k, \mu)$ is generated by the matrix $(a_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,k}$ and if $a_{ii} \neq 0$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ (that is there exists $\rho > 0$ such that all the elements of the diagonal of $(a_{ij})_{i,j}$ are greater than ρ) then by the "zero-two" law for positive contractions in L^1 -spaces (Theorem 1.1 from [4]) we obtain that

$$\lim_n \|T^{n+1} - T^n\|_1 = 0.$$

If we note that $a_{ii} = \frac{1}{\mu_i} \int_{\{i\}} T 1_{\{i\}} d\mu$ it follows that Corollary 4 can be thought of as an extension of the above observation.

References

1. Akcoglu, M.A.: "A pointwise ergodic theorem in L_p -spaces." Canadian J. of Math., Vol. XXVII, no. 5, 1975, 1075-1082.
2. Dunford, N., Schwartz, J.T.: "Linear operators" Part I., New York: Interscience 1958.
3. Neveu, J.: "Mathematical foundations of the calculus of probability", San Francisco, London, Amsterdam: Holden-Day 1965.
4. Ornstein D., Sucheston, L.: "An operator theorem on L_1 convergence to zero with applications to Markov kernels". Ann. Math. Statist. 1970, vol. 41, no. 5, 1631-1639.

R. ZAHARAPOL
Département de Mathématiques
Université Hébraïque

GIVAT-RAM
Jérusalem
Israël

Reçu en Septembre 1983