ANNALES DE LA FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE TOULOUSE

ALEXEI N. SKOROBOGATOV

On a theorem of Enriques - Swinnerton-Dyer

Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse 6^e série, tome 2, n° 3 (1993), p. 429-440

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AFST_1993_6_2_3_429_0>

© Université Paul Sabatier, 1993, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse » (http://picard.ups-tlse.fr/~annales/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse Vol. II, n° 3, 1993

On a theorem of Enriques – Swinnerton-Dyer(*)

ALEXEI N. SKOROBOGATOV(1)

RÉSUMÉ. — On propose ici une nouvelle démonstration de l'énoncé classique suivant : chaque surface sur le corps k qui, sur la clôture algébrique de k devient isomorphe à un plan projectif avec quatre points en position générale éclatés, a un point rationnel. Nous retrouvons toutes ces surfaces comme les "quotients" d'une variété de Grassmann $G(3,5)$ par rapport à l'action de tores maximaux du groupe linéaire $GL(5)$.

ABSTRACT. — We propose a new proof of the following classical statement: every surface over a field k, which over an algebraic closure of k becomes isomorphic to the projective plane with four points in general position blown-up, has a rational point. In fact all such surfaces can be obtained as "quotients" of a Grassmannian variety $G(3,5)$ by the action of maximal tori of the general linear group $GL(5)$.

1. Introduction

Let k be a perfect field. The aim of this note is to give a new proof of the following statement formulated by Enriques [3] in 1897 and proved by Swinnerton-Dyer [11] in 1970.

THEOREM . — *Any del Pezzo k-surface of degree 5 has a k-point.*

(*) Reçu le 12 mai 1992

(1) Institute for Problems of Information Transmission, The Academy of Sciences of Russia, 19 Ermolovoy, 101447 Moscow (Russia)
Laboratoire de Mathématiques Discrètes, U.P.R. 9016 du C.N.R.S., Case 930, 13288 Luminy Cedex 9 (France)
Partially supported by the Russian fundamental research foundation (project 93-012-458)

This statement is usually used to prove the k-rationality of such a surface. The proof of [11] is indirect, so it appears that the present proof, which is conceptually very simple, is of some interest[2].

Recall that a del Pezzo surface of degree 5 is defined as a k-form of the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$ with 4 points in general position blown-up (we shall call this a split del Pezzo surface of degree 5; "general position" simply means that no three points are collinear). In fact, we prove that for any del Pezzo k-surface X of degree 5 there exists a maximal k-torus $T \subset GL(5)$, such that X is isomorphic to the orbit space of T on the set of semistable points of the natural action of T on the Grassmannian $G(3,5)$. If k is infinite we have plenty of semistable k-points since semistable points form a dense open subset of $G(m,n)$. For arbitrary k the existence of a k-point on X follows from a simple statement known as the lemma of Lang – Nishimura ([6], [9]).

The action of the group of diagonal matrices of $GL(n)$ on $G(m,n)$ is briefly discussed in Section 2. In Section 3 we show that for $m = 3$ and $n = 5$ the corresponding space of (semi)stable orbits is no other than $\mathbb{P}^2$ with four points blown-up, a fact probably well known to experts (*cf.* [2]). Note that the automorphism group of the split del Pezzo surface of degree 5 is precisely the Weyl group $W(A_4)$, isomorphic to the group of permutations on five elements. We prove the main theorem in Section 4 (Theorem 4.4) by combining these geometric facts with a formal argument in Galois cohomology.

2. Torus action on Grassmannians : the split case

Les $V = k \oplus \cdots \oplus k$, $\dim(V) = n$, be the vector space with a fixed decomposition into the direct sum of one-dimensional subspaces. Let $SL(n)$ be the group of linear transformations of V with determinant 1, and $D \subset SL(n)$ be the subgroup of diagonal matrices. Consider the Grassmannian $G(m,n)$ of m-dimensional subspaces of V with the natural (right) action of $SL(n)$. The restriction of this action to D was studied in a series of papers by I. M. Gelfand and his colleagues (see, for example, [4]). Let us recall some of their constructions. In what follows $A \subset B$ means that $A \subseteq B$ and $A \neq B$.

[2] After this paper has been sent to a journal, the author became aware that N. I. Shepherd-Barron has recently obtained another simple proof of this theorem ("The rationality of quintic del Pezzo surfaces – A short proof." Bull. London Math. Soc. 24 (1992), pp. 249-250).

Let $I_n := \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Choose $e_i \in V$ to be a vector whose i-th coordinate is non-zero, and all the other coordinates are zeros. For $I \subseteq I_n$ define $V_I \subseteq V$ as the subspace generated by e_i, $i \in I$. Let f be a function from the subsets of I_n to non-negative integers. Define a constructible algebraic set $U_f \subset G(m,n)$ whose points are the subspaces $S \subset V$ such that $\dim(S \cap V_I) = f(I)$ for all $I \subseteq I_n$. We have a decomposition $G(m,n) = \bigcup_f U_f$. Obviously, U_f are D-invariant. The unique dense open set $U_0 = U_{f_0}$ parametrizes the subspaces S in general position with respect to all V_I. It is given by

$$f_0(I) = \max\{0\,,\ m + \#I - n\}\,.$$

It is often simpler to work not with f but with another function defined by:

$$r(I) = m - f(I_n \setminus I)\,.$$

Let $S \subset V$ be the subspace corresponding to a point of $G(m,n)$.

Choose a basis in S, and decompose it with respect to the coordinate system $V = k \oplus \cdots \oplus k$. Let M be the resulting matrix. One checks that for a subset $I \subseteq I_n$ the value $r(I)$ is the rank of the submatrix of M of size $(m \times \#I)$ consisting of the columns with numbers in I (see, e.g. [4, (1.1)]). In particular, the function $r_0(I) = m - f_0(I_n \setminus I) = \min\{\#I\,,\ m\}$ describes the matrices whose every m columns are linearly independent.

We are interested in "the quotient" of $G(m,n)$ by D. For this reason we consider stable and semistable points of $G(m,n)$ with respect to the ample sheaf $\mathcal{O}(1)$ corresponding to the Plücker embedding. (This makes sense because $SL(n)$ acts linearly on V, thus $\mathcal{O}(1)$ has an $SL(n)$-linearization, see [8, Chap. 4, § 4].)

LEMMA 2.1. — *The set $G(m,n)^{\mathrm{s}}$ (resp. $G(m,n)^{\mathrm{ss}}$) of stable (resp. semistable) points of $G(m,n)$ with respect to D and $\mathcal{O}(1)$ is the union of U_f for f satisfying $f(I) < (m/n)\,\#I$ (resp. $f(I) \le (m/n)\,\#I$) for all $I \subset I_n$.*

Proof. — This follows from the proof of [8, Prop. 4.3]. □

If m and n are coprime then $(m/n)\,\#I$ is never an integer for $\#I < n$, and the lemma implies that $G(m,n)^{\mathrm{s}} = G(m,n)^{\mathrm{ss}}$.

The condition of stability can be reformulated as follows:

$$r(I) > (m/n)\,\#I \quad \text{for all nonempty } I \subseteq I_n\,. \tag{1}$$

This implies that M does not contain a zero column.

By geometric invariant theory [8, (1.10)] there exists a quasiprojective scheme Y, and a morphism $\phi : G(m,n)^{ss} \to Y$ satisfying $\phi(xt) = \phi(x)$, $t \in D$, which is the universal categorical quotient [8, Def. 0.5]. According to the remark following the proof of [8, (1.11)], Y is proper over k. Moreover, there is an open set $Y' \subseteq Y$ such that $\phi^{-1}(Y') = G(m,n)^s$, and $\phi : G(m,n)^s \to Y'$ is the universal geometric quotient [8, Def. 0.6]. Y' has the property that every fibre $\phi^{-1}(y)$, $y \in Y'$, is an orbit of D. Note that up to an isomorphism, Y and Y' do not depend on the choice of a decomposition $V = k \oplus \cdots \oplus k$, or, equivalently, on the choice of a split maximal torus $D \subset SL(n)$.

LEMMA 2.2. — *Let $\varepsilon \in GL(n)$ be a diagonal matrix $[\varepsilon_i \delta_{ij}]$, $\varepsilon_i \in k^*$.*

Define the decomposition $I_n = \bigcup_{r=1}^{p} J_r$ such that $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_j$ if and only if $\{i,j\} \subseteq J_r$ for some r. A subspace $S \subset V$ is ε-invariant if and only if

$$S = \bigoplus_{r=1}^{p} (S \cap V_{J_r}) .$$

Let $i : SL(n) \to PGL(n)$ be the canonical isogeny such that $\mathrm{Ker}(i)$ is the center of $SL(n)$. Let $T := i(D)$.

COROLLARY 2.3. — *Let $x \in U_f \subset G(m,n)$. Then the stabilizer of x in T is trivial if and only if there does not exist a decomposition*

$$I_n = \bigcup_{r=1}^{p} J_r \,,\ p \geq 2 \,, \quad \text{such that } \sum_{r=1}^{p} f(J_r) = m \,.$$

In particular, this is true for the points of $G(m,n)^s$.

PROPOSITION 2.4. — *The restriction of ϕ to $G(m,n)^s \to Y'$ endows $G(m,n)^s$ with the structure of a Y'-torsor under T. In particular, Y' is smooth.*

Proof. — If $\#I = m$ the condition $r(I) = m$ defines an invariant dense open set $Z_I \subset G(m,n)$ (given by the non-vanishing of the corresponding determinant, or in other words, the corresponding Plücker coordinate). These form an open covering of $G(m,n)$. Let us construct a family of invariant open subsets of Z_I such that each of them is a trivial torsor under T.

In fact, we shall use the constructions of chapter 3 of the book [8]. Assume $I = \{1, \ldots, m\}$. Define an R-partition of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ as an ordered set of subsets $S_1, \ldots, S_{n-m}$ which cover $\{1, \ldots, m\}$, and such that ([8, Def. 3.3]):

$$\#\big(S_i \cap (S_{i-1} \cup \cdots \cup S_1)\big) = 1 \quad \text{for } i = 2, \ldots, n-m\,.$$

To each R-covering we associate an open set $Z_R \subseteq Z_I$ defined as the intersection of Z_I with all Z_J's such that

$$J = I \cup \{m+j\} \setminus \{i\}\,, \quad \text{where } i \in S_j\,.$$

One then checks similarly to [*loc. cit.*] that

$$Z_R \cong T \times \mathbf{A}^{(m-1)(n-m-1)}\,.$$

It is not hard to verify that the union of Z_R's for all possible permutations of I_n coincides with the subset of $G(m,n)$ consisting of points satisfying the condition of corollary 2.3 (*cf.* [8, Prop. 3.3]). These two facts imply the proposition. □

COROLLARY 2.5. — *Let m and n be coprime. Then*

$$G(m,n)^{\mathrm{s}} = G(m,n)^{\mathrm{ss}}\,,$$

and $Y = Y'$ is a smooth projective variety.

Remark 2.6. — Let N be the normalizer of D in $SL(n)$, then the Weyl group $W = W(A_{n-1}) := N/D$ of the root system A_{n-1} is the symmetric group Σ_n permuting the components of the decomposition $V = k \oplus \cdots \oplus k$. It acts on D, and thus on T. Clearly $G(m,n)^{\mathrm{s}}$ and $G(m,n)^{\mathrm{ss}}$ are invariant under N, thus W acts by automorphisms on Y and Y'.

The following trivial remark will be important in what follows. The group Σ_n of permutations of the components of the decomposition $V = k \oplus \cdots \oplus k$ is naturally a subgroup of $GL(n)$. This makes it possible to identify W with a subgroup of $GL(n)$. As such, it naturally acts on $G(m,n)$. This action preserves $G(m,n)^{\mathrm{s}}$ and $G(m,n)^{\mathrm{ss}}$, and the corresponding morphisms to Y and Y' are W-equivariant.

3. Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 5: the split case

DEFINITION 3.1. — *A split del Pezzo surface of degree* 5 *is defined as the blowing-up of* $\mathbb{P}^2$ *in points* $(1:0:0)$, $(0:1:0)$, $(0:0:1)$ *and* $(1:1:1)$.

Note that we could as well define a split del Pezzo surfaces of degree 5 as the blowing-up of four points in $\mathbb{P}^2$, no three of them collinear. Indeed, $PGL(3)$ acts transitively on such quadruples. By the universal property of blowing-up [5, II.7.15], there is a unique isomorphism of the corresponding blowings-up extending this action.

PROPOSITION 3.2. — *Let* $(m,n) = (3,5)$, *then* $Y = Y'$ *is a split del Pezzo surface of degree* 5.

Proof. — The stability condition (1) implies that every two columns are not proportional. Let $I \subset I_5$, $\#I = 3$. The condition that the columns of M with numbers in I are linearly independent defines a dense open set $Z_I^{\mathrm{s}} = Z_I \cap G(3,5)^{\mathrm{s}}$. It is D-invariant, so its image $\phi(Z_I^{\mathrm{s}})$ is also open. Define a dense open set $Z \subset G(3,5)^{\mathrm{s}}$ as the intersection of the Z_I^{s}'s for all possible three-element subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Now let $S \subset V$ be the subspace corresponding to a point of Z. From the way we defined Z it follows that:

- every three out of the first four columns of M are linearly independent;
- no two columns are proportional.

Changing the basis, and multiplying the columns of M by non-zero numbers (this is the action of D), we can arrange that M is of the following form:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & z \end{bmatrix}$$

Here x, y, z are uniquely determined up to multiplication by a common non-zero constant. Conversely, taking any point

$$(x:y:z) \in \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{(1:0:0), (0:1:0), (0:0:1), (1:1:1)\}$$

one checks immediately that the corresponding matrix M satisfies the stability condition (1), and so the space generated by its rows defines a point in $G(3,5)^{\mathrm{s}}$. Thus the map which sends S to $(x:y:z) \in \mathbb{P}^2$ is an

isomorphism of $\phi(Z) \subset Y$ onto $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{(1:0:0), (0:1:0), (0:0:1), (1:1:1)\}$. By Corollary 2.5, Y is a smooth projective surface, and this isomorphism extends to a birational morphism $\sigma : Y \to \mathbb{P}^2$ (Zariski's Main Theorem [5, V.5.2]).

Let us denote $L_I = Y \setminus \phi(Z_I^s)$, $I \subset I_5$, $\#I = 3$. We now prove that:

(a) $L_I \cap L_J = \emptyset$ if and only if $\#(I \cup J) = 4$;

(b) every L_I is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$.

It follows from (a) and (b) that $Y \setminus \phi(Z)$ is the disjoint union of four smooth proper curves of genus 0. Thus σ^{-1} is the blowing-up of the above four points in $\mathbb{P}^2$ (*cf.* [5, V.5.4]), and the proposition will be proved.

Note that the stability condition (1) has it that $r(K) = 3$ for any 4-element subset $K \subset I_5$. To prove (a) one checks that $\#(I \cup J) = 4$ and $r(I) = r(J) = r(I \cap J) = 2$ automatically imply that $r(I \cup J) = 2$, which is not possible.

In order to prove (b) we can assume by symmetry that $I = \{3, 4, 5\}$. Then $L_{\{3,4,5\}}$ is covered by the following open sets:

$$A = L_{\{3,4,5\}} \setminus \left(L_{\{1,2,3\}} \cup L_{\{1,2,4\}}\right),$$
$$B = L_{\{3,4,5\}} \setminus \left(L_{\{1,2,4\}} \cup L_{\{1,2,5\}}\right),$$
$$C = L_{\{3,4,5\}} \setminus \left(L_{\{1,2,3\}} \cup L_{\{1,2,5\}}\right).$$

Choose a point in $\phi^{-1}(A)$, and a basis in the corresponding vector space S. Let M be the matrix obtained by decomposing this basis with respect to the standard basis of $V = k \oplus \cdots \oplus k$. We have $r(\{1, 2, 3\}) = 3$, $r(\{1, 2, 4\}) = 3$. It follows from (a) that $r(\{1, 3, 4\}) = 3$, $r(\{2, 3, 4\}) = 3$. This means that every three out of the first four columns of M are linearly independent. On the other hand, the last three columns are linearly dependent. Now changing the basis, and multiplying the columns of M by non-zero numbers, we can arrange that M is of the following form:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & x \end{bmatrix}$$

Here $x \in k$ is uniquely defined, and any $x \neq 1$ would do. This proves that A is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$ minus two points. We leave to the reader the routine verification that A, B, C glue together to produce $\mathbb{P}^1$. This completes the proof of the proposition. □

From now on we fix the notation Y for the split del Pezzo surface of degree 5. Recall that Y contains precisely 10 exceptional curves of the first kind (see, e.g., [7, Chap. 4]).

COROLLARY 3.3. — *(of the proof) The genus zero curves L_I are exceptional curves of the first kind on Y. There are* 10 *of these, therefore every exceptional curve of the first kind on Y coincides with L_I for some $I \subset I_5$, $\#I = 3$.*

Proof. — The curves L_I for $I \subset \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ can be smoothly blown down as it follows from the proof of Proposition 3.2. By symmetry, the same is true for any L_I. □

The following statement seems to be well known to experts (*cf.* [2, VII]).

PROPOSITION 3.4. — *The natural map*

$$\nu : \mathrm{Aut}(Y) \to \mathrm{Aut}\big(\mathrm{Pic}(Y)\big)$$

is an isomorphism onto the group of automorphisms of $\mathrm{Pic}(Y)$ *leaving invariant the canonical class $K_Y \in \mathrm{Pic}(Y)$ and the scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ given by the intersection index. The group $\nu\big(\mathrm{Aut}(Y)\big)$ is isomorphic to the Weyl group $W = W(A_4)$, implying* $\mathrm{Aut}(Y) \cong W$.

Proof. — We know from Remark 2.6 that W acts on Y. We prove that $\mathrm{Ker}(\nu) = 1$, $\mathrm{Im}(\nu) \cong W$. Indeed, let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ker}(\nu)$, then α fixes the classes $[L_I] \in \mathrm{Pic}(Y)$ of exceptional curves of the first kind. Since L_I is the only curve in its class of linear equivalence, L_I is α-invariant. By the proof of Proposition 3.2, the complement in Y to the union of L_I, for $I \subset \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \big\{(1:0:0), (0:1:0), (0:0:1), (1:1:1)\big\}$. Thus α defines a birational automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^2$, which is in fact biregular by Zariski's Main Theorem. It follows that α comes from an element of $PGL(3)$ fixing the four points as above. Thus α must be the identity map. Next we consider $\nu\big(\mathrm{Aut}(Y)\big)$. This group fixes the canonical class $K_Y \in \mathrm{Pic}(Y)$. On the other hand, the scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ given by the intersection index, is also $\nu\big(\mathrm{Aut}(Y)\big)$-invariant. The restriction of $(\cdot, \cdot)$ to the orthogonal complement $K_Y^{\perp}$ is negative definite, and the elements with norm -2 form a root system A_4 [7, IV]. By [7, IV.1] the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}\big(\mathrm{Pic}(Y)\big)$ leaving invariant K_Y and $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Weyl group $W = W(A_4)$. Thus $\nu\big(\mathrm{Aut}(Y)\big) \subseteq W$. By Remark 2.6, $\nu\big(\mathrm{Aut}(Y)\big)$ contains $\nu(W) \cong W$, implying that $\mathrm{Aut}(Y) \cong W$. □

4. Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 5 and Galois cohomology

Let us recall some standard facts on forms and Galois cohomology [10, 1.5; 2.1; 3.1]. Let X be a variety over k. We denote by $\overline{k}$ the algebraic closure of k, $\overline{X} := X \times_k \overline{k}$, and $\Gamma := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$ is the Galois group. The group $\mathrm{Aut}(\overline{X})$ of $\overline{k}$-automorphisms of $\overline{X}$ is equiped with a continuous invariant action of Γ:

$$a \to {}^s a = (1 \otimes s) a (1 \otimes s^{-1}), \quad s \in \Gamma .$$

In what follows this action comes from an action of a finite factor of Γ, so we shall make this assumption from now on.

If $k \subseteq K \subseteq \overline{k}$, then $\mathrm{Aut}(X \times_k K)$ is the set of fixed elements of $\mathrm{Aut}(\overline{X})$ with respect to the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$. If K/k is a Galois extension, a 1-cocycle $a \in Z^1\big(K/k\,,\,\mathrm{Aut}(X \times_k K)\big)$ is a continuous map

$$a : \mathrm{Gal}(K/k) \to \mathrm{Aut}(X \times_k K)$$

such that $a_{st} = a_s \cdot {}^s a_t$. The cocycles a and a' are cohomologous if there exists $b \in \mathrm{Aut}(X \times_k K)$ such that $a'_s = b^{-1} \cdot a_s \cdot {}^s b$. This is an equivalence relation, and the pointed set of orbits is $H^1\big(K/k\,,\,\mathrm{Aut}(X \times_k K)\big)$ (the neutral element comes from the zero cocycle).

A k-variety Z is a K/k-form of X if $Z \times_k K$ is isomorphic to $X \times_k K$. Let $E(K/k\,,\,X)$ be the pointed set of such forms considered up to an isomorphism, with the isomorphism class of X as the neutral element. Let K/k be a finite Galois extension. Then there is a canonical injection of pointed sets

$$\theta : E(K/k\,,\,X) \to H^1\big(K/k\,,\,\mathrm{Aut}(X \times_k K)\big) .$$

Let $Z \in E(K/k\,,\,X)$, then a 1-cocycle $a \in \theta(Z)$ can be chosen in the following way. Fix an isomorphism

$$\rho : Z \times_k K \xrightarrow{\sim} X \times_k K ,$$

and take $a = (a_s)$ to be the function $\mathrm{Gal}(K/k) \to \mathrm{Aut}(X \times_k K)$ such that the natural action of $\mathrm{Gal}(K/k)$ on $Z \times_k K$ (via the second factor) translates as its twisted action on $X \times_k K$:

$$\rho(1 \otimes s)\,\rho^{-1}(x) = a_s (1 \otimes s) x , \quad s \in \mathrm{Gal}(K/k)\,,\ x \in X \times_k K .$$

The cohomology class of a does not depend on ρ.

If X is a quasiprojective k-variety, and K/k is a finite Galois extension, then θ is bijective [10, III.1.3]. In fact, the corresponding form is the quotient scheme $(X \times_k K)/\operatorname{Gal}(K/k)$ with respect to the twisted action of $\operatorname{Gal}(K/k)$.

PROPOSITION 4.1. — *Let X be a quasiprojective k-variety. Assume that $\operatorname{Aut}(X) = \operatorname{Aut}(\overline{X})$, and that this group is finite. Let $\operatorname{Inn}(\operatorname{Aut}(X))$ be the group of inner automorphisms of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$, and let*

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma, \operatorname{Aut}(X))/\operatorname{Inn}(\operatorname{Aut}(X))$$

be the set of orbits of $\operatorname{Inn}(\operatorname{Aut}(X))$ on $\operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma, \operatorname{Aut}(X))$ with respect to the natural action. Then there is a canonical bijection of pointed sets

$$\theta : E(\overline{k}/k, X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma, \operatorname{Aut}(X))/\operatorname{Inn}(\operatorname{Aut}(X)).$$

Proof. — Since $\operatorname{Aut}(X) = \operatorname{Aut}(\overline{X})$, this group has a trivial action of Γ. Thus 1-cocycles are no other that homomorphisms, and the equivalence relation of 1-cocycles is just the conjugation. A homomorphism $\Gamma \to \operatorname{Aut}(\overline{X})$ has a finite image, thus the corresponding form can be recovered as a quotient scheme, and so θ is bijective. □

DEFINITION 4.2. — *A del Pezzo surface of degree 5 is defined as a $\overline{k}/k$-form of the split del Pezzo surface of degree 5.*

COROLLARY 4.3. — *There is a natural bijection between the following pointed sets:*

(i) the set of isomorphism classes of del Pezzo k-surfaces of degree 5 with the class of the split surface as the neutral element;

(ii) the pointed set $H^1(\Gamma, W)$;

(iii) the pointed set of orbits $\operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma, W)/\operatorname{Inn}(W)$ with the trivial homomorphism as the neutral element.

Proof. — By Proposition 3.4 we have $\operatorname{Aut}(Y) \cong W$, but we also have $\operatorname{Aut}(\overline{Y}) \cong W$ by the same result, so we are in the situation of Proposition 4.1. □

THEOREM 4.4. — *Any del Pezzo k-surface of degree 5 has a k-point.*

Proof. — Let us consider a twisted version of the whole set-up of Section 2. Let us identify W with the group Σ_5 of permutational matrices in $GL(5)$. Fix a homomorphism $h : \Gamma \to W \cong \Sigma_5$. Define the following action of Γ on $V \otimes \overline{k} = \overline{k} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{k}$:

$$s(v) = h(s)(1 \otimes s)v\,, \quad s \in \Gamma\,,\ v \in V \otimes \overline{k}\,. \tag{2}$$

This obviously induces an action of Γ on $G(3,5) \times_k \overline{k}$, and thus on $G(3,5)^s \times_k \overline{k}$. By the general theory, we can consider the corresponding $\overline{k}/k$-forms ${}_hG(3,5)$ and ${}_hG(3,5)^s$.

The map $\phi : G(3,5)^s \to Y$ gives rise to ${}_h\phi : {}_hG(3,5)^s \to {}_hY$ (recall that W normalizes the torus D, and hence ϕ is W-equivariant). Clearly ${}_hY$ is a form of Y. Since Σ_5 normalizes the diagonal torus of $GL(5)$, we get from (2) that the corresponding twisted action of Γ on $\overline{Y}$ is given by

$$s(x) = h(s)(1 \otimes s)x\,, \quad s \in \Gamma\,,\ x \in \overline{Y}\,.$$

Thus ${}_hY$ is a del Pezzo surface of degree 5 whose cohomology class is represented by $h \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, W)$. It follows from Corollary 4.3 that we obtain all del Pezzo surfaces of degree 5 in this way.

Now let us go back to ${}_hG(3,5)$. This is a homogeneous space of $GL(5)$ twisted by a cocycle $h : \Gamma \to W$. Due to the fact that $W \cong \Sigma_5$ naturally lies in $GL(5)$, the cocycle h lifts to a cocycle with coefficients in $GL(5)$. Any such is a coboundary by Hilbert's Theorem 90. It follows that ${}_hG(3,5)$ is isomorphic to $G(3,5)$.

If k is infinite, then k-points are Zariski dense on $G(3,5)$, and so there is a k-point on ${}_hG(3,5)^s$, and hence on ${}_hY$. Following [11] we may end the proof in the finite field case by refering to a general theorem of Weil [12] that a smooth projective rational surface defined over a finite field k always has a k-point (see also [7, 23.1]). However, a simple general argument is available, which I owe to J.-L. Colliot-Thélène:

LEMMA (Lang [6], Nishimura [9]). — *If $f : X \to Z$ is a rational map of integral k-varieties, where Z is proper and X has a smooth k-point, then Z has a k-point.*

Applying this with $X = G(3,5)$ and $Z = {}_hY$ we prove the theorem. □

One can interprete ${}_hG(3,5)^s$ as an "almost universal" torsor on ${}_hY$: it is a torsor under the algebraic k-torus dual to the Γ-module $K_Y^{\perp}$. (Recall that a universal torsor is a torsor under the dual torus of the whole Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(\overline{Y})$, see the details in [1].) Thus it is not surprising that in our proof k-points are first traced on ${}_hG(3,5)^s$: this agrees with the philosophy of the descent theory [1] that the universal torsors over a rational variety in a certain sense "untwist" its arithmetic.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank V.V. Batyrev for driving my attention to the connection between rational surfaces and homogeneous varieties of type G/P. I am grateful to V. V. Serganova, J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc for many warm discussions.

References

[1] Colliot-Thélène (J.-L.) and Sansuc (J.-J.) . — *La descente sur les variétés rationnelles*, II, Duke Math. J. **54** (1987), pp. 375-492.

[2] Dolgachev (I.) and Ortland (D.) . — *Point sets in projectives spaces and theta functions*, Astérisque **165** (1988).

[3] Enriques (F.) . — *Sulle irrazionalità da cui può farsi dipendere la risoluzione d'un equazione algebrica, $f(x,y,z)=0$ con funzioni razionali di due parametri*, Math. Ann. **49** (1897), pp. 1-23.

[4] Gelfand (I.M.) and Serganova (V.V.) . — *Combinatorial geometries and torus strata on homogeneous compact manifolds*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk. (Russian) **42**:2 (1987), pp. 107-133; I.M. Gelfand. Coll. Papers, Springer V-III (1989), pp. 926-958.

[5] Hartshorne (R.) . — *Algebraic geometry*, Springer (1977).

[6] Lang (S.) . — *Some applications of the local uniformisation theorem*, Amer. J. Math. **76** (1954), pp. 362-374.

[7] Manin (Yu.I.) . — *Cubic forms*, North-Holland, 2nd edition (1986).

[8] Mumford (D.) and Fogarty (J.) . — *Geometric invariant theory*, Springer, 2nd edition. (1982).

[9] Nishimura (H.) . — *Some remark on rational points*, Mem. Coll. Sci. Kyoto, Ser A, **29** (1955), pp. 189-192.

[10] Serre (J.-P.) . — *Cohomologie galoisienne*, Springer Lect. Notes in Math. **5** (1964).

[11] Swinnerton-Dyer (H.P.F.) . — *Rational points on del Pezzo surfaces of degree* 5, In: 5th Nordic Summer School in Math. (1970), pp. 287-290.

[12] Weil (A.) . — *Abstract versus classical algebraic geometry*, Proc. Int. Congr. Math. 1954, Amsterdam, Vol. **3** (1956), pp. 550-558.