Journal de l'École polytechnique
Mathématiques

Qianyu Chen, Bradley Dirks, & Mircea Mustaţă

The minimal exponent and k-rationality for local complete intersections

Tome 11 (2024), p. 849-873.

https://doi.org/10.5802/jep.267

© Les auteurs, 2024.

Cet article est mis à disposition selon les termes de la licence Licence internationale d'attribution Creative Commons BY 4.0.
https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Publié avec le soutien
du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique

Publication membre du
Centre Mersenne pour l'édition scientifique ouverte
www.centre-mersenne.org
e-ISSN : 2270-518X

Journal de l'École polytechnique
Mathématiques

Tome 11, 2024, p. 849–873 DOI: 10.5802/jep.267

THE MINIMAL EXPONENT AND k-RATIONALITY FOR LOCAL COMPLETE INTERSECTIONS

BY QIANYU CHEN, BRADLEY DIRKS & MIRCEA MUSTAŢĂ

ABSTRACT. — We show that if Z is a local complete intersection subvariety of a smooth complex variety X, of pure codimension r, then Z has k-rational singularities if and only if $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) > k+r$, where $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z)$ is the minimal exponent of Z. We also characterize this condition in terms of the Hodge filtration on the intersection complex Hodge module of Z. Furthermore, we show that if Z has k-rational singularities, then the Hodge filtration on the local cohomology sheaf $\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is generated at level $\dim(X) - \lceil \widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \rceil - 1$ and, assuming that $k \geqslant 1$ and Z is singular, of dimension d, that $\mathcal{H}^k(\underline{\Omega}^{d-k}_Z) \neq 0$. All these results have been known for hypersurfaces in smooth varieties.

RÉSUMÉ (Exposant minimal et k-rationalité pour les sous-variétés localement intersections complètes)

Nous montrons que si Z est une sous-variété localement intersection complète d'une variété complexe lisse X, de codimension pure r, alors Z possède des singularités k-rationnelles si et seulement si $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) > k+r$, où $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z)$ est l'exposant minimal de Z. Nous caractérisons également cette condition en termes de filtration de Hodge sur le module de Hodge associé au complexe d'intersection de Z. De plus, nous montrons que si Z est à singularités k-rationnelles, alors la filtration de Hodge sur le faisceau de cohomologie locale $\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$ est engendré au niveau $\dim(X) - \lceil \widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \rceil - 1$ et, si de plus $k \geqslant 1$ et Z est singulière, de dimension d, que $\mathcal{H}^k(\underline{\Omega}^{d-k}_Z) \neq 0$. Tous ces résultats sont connus pour les hypersurfaces dans les variétés lisses.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction . . . 850
2. Background overview . . . 854
3. Characterizations of k-rationality for local complete intersections . . . 863
4. Non-vanishing result for the Du Bois complex . . . 869
5. Generation level of the Hodge filtration in terms of the minimal exponent . . . 870
References . . . 872

MATHEMATICAL SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION (2020). — 14F10, 14B05, 14J17, 32S35.
KEYWORDS. — Minimal exponent, higher rational singularities, higher Du Bois singularities, Hodge modules, V-filtration.

Q.C. was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1952399 and M.M. was partially supported by NSF grants DMS-2001132 and DMS-1952399.

1. Introduction

It is well-known that rational and Du Bois singularities play an important role in the hierarchy of singularities of higher-dimensional algebraic varieties. Recently, definitions of "higher order" versions of these classes of singularities have been proposed, as follows. Suppose that Z is a complex algebraic variety. If $\underline{\Omega}^p_Z$ is the p-th graded piece of the Du Bois complex of Z (suitably shifted), then there is a canonical morphism

$$\Omega^p_Z \longrightarrow \underline{\Omega}^p_Z$$

that is an isomorphism over the smooth locus of Z. Following [JKSY22], we say that Z has k-Du Bois singularities if this morphism is an isomorphism for $0 \leqslant p \leqslant k$. For $k = 0$, we recover the definition of Du Bois singularities.

On the other hand, if $\mu\colon \widetilde{Z} \to Z$ is a resolution of singularities that is an isomorphism over $Z \smallsetminus Z_{\mathrm{sing}}$ and such that $D = \mu^{-1}(Z_{\mathrm{sing}})$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on $\widetilde{Z}$, then following the first version of [FL22a], we say that Z has k-rational singularities if the canonical morphism

$$\Omega^p_Z \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\mu_*\Omega^p_{\widetilde{Z}}(\log D)$$

is an isomorphism for $0 \leqslant p \leqslant k$. Again, for $k = 0$ this is the classical notion of rational singularities. Our main goal in this note is to characterize numerically, in the case when Z is locally a complete intersection, the condition for having k-rational singularities. A similar characterization for k-Du Bois local complete intersections has been obtained in [MP22a], extending work on hypersurfaces in [MOPW23] and [JKSY22].

Suppose that X is a smooth, irreducible, n-dimensional complex algebraic variety and Z is a local complete intersection closed subscheme of X, of pure codimension r in X. In this setting the *minimal exponent* $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z)$ was introduced and studied in [CDMO24]. In the case $r = 1$, this is the invariant introduced by Saito in [Sai94] as the negative of the largest root of the reduced Bernstein-Sato polynomial of Z. In general, $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z)$ can be described in terms of the Kashiwara-Malgrange V-filtration associated to Z and it is also related to the Hodge filtration on the local cohomology sheaf $\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$. The minimal exponent can be considered as a refinement of the log canonical threshold of (X, Z): we always have $\mathrm{lct}(X, Z) = \min\{\widetilde{\alpha}(Z), r\}$. Moreover, it is shown in [CDMO24] that $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) > r$ if and only if Z has rational singularities, extending a result due to Saito [Sai93] in the case of hypersurfaces.

An interesting example of minimal exponent that goes beyond the case of hypersurfaces is the following one: suppose that $f_1, \ldots, f_r \in \mathbf{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ are homogeneous polynomials defining the hypersurfaces $H_1, \ldots, H_r$ in $\mathbf{A}^n$, and Z is the subscheme defined by $(f_1, \ldots, f_r)$. Suppose that in $\mathbf{A}^n \smallsetminus \{0\}$, the H_i are smooth hypersurfaces which intersect transversely. If $\deg(f_i) = d_i$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$ and $2 \leqslant d_1 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant d_r$, then

$$\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) = \min\left\{i + \tfrac{1}{d_i}(n - d_1 - \cdots - d_i) \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r\right\} = p + \tfrac{1}{d_p}(n - d_1 - \cdots - d_p),$$

where p is the smallest $i \leqslant r$ that satisfies $d_1 + \cdots + d_i > n$ (with the convention that $p = r$ if there is no such i). This formula is the main result of [CDM24].

The following is our main result:

Theorem 1.1. — *If Z is a local complete intersection subvariety of the smooth, irreducible variety X, of pure codimension r, then Z has k-rational singularities if and only if $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) > k + r$.*

In the case of hypersurfaces, this result was proved independently in [FL22b, App.] and [MP22b]. The proof we give follows the idea in [FL22b, App.], making also essential use of results from [CD23] on the Kashiwara-Malgrange V-filtration in the case of higher codimension subvarieties. A key ingredient in the proof is Saito's theory of mixed Hodge modules [Sai90].

We note that the result in Theorem 1.1 could be formulated independently of the ambient variety X. Indeed, it is shown in [CDMO24, Prop. 4.14] that the difference $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) - r$ is independent of the embedding of Z in a smooth variety X. Since having k-rational singularities is a local property in the Zariski topology, we see that in this formulation we don't need to assume that Z has a global embedding in a smooth variety X.

The characterization of k-Du Bois singularities in [MP22a] for local complete intersections can also be formulated in terms of the minimal exponent: it says that, with the notation in Theorem 1.1, Z has k-Du Bois singularities if and only if $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \geqslant k+r$. In particular, we obtain the following

Corollary 1.2. — *If Z is a complex algebraic variety which is locally a complete intersection and if Z has k-Du Bois singularities, for some $k \geqslant 1$, then Z has $(k-1)$-rational singularities.*

Another consequence of the numerical characterizations of k-rational and k-Du Bois local complete intersections is that k-rational implies k-Du Bois. However, this result has already been known (it was proved independently in [FL22b] and [MP22a]) and we use it in our proof of Theorem 1.1.

As a consequence of the result in Theorem 1.1 and of general properties of the minimal exponent, we obtain an upper bound for the dimension of the singular locus. We note that if in the following corollary we replace "k-rational" by "k-Du Bois", then it follows from the results in [MP22a] that $\operatorname{codim}_Z(Z_{\mathrm{sing}}) \geqslant 2k + 1$.

Corollary 1.3. — *If Z is a complex algebraic variety which is locally a complete intersection and if Z has k-rational singularities, then*

$$\operatorname{codim}_Z(Z_{\mathrm{sing}}) \geqslant 2k + 2.$$

Let us recall the condition for k-Du Bois singularities in terms of the Hodge filtration on local cohomology. For every subvariety Z of a smooth complex algebraic variety X and every i, the local cohomology sheaf $\mathcal{H}^i_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$ underlies a mixed Hodge module. As such, it carries a Hodge filtration $F_\bullet \mathcal{H}^i_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$, an increasing filtration by

coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-submodules. If Z is a local complete intersection of pure codimension r, then the only nonzero local cohomology sheaf is $\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$. There is another filtration $E_\bullet\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$ on $\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$, also by coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules, given by

$$E_p\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) = \big\{u \in \mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) \mid I_Z^{p+1}u = 0\big\} \quad \text{for} \quad p \geqslant 0,$$

where I_Z is the ideal defining Z. It is shown in [MP22a] that $F_p\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) \subseteq E_p\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$ for all $p \geqslant 0$ and equality for $p = k$ implies equality also for $p < k$. One defines the *cohomological level* of the Hodge filtration on $\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$ by

$$p(Z) = \sup\big\{k \geqslant 0 \mid F_k\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) = E_k\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)\big\},$$

with the convention that $p(Z) = -1$ if there are no such k. It is then shown in [MP22a] that Z has k-Du Bois singularities if and only if $p(Z) \geqslant k$. The condition in terms of the minimal exponent follows from this and the equality $p(Z) = \max\big\{\lfloor\widetilde{\alpha}(Z)\rfloor - r, -1\big\}$, proved in [CDMO24].

We characterize k-rationality in a similar fashion. Recall that if X is a smooth irreducible n-dimensional variety and Z is a closed subvariety of X of pure codimension r, then $\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$ also carries a weight filtration and the lowest weight piece is $W_{n+r}\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$, which underlies a pure Hodge module of weight $n+r$ (this $\mathcal{D}_X$-module is the *intersection cohomology* $\mathcal{D}_X$-module of Brylinski and Kashiwara [BK81]). We prove the following result, which in the case of hypersurfaces was proved in [Ola23].

Theorem 1.4. — *If Z is a local complete intersection subvariety of the smooth, irreducible, n-dimensional variety X, of pure codimension r, then for every nonnegative integer k, we have $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) > k + r$ if and only if $F_kW_{n+r}\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) = E_k\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$.*

We also show that for singular local complete intersections that have k-rational singularities, with $k \geqslant 1$, some higher cohomology groups of the graded pieces of the Du Bois complex do not vanish. This extends the result from [MOPW23, Th. 1.5] in the case of hypersurfaces.

Theorem 1.5. — *Let Z be a local complete intersection subvariety of the smooth, irreducible, n-dimensional variety X. If Z has pure dimension d and k-rational singularities, for some $k \geqslant 1$, then*

$$\mathcal{H}^k\big(\underline{\Omega}^{d-k}_Z\big) \simeq \mathcal{E}xt^k_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\Omega^k_Z, \omega_Z) \simeq \omega_Z \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \mathrm{Sym}^k_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \mathcal{Q},$$

where $\mathcal{Q}$ is the cokernel of the canonical map $\mathcal{T}_X|_Z \to \mathcal{N}_{Z/X}$. In particular, if Z is singular at x, then $\mathcal{H}^k\big(\underline{\Omega}^{d-k}_Z\big)_x \neq 0$.

As observed in [MOPW23], such a result imposes restrictions on varieties with quotient or toroidal singularities. Indeed, if Z is a variety with quotient or toroidal singularities, then $\mathcal{H}^i\big(\underline{\Omega}^p_Z\big) = 0$ for all p and all $i \geqslant 1$; for quotient singularities, this follows from [DB81, §5] and for toroidal singularities, it follows from [GNAPGP88, Ch. V.4]. On the other hand, it is well-known that such singularities are rational. By combining Theorems 1.1 and 1.5, we thus obtain

Corollary 1.6. — *Let Z be a local complete intersection subvariety, of pure codimension r, of the smooth, irreducible algebraic variety X. If Z is singular, with quotient or toroidal singularities, then $r < \widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \leqslant r+1$.*

Our final result concerns the level of generation of the Hodge filtration on $\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$. Recall that if $\mathcal{M}$ is a $\mathcal{D}_X$-module endowed with a good filtration, where $\mathcal{D}_X$ is the sheaf of differential operators on X, then we have $F_1\mathcal{D}_X \cdot F_p\mathcal{M} \subseteq F_{p+1}\mathcal{M}$, with equality for $p \gg 0$ (here $F_\bullet\mathcal{D}_X$ is the order filtration on $\mathcal{D}_X$). If equality holds for $p \geqslant p_0$, we say that the filtration on $\mathcal{M}$ is generated at level p_0. This definition applies, in particular, for the filtered $\mathcal{D}_X$-module underlying a mixed Hodge module on X.

Theorem 1.7. — *If Z is a singular, pure codimension r, local complete intersection subvariety of the smooth, irreducible, n-dimensional variety X, then the Hodge filtration on $\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is generated at level $n - \lceil \widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \rceil - 1$.*

When $r = 1$, this is [MP20a, Th. A]. We also note that it follows from [MP22a, Th. 4.2] that the filtration on $\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is always generated at level $n - r$, hence the assertion in the above theorem is interesting when $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) > r - 1$. Furthermore, via the equivalence in loc. cit., the assertion in Theorem 1.7 admits the following interpretation in terms of relative vanishing.

Theorem 1.8. — *Let Z be a singular, pure codimension r, local complete intersection subvariety of the smooth, irreducible, n-dimensional variety X. If $f\colon Y \to X$ is a proper morphism that is an isomorphism over $X \smallsetminus Z$, with Y smooth and $E = f^{-1}(Z)_{\mathrm{red}}$ a simple normal crossing divisor, then*

$$R^{r-1+i} f_* \Omega^{n-i}_Y(\log E) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i > n - \lceil \widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \rceil - 1.$$

Let us comment briefly on the role of the "local complete intersection" condition in our results. First, this condition guarantees that if Z is a closed subscheme of the smooth variety X and $r = \mathrm{codim}_X(Z)$, then the only nonzero local cohomology sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$ along Z is $\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$. It is clear that in order to go beyond the complete intersection case, one needs to take into account also the Hodge filtration on the other local cohomology sheaves and it is not clear what is the best way to study this (for a related discussion, see [MP22a, §3]). Moreover, in the complete intersection case, the algebraic structure of $\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is easy to understand and, as a result, the same is true for the filtration $E_\bullet\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$.

Outline of the paper. — In the next section, we review some basic notions and results that we will need for the proofs of our main results. Theorem 1.1 and its corollaries, as well as Theorem 1.4 are proved in Section 3. Theorem 1.5 is proved in Section 4, while Theorem 1.7 is proved in Section 5.

Acknowledgements. — We would like to thank Sebastián Olano and Mihnea Popa for many helpful discussions. We are also indebted to Christian Schnell for some useful suggestions, to Morihiko Saito for his comments on a previous version of this paper, and to the anonymous referee for several comments that improved the readability of the paper.

2. Background overview

In this section we recall some definitions and results that we will need. We work over the field $\mathbf{C}$ of complex numbers. By a variety we mean a reduced scheme of finite type over $\mathbf{C}$, not necessarily irreducible. For a variety Z, we denote by Z_{sing} the singular locus of Z.

2.1. Mixed Hodge modules. — We only give a brief introduction to mixed Hodge modules and refer for proofs and details to [Sai90]. Let X be a smooth, irreducible, n-dimensional variety and let X^{an} be the complex manifold corresponding to X. We denote by $\mathcal{D}_X$ the sheaf of differential operators on X. For basic facts about $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules, we refer to [HTT08]. All the $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules we will consider will be left $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules. Since some of the results in the literature are stated for right $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules, we recall that there is an equivalence of categories between left and right $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules such that if $\mathcal{M}^r$ is the right $\mathcal{D}_X$-module corresponding to the left $\mathcal{D}_X$-module $\mathcal{M}$, then we have an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules

$$\mathcal{M}^r \simeq \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \omega_X.$$

When dealing with filtered $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules, the filtrations on $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}^r$ are indexed such that the above isomorphism maps $F_{p-n}\mathcal{M}^r$ to $F_p\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \omega_X$ for all $p \in \mathbf{Z}$.

All filtrations on $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules that we will encounter are assumed to be bounded below, good filtrations compatible with the filtration $F_\bullet\mathcal{D}_X$ on $\mathcal{D}_X$ by order of differential operators. This means that they are increasing, exhaustive filtrations by $\mathcal{O}_X$-submodules such that we have

$$F_p\mathcal{D}_X \cdot F_q\mathcal{M} \subseteq F_{p+q}\mathcal{M} \quad \text{for all} \quad p, q \in \mathbf{Z},$$

and there is q_0 such that this inclusion is an equality for all $p \geqslant 0$ and $q \geqslant q_0$. In this case we say that the filtration is *generated at level* q_0.

A mixed Hodge module $M = (\mathcal{M}, F_\bullet\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P}, \alpha, W_\bullet\mathcal{M})$ on X consists of several pieces of data: $\mathcal{M}$ is a $\mathcal{D}_X$-module on $\mathcal{M}$ (holonomic and with regular singularities), $F_\bullet\mathcal{M}$ is a good filtration on $\mathcal{M}$ (the *Hodge filtration*), $W_\bullet\mathcal{M}$ is a finite increasing filtration on $\mathcal{M}$ by $\mathcal{D}_X$-submodules (the *weight filtration*), and $\mathcal{P}$ is a perverse sheaf over $\mathbf{Q}$ on X^{an} (sometimes written as $\mathrm{rat}(M)$), whose complexification is isomorphic via α to the perverse sheaf over $\mathbf{C}$ that corresponds to $\mathcal{M}$ via the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence. These data are supposed to satisfy a complicated set of conditions that we do not discuss. We refer to $(\mathcal{M}, F)$ as the filtered $\mathcal{D}_X$-module underlying M (though, with an abuse of notation, we sometimes write F_kM and W_kM instead of $F_k\mathcal{M}$ and $W_k\mathcal{M}$, respectively).

The Tate twist $M(k)$ of a mixed Hodge module M as above has the same underlying $\mathcal{D}_X$-module, but the two filtrations are shifted by

$$F_i\mathcal{M}(k) = F_{i-k}\mathcal{M} \quad \text{and} \quad W_i\mathcal{M}(k) = W_{i+2k}\mathcal{M} \quad \text{for all} \quad i \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

We note that the mixed Hodge modules on X form an Abelian category and every morphism of mixed Hodge modules is a morphism of $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules, which preserves

the Hodge and the weight filtration and is strict with respect to both filtrations. There is a duality functor $\mathbf{D}$ on this category, lifting the usual duality functor on holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules. All our Hodge modules are polarizable, so the choice of a polarization implies that if M as above is *pure of weight* k (that is, $\mathrm{Gr}_i^W(M) = 0$ for $i \neq k$), we have an isomorphism $\mathbf{D}(M) \simeq M(k)$. For a general mixed Hodge module M and for every $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, the graded piece $\mathrm{Gr}_k^W(M)$, with the induced Hodge filtration, is a pure Hodge module of weight k.

An important example of a mixed Hodge module (in fact, the only one that is easy to describe explicitly besides the ones with 0-dimensional support) is $\mathbf{Q}_X^H[n]$, which is a pure Hodge module of weight n. The underlying $\mathcal{D}_X$-module is $\mathcal{O}_X$ and the Hodge filtration is such that $\mathrm{Gr}_i^F(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$. The corresponding perverse sheaf is $\mathbf{Q}_{X^{\mathrm{an}}}[n]$. Note that since $\mathbf{Q}_X^H[n]$ has weight n, a choice of polarization gives an isomorphism $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Q}_X^H[n]) \simeq \mathbf{Q}_X^H(n)[n]$.

Given a mixed Hodge module M, with underlying filtered $\mathcal{D}_X$-module $(\mathcal{M}, F)$, the Hodge filtration makes the de Rham complex of $\mathcal{M}$ a filtered complex. The graded pieces are, in fact, complexes of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. More precisely, $\mathrm{Gr}_p^F\mathrm{DR}_X(M)$ is the complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_p^F(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{Gr}_{p+1}^F(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \Omega_X^n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{Gr}_{p+n}^F(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

placed in cohomological degrees $-n, \dots, 0$. For example, we have

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{-p}^F\mathrm{DR}_X\big(\mathbf{Q}_X^H[n]\big) = \Omega_X^p[n-p].$$

We always think of $\mathrm{Gr}_p^F\mathrm{DR}_X(M)$ as an object in the derived category of coherent sheaves on X. This construction is compatible with push-forward by proper morphisms (see [Sai88, §2.3.7]) and satisfies the following compatibility property with the duality functor by [Sai88, SS2.4.5 & 2.4.11]: for every p, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Gr}_p^F\mathrm{DR}_X\big(\mathbf{D}(M)\big) \simeq \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}\big(\mathrm{Gr}_{-p}^F\mathrm{DR}_X(M), \omega_X[n]\big). \tag{2.1}$$

For future reference, we include the following lemma, in which we consider arbitrary filtered $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules. This is a standard homological algebra fact about filtered complexes, but we include an argument for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 2.1. — *If $f\colon (\mathcal{M}, F) \to (\mathcal{N}, F)$ is a morphism of filtered $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules on X and $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, then the induced morphism*

$$\mathrm{Gr}_p^F\mathrm{DR}_X(f)\colon \mathrm{Gr}_p^F\mathrm{DR}_X(M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_p^F\mathrm{DR}_X(N)$$

is an isomorphism (in the derived category) for all $p \leqslant k$ if and only if $F_pf\colon F_pM \to F_pN$ is an isomorphism for all $p \leqslant k+n$.

Proof. — The "if" assertion follows directly from the definition of the graded de Rham complex. For the converse, arguing by induction, it is enough to show that if F_pf is an isomorphism for all $p \leqslant k+n-1$ and $\mathrm{Gr}_k^F\mathrm{DR}_X(f)$ is an isomorphism (in the derived category), then $F_{k+n}f$ is an isomorphism (recall that all our filtrations are assumed

to be bounded below). By hypothesis, we have a morphism of complexes placed in cohomological degrees $-n, \dots, 0$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 \to \mathrm{Gr}_k^F(M) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \Omega_X^{n-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{Gr}_{k+n-1}^F(M) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \Omega_X^n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{Gr}_{k+n}^F(M) \to 0 \\ \downarrow\cong & & & & \downarrow\cong & & \\ 0 \to \mathrm{Gr}_k^F(N) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \Omega_X^{n-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{Gr}_{k+n-1}^F(N) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \Omega_X^n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{Gr}_{k+n}^F(N) \to 0 \end{array}$$

such that the vertical maps in degrees $\neq 0$ are isomorphisms and such that all induced maps in cohomology are isomorphisms. The first condition implies that the map $\mathrm{coker}(\alpha) \to \mathrm{coker}(\gamma)$ is an isomorphism and since the map induced for the (-1)-cohomology is an isomorphism, it follows from the 5-Lemma that the map $\mathrm{Im}(\beta) \to \mathrm{Im}(\delta)$ is an isomorphism. Since the map induced for the 0-cohomology is an isomorphism, it follows from the 5-Lemma that the map $\mathrm{Gr}_{k+n}^F(f)$ is an isomorphism, and one more application of the 5-Lemma implies that $F_{k+n}f$ is an isomorphism. □

One can define mixed Hodge modules also on a singular variety Z. In our setting, Z will be embedded in a fixed smooth variety X, and we will *always* view the mixed Hodge modules on Z as mixed Hodge modules on X whose support is contained in Z. One can consider the *bounded derived category of mixed Hodge modules* on Z, denoted $D^b\big(\mathrm{MHM}(Z)\big)$. One can show that this is equivalent to the subcategory of $D^b\big(\mathrm{MHM}(X)\big)$ consisting of objects whose cohomology is supported on Z (see [Sai90, Cor. 2.23]). We will denote by $\mathcal{H}^p$ the standard p-th cohomology functor $D^b\big(\mathrm{MHM}(Z)\big) \to \mathrm{MHM}(Z)$. The derived category of mixed Hodge modules satisfies a 6-functor formalism. For example, if $i\colon Z \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion, where X is smooth, then the underlying $\mathcal{D}_X$-module of the mixed Hodge module $\mathcal{H}^p\big(i^!\mathbf{Q}_X^H[n]\big)$ is the local cohomology sheaf $\mathcal{H}^p_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$ of $\mathcal{O}_X$ along Z. With a slight abuse of notation, from now one we will denote by $\mathcal{H}^p_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$ also the corresponding mixed Hodge module. For every variety Z, if $a_Z\colon Z \to \mathrm{pt}$ is the morphism to a point, then one defines $\mathbf{Q}_Z^H := a_Z^*(\mathbf{Q}_{\mathrm{pt}}^H)$ in $D^b\big(\mathrm{MHM}(Z)\big)$. If Z is smooth, then this coincides (up to a cohomological shift) with the object that we have already discussed. In general, however, it is a more complicated object. If X is a smooth, irreducible n-dimensional variety and $i\colon Z \hookrightarrow X$ is a closed embedding, then by functoriality we have a canonical isomorphism $\mathbf{Q}_Z^H \simeq i^*\mathbf{Q}_X^H$, so we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Q}_Z^H) \simeq i^!\mathbf{Q}_X^H(n)[2n]. \tag{2.2}$$

For every Z, it is shown in [Sai90, §4.5] that $\mathbf{Q}_Z^H$ is of weight $\leqslant 0$, that is, we have $\mathrm{Gr}_i^W\big(\mathcal{H}^j(\mathbf{Q}_Z^H)\big) = 0$ for $i > j$. Furthermore, if Z has pure dimension d, then $\mathcal{H}^i(\mathbf{Q}_Z^H) = 0$ for $i > d$ and the *intersection complex Hodge module*

$$\mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H := \mathrm{Gr}_d^W\mathcal{H}^d(\mathbf{Q}_Z^H) \tag{2.3}$$

can be characterized as the unique object of $\mathrm{MHM}(Z)$ whose restriction to $U = Z \smallsetminus Z_{\mathrm{sing}}$ is $\mathbf{Q}_U^H[d]$ and which has no subobject or quotient supported on Z_{sing}. The corresponding perverse sheaf is the intersection complex of Z; if Z is irreducible,

then this is simple, hence so is $\mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H$ and we have $\mathbf{Q} = \mathrm{End}\big(\mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H\big)$. In general, if Z has N irreducible components, we have $\mathrm{End}\big(\mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H\big) = \mathbf{Q}^N$ and a morphism $\big(\mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H\big) \to \big(\mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H\big)$ is uniquely determined by its restriction to the smooth locus of Z.

Note that by definition of $\mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H$, we have a canonical morphism

$$\gamma_Z \colon \mathbf{Q}_Z^H[d] \longrightarrow \mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H. \tag{2.4}$$

Suppose now that X is a smooth, irreducible n-dimensional variety and $i\colon Z \hookrightarrow X$ is a closed embedding. Let $r = n-d$. Since $\mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H = \mathrm{Gr}_d^W\mathcal{H}^d(\mathbf{Q}_Z^H)$ and $\mathrm{Gr}_p^W\mathcal{H}^d(\mathbf{Q}_Z^H) = 0$ for $p > d$, it follows using (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H) &\simeq \mathrm{Gr}_{-d}^W\big(\mathcal{H}^{-d}\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Q}_Z^H)\big)\\ &\simeq \mathrm{Gr}_{-d}^W\mathcal{H}^{-d}\big(i^!\mathbf{Q}_X^H(n)[2n]\big) = \mathrm{Gr}_{n+r}^W\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X)(n)\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

and $\mathrm{Gr}_p^W\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for $p < n+r$. We note that this lowest weight piece of $\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is the *intersection cohomology $\mathcal{D}$-module* introduced by Brylinski and Kashiwara in [BK81]; if Z is irreducible, then it can be characterized as the unique simple $\mathcal{D}_X$-submodule of $\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X)$.

We also consider the shifted dual $\gamma_Z^\vee = \mathbf{D}(\gamma)(-d)$ of γ_Z, that can be identified via (2.2) to

$$\gamma_Z^\vee \colon \mathbf{D}(\mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H)(-d) \longrightarrow i^!\mathbf{Q}_X^H[n+r](n-d). \tag{2.6}$$

Note that since $\mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H$ is pure of weight d, the choice of a polarization gives an isomorphism $\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H)(-d) \simeq \mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H$.

We will be especially interested in the case when Z is a local complete intersection subvariety of X, of pure codimension r. In this case $\mathcal{H}_Z^i(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for all $i \neq r$, hence $i^!\mathbf{Q}_X^H[n+r]$ is a mixed Hodge module on Z. Duality implies that also $\mathbf{Q}_Z^H[d]$ is a mixed Hodge module on Z, hence γ_Z and $\gamma_Z^\vee$ are morphisms of mixed Hodge modules.

2.2. V-filtrations. — Suppose that X is a smooth, irreducible, n-dimensional affine variety and $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathcal{O}_X(X) = R$ are nonzero regular functions such that the ideal $(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ defines the closed subscheme Z of X. We consider the graph embedding

$$\iota \colon X \hookrightarrow W = X \times \mathbf{A}^r, \quad \iota(x) = \big(x, f_1(x), \dots, f_r(x)\big)$$

and the $\mathcal{D}$-module pushforward $B_{\boldsymbol{f}} = \iota_+\mathcal{O}_X$ (where $\boldsymbol{f}$ stands for $(f_1, \dots, f_r)$). If $t_1, \dots, t_r$ denote the standard coordinates on $\mathbf{A}^r$, then we can write

$$B_{\boldsymbol{f}} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^r} R\partial_t^\alpha\delta_{\boldsymbol{f}},$$

where for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$, we put $\partial_t^\alpha = \partial_{t_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{t_r}^{\alpha_r}$. The action of R and of ∂_{t_i} are the obvious ones, while the actions of $D \in \mathrm{Der}_{\mathbf{C}}(R)$ and of the t_i are given by

$$D\cdot h\partial_t^\alpha\delta_{\boldsymbol{f}} = D(h)\partial_t^\alpha\delta_{\boldsymbol{f}} - \sum_{i=1}^r D(f_i)h\partial_t^{\alpha+e_i}\delta_{\boldsymbol{f}} \quad \text{and} \quad t_i\cdot h\partial_t^\alpha\delta_{\boldsymbol{f}} = f_ih\partial_t^\alpha\delta_{\boldsymbol{f}} - \alpha_ih\partial_t^{\alpha-e_i}\delta_{\boldsymbol{f}},$$

where $e_1, \dots, e_r$ is the standard basis of $\mathbf{Z}^d$. In fact, $B_{\boldsymbol{f}}$ underlies the pure Hodge module $\iota_* \mathbf{Q}_X^H[n]$, of weight n, with the Hodge filtration given by

$$F_{p+r} B_{\boldsymbol{f}} = \bigoplus_{|\alpha| \leqslant p} R\partial_t^{\alpha} \delta_{\boldsymbol{f}},$$

where for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$, we put $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r$.

The V-filtration on $B_{\boldsymbol{f}}$ has been constructed by Kashiwara [Kas83], extending work of Malgrange [Mal83] in the case $r = 1$. (Actually, in both of these references, the V-filtration is indexed by integers. The $\mathbf{Q}$-indexed version that we discuss below was introduced by Saito [Sai84].) It is a decreasing, exhaustive filtration indexed by rational numbers $(V^{\lambda} B_{\boldsymbol{f}})_{\lambda \in \mathbf{Q}}$. It is discrete and left-continuous and it is characterized by several properties, the most important of these saying that for every $\lambda \in \mathbf{Q}$

$$t_i \cdot V^{\lambda} B_{\boldsymbol{f}} \subseteq V^{\lambda+1} B_{\boldsymbol{f}} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{t_i} \cdot V^{\lambda} B_{\boldsymbol{f}} \subseteq V^{\lambda-1} B_{\boldsymbol{f}},$$

and if $s = -\sum_{i=1}^r \partial_{t_i} t_i$, then $s + \lambda$ is nilpotent on $\mathrm{Gr}_V^{\lambda}(B_{\boldsymbol{f}}) = V^{\lambda} B_{\boldsymbol{f}} / V^{>\lambda} B_{\boldsymbol{f}}$, where $V^{>\lambda} B_{\boldsymbol{f}} = \bigcup_{\beta > \lambda} V^{\beta} B_{\boldsymbol{f}}$. Note that the Hodge filtration on $B_{\boldsymbol{f}}$ induces a Hodge filtration on each $\mathrm{Gr}_V^{\lambda}(B_{\boldsymbol{f}})$.

In fact, a V-filtration exists on $\iota_+ \mathcal{M}$, whenever $\mathcal{M}$ underlies a mixed Hodge module. In the case $r = 1$, the interplay between the Hodge filtration on $\mathcal{M}$ and V-filtrations plays an important role in the definition of mixed Hodge modules. For details about the construction and properties of V-filtrations, see [BMS06].

Let $i \colon Z \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion. For $r = 1$, the V-filtration is the key ingredient for the definition of $i^!(M)$ and $i^*(M)$ when M is a mixed Hodge module on X. In the case $r > 1$, the corresponding description does not follow from the definition of these functors, but it has been recently proved in [CD23, Th. 1.2]. We only state this in the case $M = \mathbf{Q}_X^H[n]$, as follows.

Theorem 2.2. — *With the notation we have introduced, the following hold:*

(i) *The Koszul-type complex*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_V^0(B_{\boldsymbol{f}})(-r) \xrightarrow{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r)} \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathrm{Gr}_V^1(B_{\boldsymbol{f}})(-r) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_V^r(B_{\boldsymbol{f}})(-r) \longrightarrow 0,$$

placed in cohomological degrees $0, \dots, r$, represents $i^! \mathbf{Q}_X^H[n]$ in the derived category of filtered $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules.

(ii) *The Koszul-type complex*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_V^r(B_{\boldsymbol{f}}) \xrightarrow{(\partial_{t_1}, \dots, \partial_{t_r})} \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathrm{Gr}_V^{r-1}(B_{\boldsymbol{f}})(-1) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_V^0(B_{\boldsymbol{f}})(-r) \longrightarrow 0,$$

placed in cohomological degrees $-r, \dots, 0$, represents $i^* \mathbf{Q}_X^H[n]$ in the derived category of filtered $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules.

2.3. The minimal exponent. — We next discuss the minimal exponent for local complete intersection varieties, following [CDMO24]. Let X be a smooth, irreducible, n-dimensional variety and Z a (nonempty) closed subscheme of X, which is locally a complete intersection of pure codimension r. Suppose first that $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is affine

and Z is defined by the ideal generated by $f_1, \dots, f_r \in R$. The minimal exponent $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z)$ is defined by[1]

$$\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) = \begin{cases} \sup\{\gamma > 0 \mid \delta_{\boldsymbol{f}} \in V^{\gamma} B_{\boldsymbol{f}}\}, & \text{if } \delta_{\boldsymbol{f}} \notin V^{r} B_{\boldsymbol{f}}; \\ \sup\{r - 1 + q + \gamma \mid F_{q+r} B_{\boldsymbol{f}} \subseteq V^{r-1+\gamma} B_{\boldsymbol{f}}\}, & \text{if } \delta_{\boldsymbol{f}} \in V^{r} B_{\boldsymbol{f}}. \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

In general, we consider a cover $X = U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_N$, where each U_i is an affine open subset as above, and put

$$\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) = \min_{i;\, Z \cap U_i \neq \varnothing} \widetilde{\alpha}(Z \cap U_i).$$

It follows from [BMS06, Th. 1] that we always have $\min\{\widetilde{\alpha}(Z), r\} = \operatorname{lct}(X, Z)$, the *log canonical threshold* of the pair (X, Z). Therefore the minimal exponent is interesting precisely when $\operatorname{lct}(X, Z) = r$, in which case Z is automatically reduced (see [CDMO24, Rem. 4.2]). Moreover, it follows from [CDMO24, Cor. 1.7] that Z has rational singularities if and only if $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) > r$. One can also show (see [CDMO24, Rem. 4.15]) that Z is smooth if and only if $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) = \infty$; by definition of the minimal exponent, this can be rephrased as

$$Z \text{ is smooth} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad V^{r} B_{\boldsymbol{f}} = B_{\boldsymbol{f}}. \tag{2.8}$$

In fact, if $x \in Z$ is a singular point, then we have the following more precise bound (see [CDMO24, Rem. 4.21]):

$$\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \leqslant n - \tfrac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbf{C}} T_x Z. \tag{2.9}$$

The minimal exponent $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z)$ depends on the ambient variety X, but in a predictable way: the difference $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) - \dim(X)$ only depends on Z (see [CDMO24, Prop. 4.14]).

When $r = 1$, the minimal exponent was defined by Saito [Sai94] as the negative of the largest root of the *reduced Bernstein-Sato polynomial* $\widetilde{b}_Z(s)$. For the fact that this agrees with the above definition, see for example [MP20b, Lem. 5.3 and Cor. C].

Recall now that the $\mathcal{D}_X$-module $\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$ underlies a mixed Hodge module on X, namely $\mathcal{H}^r\big(i^! \mathbf{Q}^H_X[n]\big)$, where $i \colon Z \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion. We thus have a canonical filtration on $\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$, the Hodge filtration $\big(F_p \mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)\big)_{p \geqslant 0}$. We have a second filtration, the *order* filtration $\big(E_p \mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)\big)_{p \geqslant 0}$, given by

$$E_p \mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) = \big\{u \in \mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) \mid I_Z^{p+1} u = 0\big\},$$

where I_Z is the ideal defining Z in X (see [MP22a, Prop. 3.11]). It is a general fact that $F_p \mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) \subseteq E_p \mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$ for all $p \geqslant 0$ (see [MP22a, Prop. 3.4]) and the following result shows that the minimal exponent governs how far these two filtrations agree (see [CDMO24, Th. 1.3]):

Theorem 2.3. — *If X is a smooth, irreducible variety and Z is a local complete intersection subvariety of pure codimension r in X, then for a nonnegative integer k, we have $F_p \mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) = E_p \mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$ for $0 \leqslant p \leqslant k$ if and only if $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \geqslant r + k$.*

[1] We note that what we denote by $F_{p+r} B_{\boldsymbol{f}}$ here is denoted by $F_p B_{\boldsymbol{f}}$ in [CDMO24].

2.4. k-Du Bois singularities. — To a variety Z, Du Bois associated in [DB81] a complex $\underline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_Z$, known now as the *Du Bois complex* of Z. This is a filtered complex that agrees with the de Rham complex $\Omega^{\bullet}_Z$, with the "stupid" filtration, when Z is smooth. This allows extending to singular varieties some important cohomological properties of the de Rham complex of smooth varieties, see [PS08, Ch. 7.3] for an introduction to this topic.

We are interested in the shifted truncations $\underline{\Omega}^p_Z := \mathrm{Gr}^p_F(\underline{\Omega}^{\bullet}_Z)[p]$, which are objects in the bounded derived category $D^b_{\mathrm{coh}}(Z)$ of coherent sheaves on Z. For every p, there is a canonical morphism $\Omega^p_Z \to \underline{\Omega}^p_Z$ that is an isomorphism over the smooth locus of Z. Following [JKSY22], we make the following:

Definition 2.4. — For a nonnegative integer k, we say that the variety Z has k-Du Bois singularities[(2)] if the canonical morphism $\Omega^p_Z \to \underline{\Omega}^p_Z$ is an isomorphism for $0 \leqslant p \leqslant k$.

Note that for $k = 0$, we recover the familiar notion of *Du Bois singularities*. As we have mentioned in the Introduction, it was shown in [MP22a, Th. F] that if X is a smooth, irreducible variety and Z is a local complete intersection subvariety of X, of pure codimension r, then Z has k-Du Bois singularities if and only if $F_p\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) = E_p\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$ for $p \leqslant k$. In terms of minimal exponents, this condition can be rephrased as $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \geqslant r + k$. The proof of this result in *loc. cit.* extends the argument in the case of hypersurfaces, for which the two implications had previously been proved in [MOPW23] and [JKSY22].

Proposition 2.5. — *If Z is a local complete intersection variety with k-Du Bois singularities, then* $\mathrm{codim}_Z(Z_{\mathrm{sing}}) \geqslant 2k + 1$.

Proof. — This is a local statement, hence we may assume that Z has pure dimension (we use the fact that Z is Cohen-Macaulay) and that it is a closed subvariety of the smooth irreducible variety X. In this case the assertion follows by combining [MP22a, Cor. 3.40 and Th. F]. □

The connection between the Du Bois complex and mixed Hodge modules is provided by the following result of Saito. If Z is a closed subvariety of the smooth, irreducible, n-dimensional variety X and $i\colon Z \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion, then it is a consequence of [Sai00, Th. 4.2] that for every p, we have an isomorphism

$$\underline{\Omega}^p_Z[-p] \simeq \mathrm{Gr}^F_{-p}\mathrm{DR}_X(\mathbf{Q}^H_Z) \quad \text{in} \quad D^b_{\mathrm{coh}}(X). \tag{2.10}$$

In light of (2.1) and (2.2), this is equivalent to

$$\underline{\Omega}^p_Z[-p] \simeq \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}\big(\mathrm{Gr}^F_{p-n}\mathrm{DR}_X i^!\mathbf{Q}^H_X[n], \omega_X\big). \tag{2.11}$$

For an easy proof of this isomorphism, see [MP22a, Prop. 5.5].

(2) Strictly speaking, one should say "has at most k-Du Bois singularities", since we do not require Z to be singular. However, we trust that the simplified formulation will not lead to confusion.

2.5. k-rational singularities. — Given a variety Z, by a *strong log resolution* of Z we mean a proper morphism $\mu\colon \widetilde{Z} \to Z$ that is an isomorphism over $Z \smallsetminus Z_{\mathrm{sing}}$, such that $\widetilde{Z}$ is smooth and $E = \mu^{-1}(Z_{\mathrm{sing}})$ is a simple normal crossing divisor. Following [FL22b], we make the following:

Definition 2.6. — For a nonnegative integer k, we say that the variety Z has *k-rational* singularities if for a strong log resolution $\mu\colon \widetilde{Z} \to Z$ as above, the canonical morphism

$$\Omega^p_Z \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\mu_*\Omega^p_{\widetilde{Z}}(\log E) \tag{2.12}$$

is an isomorphism for $0 \leqslant p \leqslant k$.

It is easily seen that the condition in the above definition is independent of the choice of strong log resolution (see for example [MP22b, Lem. 1.6]). Note that for $k = 0$ we recover the classical notion of rational singularities. This condition implies that Z is normal, hence in particular, every connected component of Z is irreducible. The notion of k-rational singularities has been extensively studied in [FL22b], [FL24], [MP22b]. We note that the definition of "k-rational singularities" in [FL22b] is different than the one we gave. However, the two definitions are equivalent when Z is a local complete intersection, see Remark 2.10 below.

For our purpose it will be convenient to consider a different description of k-rational singularities. Recall from [MP22b, §6] that for every variety Z of pure dimension d and every nonnegative integer k, we have a canonical morphism

$$\psi_k\colon \underline{\Omega}^k_Z \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}\big(\underline{\Omega}^{d-k}_Z, \omega^{\bullet}_Z[-d]\big), \tag{2.13}$$

where $\omega^{\bullet}_Z$ is the dualizing complex of Z. This is defined as follows: suppose that $\mu\colon Y \to Z$ is an arbitrary resolution of singularities (we only require that Y is smooth and μ is proper and an isomorphism over a dense open subset of Z). By functoriality of the Du Bois complex, for every nonnegative integer k, we have a canonical morphism $\alpha_k\colon \underline{\Omega}^k_Z \to \mathbf{R}\mu_*\Omega^k_Y$. On the other hand, on Y we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\Omega^k_Y \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Y}\big(\Omega^{d-k}_Y, \omega^{\bullet}_Y[-d]\big).$$

By pushing this forward and using Grothendieck duality for μ, we obtain an isomorphism β_k as the composition

$$\mathbf{R}\mu_*\Omega^k_Y \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbf{R}\mu_*\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Y}\big(\Omega^{d-k}_Y, \omega^{\bullet}_Y[-d]\big) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}\big(\mathbf{R}\mu_*\Omega^{d-k}_Y, \omega^{\bullet}_Z[-d]\big).$$

The morphism ψ_k is obtained as the composition $\alpha^{\vee}_{d-k} \circ \beta_k \circ \alpha_k$, where we put $\alpha^{\vee}_{d-k} = \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}\big(\alpha_{d-k}, \omega^{\bullet}_Z[-d]\big)$. It is shown in [MP22b, Prop. 6.1] that this definition does not depend on the choice of resolution of singularities.

With this notation, we have the following characterization of k-rational singularities in the local complete intersection case from [MP22b] (in *loc. cit.* one assumes that Z is irreducible, but the argument works in general):

Theorem 2.7. — *If Z is a local complete intersection variety of pure dimension d and k is a nonnegative integer, then Z has k-rational singularities if and only if Z has k-Du Bois singularities and the morphism $\psi_k\colon \underline{\Omega}^k_Z \to \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}\big(\underline{\Omega}^{d-k}_Z, \omega_Z\big)$ is an isomorphism.*

It will be important for us to use an interpretation of the morphism ψ_k from [FL22b, App.], as the graded de Rham of a morphism of mixed Hodge modules. Let Z be a variety of pure dimension d and $\mu\colon Y \to Z$ any resolution of singularities, with μ a projective morphism. Note that by functoriality we have a canonical morphism of mixed Hodge modules $\alpha\colon \mathbf{Q}^H_Z[d] \to \mu_*\mathbf{Q}^H_Y[d]$. On the other hand, since $\mathbf{Q}^H_Y[d]$ is pure of weight d, on Y we have a canonical isomorphism $\mathbf{Q}^H_Y[d] \to \mathbf{D}\big(\mathbf{Q}^H_Y[d]\big)(-d)$, which after pushing forward to Z and using the compatibility of pushforward with duality, gives an isomorphism

$$\beta\colon \mu_*\mathbf{Q}^H_Y[d] \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mu_*\mathbf{D}\big(\mathbf{Q}^H_Y[d]\big)(-d) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbf{D}\big(\mu_*\mathbf{Q}^H_Y[d]\big)(-d).$$

We then obtain a morphism ψ_Z in the derived category of mixed Hodge modules on Z as the following composition

$$\mathbf{Q}^H_Z[d] \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mu_*\mathbf{Q}^H_Y[d] \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbf{D}\big(\mu_*\mathbf{Q}^H_Y[d]\big)(-d) \xrightarrow{\alpha^\vee} \mathbf{D}\big(\mathbf{Q}^H_Z[d]\big)(-d), \tag{2.14}$$

where $\alpha^\vee = \mathbf{D}(\alpha)(-d)$.

If Z is a closed subvariety of the smooth, irreducible variety X and $i\colon Z \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion, then using the compatibility of the graded de Rham complex with direct image and duality, we see that for every $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ we have

$$\alpha_k = \mathrm{Gr}^F_{-k}\mathrm{DR}_X(\alpha)[k-d],\ \beta_k = \mathrm{Gr}^F_{-k}\mathrm{DR}_X(\beta)[k-d],\ \alpha^\vee_{d-k} = \mathrm{Gr}^F_{-k}\mathrm{DR}_X(\alpha^\vee)[k-d],$$

hence $\psi_k = \mathrm{Gr}^F_{-k}\mathrm{DR}_X(\psi_Z)[k-d]$.

Remark 2.8. — It follows from the definition of ψ_Z that $\psi_Z^\vee := \mathbf{D}(\psi_Z)(-d)$ can be identified with ψ_Z.

Remark 2.9. — Suppose now that X is a smooth, irreducible, n-dimensional variety and $i\colon Z \hookrightarrow X$ is a closed embedding, where Z is a local complete intersection subvariety of X, of pure codimension r. Let $d = n - r$. As we have already mentioned, in this case, the morphisms

$$\mathbf{Q}^H_Z[d] \xrightarrow{\gamma_Z} \mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{D}\big(\mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H\big)(-d) \xrightarrow{\gamma_Z^\vee} \mathbf{D}\big(\mathbf{Q}^H_Z[d]\big)(-d)$$

are morphisms of mixed Hodge modules, with γ_Z surjective and $\gamma_Z^\vee$ injective.

Since ψ_Z is a morphism between two mixed Hodge modules on Z, we obtain the same morphism if we take $\mathcal{H}^0(-)$; in other words, ψ_Z agrees with the composition

$$\mathbf{Q}^H_Z[d] \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0\big(\mu_*\mathbf{Q}^H_Y[d]\big) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}\big(\mathcal{H}^0(\mu_*\mathbf{Q}^H_Y[d])\big)(-d) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}\big(\mathbf{Q}^H_Z[d]\big)(-d). \tag{2.15}$$

On the other hand, since $\mathbf{Q}^H_Y[d]$ is pure of weight d, so is $\mathcal{H}^0\big(\mu_*\mathbf{Q}^H_Y[d]\big)$, see [Sai90, (4.5.2)]. Since $\mathrm{Gr}^i_W\big(\mathbf{Q}^H_Z[d]\big) = 0$ for $i > d$, it follows that the composition in (2.15) further factors as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Q}^H_Z[d] \xrightarrow{\gamma_Z} \mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0\big(\mu_*\mathbf{Q}^H_Y[d]\big) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}\big(\mathcal{H}^0(\mu_*\mathbf{Q}^H_Y[d])\big)(-d)\\ \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}\big(\mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H\big)(-d) \xrightarrow{\gamma_Z^\vee} \mathbf{D}\big(\mathbf{Q}^H_Z[d]\big)(-d).\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

We also note that the intermediate composition

$$\mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0\big(\mu_*\mathbf{Q}_Y^H[d]\big) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}\big(\mathcal{H}^0(\mu_*\mathbf{Q}_Y^H[d])\big)(-d) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}\big(\mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H\big)(-d)$$

is always an isomorphism. Indeed, a morphism $\mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H \to \mathbf{D}\big(\mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H\big)(-d)$ is uniquely determined by its restriction to a dense open subset of the smooth locus of Z, and on a suitable such subset over which μ is an isomorphism this composition is the identity.

For every k, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $\mathrm{Gr}_p^F\mathrm{DR}_X(\psi_Z)$ is an isomorphism for all $p \leqslant k$ if and only if $F_p\psi_Z$ is an isomorphism for every $p \leqslant k+n$. Since γ_Z is surjective and $\gamma_Z^\vee$ is injective, it follows from the above discussion that $\mathrm{Gr}_p^F\mathrm{DR}_X(\psi_Z)$ is an isomorphism for all $p \leqslant k$ if and only if

$$F_p\gamma_Z\colon F_p\mathbf{Q}_Z^H[d] \longrightarrow F_p\mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H \quad \text{and}$$
$$F_{p+d}\gamma_Z^\vee\colon F_{p+d}\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H) = F_{p-r}W_{n+r}\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow F_{p+d}\mathbf{D}\big(\mathbf{Q}_Z^H[d]\big) = F_{p-r}\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X)$$

are isomorphisms for all $p \leqslant k+n$ (recall that every morphism of mixed Hodge modules preserves the Hodge filtration and it is strict).

Remark 2.10. — We note that in [FL22b] one says that a variety Z of pure dimension d has k-rational singularities if the composition

$$\Omega_Z^p \longrightarrow \underline{\Omega}_Z^p \xrightarrow{\psi_k} \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}\big(\underline{\Omega}_Z^{d-p}, \omega_Z^\bullet[-d]\big)$$

is an isomorphism for all $p \leqslant k$. It is shown in [FL22b, Cor. 3.17] that this definition is equivalent to the definition we use in this paper if $\mathrm{codim}_Z(Z_{\mathrm{sing}}) \geqslant 2k+1$. Furthermore, it is shown in [FL22b, Th. 3.20] that with their definition as well, if Z is a local complete intersection and has k-rational singularities, then Z has Du Bois singularities, and thus $\mathrm{codim}_Z(Z_{\mathrm{sing}}) \geqslant 2k+1$ by Proposition 2.5. We thus conclude that for local complete intersection varieties, the two definitions of k-rational singularities agree.

3. Characterizations of k-rationality for local complete intersections

Let X be a smooth, irreducible variety of dimension n and Z be a local complete intersection subvariety of pure codimension r in X. Let $d = n-r$ be the dimension of Z and $i\colon Z \hookrightarrow X$ the inclusion. We will freely use the notation introduced in the previous section. The following is the main result of this section, which implies several of the statements in the introduction.

Theorem 3.1. — *With the above notation, for every nonnegative integer k, the following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) > k+r$;
(b) $F_kW_{n+r}\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X) = E_k\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X)$;
(c) *the morphism*

$$F_{p+r}\mathbf{Q}_Z^H[d] \twoheadrightarrow F_{p+r}\mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H, \tag{3.1}$$

induced by γ_Z and the composition

$$F_pW_{n+r}\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) \hookrightarrow F_p\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) \hookrightarrow E_p\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X), \tag{3.2}$$

induced by $\gamma_Z^\vee$, are isomorphisms for $p \leqslant k$.

(d) *Z has k-Du Bois singularities and the morphism*

$$\psi_k \colon \underline{\Omega}^k_Z \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}\big(\underline{\Omega}^{d-k}_Z, \omega_Z\big)$$

is an isomorphism;

(e) *the canonical morphism*

$$\Omega^p_Z \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^F_{-p}\mathrm{DR}_X(\mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H)[p-d]$$

is an isomorphism (in the derived category) for $p \leqslant k$.

Remark 3.2. — We note that the morphism in (e) is the composition

$$\Omega^p_Z \longrightarrow \underline{\Omega}^p_Z \simeq \mathrm{Gr}^F_{-p}\mathrm{DR}_X(\mathbf{Q}^H_Z)[p] \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^F_{-p}\mathrm{DR}_X(\mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H)[p-d],$$

where the second map is induced by $\gamma_Z \colon \mathbf{Q}^H_Z[d] \to \mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H$.

Remark 3.3. — Note that condition (d) in the theorem is equivalent to Z having k-rational singularities by Theorem 2.7. Therefore the equivalence (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (d) is the content of Theorem 1.1, while the equivalence (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b) is the content of Theorem 1.4.

We proceed with the proof of Theorem 3.1 in several steps, by showing the following implications:

(a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) $\Rightarrow$ (d) + (e), (d) $\Rightarrow$ (c), and (e) $\Rightarrow$ (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a).

Proof of Theorem 3.1. — Since all assertions are local, we may and will assume that X is affine and Z is defined in X by $f_1, \ldots, f_r \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$. In particular, we will be able to consider the V-filtration corresponding to these functions. We denote by I_Z the ideal defining Z in X.

Step 1. Proof of (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). — Let $W_\bullet$ be the monodromy filtration on $\mathrm{Gr}^\bullet_V B_{\boldsymbol{f}}$, shifted by n, uniquely characterized by:

- $(s+\alpha)\cdot W_\bullet\mathrm{Gr}^\alpha_V B_{\boldsymbol{f}} \subseteq W_{\bullet-2}\mathrm{Gr}^\alpha_V B_{\boldsymbol{f}}$ and
- $(s+\alpha)^j \colon \mathrm{Gr}^W_{n+j}\mathrm{Gr}^\alpha_V B_{\boldsymbol{f}} \cong \mathrm{Gr}^W_{n-j}\mathrm{Gr}^\alpha_V B_{\boldsymbol{f}}$ is an isomorphism for all $j \geqslant 1$.

Explicitly, this is given by

$$W_{n+i}\mathrm{Gr}^\alpha_V B_{\boldsymbol{f}} = \sum_j \ker\big((s+\alpha)^{i+j+1}\big) \cap \mathrm{Im}\big((s+\alpha)^j\big). \tag{3.3}$$

Consider the map $\sigma \colon \big(\mathrm{Gr}^{r-1}_V B_{\boldsymbol{f}}\big)^{\oplus r} \xrightarrow{(t_1,t_2,\ldots,t_r)} \mathrm{Gr}^r_V B_{\boldsymbol{f}}$. By [CD23, Th. 1.2], for every i, we have an isomorphism of filtered $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules

$$\mathrm{Gr}^W_{i+r}\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) \simeq \big(\mathrm{Gr}^W_i \operatorname{coker}\sigma, F[-r]\big) \quad \text{for all} \quad i \in \mathbf{Z}. \tag{3.4}$$

Recall that we know that $W_{i+r}\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for $i < n$, hence

$$W_{n+r}\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathrm{Gr}^W_{n+r}\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) \simeq \big(\mathrm{Gr}^W_n \operatorname{coker}\sigma, F[-r]\big). \tag{3.5}$$

Since $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) > k+r$, it follows from the definition of the minimal exponent that $F_{k+r+1}B_{\boldsymbol{f}} \subseteq V^{>r-1}B_{\boldsymbol{f}}$, hence

$$(s+r)\cdot F_{k+r}B_{\boldsymbol{f}} \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^{r} t_i \cdot F_{k+r+1}B_{\boldsymbol{f}} \subseteq V^{>r}B_{\boldsymbol{f}}.$$

We thus have

$$F_{k+r}\mathrm{Gr}_V^r B_{\boldsymbol{f}} \subseteq W_n\mathrm{Gr}_V^r B_{\boldsymbol{f}} \tag{3.6}$$

because $\ker(s+r) \subseteq W_n\mathrm{Gr}_V^r B_{\boldsymbol{f}}$ by (3.3). This implies that $F_{k+r}\operatorname{coker}\sigma \subseteq W_n\operatorname{coker}\sigma$, and using (3.5) we conclude that

$$F_kW_{n+r}\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X) = F_k\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X) = E_k\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X),$$

where the last equality follows from Theorem 2.3.

Step 2. Proof of (b) $\Rightarrow$ (c). — We first prove the following

Lemma 3.4. — *The equality* $F_kW_{n+r}\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X) = E_k\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X)$ *implies*

$$F_pW_{n+r}\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X) = E_p\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X) \quad \textit{for all} \quad p \leqslant k.$$

Proof. — Recall first that $F_p\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X) \subseteq E_p\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X)$ for all p by [MP22a, Prop. 3.4], hence $F_pW_{n+r}\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X) = E_p\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X)$ if and only if the inclusion "$\supseteq$" holds. Since $W_{n+r}\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is a Hodge module supported on Z, we have

$$I_Z\cdot F_pW_{n+r}\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X) \subseteq F_{p-1}W_{n+r}\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X) \quad \text{for all} \quad p\in\mathbf{Z}$$

(see [Sai88, Lem. 3.2.6]). On the other hand, it follows easily from the definition of the filtration $E_\bullet\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X)$ that we have

$$I_Z\cdot E_p\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X) = E_{p-1}\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X) \quad \text{for all} \quad p\geqslant 1.$$

The assertion in the lemma now follows by decreasing induction on p. □

We next use duality to prove the following

Lemma 3.5. — *If* $F_pW_{n+r}\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X) = F_p\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X)$ *for some* $p\in\mathbf{Z}$, *then the surjective map*

$$F_{p+r+1}\mathbf{Q}_Z^H[d] \longrightarrow F_{p+r+1}\mathrm{IC}_Z\mathbf{Q}^H$$

induced by γ_Z *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. — The equality $F_pW_{n+r}\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X) = F_p\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is equivalent to the vanishing of $F_p\mathrm{Gr}^W_{n+r+j}\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X)$ for all $j\geqslant 1$. Since $\mathrm{Gr}^W_{n+r+j}\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X)$ underlies a polarizable pure Hodge module of weight $n+r+j$, the choice of a polarization gives an isomorphism of filtered $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules:

$$\mathbf{D}\big(\mathrm{Gr}^W_{n+r+j}\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X)\big) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \big(\mathrm{Gr}^W_{n+r+j}\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X)\big)(n+r+j). \tag{3.7}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{D}\big(\mathrm{Gr}^W_{n+r+j}\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X)\big) &\cong \mathrm{Gr}^W_{-n-r-j}\mathbf{D}\big(\mathcal{H}_Z^r(\mathcal{O}_X)\big)\\ &\cong \mathrm{Gr}^W_{-n-r-j}\big(\mathbf{Q}_Z^H[d](n)\big) \cong \big(\mathrm{Gr}^W_{d-j}\mathbf{Q}_Z^H[d]\big)(n).\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Combining the two equations (3.7) and (3.8) yields

$$\left(\mathrm{Gr}^W_{n+r+j}\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)\right)(r+j) \cong \mathrm{Gr}^W_{d-j}\mathbf{Q}^H_Z[d],$$

as filtered $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules, which implies

$$F_{p+1-j}\mathrm{Gr}^W_{n+r+j}\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) \cong F_{p+r+1}\mathrm{Gr}^W_{d-j}\mathbf{Q}^H_Z[d].$$

We have seen that our hypothesis gives $F_{p+1-j}\mathrm{Gr}^W_{n+r+j}\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for $j \geqslant 1$, hence $F_{p+r+1}\mathrm{Gr}^W_{d-j}\mathbf{Q}^H_Z[d] = 0$ for $j \geqslant 1$ and thus $F_{p+r+1}W_{d-1}\mathbf{Q}^H_Z[d] = 0$. This implies the conclusion of the lemma by definition of γ_Z. □

Returning to the proof of the implication (b) ⇒ (c), note that the assertion in Lemma 3.4 gives the fact that the morphism (3.2) is an isomorphism for $p \leqslant k$. Similarly, by combining Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 we conclude that the morphism (3.1) is an isomorphism for $p \leqslant k$ (in fact, for $p \leqslant k+1$). We thus have the assertion in (c).

Step 3. Proof of (c) ⇒ (d) + (e). — The surjectivity of the morphism in (3.2) implies, in particular, that Z is k-Du Bois by [MP22a, Th. F]. Recall that we have defined $\psi_k = \mathrm{Gr}^F_{-k}\mathrm{DR}_X(\psi_Z)[k-d]$, so we see that ψ_k is an isomorphism if and only if $\mathrm{Gr}^F_{-k}\mathrm{DR}_X(\psi_Z)$ is an isomorphism, which by (2.1) holds if and only if $\mathrm{Gr}^F_{k-d}\mathrm{DR}_X(\psi_Z)$ is an isomorphism (recall that $\mathbf{D}(\psi_Z) = \psi_Z(d)$, see Remark 2.8). We thus conclude that the condition in (d) holds.

Similarly, once we know that Z is k-Du Bois, the condition in (e) is equivalent with $\mathrm{Gr}^F_{-p}\mathrm{DR}_X(\gamma_Z)$ being an isomorphism for $p \leqslant k$, which is equivalent by (2.1) with $\mathrm{Gr}^F_{p-d}\mathrm{DR}_X(\gamma_Z^\vee)$ being an isomorphism for all $p \leqslant k$. This is implied by $F_{p+r}\gamma_Z^\vee$ being an isomorphism for all $p \leqslant k$, but this is precisely the morphism $F_pW_{n+r}\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) \to F_p\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$. Therefore the condition in (e) holds as well.

Step 4. Proof of (d) ⇒ (c). — It follows from Theorem 2.7 that the conditions in (d) are equivalent to Z having k-rational singularities. In particular, since we have these conditions for k, we also have them for $k-1$. In particular, we know that $\psi_k = \mathrm{Gr}^F_{p-d}\mathrm{DR}_X(\psi_Z)[p-d]$ is an isomorphism for all $p \leqslant k$. Using (2.1) and the fact that $\mathbf{D}(\psi_Z) = \psi_Z(d)$, we conclude that $\mathrm{Gr}^F_{p-d}\mathrm{DR}_X(\psi_Z)$ is an isomorphism for all $p \leqslant k$. Lemma 2.1 thus implies that $F_{p+r}\psi_Z$ is an isomorphism for all $p \leqslant k$. As we have seen in Remark 2.9, this implies that the morphisms (3.1) and (3.2) are isomorphisms for all $p \leqslant k$ (for the latter morphism, we also use the fact that $F_p\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) = E_p\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$ for $p \leqslant k$, due to the fact that Z has k-Du Bois singularities). We thus have the condition in (c).

Step 5. Proof of (e) ⇒ (b). — If $k = 0$, applying $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}\left(-, \omega_X[r]\right)$ to the isomorphism in (e) gives via (2.1) an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Gr}^F_{-n}\mathrm{DR}_XW_{n+r}\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^F_{-n}\mathrm{DR}_X\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^r_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_Z, \omega_X)$$

(note that $\mathcal{E}xt^i_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_Z, \omega_X) = 0$ for $i \neq r$ since Z is a local complete intersection of pure codimension r). We have

$$\mathrm{Gr}^F_{-n}\mathrm{DR}_X\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) = \omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} F_0\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) \quad \text{and}$$
$$\mathrm{Gr}^F_{-n}\mathrm{DR}_XW_{n+r}\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) = \omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} F_0W_{n+r}\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X),$$

while the image of the inclusion

$$\mathcal{E}xt^r_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_Z,\omega_X) \longhookrightarrow \omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$$

is $\omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} E_0\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$. We thus obtain the condition in (b) in this case.

From now on we assume $k \geqslant 1$. Arguing by induction on k, we may and will assume that $F_pW_{n+r}\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) = E_p\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$ for $p \leqslant k-1$. In particular, we know that Z has $(k-1)$-Du Bois singularities, and thus $\operatorname{codim}_Z(Z_{\mathrm{sing}}) \geqslant 2k-1 \geqslant k$ by [MP22a, Cor. 3.40]. We only need to prove that the injection $F_kW_{n+r}\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) \hookrightarrow E_k\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is indeed an isomorphism. Moreover, because we know the corresponding assertions for the lower pieces of the filtrations, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that it is enough to show that the induced morphism

$$\operatorname{Gr}^F_{k-n}\mathrm{DR}_X W_{n+r}\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}^E_{k-n}\mathrm{DR}_X\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) \tag{3.9}$$

is an isomorphism (in the derived category).

Applying $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}\big(-,\omega_X[r+k]\big)$ to the isomorphism in (e) implies via (2.1) that the composition

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Gr}^F_{k-n}\mathrm{DR}_X W_{n+r}\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}^F_{k-n}\mathrm{DR}_X\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)\\ \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}\big(\Omega^k_Z,\omega_X[r+k]\big)\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

is an isomorphism. On the other hand, since $\operatorname{codim}_Z(Z_{\mathrm{sing}}) \geqslant k$, it follows from [MP22a, §5.2] that the second map in (3.10) gets identified with the canonical morphism

$$\operatorname{Gr}^F_{k-n}\mathrm{DR}_X\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}^E_{k-n}\mathrm{DR}_X\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X).$$

We thus conclude that indeed (3.9) is an isomorphism.

Step 6. Proof of (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a). — In addition to the map

$$\sigma\colon \big(\operatorname{Gr}^{r-1}_V B_{\boldsymbol{f}}\big)^{\oplus r} \xrightarrow{(t_1,t_2,\dots,t_r)} \operatorname{Gr}^r_V B_{\boldsymbol{f}}$$

that we used in Step 1, we also consider the map

$$\delta\colon \operatorname{Gr}^r_V B_{\boldsymbol{f}} \xrightarrow{(\partial_{t_1},\partial_{t_2},\dots,\partial_{t_r})} \big(\operatorname{Gr}^{r-1}_V B_{\boldsymbol{f}}(-1)\big)^{\oplus r}.$$

The key point is to show the following

Claim. — *The condition in* (b) *implies that the composition of the canonical morphisms*

$$\operatorname{Gr}^F_{k+r}\ker\delta \longhookrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}^F_{k+r}\operatorname{Gr}^r_V B_{\boldsymbol{f}} \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}^F_{k+r}\operatorname{coker}\sigma \tag{3.11}$$

is an isomorphism.

By [CD23, Th. 1.2], we have an isomorphism of filtered $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules

$$\operatorname{Gr}^W_{d+i}\mathbf{Q}^H_Z[d] \cong \operatorname{Gr}^W_{n+i}\ker\delta \quad \text{for all} \quad i \in \mathbf{Z}. \tag{3.12}$$

In particular, we have $W_n \ker\delta = \ker\delta$ (recall that, similarly, the isomorphism (3.4) implies $W_{n-1}\operatorname{coker}\sigma = 0$). Note that the inclusion $W_n\ker\delta \hookrightarrow W_n\mathrm{Gr}_V^r B_{\boldsymbol{f}}$ induces a canonical filtered morphism

$$\mathrm{Gr}_n^W \ker\delta \longrightarrow W_n\operatorname{coker}\sigma = \frac{W_n\mathrm{Gr}_V^r B_{\boldsymbol{f}}}{W_n\mathrm{Gr}_V^r B_{\boldsymbol{f}} \cap \operatorname{im}\sigma}. \tag{3.13}$$

Indeed, the morphism is well-defined because

$$W_{n-1}\ker\delta \subseteq W_{n-1}\mathrm{Gr}_V^r B_{\boldsymbol{f}} = (s+r)\cdot W_{n+1}\mathrm{Gr}_V^r B_{\boldsymbol{f}} = \sum_{i=1}^r t_i\partial_{t_i} W_{n+1}\mathrm{Gr}_V^r B_{\boldsymbol{f}} \subseteq \operatorname{im}\sigma.$$

We deduce that the canonical map $\ker\delta \to \operatorname{coker}\sigma$ factors as

$$\ker\delta \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_n^W\ker\delta \longrightarrow W_n\operatorname{coker}\sigma \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}\sigma.$$

Furthermore, the canonical maps

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{k+r}^F\ker\delta \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{k+r}^F\mathrm{Gr}_n^W\ker\delta$$

and

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{k+r}^F W_n\operatorname{coker}\sigma \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{k+r}^F\operatorname{coker}\sigma$$

are isomorphisms because of (3.4) and (3.12), together with the fact that the canonical map

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{p+r}^F\mathbf{Q}_Z^H[d] \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{p+r}^F\mathrm{Gr}_d^W\mathbf{Q}_Z^H[d]$$

is an isomorphism for $p \leqslant k+1$ by Lemma 3.5. Therefore the claim is now reduced to the assertion that (3.13) is a filtered isomorphism. Clearly, (3.13) is a filtered isomorphism over the complement $V = X \smallsetminus Z_{\mathrm{sing}}$ of the singular locus of Z, due to

$$\ker\delta|_V = \mathrm{Gr}_V^r B_{\boldsymbol{f}}|_V = \operatorname{coker}\sigma|_V$$

preserving the Hodge filtration (this follows from the fact that if Z is smooth, then $V^r B_{\boldsymbol{f}} = B_{\boldsymbol{f}}$ by (2.8), hence $\mathrm{Gr}_V^{r-1}B_{\boldsymbol{f}} = 0$). Therefore (3.13) is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules because its source and target decompose by (3.12) and (3.4) as direct sums of simple $\mathcal{D}$-modules, corresponding to the irreducible components of Z. Moreover, it is even a filtered isomorphism thanks to the fact that the Hodge filtration is uniquely determined by the regular locus [Sai88, (3.2.2.2)]. This completes the proof of the claim.

To conclude the proof, note that the condition in (b) implies that $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \geqslant k+r$ by Theorem 2.3. Therefore we have

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{k+r}^F\mathrm{Gr}_V^r B_{\boldsymbol{f}} = \mathrm{Gr}_{k+r}^F B_{\boldsymbol{f}}/I_Z\cdot\mathrm{Gr}_{k+r}^F B_{\boldsymbol{f}} = \mathrm{Gr}_{k+r}^F\operatorname{coker}\sigma,$$

where the first equality follows from the fact that

$$F_{k+r}V^{>r}B_{\boldsymbol{f}} = \sum_{i=1}^r t_i\cdot F_{k+r}V^{>r-1}B_{\boldsymbol{f}}$$

by [CD23, Th. 1.1]. The claim implies that the composition

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{k+r}^F\ker\delta \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{k+r}^F\mathrm{Gr}_V^r B_{\boldsymbol{f}} \xrightarrow{=} \mathrm{Gr}_{k+r}^F\operatorname{coker}\sigma,$$

is an isomorphism, hence δ is zero on $\mathrm{Gr}^F_{k+r}\mathrm{Gr}^r_V B_{\boldsymbol{f}} = \mathrm{Gr}^F_{k+r} B_{\boldsymbol{f}}/I_Z \cdot \mathrm{Gr}^F_{k+r} B_{\boldsymbol{f}}$. Therefore

$$\partial_{t_i} \cdot F_{k+r} B_{\boldsymbol{f}} \subseteq F_{k+r} B_{\boldsymbol{f}} + V^{>r-1} B_{\boldsymbol{f}} \subseteq V^r B_{\boldsymbol{f}} + V^{>r-1} B_{\boldsymbol{f}} \subseteq V^{>r-1} B_{\boldsymbol{f}} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r,$$

where the second inclusion comes from the fact that $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \geqslant k+r$. This implies $F_{k+r+1} B_{\boldsymbol{f}} \subseteq V^{>r-1} B_{\boldsymbol{f}}$, which is equivalent to $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) > k+r$. This completes the proof of this step and thus the proof of the theorem. □

We next prove the two corollaries stated in the introduction:

Proof of Corollary 1.2. — The assertion follows from the fact that Z has k-Du Bois singularities if and only if $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \geqslant k+r$, while by Theorem 1.1, Z has $(k-1)$-rational singularities if and only if $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) > k+r-1$. □

Proof of Corollary 1.3. — We may assume that Z is irreducible and affine and let $Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed embedding, of codimension r, with X a smooth, irreducible variety. The assertion to prove is trivial if Z is smooth (with the convention that the empty set has infinite codimension), hence we may and will assume that Z is singular. If $s = \dim(Z_{\mathrm{sing}})$ and H is the intersection of general hyperplanes sections in X, then $Z' := Z \cap H$ is a local complete intersection variety with nonempty, 0-dimensional singular locus, and $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z') = \widetilde{\alpha}(Z)$ by [CDMO24, Th. 1.2]. In particular, it follows from Theorem 1.1 that $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z') > k+r$. Since $\mathrm{codim}_Z(Z_{\mathrm{sing}}) = \mathrm{codim}_{Z'}(Z'_{\mathrm{sing}})$, we may replace Z by Z' to assume that Z_{sing} is nonempty and zero-dimensional. We then need to show that $d := \dim(Z) \geqslant 2k+2$.

Let $x \in Z_{\mathrm{sing}}$. By (2.9), we have

$$\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \leqslant \dim(X) - \frac{1}{2}\dim_{\mathbf{C}} T_x(Z) = (d+r) - \frac{1}{2}\dim_{\mathbf{C}} T_x(Z).$$

Since $x \in Z_{\mathrm{sing}}$, we have $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} T_x(Z) \geqslant \dim(Z)+1 = d+1$, hence

$$\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \leqslant (d+r) - \frac{1}{2}(d+1) = \frac{d-1}{2} + r.$$

Since $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) > k+r$, we conclude that $k+r < \frac{d-1}{2} + r$, hence $d > 2k+1$. We thus conclude that $d \geqslant 2k+2$. □

4. Non-vanishing result for the Du Bois complex

In this section we show that for singular, d-dimensional, local complete intersection varieties Z with k-rational singularities, where $k \geqslant 1$, the cohomology sheaf $\mathcal{H}^k(\underline{\Omega}^{d-k}_Z)$ does not vanish.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. — Note first that Theorem 2.7 gives an isomorphism

$$\Omega^k_Z \simeq \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\underline{\Omega}^{d-k}_Z, \omega_Z),$$

and since $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(-, \omega_Z)$ is a duality, we get an isomorphism

$$\underline{\Omega}^{d-k}_Z \simeq \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\Omega^k_Z, \omega_Z).$$

The first isomorphism in the theorem follows by taking that k-th cohomology sheaf.

It is shown in [MP22a, §5.2] that since Z has k-Du Bois singularities (more precisely, since $\operatorname{codim}_Z(Z_{\mathrm{sing}}) \geqslant k$), the sheaf Ω_Z^k is the 0-th cohomology of the complex $\Omega_X^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \operatorname{Sym}^{k-\bullet}(\mathcal{N}_{Z/X})^\vee$ placed in cohomological degrees $-k, \dots, 0$. Since this is a resolution of Ω_Z^k by locally free $\mathcal{O}_Z$-modules, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}xt^k_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\Omega_Z^k, \omega_Z) &\simeq \omega_Z \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \mathcal{E}xt^k_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\Omega_Z^k, \mathcal{O}_Z)\\ &\simeq \omega_Z \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \operatorname{coker}\big(\mathcal{T}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \operatorname{Sym}^{k-1}_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\mathcal{N}_{Z/X}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^k_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\mathcal{N}_{Z/X})\big)\\ &\simeq \omega_Z \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \operatorname{Sym}^k_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\mathcal{Q}),\end{aligned}$$

where the last isomorphism follows from [Eis95, Prop. A2.2(d)].

In order to see that $\mathcal{H}^k(\underline{\Omega}_Z^{d-k})_x \neq 0$ if $x \in Z$ is a singular point, it is enough to consider, in a neighborhood of x, a closed immersion $Z \hookrightarrow X$ such that $T_xZ = T_xX$. In this case the morphism of locally free $\mathcal{O}_Z$-modules

$$\mathcal{T}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \operatorname{Sym}^{k-1}_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\mathcal{N}_{Z/X}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^k_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\mathcal{N}_{Z/X})$$

is given by a matrix whose entries all vanish at x. We thus conclude that the minimal number of generators of $\mathcal{H}^k(\underline{\Omega}_Z^{d-k})_x$ is equal to $\operatorname{rank}\big(\operatorname{Sym}^k_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\mathcal{N}_{Z/X})\big) = \binom{e-d+k-1}{k}$, where $e = \dim_{\mathbf{C}} T_xZ$, hence it is nonzero since $e \geqslant d+1$. This concludes the proof. □

5. Generation level of the Hodge filtration in terms of the minimal exponent

In this section we prove the bound on the level of generation in terms of the minimal exponent.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. — Let $d = \dim(Z) = n - r$. The starting point is the observation that for every mixed Hodge module M on X, the Hodge filtration is generated at level q if $\mathcal{H}^0\mathrm{Gr}^F_{p-n}\mathrm{DR}_X(M) = 0$ for all $p > q$. Recall that by (2.1), we have

$$\mathrm{Gr}^F_{p-n}\mathrm{DR}_X(M) \simeq \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}\big(\mathrm{Gr}^F_{n-p}\mathrm{DR}_X(\mathbf{D}(M)), \omega_X[n]\big).$$

If we apply this with $M = \mathcal{H}^r i^! \mathbf{Q}_X^H[n] = \mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$, where $i\colon Z \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion, since $\mathbf{D}(M) \simeq \mathbf{Q}_Z^H[d](n)$, we conclude that

$$\mathrm{Gr}^F_{p-n}\mathrm{DR}_X\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X) \simeq \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}\big(\mathrm{Gr}^F_{-p}\mathrm{DR}_X\mathbf{Q}_Z^H[d], \omega_X[n]\big).$$

If we apply $\mathcal{H}^0(-)$ on both sides, we conclude that the Hodge filtration on $\mathcal{H}^r_Z(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is generated at level q if

$$\mathcal{E}xt^n_{\mathcal{O}_X}\big(\mathrm{Gr}^F_{-p}\mathrm{DR}_X\mathbf{Q}_Z^H[d], \mathcal{O}_X\big) = 0 \tag{5.1}$$

for all $p > q$.

Recall now that for a bounded complex of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules $K^\bullet$ and an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathcal{F}$, there is a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{i,j} = \mathcal{E}xt^j_{\mathcal{O}_X}(K^{-i}, \mathcal{F}) \implies \mathcal{E}xt^{i+j}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(K^\bullet, \mathcal{F}).$$

We take $K^\bullet$ to be the complex $\mathrm{Gr}^F_{-p}\mathrm{DR}_X\mathbf{Q}_Z^H[d]$, so that

$$K^{-\ell} = \Omega_X^{n-\ell} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{Gr}^F_{n-\ell-p}\mathbf{Q}_Z^H[d].$$

Therefore the vanishing in (5.1) holds if for all $j \in \{0,\dots,n\}$, we have

$$\mathcal{E}xt^j_{\mathcal{O}_X}\big(\Omega_X^{n-(n-j)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{Gr}^F_{n-(n-j)-p}\mathbf{Q}_Z^H[d],\omega_X\big) = 0,$$

or equivalently,

$$\mathcal{E}xt^j_{\mathcal{O}_X}\big(\mathrm{Gr}^F_{j-p}\mathbf{Q}_Z^H[d],\mathcal{O}_X\big) = 0.$$

We conclude that in order to complete the proof of the theorem, it is enough to show the following claim:

Claim 5.1. — For all $p \geqslant n - \lceil \widetilde{\alpha}(Z)\rceil$ and all $j \in \{0,1,\dots,n\}$, we have

$$\mathcal{E}xt^j_{\mathcal{O}_X}\big(\mathrm{Gr}^F_{j-p}\mathbf{Q}_Z^H[d],\mathcal{O}_X\big) = 0. \tag{5.2}$$

In order to prove the claim, we may and will assume that X is affine and Z is defined by $f_1,\dots,f_r \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$, so we can make use of the corresponding V-filtration. By Theorem 2.2(ii), for every $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have an isomorphism

$$F_\ell\mathbf{Q}_Z^H[d] \simeq \ker\big(F_\ell\mathrm{Gr}_V^r(B_{\boldsymbol{f}}) \xrightarrow{\partial_{t_1},\dots,\partial_{t_r}} \bigoplus_{i=1}^r F_\ell\mathrm{Gr}_V^{r-1}(B_{\boldsymbol{f}})(-1)\big).$$

Suppose now that $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) > \ell$. In this case, by definition of the minimal exponent we have $F_{\ell+1}B_{\boldsymbol{f}} \subseteq V^{>r-1}B_{\boldsymbol{f}}$ and $F_\ell B_{\boldsymbol{f}} \subseteq V^r B_{\boldsymbol{f}}$. We thus conclude that

$$F_\ell\mathbf{Q}_Z^H[d] \simeq F_\ell\mathrm{Gr}_V^r(B_{\boldsymbol{f}}) \simeq F_\ell B_{\boldsymbol{f}}/F_\ell V^{>r}B_{\boldsymbol{f}}. \tag{5.3}$$

On the other hand, it follows from [CD23, Th. 1.1] that we have

$$F_\ell V^{>r}B_{\boldsymbol{f}} = \sum_{i=1}^r t_i \cdot F_\ell V^{>r-1}B_{\boldsymbol{f}} = \sum_{i=1}^r t_i \cdot F_\ell B_{\boldsymbol{f}},$$

so that (5.3) gives

$$F_\ell\mathbf{Q}_Z^H[d] \simeq F_\ell B_{\boldsymbol{f}}/(t_1,\dots,t_r)F_\ell B_{\boldsymbol{f}},$$

and thus

$$\mathrm{Gr}^F_\ell\mathbf{Q}_Z^H[d] \simeq \mathrm{Gr}^F_\ell B_{\boldsymbol{f}}/(t_1,\dots,t_r)\mathrm{Gr}^F_\ell B_{\boldsymbol{f}}.$$

Recall now that by definition we have $F_\ell B_{\boldsymbol{f}} = \mathrm{Gr}^F_\ell B_{\boldsymbol{f}} = 0$ if $\ell < r$ and $\mathrm{gr}^F_\ell B_{\boldsymbol{f}} = \bigoplus_{|\beta|=\ell-r} \mathcal{O}_X\partial_t^\beta$ if $\ell \geqslant r$, with each t_i acting as multiplication by f_i. We thus conclude that if $\ell \geqslant r$, then

$$\mathrm{Gr}^F_\ell\mathbf{Q}_Z^H[d] \simeq \bigoplus_{|\beta|=\ell-r} \mathcal{O}_Z\partial_t^\beta. \tag{5.4}$$

We now proceed to prove the claim. Note that since Z is singular, it follows from (2.9) that $\widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \leqslant n - \frac{1}{2}(d+1)$, hence $n - \lceil\widetilde{\alpha}(Z)\rceil \geqslant \lfloor (d+1)/2)\rfloor \geqslant 1$.

We first consider the case when $p > n - \lceil\widetilde{\alpha}(Z)\rceil$, so that $p > 0$ and $\lceil\widetilde{\alpha}(Z)\rceil > n - p \geqslant j - p$ for all $j \in \{0,1,\dots,n\}$. By taking $\ell = j-p$, it follows from (5.4) that we have

$$\mathrm{Gr}^F_{j-p}\mathbf{Q}_Z^H[d] \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j-p < r,\\ \bigoplus_{|\beta|=j-p-r} \mathcal{O}_Z\partial_t^\beta & \text{if } j-p \geqslant r.\end{cases}$$

Clearly, the vanishing in (5.2) holds if $j - p < r$. If $j \geqslant r + p > r$, we use the fact that Z is a complete intersection, so locally we have the Koszul resolution of $\mathcal{O}_Z$, of length r, by free $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. In particular, we have $\mathcal{E}xt^j_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_Z,\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for all $j > r$, proving the claim in this case.

We next consider the case when $p = n - \lceil \widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \rceil$. If $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$, then $\lceil \widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \rceil > j - p$ and we get the vanishing in (5.2) as above. In order to complete the proof of the claim, it is thus enough to consider $j = n$ and show that

$$\mathcal{E}xt^n_{\mathcal{O}_X}\big(\mathrm{Gr}^F_{\lceil \widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \rceil} \mathbf{Q}^H_Z[d], \mathcal{O}_X\big) = 0. \tag{5.5}$$

It follows from Theorem 2.2(ii) that we have an inclusion

$$\mathrm{Gr}^F_{\lceil \widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \rceil} \mathbf{Q}^H_Z[d] \subseteq \mathrm{Gr}^F_{\lceil \widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \rceil} \mathrm{Gr}^r_V B_{\boldsymbol{f}}.$$

Since $\mathcal{E}xt^{n+1}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(-, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, we deduce using the long exact sequence of $\mathcal{E}xt$ sheaves that we have a surjection

$$\mathcal{E}xt^n_{\mathcal{O}_X}\big(\mathrm{Gr}^F_{\lceil \widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \rceil} \mathrm{Gr}^r_V B_{\boldsymbol{f}}, \mathcal{O}_X\big) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^n_{\mathcal{O}_X}\big(\mathrm{Gr}^F_{\lceil \widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \rceil} \mathbf{Q}^H_Z[d], \mathcal{O}_X\big).$$

Therefore it is enough to show that the left term is 0.

Note now that it follows from [CD23, Th. 1.1] that

$$F_{\lceil \widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \rceil} V^{>r} B_{\boldsymbol{f}} = (t_1, \ldots, t_r) F_{\lceil \widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \rceil} V^{>r-1} B_{\boldsymbol{f}} = (t_1, \ldots, t_r) F_{\lceil \widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \rceil} B_{\boldsymbol{f}},$$

where the second equality follows from the definition of the minimal exponent. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Gr}^F_{\lceil \widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \rceil} \mathrm{Gr}^r_V B_{\boldsymbol{f}} &= \mathrm{Gr}^F_{\lceil \widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \rceil} V^r B_{\boldsymbol{f}} / (t_1, \ldots, t_r) \mathrm{Gr}^F_{\lceil \widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \rceil} B_{\boldsymbol{f}} \\ &\subseteq \mathrm{Gr}^F_{\lceil \widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \rceil} B_{\boldsymbol{f}} / (t_1, \ldots, t_r) \mathrm{Gr}^F_{\lceil \widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \rceil} B_{\boldsymbol{f}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using again the fact that $\mathcal{E}xt^{n+1}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(-, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, we see that it is enough to show that

$$\mathcal{E}xt^n_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathrm{Gr}^F_{\lceil \widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \rceil} B_{\boldsymbol{f}} / (t_1, \ldots, t_r) \mathrm{Gr}^F_{\lceil \widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \rceil} B_{\boldsymbol{f}}, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0.$$

This follows from the fact that $\mathrm{Gr}^F_{\lceil \widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \rceil} B_{\boldsymbol{f}} / (t_1, \ldots, t_r) \mathrm{Gr}^F_{\lceil \widetilde{\alpha}(Z) \rceil} B_{\boldsymbol{f}}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of $\mathcal{O}_Z$ and $\mathcal{E}xt^n_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_Z, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, as follows using the Koszul resolution of $\mathcal{O}_Z$ (note that $r < n$, since we assume that Z is reduced and singular). This completes the proof of the claim and thus the proof of the theorem. □

References

[BK81] J.-L. Brylinski & M. Kashiwara – "Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture and holonomic systems", *Invent. Math.* **64** (1981), p. 387–410.

[BMS06] N. Budur, M. Mustaţă & M. Saito – "Bernstein-Sato polynomials of arbitrary varieties", *Compositio Math.* **142** (2006), no. 3, p. 779–797.

[CD23] Q. Chen & B. Dirks – "On V-filtration, Hodge filtration and Fourier transform", *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **29** (2023), no. 4, article no. 50 (76 pages).

[CDM24] Q. Chen, B. Dirks & M. Mustaţă – "The minimal exponent of cones over smooth complete intersection projective varieties", 2024, `arXiv:2404.18289`.

[CDMO24] Q. Chen, B. Dirks, M. Mustaţă & S. Olano – "V-filtrations and minimal exponents for local complete intersections", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **811** (2024), p. 219–256.

[DB81] P. Du Bois – "Complexe de de Rham filtré d'une variété singulière", *Bull. Soc. math. France* **109** (1981), no. 1, p. 41–81.

[Eis95] D. Eisenbud – *Commutative algebra. With a view toward algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[FL22a] R. Friedman & R. Laza – "Deformations of singular Fano and Calabi-Yau varieties", 2022, `arXiv:2203.04823`.

[FL22b] ———, "Higher Du Bois and higher rational singularities", 2022, with an appendix by M. Saito, to appear in *Duke. Math. J.*, `arXiv:2205.04729`.

[FL24] R. Friedman & R. Laza – "The higher Du Bois and higher rational properties for isolated singularities", *J. Algebraic Geom.* **33** (2024), no. 3, p. 493–520.

[GNAPGP88] F. Guillén, V. Navarro Aznar, P. Pascual-Gainza & F. Puerta – *Hyperrésolutions cubiques et descente cohomologique*, Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 1335, Springer, 1988.

[HTT08] R. Hotta, K. Takeuchi & T. Tanisaki – *D-modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2008.

[JKSY22] S.-J. Jung, I.-K. Kim, M. Saito & Y. Yoon – "Higher Du Bois singularities of hypersurfaces", *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **125** (2022), no. 3, p. 543–567.

[Kas83] M. Kashiwara – "Vanishing cycle sheaves and holonomic systems of differential equations", in *Algebraic geometry (Tokyo/Kyoto, 1982)*, Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 1016, Springer, Berlin, 1983, p. 134–142.

[Mal83] B. Malgrange – "Polynômes de Bernstein-Sato et cohomologie évanescente", in *Analyse et topologie sur les espaces singuliers (Luminy, 1981)*, Astérisque, vol. 101, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1983, p. 243–267.

[MOPW23] M. Mustaţă, S. Olano, M. Popa & J. Witaszek – "The Du Bois complex of a hypersurface and the minimal exponent", *Duke Math. J.* **172** (2023), no. 7, p. 1411–1436.

[MP20a] M. Mustaţă & M. Popa – "Hodge filtration, minimal exponent, and local vanishing", *Invent. Math.* **220** (2020), no. 2, p. 453–478.

[MP20b] ———, "Hodge ideals for $\mathbf{Q}$-divisors, V-filtration, and minimal exponent", *Forum Math. Sigma* **8** (2020), article no. e19 (41 pages).

[MP22a] ———, "Hodge filtration on local cohomology, Du Bois complex and local cohomological dimension", *Forum Math. Pi* **10** (2022), article no. e22 (58 pages).

[MP22b] ———, "On k-rational and k-Du Bois local complete intersections", 2022, to appear in *Algebraic Geometry*, arXiv:2207.08743.

[Ola23] S. Olano – "Weighted Hodge ideals of reduced divisors", *Forum Math. Sigma* **11** (2023), article no. 51 (28 pages).

[PS08] C. Peters & J. Steenbrink – *Mixed Hodge structures*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3), vol. 52, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.

[Sai84] M. Saito – "Hodge filtrations on Gauss-Manin systems. I", *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **30** (1984), no. 3, p. 489–498.

[Sai88] ———, "Modules de Hodge polarisables", *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* **24** (1988), no. 6, p. 849–995.

[Sai90] ———, "Mixed Hodge modules", *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* **26** (1990), no. 2, p. 221–333.

[Sai93] ———, "On b-function, spectrum and rational singularity", *Math. Ann.* **295** (1993), no. 1, p. 51–74.

[Sai94] ———, "On microlocal b-function", *Bull. Soc. math. France* **122** (1994), no. 2, p. 163–184.

[Sai00] ———, "Mixed Hodge complexes on algebraic varieties", *Math. Ann.* **316** (2000), no. 2, p. 283–331.

Manuscript received 7th May 2023
accepted 5th June 2024

Qianyu Chen, Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan,
530 Church Street, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA
E-mail : qyc@umich.edu
Url : https://qymath.github.io/

Bradley Dirks, Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan,
530 Church Street, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA
E-mail : bdirks@umich.edu
Url : https://bdirks8.github.io/github.io/

Mircea Mustaţă, Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan,
530 Church Street, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA
E-mail : mmustata@umich.edu
Url : https://public.websites.umich.edu/~mmustata/