ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

HAJIME SATO

Constructing manifolds by homotopy equivalences I. An obstruction to constructing PL-manifolds from homology manifolds

Annales de l'institut Fourier, tome 22, n° 1 (1972), p. 271-286

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF_1972__22_1_271_0>

© Annales de l'institut Fourier, 1972, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (http://annalif.ujf-grenoble.fr/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble
22,1 (1972), 271-286

CONSTRUCTING MANIFOLDS BY HOMOTOPY EQUIVALENCES I. AN OBSTRUCTION TO CONSTRUCTING PL-MANIFOLDS FROM HOMOLOGY MANIFOLDS

by Hajime SATO

0. Introduction.

A homology manifold can be given a canonical cell complex structure, where each cell is a contractible homology manifold. In this paper, given a homology manifold M, we aim at constructing a PL-manifold with a cell complex structure, where each cell is an acyclic PL-manifold, which is cellularly equivalent to the canonical cell complex structure of M. We obtain a theorem that, if the dimension n of M is greater than 4 and if the boundary ∂M is a PL-manifold or empty, there is a unique obstruction element in $H_{n-4}(M;\mathcal{H}^3)$, where $\mathcal{H}^3$ is the group of 3-dimensional PL-homology spheres modulo those which are the boundary of an acyclic PL-manifold. If the manifold is compact, the constructed PL-manifold is simple homotopy equivalent to M.

I have heard that similar results have been obtained independently and previously by M. Cohen and D. Sullivan, refer [1] and [9].

I would like to thank Professors V. Poénaru and F. Laudenbach for their kind support.

1. Definition of homology manifold with boundary([1]).

Let K be a locally finite simplicial complex and let σ be a simplex of K. We define the subcomplexes of K as follows.

([1]) We can refer the chapter 5 of the book : C.R.F. Maunder, "Algebraic topology", Van Nostrand, London (1970).

$$St(\sigma, K) = St(\sigma) = \{\tau \in K, \exists \alpha > \tau, \alpha > \sigma\}$$
$$\partial St(\sigma, K) = \partial St(\sigma) = \{\tau \in St(\sigma), \tau \not> \sigma\}$$
$$Lk(\sigma, K) = Lk(\sigma) = \{\tau \in St(\sigma), \tau \cap \sigma = \emptyset\}$$

We write by K', K'', the first and the second barycentric subdivisions of K.

Let M be a locally finite full simplicial complex of dimension n. We say that M is a homology manifold of dimension n if the following equivalent condition holds :

LEMMA 1. – *The followings are equivalent :*

i) *for any simplex* σ *of dimension* p,

$$\tilde{H}_i(Lk(\sigma, M)) = \tilde{H}_i(S^{n-p-1}) \quad \text{or} \quad 0.$$

ii) *for any simplex* σ *of dimension* p,

$$\tilde{H}_i(St(\sigma, M)/\partial St(\sigma, M)) = \tilde{H}_i(S^n) \quad \text{or} \quad 0.$$

iii) *for any point* x *of* $|M|$, *where* $|M|$ *denotes the underlying topological space of* M,

$$H_i(|M|, |M| - x) = \tilde{H}_i(S^n) \quad \text{or} \quad 0.$$

The definition is invariant by the PL-homeomorphism in the category of simplicial complexes.

LEMMA 2. – *For any* p*-simplex* σ *of* M, $Lk(\sigma, M)$ *is a compact* $(n - p - 1)$*-dimensional homology manifold.*

Proof. – It is compact because M is locally finite. Let τ be a q-simplex of $Lk(\sigma, M)$. We have

$$Lk(\tau, Lk(\sigma, M)) = Lk(\tau\sigma, M) .$$

Hence $\tilde{H}_i(Lk(\tau, Lk(\sigma, M))) = \tilde{H}_i(S^{n-p-q-1})$ or 0, which completes the proof.

Let us define the subset ∂M of M by

$$\partial M = \{\sigma \in M \mid \tilde{H}_i(\sigma, M) = 0\}$$

We call it as the boundary of M. If $\partial M = \phi$, the manifold is classical

and the following Poincaré duality is well known (see for example [7 ; (7,4)]).

LEMMA 3. – *Let* M *be an orientable compact n-dimensional homology manifold without boundary. Let* $A_1 \supset A_2$ *be subcomplexes of* M. *Then we have the isomorphism*

$$H^i(A_1, A_2) = H_{n-i}(|M| - |A_2|, |M| - |A_1|).$$

Using this we will prove the followings. By lemma 2, for p-simplex σ, $Lk(\sigma, M)$ is a homology manifold and we can define $\partial Lk(\sigma, M)$.

LEMMA 4. – *If* $\partial Lk(\sigma, M) \neq \emptyset$, $Lk(\sigma, M)$ *is acyclic and* $\partial Lk(\sigma, M)$ *is an* $(n - p - 2)$*-dimensional homology manifold such that*

$$\tilde{H}_i(\partial Lk(\sigma, M)) = \tilde{H}_i(S^{n-p-2}) .$$

PROPOSITION 5. – *If* $\partial M \neq \emptyset$, ∂M *is a subcomplex and is an* $(n - 1)$*-dimensional homology manifold without boundary.*

We prove that lemma 4 for $n = k$ implies proposition 5 for $n = k$ and proposition 5 for $n \leqslant k$ implies lemma 4 for $n = k + 1$. Since lemma 4 holds for $n = 1$, we can continue by induction.

Lemma $4_{n=k} \Rightarrow$ Proposition $5_{n=k}$. Let σ be a p-simplex of ∂M and let $\sigma_0 < \sigma$. Then we can write $\sigma = \sigma_0 \sigma_1$. We have

$$\tilde{H}_*(Lk(\sigma_1, Lk(\sigma_0, M))) = \tilde{H}_*(Lk(\sigma, M)) = 0 ,$$

which shows that $\sigma_1 \in \partial Lk(\sigma_0, M)$ and so $\partial Lk(\sigma_0, M) \neq \emptyset$. By the lemma 4, $Lk(\sigma_0, M)$ is acyclic and it follows that $\sigma_0 \in \partial M$. Hence ∂M is a well-defined subcomplex of M. A q-simplex τ of $Lk(\sigma, M)$ is in $Lk(\sigma, \partial M)$ if and only if $\tilde{H}_i(Lk(\tau\sigma, M)) = 0$. Since

$$Lk(\tau\sigma, M) = Lk(\tau, Lk(\sigma, M)) ,$$

it is equivalent to that τ belongs to $\partial Lk(\sigma, M)$. Hence the complex $Lk(\sigma, \partial M)$ coïncides with $\partial Lk(\sigma, M)$. By lemma 4_k, we have $\tilde{H}_i(\partial Lk(\sigma, M)) = \tilde{H}_i(S^{k-p-2})$, which shows that ∂M is a $(k - 1)$-dimensional homology manifold without boundary.

Proposition $5_{n \leqslant k} \Rightarrow$ Lemma $4_{n=k+1}$. Let M be a homology manifold of dimension $k + 1$. Let σ be a p-simplex of M. By lemma 2,

$Lk(\sigma, M)$ is a homology manifold of dimension $k - p$. By proposition 5 for $n = k - p$, $\partial Lk(\sigma, M)$ is a $(k - p - 1)$-dimensional homology manifold without boundary if it is not empty. Let $2Lk(\sigma, M)$ be the double of $Lk(\sigma, M)$, i.e.,

$$2Lk(\sigma, M) = Lk(\sigma, M) \underset{\partial Lk(\sigma, M)}{\cup} Lk(\sigma, M) .$$

Let τ be a q-simplex of $2Lk(\sigma, M)$. If τ is not a simplex of $\partial Lk(\sigma, M)$, clearly,

$$\tilde{H}_i(Lk(\tau, 2Lk(\sigma, M))) = \tilde{H}_i(Lk(\tau, Lk(\sigma, M))) = \tilde{H}_i(S^{k-p-q-1})$$

If τ is a simplex of $\partial Lk(\sigma, M)$, we have

$$Lk(\tau, 2Lk(\sigma, M)) = Lk(\tau, Lk(\sigma, M)) \underset{Lk(\tau, \partial Lk(\sigma, M))}{\cup} Lk(\tau, Lk(\sigma, M)) .$$

By definition $\tilde{H}_i(Lk(\tau, Lk(\sigma, M))) = 0$ and by the proposition 5 for $n = k - p - 1$, we have

$$\tilde{H}_i(Lk(\tau, \partial Lk(\sigma, M))) = \tilde{H}_i(S^{k-p-q-2}) .$$

Hence in any case $\tilde{H}_i(Lk(\tau, 2Lk(\sigma, M))) = \tilde{H}_i(S^{k-p-q-1})$, which shows that $2Lk(\sigma, M)$ is a $(k - p)$-dimensional homology manifold without boundary. Applying lemma 3, we have

$$H^i(Lk(\sigma, M), \partial Lk(\sigma, M)) = H_{k-p-i}(|Lk(\sigma, M)| - |\partial Lk(\sigma, M)|) .$$

Notice that for any homology manifold M, $H_i(|M| - |\partial M|) = H_i(M)$. Hence $H^i(Lk(\sigma, M), \partial Lk(\sigma, M)) = H_{k-p-i}(S^{k-p})$ or $H_{k-p-i}(pt.)$. But if it is isomorphic to $H_{k-p-i}(S^{k-p})$, we have

$$H^0(Lk(\sigma, M), \partial Lk(\sigma, M)) = Z ,$$

which contradicts to the definition that $\tilde{H}_0(Lk(\sigma, M)) = 0$. Hence $Lk(\sigma, M)$ is acyclic and consequently $\tilde{H}_i(\partial Lk(\sigma, M)) = \tilde{H}_i(S^{k-p-1})$, which completes the proof.

2. Cell decomposition of a homology manifold.

We mean by a homology cell (resp. pseudo homology cell) of dimension n or homology n-cell (resp. pseudo homology n-cell) a

compact contractible (resp. acyclic) homology manifold of dimension n with a boundary, the boundary being a homology sphere but not necessarily simply connected. A (pseudo) homology cell complex is a complex K with a locally finite family of (pseudo) homology cells $C = \{C_\alpha\}$, such that :

i) $K = \cup C_\alpha$

ii) C_α, $C_\beta \in C$ implies ∂C_α, $C_\alpha \cap C_\beta$ are unions of cells in C

iii) If $\alpha \neq \beta$, then $\mathrm{Int}\, C_\alpha \cap \mathrm{Int}\, C_\beta = \emptyset$.

If a homology manifold M has a (pseudo) homology cell complex structure, we call it a (pseudo) cellular decomposition of M. Two (pseudo) homology cell complexes $K = \cup C_\alpha$, $K' = \cup C'_\alpha$ are isomorphic if there exists a bijection $k : C \to C'$ such that both k and k^{-1} are incidence preserving. In such a case we say that they are cellularly equivalent.

Now we have the following :

PROPOSITION 1. — *If two finite homology cell complexes* K, K' *are cellularly equivalent, then they are simple homotopy equivalent.*

We can define a simplicial map $f : K \to K'$ inductively by the dimension of the cells. Hence it is sufficient to prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. — *Let* $A^i_j (j = 1, 2, \ldots, r)$ *be subcomplex of simplicial complexes* B^i *for* $i = 1, 2$ *respectively such that* $B^i = \bigcup_j A^i_j$, *and let* $f : B^1 \to B^2$ *be a simplicial map. For any subset s of* $\{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$, *let* $A^i_s = \bigcap_{j \in s} A^i_j$ *and let* f_s be the restriction of f on A_s. *If* f_s *is a mapping from* A^1_s *to* A^2_s *which is a simple homotopy equivalence for any s, then f itself is a simple homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. — First suppose that $r = 2$. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \to C_*(A^i_1) \to C_*(B^i) \to C_*(A^i_1 \cap A^i_2)) \to 0$$

of the chain complexes. Let $g : A^1_2/(A^1_1 \cap A^1_2) \to A^2_2/(A^2_1 \cap A^2_2)$ be the map induced by f and let us denote by $w(\ )$ the Whitehead torsion. Then by theorem 10 of [8], we have

$$w(f) = w(f_{\{1\}}) + w(g) .$$

Remark here that f and g can easily be seen to be homotopy equivalences. Further we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to C_*(A_1^i \cap A_2^i) \to C_*(A_2^i) \to C_*(A_2^i/(A_1^i \cap A_2^i)) \to 0$$

which shows that

$$w(f_{\{2\}}) = w(f_{\{1,2\}}) + w(g) .$$

Since $w(f_{\{1\}}) = w(f_{\{2\}}) = w(f_{\{1,2\}}) = 0$, we have $w(f) = 0$. If $r \geqslant 3$, we can repeat this argument, which shows that f is a simple homotopy equivalence for any r.

Now let σ be a simplex of a locally finite simplicial complex K. We denote by $b_\sigma \in K'$ its barycenter. We define dualcomplex $D(\sigma)$ and its subcomplex $\delta D(\sigma)$ which are subcomplexes of K' by

$$D(\sigma) = D(\sigma, K) = \{b_{\sigma_0} \cdots b_{\sigma_r} \mid \sigma < \sigma_0 < \cdots < \sigma_r \in K\}$$

$$\delta D(\sigma) = \delta D(\sigma, K) = \{b_{\sigma_0} \cdots b_{\sigma_r} \mid \sigma \lneq \sigma_0 < \cdots < \sigma_r \in K\}$$

The followings are easy to see.

i) if $\sigma < \sigma' \Rightarrow D(\sigma) \supset D(\sigma')$

ii) $D(\sigma) = b_\sigma * \delta D(\sigma)$

iii) $\delta D(\sigma) = \bigcup_\tau D(\tau)$ where $\tau > \sigma$ and $\tau \neq \sigma$

iv) $\delta D(\sigma)$ is isomorphic to $Lk(\sigma, K)'$.

Let M be a homology manifold. For each simplex

$$\sigma = b_{\sigma_0} b_{\sigma_1} \cdots b_{\sigma_r}$$

of M', where $\sigma_0^{n_0} < \sigma_1^{n_1} < \cdots < \sigma_r^{n_r}$ are a set of simplexes of M, we have the duall cell $D(\sigma, M')$. It is a compact homology manifold by lemma 2 of § 1. Further we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta D(\sigma, M') &\cong Lk(\sigma, M) \\
&\cong Lk(\sigma, \sigma_r) * Lk(\sigma_r, M) \\
&\cong S^{n_r - r - 1} * Lk(\sigma_r, M) \\
&\cong Lk(\sigma_r, M) \times D^{n_r - r} \cup (Lk(\sigma_r, M) * (pt.)) \times S^{n_r - r - 1} ,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\cong$ denotes that both sides are PL-homeomorphic and let

$$d_\sigma : \delta D(\sigma, M') \to Lk(\sigma_r, M) \times D^{n_r - r} \cup (Lk(\sigma_r, M) * (pt.)) \times S^{n_r - r - 1}$$

be the PL-homeomorphism, which we call the trivialization of $\delta D(\sigma, M')$. If σ is not in ∂M, $\delta D(\sigma, M')$ is a homology manifold whose homology groups are isomorphic to those of S^{n-1}, boundary being empty. If $\sigma \in \partial M$, $\delta D(\sigma, M')$ is an acyclic homology manifold with the boundary $Lk(\sigma, \partial M'')$ which is PL-homeomorphic to $\partial Lk(\sigma_r, M) \times D^{n_r - r} \cup (\partial Lk(\sigma_r, M) * (pt.)) \times S^{n_r - r - 1}$. The union $St(\sigma, \partial M'') \cup \delta(\sigma, M') = \partial D(\sigma, M')$ is a homology manifold without boundary whose homology groups are isomorphic to those of S^{n-1}. Hence in any case $D(\sigma, M')$ is a homology cell. The union $\cup D(\sigma, M')$, σ moving all simplexes of M', gives the cellular decomposition of M, which we call the canonical one.

We define the handle M_i of index i by the disjoint union

$$M_i = \cup D(b_{\sigma^{n-i}})$$

where σ changes all $(n - i)$-simplexes of M. We have $\delta D(b_\sigma) = \cup D(\tau)$, where $\sigma < \tau \in M'$, and it gives a cellular decomposition of M_i. We can devide the boundary as $\delta D(b_\sigma) = LD(b_\sigma) \cup HD(b_\sigma)$, which consists of unions of celles attached to the handles of lower indexes and higher indexes. We define them as

$$LD(b_\sigma) = \delta D(b_\sigma) \cap \left(\bigcup_{j<i} M_j \right)$$
$$HD(b_\sigma) = \delta D(b_\sigma) \cap \left(\bigcup_{j>i} M_j \right) .$$

Let $\tau = b_{\tau_0} b_{\tau_1} \ldots b_{\tau_r} \neq \sigma$ be a simplex of M', where

$$\tau_0^{m_0} < \tau_1^{m_1} \cdots < \tau_r^{m_r} \in M .$$

Then $D(\tau) \in LD(b_\sigma)$ if and only if $\tau_r > \sigma$ and $D(\tau) \in HD(b_\sigma)$ if and only if $\tau_0 < \sigma$. It is easy to see that

$$LD(b_\sigma) \cong Lk(\sigma, M) \times D^{n-i}$$
$$HD(b_\sigma) \cong (Lk(\sigma, M) * (pt.)) \times S^{n-i-1} ,$$

and these isomorphism together give the trivialization d_{b_σ} of $\delta D(b_\sigma)$.

Let Δ^{n-i} be the standard $(n-i)$-simplex and let

$$\partial\Delta^{n-i} = S^{n-i-1} = \bigcup_{\alpha} C_{\alpha}$$

be the cell decomposition defined as above, which we call the standard decomposition of S^{n-i-1}. The decomposition

$$HD(b_{\sigma}) = \cup D(\tau) .$$

is equal to the standard product decomposition

$$\{Lk(\sigma, M) * (pt.)\} \times \left(\bigcup_{\alpha} C_{\alpha}\right) .$$

All the cells of $HD(b_{\sigma})$ which is not contained in $LD(b_{\sigma}) \cap HD(b_{\sigma})$ is written as

$$(Lk(\sigma, M) * (pt.)) \times C_{\alpha} .$$

Finally we define $M_{(i)}$ the subcomplex of M composed of handles whose indexes are inferior or equal to i, that is,

$$M_{(i)} = \bigcup_{j \leqslant i} M_j \subset M .$$

Then we have

$$M_{(i)} = M_{(i-1)} \cup M_i$$

attached on $\bigcup_{\sigma} LD(b_{\sigma})$, σ being $(n-i)$-simplexes.

3. PL-homology spheres.

We call an n-dimensional homology manifold whose homology groups are isomorphic to those of S^n a homology n-sphere or homology sphere of dimension n. If it is a PL-manifold, it is called a PL-homology n-sphere.

If dimension is smaller than 3, a homology sphere is the natural sphere. And so any 3-dimensional homology manifold is a PL-manifold. In order to study higher dimensional cases we define the group $\mathcal{H}^3$.

Let X^3 be the set of oriented 3-dimensional PL-homology spheres. Note that any homology sphere is orientable. We say that $H_1^3 \in X^3$ is equivalent to $H_2^3 \in X^3$ if $H_1^3 \# (-H_2^3)$ is the boundary of an acyclic PL-manifold, where $\#$ denotes the connected sum and

$-H_2^3$ is H_2^3 with the orientation inversed. Let $\mathcal{HC}^3 = X^3/\sim$ be the set of equivalence classes. By the connected sum operation, $\mathcal{HC}^3$ is an abelian group. Let G be the binary dodecahedral group. The quotient space S^3/G is a PL-homology sphere whose class in $\mathcal{HC}^3$ is non trivial.

On the contrary, for higher dimensions the following is known [2] [6] [4].

PROPOSITION 1 (Hsiang-Hsiang, Tamura, Kervaire). – *Any* PL-*homology sphere is the boundary of a contractible* PL-*manifold, if the dimension is greater than 3.*

We will prove the followings, where x is a point in S^i, $i \geqslant 1$.

PROPOSITION 2. – *Let* $H^3 \in X^3$, *then* $H^3 \times S^1$ *is the boundary of a* PL-*manifold* K^5 *such that* $H_*(K) \cong H_*(S^1)$ *and the inclusion*

$$j : S^1 \hookrightarrow \{x\} \times S^1 \hookrightarrow H^3 \times S^1 \hookrightarrow K$$

induce an isomorphism of the fundamental groups.

PROPOSITION 3. – *Let* $H^3 \in X^3$ *and let* $i \geqslant 2$. *Then* $H^3 \times S^i$ *is the boundary of a* PL-*manifold* K^{4+i} *such that the inclusion*

$$j : S^i \hookrightarrow \{x\} \times S^i \hookrightarrow H^3 \times S^i \hookrightarrow K$$

induces a homotopy equivalence.

Proof of Proposition 2. – Since any orientable closed 3-dimensional PL-manifold is a boundary of a 4-dimensional parallelizable PL-manifold (See by example [3]), we have a parallelizable PL-manifold L^4 such that $\partial L = H$. By doing surgery we can assume that $\pi_1(L) = 0$. By the Poincaré duality theorem, $H_2(L)$ is free abelian. Let $p : L \times S^1 \to S^1$ be the projection. Then it induces an isomorphism of the fundamental groups. Remark that if we have a manifold K with boundary $H^3 \times S^1$ such that $H_2(K) \cong 0$ and the inclusion $j : S^1 \hookrightarrow K$ induces the isomorphism of the fundamental groups, then, by the Poincaré duality, we have $H_i(K) = 0$ for $i \geqslant 2$. Hence it is sufficient to kill $H_2(L \times S^1)$. Since $H_2(L)$ is free, so is $H_2(L \times S^1)$. We can follow the method of lemma 5.7 of Kervaire-Milnor [5]. Since $\pi_1(L) = 0$, the Hurewicz map of L, $\pi_2(L) \to H_2(L)$, is isomorphic,

and so is the Hurewicz map of $L \times S^1$

$$h : \pi_2(L \times S^1) \to H_2(L \times S^1) .$$

Hence we can represent any element of $H_2(L \times S^1)$ by an embedded sphere. In our case the boundary $\partial(L \times S^1)$ is $H^3 \times S^1$ and it does not satisfy the hypothesis of that lemma. But since we have

$$H_2(\partial(L \times S^1)) = 0 ,$$

the result is the same.

Proof of Proposition 3. – Let K^5 be the 5-dimensional PL-manifold of proposition 2. Attach K with $H^3 \times D^2$ by the identity map on $H^3 \times S^1$. The constructed manifold W^5 is a simply connected PL-homology sphere, and by the generalized Poincaré conjecture, it is the natural sphere S^5. It shows that we can embed H^3 in S^5 with a trivial normal bundle. By composing with the natural embedding $S^5 \hookrightarrow S^{4+i}$, we have an embedding of H^3 in S^{4+i} with the trivial normal bundle. The manifold N which is the complement of the open regular neighbourhood of H^3 in S^{4+i} has $H^3 \times S^i$ as the boundary and the inclusion $j : S^i \hookrightarrow N$ induces an isomorphism of homology groups, hence homotopy equivalence, which completes the proof.

4. An obstruction to constructing PL-manifold.

Let M be a homology manifold of dimension greater than 4. We assume that the boundary ∂M is a PL-manifold if it is not empty. As in § 2, it has the handle decomposition

$$M = M_{(n)} = \bigcup_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant n} M_i$$

which has also the canonical homology cell complex structure. We want to construct a PL-manifold with a pseudo homology cell complex structure which is cellularly equivalent to M. Since $M_{(3)}$ is a PL-manifold, a problem first arises when we attach handles of index 4.

Let σ be an $(n-4)$-simplex in the interior of M. Then $Lk(\sigma, M)$ is a 3-dimensional PL-homology sphere. Connecting σ by a path from

a fixed base point of M, we can give the orientation for the neighbourhood of σ, and hence for $Lk(\sigma, M)$.

Let $Lk(\sigma, M)$ be the class in the group $\mathcal{HC}^3$. To each $(n-4)$-simplex σ of M, we define a function $\lambda(M) : \{(n-4)\text{-simplex}\} \to \mathcal{HC}^3$ by

$$\lambda(M)(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \{Lk(\sigma, M)\} & \text{if} \quad \sigma \in \text{Int. M} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\lambda(M)$ is an element of the chain group $C_{n-4}(M, \mathcal{HC}^3)$. The coefficient may be twisted if the manifold is not orientable.

LEMMA 1. – $\lambda(M)$ *is a cycle.*

Proof. – Let μ be an $(n-5)$-simplex. In the homology 4-sphere $Lk(\mu)$, the complex $\cup\, Lk(\sigma_i) * (x_i)$, where x_i denotes the barycenter of the 1-simplex $b_\mu b_{\sigma_i}$ and the sum extends to all the $(n-4)$-simplexes such that $\sigma_i > \mu$, is a subcomplex whose complement in $Lk(\mu)$ is a PL-manifold. So the connected-summed PL-manifold $\Sigma\, Lk(\sigma_i)$ bounds an acyclic PL-manifold.

Hence $\lambda(M)$ represents an element $\{\lambda(M)\}$ of $H_{n-4}(M, \mathcal{HC}^3)$. Now we have the theorem :

THEOREM. – *Let* M^n *be a homology manifold with the dimension* $n > 4$. *Assume that* ∂M *is a* PL*-manifold if* $\partial M \neq \emptyset$. *If the obstruction class*

$$\{\lambda(M)\} \in H_{n-4}(M, \mathcal{HC}^3)$$

is zero, then there exists a PL*-manifold* N *with a pseudo homology cell decomposition which is cellularly equivalent to* M.

Proof. – Since $\{\lambda(M)\} = 0$, there exists a correspondance

$$g : \{(n-3)\text{-simplex}\} \to \mathcal{HC}^3$$

such that

$$\sum_{\tau_i > \sigma} g(\tau_i) = \{Lk(\sigma, M)\} \in \mathcal{HC}^3 .$$

We will inductively construct PL-manifolds N_p and $N_{(p)} = \bigcup_{q \leqslant p} N_q$ with a pseudo homology cell decomposition $N_p = \cup\, E_\alpha$ where all

pseudo cells are PL-manifolds such that $N_{(p)}$ is cellularly equivalent to $M_{(p)}$.

(a) $p \leqslant 2$. In this case, the manifolds N_p, $N_{(p)}$ and their cells are just equal to M_p, $M_{(p)}$ and their cells. That is, for any j-simplex σ, $j \geqslant n-2$, we define the PL-manifolds as

$$E(b_\sigma) = D(b_\sigma)$$

$$N_p = \cup\{E(b_\sigma) \mid \dim \sigma = n-p\} = \cup\{D(b_\sigma) \mid \dim \sigma = n-p\} = M_p$$

For any simplex $\mu \in M'$ such that $\mu > b_\sigma$, we put

$$E(\mu) = D(\mu) .$$

Hence $\partial E(b_\sigma) = \partial D(b_\sigma) = \cup D(\mu) = \cup E(\mu)$, and $N_{(p)} = M_{(p)}$.

(b) $p = 3$. Let τ_i be an $(n-3)$-simplex. Let H_i^3 be the 3-dimensional PL-homology sphere which represents $g(\tau_i)$ and let K_i be the PL-manifold whose boundary is $H_i^3 \times S^{n-4}$ such that the inclusion $j : S^{n-4} \hookrightarrow K_i$ induces the isomorphisms of the fundamental groups and the homology groups, whose existence is shown by propositions 2 and 3 of § 3. Let $D^3 \subset H_i^3$ be a disc. Then $D^3 \times S^{n-4} \subset \partial K_i$. We have the PL-homeomorphism $\partial D(b_{\tau_i}) = S^2 \times D^{n-3} \cup D^3 \times S^{n-4}$. We define the PL-manifolds $E(b_{\tau_i})$ and N_3 by

$$E(b_{\tau_i}) = D(b_{\tau_i}) \underset{D^3 \times S^{n-4}}{\cup} K_i$$

$$N_3 = \bigcup_i E(b_{\tau_i})$$

where $D(b_{\tau_i})$ is attaced to K_i by the identity map on $D^3 \times S^{n-4}$. It is easy to see that $E(b_{\tau_i})$ is a homology cell. We will give the pseudo cell decomposition for $\partial E(b_{\tau_i})$. First we devide $\partial E(b_{\tau_i})$ as the union $\partial E(b_{\tau_i}) = LE(b_{\tau_i}) \cup HE(b_{\tau_i})$, where

$$LE(b_{\tau_i}) = \partial D(b_{\tau_i}) - D^3 \times D^{n-3}$$

$$HE(b_{\tau_i}) = \partial K_i - D^3 \times S^{n-4} = (H_i^3 - D^3) \times S^{n-4} .$$

Since $LE(b_{\tau_i}) = LD(b_{\tau_i})$, we give the cell decomposition by that of $LD(b_{\tau_i})$. We give the pseudo cell decomposition in the interior of $HE(b_{\tau_i})$ as

$$(H_i^3 - D^3) \times S^{n-4} = (H_i^3 - D^3) \times \left(\bigcup_\alpha C_\alpha\right) = \bigcup_\alpha (H_i^3 - D^3) \times C_\alpha ,$$

where $S^{n-4} = \cup C_\alpha$ is the standard decomposition. These decompositions of $LE(b_{\tau_i})$ and $HE(b_{\tau_i})$ fit together on their intersection and give the decomposition of $\partial E(b_{\tau_i})$, which is clearly cellular equivalent to that of $\partial D(b_{\tau_i})$. For each simplex $\mu > b_{\tau_i}$, $\mu \in M'$, we denote by $E(\mu)$ the pseudo cell of $\partial E(b_{\tau_i})$ which corresponds by the equivalence to $D(\mu) \in \partial D(b_{\tau_i})$. We have $\partial E(b_{\tau_i}) = \cup E(\mu)$. We define $N_{(3)}$ by

$$N_{(3)} = N_{(2)} \cup N_3$$

attached by the identity on $LE(b_{\tau_i})$. $N_{(3)}$ is cellularly equivalent to $M_{(3)}$.

(c) $p = 4$. Let σ be a $(n-4)$-simplex. Let $\cup E(\mu) \subset \partial N_{(3)}$ be the union of pseudo cells such that $b_\sigma < \mu \in M'$, $\mu \neq b_\sigma$. Then by the definition, it is PL-homeomorphic to the PL-manifold

$$(Lk(\sigma) \# \Sigma(-H_i^3)) \times D^{n-4}$$

where H_i^3 represents $g(\tau_i)$ and the sum extends to all $\tau_i > \sigma$.

Since $\{Lk(\sigma)\} = \Sigma\, g(\tau_i)$ in $\mathfrak{H}^3$, the PL-homology 3-sphere

$$H_\sigma^3 = Lk(\sigma) \# \Sigma(-H_i^3)$$

is the boundary of an acyclic PL-manifold W_σ^4. The union

$$W_\sigma^4 \times S^{n-5} \cup H_\sigma^3 \times D^{n-4}$$

is a PL-homology $(n-1)$-sphere. By the proposition 1 of § 3, it is the boundary of a contractible PL-manifold Y_σ. We define the PL-manifolds $E(b_\sigma)$ and N_4 as

$$E(b_\sigma) = Y_\sigma$$
$$N_4 = \cup E(b_\sigma) .$$

Further we define $LE(b_\sigma)$ and $HE(b_\sigma)$ by

$$LE(b_\sigma) = H_\sigma^3 \times D^{n-4}$$
$$HE(b_\sigma) = W_\sigma^4 \times S^{n-5}.$$

The pseudo cellular decomposition for $LE(b_\sigma)$ is already defined and we give for $HE(b_\sigma)$ by the product with the standard decomposition

of S^{n-5}. They give a pseudo cellular decomposition of

$$\partial E(b_\sigma) = LE(b_\sigma) \cup HE(b_\sigma) ,$$

which is cellularly equivalent to that of $\partial D(b_\sigma)$. For each simplex $\mu > b_\sigma$, $\mu \in M'$, we define $E(\mu)$ by the pseudo cell which corresponds to $D(\mu)$ by this equivalence. We define $N_{(4)}$ by $N_{(3)} \cup N_4$ attached by the identity of $LE(b_\sigma)$, which is cellularly equivalent to $M_{(4)}$.

(d) $p \geqslant 5$. Let σ be a j-simplex $j \leqslant n-5$. Let $\cup E(\mu) \subset \partial N_{(n-j-1)}$ be the union of pseudo cells such that $\mu > b_\sigma$, $\mu \neq b_\sigma$. Then by our definition, it is a PL-manifold

$$H_\sigma^{p-1} \times D^{n-p}$$

where H_σ^{p-1} is a PL-homology $(p-1)$-sphere, where $p = n - j$. By the proposition 1 of § 3, H^{p-1} is the boundary of a contractible PL-manifold W_σ^p. We define $E(b_\sigma)$ by

$$E(b_\sigma) = W_\sigma^p \times D^{n-p} .$$

The other definitions are just similar to the case when $p = 4$.

Continuing this process, we obtain a PL-manifold $N = N_{(n)}$ which is cellularly equivalent to $M = M_{(n)}$. Q.E.D.

5. Simple homotopy equivalence.

By the theorem of § 4, for the same M, if the obstruction class is 0, we can construct a PL-manifold N. In this section, we prove the following.

THEOREM. – *If* M *is compact, the constructed manifold* N *is simple homotopy equivalent to* M.

Let $M^{(k)}$ denote the k-skelton of M. Let L be a subcomplex of $M^{(k)}$, we define the PL-submanifold $N^{(L)}$ of N by

$$N^{(L)} = \cup \{E(b_\sigma) \mid \sigma \in L\} .$$

We put

$$N^{(k)} = N^{(M^{(k)})} = \cup \{E(b) \mid \sigma \in M^{(k)}\} .$$

By the induction of k, we prove the stronger

LEMMA 1. – *There exists a simple homotopy equivalence*

$$f : M^{(k)} \to N^{(k)}$$

such that, for any $(k+1)$*-simplex* μ, $f(\partial\mu) \subset N^{(\partial\mu)}$ *and*

$$f/\partial\mu : \partial\mu \to N^{(\partial\mu)}$$

is a simple homotopy equivalence.

Proof. – If $k = 0$, it holds obviously. Now we will prove the lemma for $k+1$ assuming the lemma for k. Let μ be a $(k+1)$-simplex. Since the collar of $\partial\mu$ is PL-homeomorphic to $S^k \times I$, we can write

$$\mu = S^k \times I \cup S^k * (b_\mu)$$

where $S_0^k = S^k \times \{0\} = \partial\mu$ and $S_1^k = S^k \times \{1\} = S^k \times I \cap S^k * (b_\mu)$. Recall that

$$N^{(M^{(k)} \cup \mu)} = N^{(k)} \cup E(b_\mu)$$

$$N^{(k)} \cap E(b_\mu) = N^{(\partial\mu)} \cap E(b_\mu) = HE(b_\mu) = W_\mu^{n-k-1} \times S^k$$

where W_μ^{n-k-1} is an acyclic (or contractible) PL-manifold. Let x be a point in the interior of W_μ and let $d : S^k \to W_\mu \times S^k$ be the embedding defined by $d(S^k) = \{x\} \times S^k$. We define a map

$$\tilde{f} : S_0^k \cup S_1^k \to N^{(k)}$$

by

$$\tilde{f} \mid S_0^k = f$$

$$\tilde{f} \mid S_1^k = d .$$

Since $\tilde{f} \mid \partial M$ gives a simple homotopy equivalence $\partial\mu \to N^{(\partial\mu)}$, $N^{(\partial\mu)}$ is homotopy equivalent to S^k, and so $\tilde{f} \mid S_0^k$ and $\tilde{f} \mid S_1^k$ are homotopic. Hence we can extend $\tilde{f}$ on $S^k \times I$. Further since $E(b_\mu)$ is contractible, we can extend $\tilde{f}$ to a map from $\mu = S^k \times I \cup S^k * (b_\mu)$ to $N^{(M^{(k)} \cup \mu)}$. By the definition, f and $\tilde{f}$ coïncide on $\partial\mu$, and so we have a map

$$g = f \cup \tilde{f} : M^{(k)} \cup \mu \to N^{(M^{(k)} \cup \mu)} .$$

Repeating this for all $(k+1)$-simplexes of M, we obtain a map $g : M^{(k+1)} \to N^{(k+1)}$. We have the exact sequences of chain groups,

$$0 \to C_*(M^{(k)}) \to C_*(M^{(k+1)}) \to \Sigma\, C_*(\mu/\partial\mu) \to 0$$

$$0 \to C_*(N^{(k)}) \to C_*(N^{(k+1)}) \to \Sigma\, C_*(E(b_\mu)/HE(b_\mu)) \to 0 ,$$

where we regard them as $\mathbf{Z}\pi_1(M^{(k+1)}) = \mathbf{Z}\pi_1(N^{(k+1)})$-modules.

The map g induces f_* on the first elements and $id.*$ on the third elements. Since they are chain equivalences with trivial Whitehead torsion, so is g_* by [8]. Hence g is a simple homotopy equivalence. It is easy to see that, for any $(k+2)$-simplex τ, g induce a simple homotopy equivalence

$$g \mid \partial\tau : \partial\tau \to N^{(\partial\tau)} .$$

Q.E.D.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

[1] M.C. COHEN, Homeomorphisms between homotopy manifolds and their resolutions, *Inventions Math.* 10 (1970), 239-250.

[2] W.C. HSIANG et W.Y. HSIANG, Differentiable actions of compact connected classical groups I, *Amer. J. Math.* 89 (1967), 705-786.

[3] M. KERVAIRE, Les noeuds de dimensions supérieures, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 93 (1965), 225-271.

[4] M. KERVAIRE, Smooth homology spheres and their fundamental groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 144 (1969), 67-72.

[5] M. KERVAIRE et J. MILNOR, Groups of homotopy spheres I, *Ann. Math.* 77 (1963), 504-537.

[6] I. TAMURA, Variety of manifolds (in Japanese), Sûgaku 21 (1969), 275-285.

[7] G.W. WHITEHEAD, Generalized homology theory, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 102 (1962), 227-283.

[8] J.H.C. WHITEHEAD, Simple homotopy types, *Amer. J. Math.* 72 (1950), 1-57.

[9] D. SULLIVAN, Geometric periodicity and the invariants of manifolds, *Lecture Notes in Math.* Springer Verlag 197, 44-75.

Manuscrit reçu le 7 juillet 1971
accepté par M. Brelot

Hajime SATO
Mathematical Institute
Tôhoku University
Sendai (Japon)