
Bull. Soc. Math. France **147** (3), 2019, p. 443-453

# SECONDARY CHARACTERISTIC CLASSES OF LIE ALGEBRA EXTENSIONS



ABSTRACT. — We introduce the notion of secondary characteristic classes of Lie algebra extensions. As an application of our construction we obtain a new proof of Lecomte's generalization of the Chern-Weil homomorphism.

RÉSUMÉ (Classes caractéristique secondaries d'extensions d'algèbre de Lie). — Nous introduisons une notion de classes caractéristiques secondaires d'extensions d'algèbre de Lie. Comme une application nous obtenons une nouvelle preuve de la généralisation par Lecomte de l'homomorphisme de Chern-Weil.

## 1. Introduction

Characteristic classes are topological invariants of principal bundles and vector bundles associated with principal bundles. The theory of characteristic classes was started in the 1930s by Stiefel and Whitney. Stiefel studied certain homology classes of the tangent bundle TM of a smooth manifold M, while Whitney considered the case of an arbitrary sphere bundle and introduced the concept of a characteristic cohomology class. In the next decade, Pontryagin constructed important new characteristic classes by studying the homology of real Grassman manifolds and Chern defined characteristic classes for complex vector bundles. Nowadays, characteristic classes are an important tool for many disciplines such as analysis, geometry and modern physics. For example, they

Texte reçu le 16 août 2018, accepté le 25 octobre 2018.

STEFAN WAGNER, Blekinge Tekniska Högskola • E-mail: stefan.wagner@bth.se

Mathematical subject classification (2010). — 17B56, 53A55, 18A05.

Key words and phrases. — secondary characteristic class, Lie algebra extension.

provide a way to measure the non-triviality of a principal bundle respectively, and the non-triviality of an associated vector bundle. The Chern-Weil homomorphism of a principal bundle is an algebra homomorphism from the algebra of polynomials invariant under the adjoint action of a Lie group G on the corresponding Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , into the even De Rham cohomology  $H^{2\bullet}_{\mathrm{dR}}(M,\mathbb{K})$  of the base space M of a principal bundle P with structure group G. This map is achieved by evaluating an invariant polynom f of degree k on the curvature  $\Omega$  of a connection  $\omega$  on P and thus obtaining a closed form on the base.

In [4] Lecomte described a cohomological construction which generalizes the classical Chern-Weil homomorphism. In fact, Lecomte's construction associates characteristic classes to every Lie algebra extension and the classical construction of Chern and Weil arises in this context from the Lie algebra extension known as the *Atiyah sequence* of a principal bundle.

In the 1970s, another set of characteristic classes called the *secondary characteristic classes* has been discovered. These classes are also global invariants of principal bundles and are derived in a similar way as the primary characteristic classes from the curvature of adequate connection 1-forms. Secondary characteristic classes appear for example in the Lagrangian formulation of modern quantum field theories and the most well-known might be the so-called *Chern-Simons classes* (see e. g. [2, 1, 5] and references therein).

In this note we investigate secondary characteristic classes in the context of Lie algebra extensions which also provides a new proof of Lecomte's generalization of the Chern-Weil homomorphism. Moreover, we would like to point out that our construction may be used to associate characteristic classes to split Lie algebra extensions, that is, to semidirect products of Lie algebras, because in this situation the primary characteristic classes vanish. From this perspective our results nicely complement the Lie algebraic theory of characteristic classes. This paper is organized as follows.

After this introduction and some preliminaries, we pave the way in Section 3 for our main results and we give a short overview over Lecomte's generalization of the Chern-Weil homomorphism. Section 4 is finally devoted to our main purpose of investigating secondary characteristic classes of Lie algebra extensions. To be more precise, we first introduce the so-called *Bott-Lecomte* homomorphism and show that this map, in fact, gives rise to classes in Lie algebra cohomology (Theorem 4.1). As an application of our construction we obtain a new proof of Lecomte's generalization of the Chern-Weil homomorphism (Corollary 4.2 and Corollary 4.3). Finally, we introduce a notion of secondary characteristic classes of Lie algebra extensions and conclude with discussing characteristic classes of the oscillator algebra.

## 2. Preliminaries and notations

In this section we provide the most important definitions and notations of Lie algebra cohomology which are repeatedly used in this article. For a detailed background on Lie algebra cohomology we refer, for example, to [3, 1, 6].

Alternating and symmetric maps. — Let V, W be vector spaces and  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We call a p-linear map  $f: W^p \to V$  alternating if  $f(w_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, w_{\sigma(p)}) = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \cdot f(w_1, \ldots, w_p)$  for all  $w_1, \ldots, w_p \in W$  and all permutations  $\sigma \in S_p$ . Furthermore, we write  $\operatorname{Alt}^p(W, V)$  for the space of alternating p-linear maps  $W^p \to V$ . Given a p-linear map  $f: W^p \to V$ , it is easily checked that

$$\mathrm{Alt}(f) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) \cdot f^{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Alt}^p(W, V),$$

where  $f^{\sigma}(w_1,\ldots,w_p):=f(w_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,w_{\sigma(p)})$ . The symmetric p-linear maps  $W^p\to V$  are defined accordingly and denoted by  $\operatorname{Sym}^p(W,V)$ . We will sometimes consider an element  $f\in\operatorname{Sym}^p(W,V)$  as a linear map on the symmetric tensor product  $S^p(W)$ , that is, as a map  $\tilde{f}:S^p(W)\to V$  such that  $\tilde{f}(w_1\otimes_s\cdots\otimes_s w_p)=f(w_1,\ldots,w_p)$  for all  $w_1,\ldots,w_p\in W$ .

The wedge product. — Suppose that  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $V_i$ , i = 1, 2, 3, are vector spaces and that  $m: V_1 \times V_2 \to V_3$ ,  $(v_1, v_2) \mapsto v_1 \cdot_m v_2$  is a bilinear map. For  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Alt}^p(\mathfrak{g}, V_1)$  and  $\beta \in \operatorname{Alt}^q(\mathfrak{g}, V_2)$  we define the wedge product  $\alpha \wedge_m \beta \in \operatorname{Alt}^{p+q}(\mathfrak{g}, V_3)$  by putting

$$\alpha \wedge_m \beta := \frac{1}{p!q!} \operatorname{Alt}(\alpha \cdot_m \beta),$$

where  $(\alpha \cdot_m \beta)(x_1, \dots, x_{p+q}) := \alpha(x_1, \dots, x_p) \cdot_m \beta(x_{p+1}, \dots, x_{p+q})$ . The wedge products  $\wedge_{\otimes_s}$  induced by the canonical multiplications  $S^p(\mathfrak{g}) \times S^q(\mathfrak{g}) \to S^{p+q}(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $(x,y) \mapsto x \otimes_s y$  will be of particular interest to us.

The Chevalley-Eilenberg complex. — Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and V a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. For  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we denote the space of alternating p-linear mappings  $\mathfrak{g}^p \to V$  by  $C^p(\mathfrak{g},V) := \mathrm{Alt}^p(\mathfrak{g},V)$  and call its elements p-cochains. We use the convention  $C^0(\mathfrak{g},V) = V$  and observe that  $C^1(\mathfrak{g},V) = \mathrm{Lin}(\mathfrak{g},V)$  is the space of linear maps  $\mathfrak{g} \to V$ . We also consider

$$C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, V) := \bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} C^p(\mathfrak{g}, V).$$

On each  $C^p(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  we define the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential  $= d_{\mathfrak{g}}$  by

$$d_{\mathfrak{g}}\alpha(x_0,\ldots,x_p) := \sum_{j=0}^p (-1)^j x_j.\alpha(x_0,\ldots,\widehat{x}_j,\ldots,x_p)$$
  
+ 
$$\sum_{i< j} (-1)^{i+j} \alpha([x_i,x_j],x_0,\ldots,\widehat{x}_i,\ldots,\widehat{x}_j,\ldots,x_p),$$

where  $\widehat{x}_j$  means that  $x_j$  is omitted. Observe that the right hand side defines for each  $\alpha \in C^p(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  an element of  $C^{p+1}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  because it is alternating. Putting all differentials together, we obtain a linear map  $d_{\mathfrak{g}}: C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, V) \to C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ . The elements of the subspace  $Z^p(\mathfrak{g}, V) := \ker(d_{\mathfrak{g}|C^p(\mathfrak{g}, V)})$  are called p-cocycles, and the elements of the spaces

$$B^p(\mathfrak{g}, V) := d_{\mathfrak{g}}(C^{p-1}(\mathfrak{g}, V))$$
 and  $B^0(\mathfrak{g}, V) := \{0\}$ 

are called *p-coboundaries*. It is easily checked that  $d_{\mathfrak{g}}^2 = 0$  which implies that  $B^p(\mathfrak{g}, V) \subseteq Z^p(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ , so that it makes sense to define the  $p^{\text{th}}$ -cohomology space of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with values in the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module V, that is,  $H^p(\mathfrak{g}, V) := Z^p(\mathfrak{g}, V)/B^p(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ .

Covariant derivatives and curvature. — Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and V a vector space, considered as a trivial  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. Given a linear map  $S:\mathfrak{g}\to \operatorname{End}(V)$  and  $p\in\mathbb{N}_0$ , we write  $d_S:C^p(\mathfrak{g},V)\to C^{p+1}(\mathfrak{g},V)$  for the corresponding *covariant derivative* defined by

$$d_S \alpha(x_0, \dots, x_p) := \sum_{j=0}^p (-1)^j S(x_j) \cdot \alpha(x_0, \dots, \widehat{x}_j, \dots, x_p)$$
$$+ \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \alpha([x_i, x_j], x_0, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, \widehat{x}_j, \dots, x_p).$$

The formula shows that if S is a Lie algebra homomorphism, then  $d_S$  is the Lie algebra differential corresponding to the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module structure on V given by S. Moreover, given a bilinear map  $m: V \times V \to V$  and a linear map  $S: \mathfrak{g} \to \operatorname{der}(V, m)$ , we have for  $\alpha \in C^p(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  and  $\beta \in C^q(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  the relation

(1) 
$$d_S(\alpha \wedge_m \beta) = d_S \alpha \wedge_m \beta + (-1)^p \alpha \wedge_m d_S \beta.$$

If, finally, V is also a Lie algebra and  $\sigma \in C^1(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ , we denote by  $R_{\sigma} \in C^2(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  the corresponding curvature which is defined by  $R_{\sigma}(x, y) = [\sigma(x), \sigma(y)] - \sigma([x, y])$ . It follows from [6, Prop. I.8] that  $R_{\sigma}$  satisfies the abstract Bianchi identity  $d_S R_{\sigma} = 0$ , where  $S := \operatorname{ad} \circ \sigma$ . That is,

$$\sum_{\text{cyc.}} [\sigma(x), R_{\sigma}(y, z)] - R_{\sigma}([x, y], z) = 0 \qquad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

## 3. Lecomte's generalization of the Chern-Weil map

In this short section we pave the way for our main result and we give a short overview over Lecomte's generalization of the Chern-Weil homomorphism. Indeed, let

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{n} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \stackrel{q}{\longrightarrow} \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow 0$$

be a Lie algebra extension and V a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module which we also consider as a  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module with respect to the action x.v := q(x).v for  $x \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $v \in V$ . Furthermore, let  $\sigma : \mathfrak{g} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  be a linear section of q and  $R_{\sigma} \in C^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{n})$  the corresponding curvature. Given  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $f \in \operatorname{Sym}^p(\mathfrak{n}, V)$ , we put

$$f_{\sigma} = \tilde{f} \circ (R_{\sigma} \wedge_{\otimes_s} \cdots \wedge_{\otimes_s} R_{\sigma}) \in C^{2p}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$$

(cf. Section 2). Moreover, we write  $\operatorname{Sym}^p(\mathfrak{n},V)^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}$  for the set of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -invariant symmetric p-linear maps, that is, the set

$$\left\{ f \in \operatorname{Sym}^p(\mathfrak{n}, V) \mid x. f(y_1, \dots, y_p) = \sum_{i=1}^p f(y_1, \dots, S(x)y_i, \dots, y_p) \qquad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g} \right\},\,$$

where  $S: \mathfrak{g} \to \operatorname{der}(\mathfrak{n})$  denotes the linear map defined by  $S(x) := \operatorname{ad}(\sigma(x))$ . Lecomte's generalization of the Chern-Weil map then reads as follows:

THEOREM 3.1. — (Lecomte [4, Thm. 2.3])

(a) For each  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , there is a natural map

$$C_p: \operatorname{Sym}^p(\mathfrak{n}, V)^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}} \to H^{2p}(\mathfrak{g}, V), \quad f \mapsto \frac{1}{p!} [f_{\sigma}],$$

which is independent of the choice of the section  $\sigma$ .

(b) Suppose, in addition, that  $m_V: V \times V \to V$  is an associative multiplication and that  $\mathfrak g$  acts on V by derivations, i.e.,  $m_V$  is  $\mathfrak g$ -invariant. Then  $(C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak g, V), \wedge_{m_V})$  is an associative algebra, inducing an algebra structure on  $H^{\bullet}(\mathfrak g, V)$ . Further,  $(\operatorname{Sym}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak n, V), \vee_{m_V})$  is an associative algebra, and the maps  $(C_p)_{p \in \mathbb N_0}$  combine to an algebra homomorphism

$$C: \operatorname{Sym}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{n}, V)^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}} \to H^{2\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, V).$$

## 4. Secondary characteristic classes of Lie algebra extensions

In this section we finally introduce a notion of secondary characteristic classes of Lie algebra extensions. As a spin-off of our construction we obtain another proof for Lecomte's generalization of the Chern-Weil homomorphism.

In the following we write  $D_n$  for the vertical projection of the standard n-simplex

$$\Delta_n := \left\{ (t_0, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid t_i \ge 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \le i \le n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^n t_i = 1 \right\}$$

onto the space  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x_0 = 0\}$ . Then it is easily checked that the map

$$\varphi: D_n \to \Delta_n, \quad (0, t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i, t_1, \dots, t_n\right)$$

is a parametrization of  $\Delta_n$  and therefore we have

$$\int_{\Delta_n} FdO = \int_{D_n} (F \circ \varphi) \underbrace{\sqrt{\det(d\varphi^T \cdot d\varphi)}}_{:=c_n} d\lambda_n$$

for every smooth function  $F \in C^{\infty}(\Delta_n)$ . We continue with a Lie algebra extension

$$(2) 0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{n} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \stackrel{q}{\longrightarrow} \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow 0$$

and a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module V which we also consider as a  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module with respect to the action x.v := q(x).v for  $x \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $v \in V$ . Furthermore, let  $\sigma_0, \ldots, \sigma_n \in C^1(\mathfrak{g}, \widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  be linear sections of q. For each  $t \in \Delta_n$  we define a linear section  $\sigma_t \in C^1(\mathfrak{g}, \widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  of q by

$$\sigma_t(x) := \sum_{i=0}^n t_i \cdot \sigma_i(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}$$

and we write  $R_t := R_{\sigma_t} \in C^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{n})$  for the corresponding curvature. Given  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and a symmetric p-linear map  $f \in \operatorname{Sym}^p(\mathfrak{n}, V)^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}$ , we also define

$$\Delta_f(\sigma_0,\ldots,\sigma_n) := \frac{1}{c_n} \cdot \int_{\Delta_n} f_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n,R_t,\ldots,R_t} dO \in C^{2p-n}(\mathfrak{g},V),$$

where  $\alpha_i := \sigma_i - \sigma_0 \in C^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{n})$  and where

$$f_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n,R_t,\ldots,R_t} := \tilde{f} \circ (\alpha_1 \wedge_{\otimes_s} \cdots \wedge_{\otimes_s} \alpha_n \wedge_{\otimes_s} R_t \wedge_{\otimes_s} \cdots \wedge_{\otimes_s} R_t)$$

(cf. Section 2). In this way we obtain a map

$$\Delta(\sigma_0,\ldots,\sigma_n): \operatorname{Sym}^p(\mathfrak{n},V)^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}} \to C^{2p-n}(\mathfrak{g},V), \quad f \mapsto \Delta_f(\sigma_0,\ldots,\sigma_n)$$

which extends to the so-called Bott-Lecomte homomorphism

$$\Delta(\sigma_0,\ldots,\sigma_n): \operatorname{Sym}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{n},V)^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}} \to C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g},V).$$

We point out that for n=0 this map is actually an algebra homomorphism. We are now ready to state and prove our main result which gives an important relation between the cochains  $\Delta_f$ .

Theorem 4.1. — For  $f \in \operatorname{Sym}^k(\mathfrak{n}, V)^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}$  we have

$$(k-n+1)\cdot d(\Delta_f(\sigma_0,\ldots,\sigma_n)) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \Delta_f(\sigma_0,\ldots,\widehat{\sigma}_i,\ldots,\sigma_n),$$

where  $\widehat{\sigma}_i$  means that  $\sigma_i$  is omitted.

*Proof.* — For the sake of legibility we divide the proof into several parts.

1. For  $t \in \Delta_n$  and  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  let  $S_t(x) := \operatorname{ad}(\sigma_t(x))$ . A short computation then yields

$$(p-n+1) \cdot d_{\mathfrak{g}}(\Delta_{f}(\sigma_{0},\ldots,\sigma_{n})) = \frac{p-n+1}{c_{n}} \cdot \int_{\Delta_{n}} d_{\mathfrak{g}} f_{\alpha_{1},\ldots,\alpha_{n},R_{t},\ldots,R_{t}} dO$$

$$= \frac{p-n+1}{c_{n}} \cdot \int_{\Delta_{n}} d_{\mathfrak{g}}(\tilde{f} \circ (\alpha_{1} \wedge_{\otimes_{s}} \cdots \wedge_{\otimes_{s}} \alpha_{n} \wedge_{\otimes_{s}} R_{t} \wedge_{\otimes_{s}} \cdots \wedge_{\otimes_{s}} R_{t})) dO$$

$$= \frac{p-n+1}{c_{n}} \cdot \int_{\Delta_{n}} \tilde{f} \circ (d_{S_{t}}(\alpha_{1} \wedge_{\otimes_{s}} \cdots \wedge_{\otimes_{s}} \alpha_{n} \wedge_{\otimes_{s}} R_{t} \wedge_{\otimes_{s}} \cdots \wedge_{\otimes_{s}} R_{t})) dO.$$

Since  $d_{S_t}\alpha_i=\frac{d}{dt_i}R_t$ , the abstract Bianchi identity  $d_{S_t}R_t=0$  and Equation (1) imply that

$$d_{S_t}(\alpha_1 \wedge_{\otimes_s} \cdots \wedge_{\otimes_s} \alpha_n \wedge_{\otimes_s} R_t \wedge_{\otimes_s} \cdots \wedge_{\otimes_s} R_t)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \alpha_1 \wedge_{\otimes_s} \cdots \wedge_{\otimes_s} \frac{d}{dt_i} R_t \wedge_{\otimes_s} \cdots \wedge_{\otimes_s} \alpha_n \wedge_{\otimes_s} R_t \wedge_{\otimes_s} \cdots \wedge_{\otimes_s} R_t.$$

We therefore conclude that

$$(p-n+1) \cdot d_{\mathfrak{g}}(\Delta_f(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \frac{1}{c_n} \cdot \int_{\Delta_n} \frac{d}{dt_i} f_{\alpha_1, \dots, \widehat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_n, R_t, \dots, R_t} dO.$$

2. Given  $1 \le i \le n$  and  $t = (t_0, \dots, 0, \dots, t_n) \in \Delta_n^i$  (the *i*-th face of  $\Delta_n$ ), consider

$$(\sigma_t)_i := \sum_{j \in \{0, \dots, \widehat{i}, \dots, n\}} t_j \cdot \sigma_j \in C^1(\mathfrak{g}, \widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$$

and the corresponding curvature  $(R_t)_i$ . Furthermore, let

$$s_i := \sum_{j \in \{1, \dots, \widehat{i}, \dots, n\}} t_j.$$

Then it is trivial to show that

$$R_{t|t_i=0} = (R_t)_i$$
 and  $R_{t|t_i=1-s_i} = (R_t)_0$ .

Consequently, we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{c_n} \cdot \int_{\Delta_n} \frac{d}{dt_i} f_{\alpha_1, \dots, \widehat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_n, R_t, \dots, R_t} dO = \int_{D_n} \frac{d}{dt_i} f_{\alpha_1, \dots, \widehat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_n, R_t, \dots, R_t} d\lambda_n \\ &= \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^{1-s_i} \frac{d}{dt_i} f_{\alpha_1, \dots, \widehat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_n, R_t, \dots, R_t} dt_i \cdot dt_1 \dots d\widehat{t}_i \dots dt_n \\ &= \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^{1-s_i+t_1} [f_{\alpha_1, \dots, \widehat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_n, R_t, \dots, R_t}]_0^{1-s_i} dt_1 \dots d\widehat{t}_i \dots dt_n \\ &= \frac{1}{c_{n-1}} \cdot \int_{\Delta_n^i} f_{\alpha_1, \dots, \widehat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_n, (R_t)_0, \dots, (R_t)_0} dO - \frac{1}{c_{n-1}} \\ &\cdot \int_{\Delta_n^i} f_{\alpha_1, \dots, \widehat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_n, (R_t)_i, \dots, (R_t)_i} dO \\ &= \frac{1}{c_{n-1}} \cdot \int_{\Delta_n^i} f_{\alpha_1, \dots, \widehat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_n, (R_t)_0, \dots, (R_t)_0} dO - \Delta_f(\sigma_0, \dots, \widehat{\sigma}_i, \dots, \sigma_n). \end{split}$$

3. Summing up our results so far, we have established the following equation:

$$(p-n+1) \cdot d(\Delta_f(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_n))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \frac{1}{c_{n-1}} \cdot \int_{\Delta_n^i} f_{\alpha_1, \dots, \widehat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_n, (R_t)_0, \dots, (R_t)_0} dO$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \Delta_f(\sigma_0, \dots, \widehat{\sigma}_i, \dots, \sigma_n).$$

It therefore remains to show that

$$\Delta_f(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \frac{1}{c_{n-1}} \cdot \int_{\Delta_n^i} f_{\alpha_1, \dots, \widehat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_n, R_{t_0}, \dots, R_{t_0}} dO,$$

where

$$\Delta_f(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) = \frac{1}{c_{n-1}} \cdot \underbrace{\int_{\Delta_{n-1}}}_{\cong \Delta_n^i} f_{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n - \alpha_1, R_{t_0}, \dots, R_{t_0}} dO.$$

4. To verify the claim first recall that  $f_{\alpha_2-\alpha_1,...,\alpha_n-\alpha_1,R_{t_0},...,R_{t_0}}$  is equal to

$$\tilde{f} \circ ((\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) \wedge_{\otimes_s} \cdots \wedge_{\otimes_s} (\alpha_n - \alpha_1) \wedge_{\otimes_s} R_{t_0} \wedge_{\otimes_s} \cdots \wedge_{\otimes_s} R_{t_0}).$$

Moreover, note that  $\alpha \wedge_{\otimes_s} \alpha = 0$  holds for every  $\alpha \in C^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{n})$  by the symmetry of the wedge product  $\wedge_{\otimes_s}$ . A short calculation then shows

$$(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) \wedge_{\otimes_s} \cdots \wedge_{\otimes_s} (\alpha_n - \alpha_1) \wedge_{\otimes_s} R_{t_0} \wedge_{\otimes_s} \cdots \wedge_{\otimes_s} R_{t_0}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{i+1} \alpha_1 \wedge_{\otimes_s} \cdots \wedge_{\otimes_s} \widehat{\alpha}_i \wedge_{\otimes_s} \cdots \wedge_{\otimes_s} \alpha_n \wedge_{\otimes_s} R_{t_0}, \cdots \wedge_{\otimes_s} R_{t_0}$$

which in turn yields the desired formula

$$f_{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n - \alpha_1, R_{t_0}, \dots, R_{t_0}} = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} f_{\alpha_1, \dots, \widehat{\alpha_i}, \dots, \alpha_n, R_{t_0}, \dots, R_{t_0}}$$

and therefore finally completes the proof.

Applying Theorem 4.1 in the cases n = 0, 1 yields the following corollaries:

COROLLARY 4.2. — For n = 0 we have  $d_{\mathfrak{g}}(\Delta_f(\sigma)) = 0$ . Hence, the cochain  $\Delta_f(\sigma)$  is a cocycle and defines an element of  $H^{2p}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ . Furthermore, for each  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$  the relative Bott-Lecomte homomorphism induces a map

$$[\Delta(\sigma)]: \operatorname{Sym}^p(\mathfrak{n}, V)^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}} \to H^{2p}(\mathfrak{g}, V), \quad f \mapsto [\Delta_f(\sigma)].$$

COROLLARY 4.3. — For n = 1 and  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we have

$$p \cdot d_{\mathfrak{g}}(\Delta_f(\sigma_0, \sigma_1)) = \Delta_f(\sigma_0) - \Delta_f(\sigma_1) \in C^{2p}(\mathfrak{g}, V).$$

In particular, we have  $[\Delta_f(\sigma_0)] = [\Delta_f(\sigma_1)] \in H^{2p}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  and hence the map  $[\Delta(\sigma)]$  of Corollary 4.2 is independent of the connection  $\sigma_0$  and is, in fact, the homomorphism described in Theorem 3.1.

With Corollary 4.3 available we are now ready to define secondary characteristic classes in the following way: For linear sections  $\sigma, \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in C^1(\mathfrak{g}, \widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  of q first consider the spaces

$$\operatorname{Sym}^p(\mathfrak{n},V)^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}_{(\sigma)}:=\{f\in\operatorname{Sym}^p(\mathfrak{n},V)^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}\,|\,f_\sigma=0\}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Sym}^p(\mathfrak{n},V)^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}_{(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)}:=\operatorname{Sym}^p(\mathfrak{n},V)^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}_{(\sigma_1)}\cap\operatorname{Sym}^p(\mathfrak{n},V)^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}_{(\sigma_2)}.$$

Given  $f \in \operatorname{Sym}^p(\mathfrak{n}, V)^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}_{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)}$ , it then follows from Corollary 4.3 that  $d_{\mathfrak{g}}(\Delta_f(\sigma_0, \sigma_1)) = 0$ . Therefore, the cochain  $\Delta_f(\sigma_0, \sigma_1)$  actually defines a cohomology class in  $H^{2p-1}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ .

DEFINITION 4.4. — We call  $[\Delta_f(\sigma_0, \sigma_1)] \in H^{2p-1}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  the secondary characteristic class of the Lie algebra extension in Equation (2) associated with the triple  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, f)$ .

Note that secondary characteristic classes may be used to associate characteristic classes to split Lie algebra extensions, that is, to semidirect product constructions, because in this situation the primary characteristic classes vanish. We therefore conclude with the following example.

EXAMPLE 4.5. — For the convenience of the reader we present the example divided into several parts.

- 1. Let  $\mathfrak{h}_3(\mathbb{R})$  be the three-dimensional Heisenberg algebra, that is, the three-dimensional vector space with the basis p,q,z equipped with the skew-symmetric bracket determined by [p,q]=z and [p,z]=[q,z]=0. It is easily checked that the linear endomorphism D of  $\mathfrak{h}_3(\mathbb{R})$  defined by Dz=0, Dp=q and Dq=-p is actually a derivation of  $\mathfrak{h}_3(\mathbb{R})$ , so that we obtain a Lie algebra  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}:=\mathfrak{h}_3(\mathbb{R})\rtimes_D\mathbb{R}$  which is called the oscillator algebra.
- 2. Consider  $V := \mathbb{R}$  as a trivial  $\mathbb{R}$ -module. Then it is well-known that the corresponding first Lie algebra cohomology  $H^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  is isomorphic to  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Liealg}}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$ .
- 3. Define sections  $\sigma_0$  and  $\sigma_z$  of the projection map  $q: \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $\sigma_0(r) := (0,r)$  and  $\sigma_z(r) := (z,r)$ , respectively. Then a short computation yields  $R_{\sigma_0} = R_{\sigma_z} = 0$ . Moreover,

$$\operatorname{Sym}^{1}(\mathfrak{h}_{3}(\mathbb{R}),\mathbb{R})_{(\sigma_{0})}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}} = \operatorname{Sym}^{1}(\mathfrak{h}_{3}(\mathbb{R}),\mathbb{R})_{(\sigma_{z})}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}} = \mathbb{R} \cdot f_{z},$$

where  $f_z: \mathfrak{h}_3(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$  denotes the linear functional determined by  $f_z(z) = 1$  and  $f_z(p) = f_z(q) = 0$ . Consequently,

$$\operatorname{Sym}^{1}(\mathfrak{h}_{3}(\mathbb{R}),\mathbb{R})_{(\sigma_{0},\sigma_{z})}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}=\mathbb{R}\cdot f_{z}.$$

4. Finally, the secondary characteristic class of the oscillator algebra  $\mathfrak{h}_3(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_D \mathbb{R}$  associated with the triple  $(\sigma_0, \sigma_z, f_z)$  is given by

$$\Delta_{f_z}(\sigma_0,\sigma_z) = \frac{1}{c_1} \cdot \int_{\Delta_1} (f_z)_{\sigma_z - \sigma_0} dO = \int_{[0,1]} 1 \, d\lambda_1 = 1 \in H^1(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}),$$

because 
$$f_z((\sigma_z - \sigma_0)(r)) = f_z(z) = 1$$
 holds for all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Acknowledgement. — I would like to express my greatest gratitude to my former advisor K.-H. Neeb for introducing me to fibre bundles and for stimulating discussions on the subject matter of this paper. I would also like to thank the Studienstiftung des deutschen Volkes and Carl Tryggers Stiftelse för Vetenskaplig Forskning for supporting this research.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] J. A. DE AZCÁRRAGA & J. M. IZQUIERDO Lie groups, Lie algebras, cohomology and some applications in physics, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [2] S. S. Chern & J. Simons "Characteristic forms and geometric invariants", *Ann. Math.* **99** (1974), p. 48–69.
- [3] C. Chevalley & S. Eilenberg "Cohomology theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **63** (1948), p. 85–124.
- [4] P. LECOMTE "Sur la suite exacte canonique associée un fibré principal", Bull. Soc. Math. Fr. 13 (1985), p. 259–271.

- [5] K. B. Marathe Topics in Physical Mathematics (2010), 10.1007/978-1-84882-939-8.
- [6] K.-H. Neeb "Non-abelian extensions of topological lie algebras", Comm. Algebra **34** (2006), p. 991–1041.