ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations

October 2004, Vol. 10, 549–552 DOI: 10.1051/cocv:2004019

ESAIM: COCV

## SHARP SUMMABILITY FOR MONGE TRANSPORT DENSITY VIA INTERPOLATION

## Luigi De $\mathsf{Pascale}^1$ and $\mathsf{Aldo}\ \mathsf{Pratelli}^2$

**Abstract.** Using some results proved in De Pascale and Pratelli [Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. 14 (2002) 249-274] (and De Pascale et al. [Bull. London Math. Soc. 36 (2004) 383-395]) and a suitable interpolation technique, we show that the transport density relative to an  $L^p$  source is also an  $L^p$  function for any  $1 \le p \le +\infty$ .

Mathematics Subject Classification. 41A05, 49N60, 49Q20, 90B06.

Received May 5, 2003.

This paper is concerned with the transport problem, which consists in minimizing

$$\int_{\Omega} |x - t(x)| \,\mathrm{d}f^+(x) \tag{1}$$

among the transports, which are the measurable functions  $t: \operatorname{spt}(f^+) \longrightarrow \operatorname{spt}(f^-)$  such that  $t_\# f^+ = f^-$ , i.e. for any Borel set B it is  $f^+(t^{-1}(B)) = f^-(B)$ ; here  $f = f^+ - f^-$  is a  $L^1$  function on  $\Omega$  with  $\int f = 0$ , while  $\Omega$  is a convex and bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  (to find more general descriptions of the transport problem, see [1,9]). To each optimal -i.e. minimizing (1) – transport t it is possible to associate a positive measure  $\sigma$  on  $\Omega$  defined by

$$\langle \sigma, \varphi \rangle := \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{\Omega} \varphi(z) \, d\mathcal{H}^{1}_{xt(x)}(z) \right) df^{+}(x)$$
 (2)

where  $\varphi$  is any function in  $C_0(\Omega)$  and  $\mathcal{H}^1_{xy}$  is the one-dimensional Hausdorff measure on the segment xy. It has been proved (see [1,8]) that there always exist (in this setting) optimal transports and in particular there are invertible optimal transports whose inverse is also an optimal transport for -f. A fundamental result, due to [1,8], is that, even if there can be many different optimal transports, all define via (2) the same measure  $\sigma$ , which is then called transport density relative to f. This measure is very interesting for the transport problem (for example it plays an important role in [7]), and moreover it represents the connection between this problem and some shape optimization problem (see [3,4]), which can be reduced to the research of a positive measure  $\sigma$ 

Keywords and phrases. Transport density, interpolation, summability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica Applicata, Università di Pisa, via Bonanno Pisano 25/B, 56126 Pisa, Italy; e-mail: depascal@dm.unipi.it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Scuola Normale Superiore, Piazza dei Cavalieri 7, 56126 Pisa, Italy; e-mail: a.pratelli@sns.it

and a 1-Lipschitz function u solving

$$\begin{cases}
-\operatorname{div}(\sigma D u) = f & \text{on } \Omega \\
|D u| = 1 & \sigma - \text{a.e.} 
\end{cases}$$
(3)

The relationship between the two problems relies on the fact that the (unique) transport density is also the unique solution of (3) (see [1,3,6]); the functions u solving (3) together with  $\sigma$  are also meaningful in the context of the transport problem, they are referred to as Kantorovich potentials. Equation (3) is often referred to as Monge-Kantorovich equation. Thus the study of the regularity of  $\sigma$  is useful both for the transport problem and for the shape optimization problem. It was proved (see [1,6,8]) that the fact that  $f \in L^1$  implies also that  $\sigma \in L^1$ .

In this paper we will show some sharp relationship between the summability of f and that of  $\sigma$ . The problem to derive regularity of  $\sigma$  from that of f has already been studied in [5,6] following two different methods: in [6] we used a geometric construction starting from the definition (2), while in [5] the proofs used PDE tools starting from the equivalent definition (3). In the first work it was proved that

$$f \in L^1 \Longrightarrow \sigma \in L^1, \qquad f \in L^\infty \Longrightarrow \sigma \in L^\infty,$$
  
$$f \in L^p \Longrightarrow \sigma \in L^{p-\epsilon} \text{ for any } \epsilon > 0,$$
 (4)

and some examples were given in which  $f \in L^p$  and  $\sigma \notin L^q$  for any q > p. Thus it was left open the problem whether or not it is true that  $f \in L^p$  implies  $\sigma \in L^p$  for  $p \neq 1, +\infty$ . In the second work this problem was partially solved, since it was proved that

$$f \in L^p \Longrightarrow \sigma \in L^p \text{ for any } 2 \le p < +\infty.$$
 (5)

Since the cases  $p=1,\infty$  had already been solved in the first work, it was left open only the case with 1 . In this work we will show how the classic Marcinkievicz interpolation result can be used to infer from the results already mentioned the general property for any <math>p. Note that this is not trivial since the map associating the transport density  $\sigma$  to any function f with  $\int f = 0$  is far from being linear or sublinear, as easy examples show; however, this map is 1-homogeneous, as one can hope in view of (6).

The result of this paper is the following

**Theorem A.** For any  $1 \le p \le +\infty$ , if  $f \in L^p$  is a function with  $\int f = 0$ , then the associated transport density  $\sigma$  is also in  $L^p$ . More precisely, there exist a constant  $C_p$ , depending only on  $\Omega$ , such that

$$\|\sigma\|_{L^p} \le C_p \|f\|_{L^p}.$$
 (6)

To prove the theorem, we first of all recall the known results we use, the regularity results proved in [5,6] and the Marcinkievicz interpolation result, which can be found for example in [10].

**Theorem 1.** For p=1 and for any  $p \geq 2$  there exists a constant  $C_p$  depending on  $\Omega$  such that, for any  $f \in L^p$  with  $\int f = 0$ ,

$$\|\sigma\|_{L^p} \le C_p \|f\|_{L^p} \tag{7}$$

where  $\sigma$  is the transport density associated to f.

**Theorem 2** (Marcinkievicz). If  $T: L^1 \to L^1$  is a linear mapping such that, for two suitable constants  $M_p$  and  $M_q$  with  $1 \le p < q \le +\infty$ ,

$$||T(g)||_{L^p} \le M_p ||g||_{L^p}$$
 and  $||T(g)||_{L^q} \le M_q ||g||_{L^q}$ , (8)

then it is also true that for any  $s \in (p,q)$ 

$$||T(g)||_{L^s} \le C M_p^{\frac{p(q-s)}{s(q-p)}} M_q^{\frac{q(s-p)}{s(q-p)}} ||g||_{L^s}, \tag{9}$$

where C is a geometric constant depending only on  $\Omega$ .

To prove our result, let us fix now a function  $f \in L^p$  with  $\int f = 0$  and  $1 \le p \le +\infty$ . We can assume  $p \ne 1, +\infty$ , since otherwise we already know that  $\sigma \in L^p$ . Fix also an invertible optimal transport t for f (as we said, this always exists when  $f \in L^1$ , even though it is not unique). For any function  $g \in L^1$ , there are of course two uniquely determined measurable functions  $\lambda$  and  $\nu$  supported respectively on spt  $(f^+)$  and on  $\Omega \setminus \operatorname{spt}(f^+)$  such that

$$g = \lambda f^+ + \nu. \tag{10}$$

Let us finally define the operator  $T: L^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$  to which we will apply later the Marcinkievicz theorem: given any  $g \in L^1$  and following the notations of (10), we define

$$T(g) := \int_{\Omega} \lambda(x) f^{+}(x) \mathcal{H}^{1}_{xt(x)} dx, \tag{11}$$

which can also be rewritten as

$$\langle T(g), \varphi \rangle = \int_{x \in \Omega} \left( \int_{z \in \Omega} \varphi(z) \, d\mathcal{H}^1_{xt(x)}(z) \right) \lambda(x) \, f^+(x) \, dx$$

for any  $\varphi \in C_0(\Omega)$ . Notice that T(g) is a priori a measure, and that the definition of T depends on the function f we fixed; moreover, we point out that of course T(f) is the transport density  $\sigma$  associated to f (just recall (2) and (11)).

We define now  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$  and  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  (depending on f and g) as follows:

$$\sigma_{1} := \int_{\Omega} \lambda^{+}(x) f^{+}(x) \mathcal{H}^{1}_{xt(x)} dx \qquad f_{1} := \lambda^{+} f^{+} - (\lambda^{+} \circ t^{-1}) f^{-} 
\sigma_{2} := \int_{\Omega} \lambda^{-}(x) f^{+}(x) \mathcal{H}^{1}_{xt(x)} dx \qquad f_{2} := \lambda^{-} f^{+} - (\lambda^{-} \circ t^{-1}) f^{-};$$
(12)

note that also these definitions depend on f and g, and that  $T(g) = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2$ . First we prove the

**Lemma 3.** The function  $t : \operatorname{spt}(f^+) \longrightarrow \operatorname{spt}(f^-)$  is defined  $f_i^+-$  a.e. and it is an optimal transport for the functions  $f_i$ , i = 1, 2 defined in (12); moreover, each  $\sigma_i$  is the transport density associated to  $f_i$ .

Proof. The optimality of a transport is equivalent to the cyclical monotonicity of its graph (see [2,9] to find the definition of the cyclical monotonicity and the proof of this assert). Then the fact that t is optimal for f assures that its graph is monotonically cyclic; thus, given any function h on  $\Omega$  with 0 mean and such that  $h^+ \ll f^+$ , t is defined  $h^+$ -a.e. and it is an optimal transport for h if and only if it is a transport. Then to prove the first part of the assert it is enough to check that  $t_{\#}f_i^+ = f_i^-$  for i = 1, 2, which is a straightforward consequence of the fact that  $t_{\#}f^+ = f^-$  and of the properties of the push-forward. Finally, the fact that each  $\sigma_i$  is the transport density associated to  $f_i$  follows comparing (12) with the definition (2) of the transport density (replace f and  $\sigma$  in (2) by  $f_i$  and  $\sigma_i$ ).

We can then prove the following

**Lemma 4.**  $T: L^1 \longrightarrow L^1$  is a linear operator.

Proof. The fact that T is linear follows immediately from the definition (11); moreover T(g) is a  $L^1$  function (recall that a priori we knew it only to be a measure) since it is the sum of the two transport densities  $\sigma_i$  thanks to the preceding Lemma, and thanks to Theorem 1 each of these densities is in  $L^1$  since so is each  $f_i$  recall (12) and that  $g \in L^1$ .

We prove now the validity of (8) with p=1 and  $q=+\infty$  in order to apply the Marcinkievicz Theorem.

**Lemma 5.** The inequalities (8) hold for T with p=1 and  $q=+\infty$ ; in particular,  $M_1=2\,C_1$  and  $M_\infty=2\,C_\infty$ , where the  $C_i$ 's are the constants of (7).

*Proof.* In view of Lemma 3 and Theorem 1,  $\sigma_1$  is the transport density relative to  $f_1$  and then  $\|\sigma_1\|_{L^1} \leq C_1\|f_1\|_{L^1}$ ; but since  $t_\#f_1^+ = f_1^-$ , then  $\|f_1^+\|_{L^1} = \|f_1^-\|_{L^1}$  and we infer

$$\|\sigma_1\|_{L^1} \leq 2 C_1 \|f_1^+\|_{L^1}.$$

In the same way we deduce also  $\|\sigma_2\|_{L^1} \leq 2C_1\|f_2^-\|_{L^1}$ . Using now the fact that the supports of the  $f_i$ 's are essentially disjoint – that is clear from (12) –, we have

$$||T(g)||_{L^{1}} = ||\sigma_{1} + \sigma_{2}||_{L^{1}} \le ||\sigma_{1}||_{L^{1}} + ||\sigma_{2}||_{L^{1}} \le 2C_{1} \left(||f_{1}^{+}||_{L^{1}} + ||f_{2}^{+}||_{L^{1}}\right)$$

$$= 2C_{1}||f_{1}^{+} + f_{2}^{+}||_{L^{1}} = 2C_{1}||\lambda^{+}f^{+} + \lambda^{-}f^{+}||_{L^{1}} = 2C_{1}||\lambda f^{+}||_{L^{1}}$$

$$\le 2C_{1}||g||_{L^{1}},$$

which gives the first estimate.

On the other hand, to show the  $L^{\infty}$  inequality we note that, thanks to (10) and (12), it is  $||f_i||_{L^{\infty}} \leq ||g||_{L^{\infty}}$  for each i. Since  $T(g) = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2$ , from Lemma 3 and Theorem 1 we infer

$$||T(g)||_{L^{\infty}} \le 2C_{\infty}||g||_{L^{\infty}},$$

and then also the  $L^{\infty}$  inequality follows.

Thanks to Lemmas 4 and 5, we can apply Theorem 2 to prove (6), recalling that T(f) is the transport density  $\sigma$  associated to f. Recall now that the function  $f \in L^p$  was fixed at the beginning, but the constants  $C_p$  we obtained do not depend on f, but only on p and  $\Omega$ . Then the estimate (6) is true, with the same constants, for any function  $f \in L^p$ .

## References

- [1] L. Ambrosio, Mathematical Aspects of Evolving Interfaces. Lect. Notes Math. 1812 (2003) 1-52.
- [2] L. Ambrosio and A. Pratelli, Existence and stability results in the  $L^1$  theory of optimal transportation. Lect. Notes Math. 1813 (2003) 123-160.
- [3] G. Bouchitté and G. Buttazzo, Characterization of optimal shapes and masses through Monge-Kantorovich equation. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **3** (2001) 139-168.
- [4] G. Bouchitté, G. Buttazzo and P. Seppecher, Shape Optimization Solutions via Monge-Kantorovich Equation. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris I 324 (1997) 1185-1191.
- [5] L. De Pascale, L.C. Evans and A. Pratelli, Integral Estimates for Transport Densities. Bull. London Math. Soc. 36 (2004) 383-395.
- [6] L. De Pascale and A. Pratelli, Regularity properties for Monge transport density and for solutions of some shape optimization problem. Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. 14 (2002) 249-274.
- [7] L.C. Evans and W. Gangbo, Differential Equations Methods for the Monge-Kantorovich Mass Transfer Problem. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (1999).
- [8] M. Feldman and R. McCann, Uniqueness and transport density in Monge's mass transportation problem. Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. 15 (2002) 81-113.
- [9] W. Gangbo and R.J. McCann, The geometry of optimal transportation. Acta Math. 177 (1996) 113-161.
- [10] M. Giaquinta, Introduction to regularity theory for nonlinear elliptic systems. Birkhäuser Verlag (1993).