

L^p -VARIATIONAL SOLUTIONS OF MULTIVALUED BACKWARD STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

LUCIAN MATICIUC^{1,*} AND AUREL RĂȘCANU²

Abstract. We prove the existence and uniqueness of the L^p -variational solution, with $p > 1$, of the following multivalued backward stochastic differential equation with p -integrable data:

$$\begin{cases} -dY_t + \partial_y \Psi(t, Y_t) dQ_t \ni H(t, Y_t, Z_t) dQ_t - Z_t dB_t, & 0 \leq t < \tau, \\ Y_\tau = \eta, \end{cases}$$

where τ is a stopping time, Q is a progressively measurable increasing continuous stochastic process and $\partial_y \Psi$ is the subdifferential of the convex lower semicontinuous function $y \mapsto \Psi(t, y)$. In the framework of [14] (the case $p \geq 2$), the strong solution found there is the unique variational solution, via the uniqueness property proved in the present article.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 60H10, 60F25, 47J20, 49J40.

Received February 25, 2020. Accepted July 6, 2021.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of the standard backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs) was initiated by E. Pardoux and S. Peng [18]. The authors have proved the existence and the uniqueness of the solution for the BSDE on fixed time interval, under the assumption of Lipschitz continuity of the generator $(t, y, z) \mapsto F(t, y, z)$ with respect to the variable y and z and the square integrability of $F(\cdot, 0, 0)$ on $\Omega \times [0, T]$ and respectively of η on Ω . The case of BSDEs on random time interval have been treated by R.W.R. Darling and E. Pardoux [5], where it is obtained, as application, the existence of a continuous viscosity solution to the elliptic partial differential equations (PDEs) with Dirichlet boundary conditions. The more general case of reflected BSDEs was considered for the first time by N. El Karoui *et al.* [8].

In this paper, we prove the existence and uniqueness of a new type of solution, called L^p -variational solution, in the case $p > 1$, of the generalized backward stochastic variational inequality (BSVI for short) with p -integrable

Keywords and phrases: Backward stochastic differential equations, subdifferential operators, Stochastic variational inequalities, p -integrable data.

¹ Faculty of Mathematics, “Alexandru Ioan Cuza” University, Carol I Blvd., no. 11, 700506 Iași, Romania.

² “Octav Mayer” Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, Carol I Blvd., no. 8, 700506 Iași, Romania.

* Corresponding author: lucian.maticiuc@uaic.ro

data:

$$\begin{cases} Y_t + \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{\tau} dK_s = \eta + \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{\tau} [F(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + G(s, Y_s) dA_s] - \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{\tau} Z_s dB_s, & t \geq 0, \\ dK_t \in \partial\varphi(Y_t) dt + \partial\psi(Y_t) dA_t, & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\partial\varphi$ and $\partial\psi$ are the subdifferentials of two proper convex lower semicontinuous (l.s.c. for short) functions φ and ψ and $\{A_t : t \geq 0\}$ is a progressively measurable increasing continuous stochastic process.

We prove the uniqueness property of the solution on a random time interval $[0, \tau]$; the existence is obtained also on a random time interval, but first in the case of a deterministic time interval, *i.e.* $\tau = T > 0$, and it is made using the Moreau-Yosida regularization of φ and ψ and a mollifier approximation of the generators F and G .

In fact, we will define and prove the existence and uniqueness of the L^p -variational solution for an equivalent form of (1.1):

$$\begin{cases} Y_t + \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{\tau} dK_s = \eta + \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{\tau} H(s, Y_s, Z_s) dQ_s - \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{\tau} Z_s dB_s, & t \geq 0 \\ dK_t \in \partial_y \Psi(t, Y_t) dQ_t, & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

with Q , H and Ψ adequately defined.

The second condition in (1.1) says, among others, that the first component Y of the solution is forced to stay in the set $\text{Dom}(\partial\varphi) \cap \text{Dom}(\partial\psi)$. The role of K is to act in the evolution of the process Y and also to keep Y in these domains.

We emphasize that, unlike the case $p \geq 2$, in the case $1 \leq p < 2$ it is not possible to obtain the boundedness of the term $\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{2V_r} |\nabla \Psi_\varepsilon(r, Y_r^\varepsilon)|^2 dQ_r \right)^{p/2}$, which is essential in order to obtain a strong solution, where Ψ_ε is the Moreau-Yosida's regularization of Ψ . Therefore we propose a generalization of the strong solution and we give the definition of the solution using an inequality (instead of an equality) involving only the function Ψ and not the subdifferential operator $\partial\Psi$. However, under this kind of definition, we were able to prove the uniqueness property (even if the solution (Y, Z) satisfies an inequality).

We mention that the presence of the process A is justified by the possible applications of equation (1.1) in proving probabilistic proofs for the existence of a solution of PDEs with Neumann boundary conditions on a domain from \mathbb{R}^m . The stochastic approach of the existence problem for multivalued parabolic PDEs, was considered by L. Maticiuc and A. Rășcanu [13, 15]. We emphasize that if the obstacles are fixed, the reflected BSDEs becomes a particular case of the BSVI of type (1.1), by taking φ as convex indicator of the interval defined by obstacles. In this case the solution of the BSVI belongs to the domain of the multivalued operator $\partial\varphi$ and it is reflected at the boundary of this domain.

The standard work on BSVI in the finite dimensional case is that of E. Pardoux and A. Rășcanu [19], where it is proved the existence and uniqueness of the solution (Y, Z, K) for the BSVI (1.1) with $A \equiv 0$, under the following assumptions on F : continuity with respect to y , monotonicity with respect to y (in the sense that $\langle y' - y, F(t, y', z) - F(t, y, z) \rangle \leq \alpha|y' - y|^2$), Lipschitzianity with respect to z and a sublinear growth for $F(t, y, 0)$. Moreover, it was shown that, unlike the forward case, the process K is absolute continuous with respect to dt . [20] the same authors extend these results to the Hilbert spaces framework.

We mention that assumptions of Lipschitz continuity of the generator F with respect to y and z and the square integrability of the final condition and $F(t, 0, 0)$ (as in articles El Karoui *et al.* [8] and E. Pardoux and S. Peng [18]) are sometimes too strong for applications (see, *e.g.*, D. Duffie and L. Epstein [7] and El Karoui *et al.* [9] for the applications in mathematical finance and P. Briand *et al.* [3] and A. Rozkosz and L. Słomiński [24] for the applications to PDEs). A possibility is to weaken the integrability conditions imposed on η and F or to weaken the assumption which concerns the Lipschitz continuity of the generators. In P. Briand and R. Carmona [3] or E. Pardoux [17] it is considered the case where the generators are Lipschitz continuous with respect

to z , continuous with respect to y and satisfy a monotonicity condition and a growth condition of the type $|F(t, y, z)| \leq |F(t, 0, z)| + \phi(|y|)$, where ϕ is a polynomial or even an arbitrary positive increasing continuous function.

We recall that the previous assumption was used [17] in order to prove the existence of a solution in L^2 . This result was generalized by P. Briand *et al.* [4], where it is proved the existence and uniqueness of L^p solutions, with $p \in [1, 2]$, for BSDEs considered with a random terminal time T : in the case $p \in (1, 2]$, if $\eta \in L^p$, $\int_0^T |F(s, 0, 0)| ds \in L^p$ and $\int_0^T \sup_{|y| \leq r} |F(s, y, 0) - F(s, 0, 0)| ds \in L^1$, for any $r > 0$, and if F is Lipschitz continuous with respect to z , continuous with respect to y and satisfies a monotonicity condition, then there exists a unique L^p solution. In the case $p = 1$ similar result is proved if T is a fixed deterministic terminal time and under additional assumptions.

We also note that the study of the reflected BSDEs was the subject, *e.g.*, of the papers: J.P. Lepeltier *et al.* [12] (in the case of the general growth condition with respect to y and for $p = 2$), S. Hamadène and A. Popier [10] (in the case of Lipschitz continuity with respect to y and for $p \in (1, 2)$). Studies made, roughly speaking, under the assumptions of [4] are, *e.g.*: A. Aman [1] (in the case of a generalized reflected BSDE and for $p \in (1, 2)$), A. Rozkosz and L. Słomiński [23] (for $p \in [1, 2]$) and T. Klimsiak [11] (in the case of BSDE with two irregular reflecting barriers and for $p \in [1, 2]$).

Our paper generalizes the existence and uniqueness results from [19] by considering the L^p solutions in the case $p \in (1, 2)$, the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral terms, and by assuming a weaker boundedness condition for the generator F (instead of the sublinear growth):

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T F_\rho^\#(s) ds \right)^p < \infty, \quad \text{where } F_\rho^\#(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{|y| \leq \rho} |F(t, y, 0)|. \quad (1.3)$$

We remark that article [14] concerns the same type of backward equation as in our study (and under similar assumptions), considered in the infinite dimensional framework and for $p \geq 2$. [14] the existence and uniqueness of a strong solution is proved. In addition, it is also introduced the variational solution and it is proved the existence of this type of solution.

More precisely, Theorem 5.5 generalizes the results from [13] for $p = 2$ and Theorem 5.8 generalizes (except the Hilbert spaces framework) the results from [14] for $p \geq 2$. We emphasize that the assumptions on F and G are weaker to those adopted [14]; also the form of our hypothesis is more simplified (and therefore more easily to be verified) with respect to those from [14].

Finally, let us notice that our main results (the uniqueness provided by Cor. 4.2 and the existence of a variational solution provided by Thm. 5.5) hold true in the case of infinite dimensional spaces (with minor changes in their proofs and using the suitable framework of [14]).

In this paper we use the following notation: $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is a complete probability space, the set $\mathcal{N} = \{A \in \mathcal{F} : \mathbb{P}(A) = 0\}$, $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a right continuous and complete filtration generated by a standard k -dimensional Brownian motion $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$.

$S_m^p[0, T]$ is the space of (equivalent classes of) continuous progressively measurable stochastic processes (p.m.s.p. for short) $X : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, if $p \geq 0$, and such that $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t|^p < \infty$, if $p > 0$. The notation S_m^p is the space of (equivalent classes of) continuous p.m.s.p. $X : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that, for all $T > 0$, the restriction $X|_{[0, T]}$ belongs to $S_m^p[0, T]$. For other details, *e.g.* metrics, see Section 1.1.4 of [21].

$\Lambda_m^p(0, T)$ is the space of p.m.s.p. $X : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $\int_0^T |X_t|^2 dt < \infty$, \mathbb{P} -a.s. if $p = 0$ and $\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T |X_t|^2 dt \right)^{p/2} < \infty$, if $p > 0$. The notation Λ_m^p is the space of p.m.s.p. $X : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that, for all $T > 0$, the restriction $X|_{[0, T]}$ belongs to $\Lambda_m^p(0, T)$. For other details, *e.g.* metrics, see Section 2.1 of [21].

The article is organized as follows: next section is dedicated to the presentation of the assumptions needed in our study. In the third section we present an intuitive introduction and the definition of the notion of L^p -variational solution. The next section deals with proof of the uniqueness and continuity properties. The fifth

section is devoted to the proof of the existence of our type of solution both in the case of a deterministic and random time interval. The Appendix contains, mainly following [21], some results useful throughout the paper.

2. ASSUMPTIONS AND DEFINITIONS

At the beginning of this subsection we introduce the assumptions about equation (1.1).

We consider throughout this paper that $p > 1$.

- (A₁) The random variable $\tau : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a stopping time;
 (A₂) The random variable $\eta : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is \mathcal{F}_τ -measurable such that $\mathbb{E}|\eta|^p < \infty$ and $(\xi, \zeta) \in S_m^p \times \Lambda_{m \times k}^p$ is the unique pair associated to η given by the martingale representation formula (see [21], Cor. 2.44)

$$\begin{cases} \xi_t = \eta - \int_t^\infty \zeta_s dB_s, & t \geq 0, \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}, \\ \xi_t = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \eta \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_t = \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau]}(t) \zeta_t \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

(or equivalently, $\xi_t = \eta - \int_{t \wedge \tau}^\tau \zeta_s dB_s$, $t \geq 0$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.);

- (A₃) The process $\{A_t : t \geq 0\}$ is an increasing and continuous p.m.s.p. such that $A_0 = 0$ and

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\alpha A_\tau}) < \infty, \quad \text{for any } \alpha, T > 0; \quad (2.2)$$

- (A₄) $\varphi, \psi : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ are proper l.s.c. functions, $\partial\varphi$ and $\partial\psi$ denote their subdifferentials and we suppose that $0 \in \partial\varphi(0) \cap \partial\psi(0)$ (or equivalently $0 = \varphi(0) \leq \varphi(y)$ and $0 = \psi(0) \leq \psi(y)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$);
 In addition, we suppose that

$$\varphi(\eta) + \psi(\eta) < \infty, \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.};$$

- (A₅) The functions $F : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $G : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ are such that $F(\cdot, \cdot, y, z)$, $G(\cdot, \cdot, y)$ are p.m.s.p., for all $(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$, $F(\omega, t, \cdot, \cdot)$, $G(\omega, t, \cdot)$ are continuous functions, $d\mathbb{P} \otimes dt$ -a.e. and, \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$\int_0^T F_\rho^\#(s) ds + \int_0^T G_\rho^\#(s) dA_s < \infty, \quad \text{for all } \rho, T \geq 0, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$F_\rho^\#(\omega, s) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{|y| \leq \rho} |F(\omega, s, y, 0)|, \quad G_\rho^\#(\omega, s) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{|y| \leq \rho} |G(\omega, s, y)|; \quad (2.4)$$

- (A₆) Let

$$n_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (p-1) \wedge 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda \in (0, 1). \quad (2.5)$$

Assume there exist three p.m.s.p. $\mu, \nu : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\ell : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, such that

$$\mathbb{E} \exp \left(p \int_0^T \left(\mu_s^+ + \frac{1}{2n_p \Lambda} \ell_s^2 \right) ds + p \int_0^T \nu_s^+ dA_s \right) < \infty, \quad \text{for all } T > 0, \quad (2.6)$$

and for all $t \geq 0$, $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $z, z' \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y' - y, F(t, y', z) - F(t, y, z) \rangle &\leq \mu_t |y' - y|^2, \\ \langle y' - y, G(t, y') - G(t, y) \rangle &\leq \nu_t |y' - y|^2, \\ |F(t, y, z') - F(t, y, z)| &\leq \ell_t |z' - z|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

We define

$$Q_t = t + A_t,$$

and let $\{\alpha_t : t \geq 0\}$ be the real positive p.m.s.p. such that $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and

$$dt = \alpha_t dQ_t \quad \text{and} \quad dA_t = (1 - \alpha_t) dQ_t.$$

Let us introduce the functions

$$\begin{aligned} H(t, y, z) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau]}(t) [\alpha_t F(t, y, z) + (1 - \alpha_t) G(t, y)], \\ \Psi(t, y) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau]}(t) [\alpha_t \varphi(y) + (1 - \alpha_t) \psi(y)]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Obviously, from (2.7) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y' - y, H(t, y', z) - H(t, y, z) \rangle &\leq \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau]}(t) [\mu_t \alpha_t + \nu_t (1 - \alpha_t)] |y' - y|^2, \\ |H(t, y, z') - H(t, y, z)| &\leq \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau]}(t) \alpha_t \ell_t |z' - z|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Here and subsequently

$$V_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau]}(r) \left(\mu_r + \frac{1}{2n_p \lambda} \ell_r^2 \right) dr + \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau]}(r) \nu_r dA_r \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$V_t^{(+)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau]}(r) \left(\mu_r^+ + \frac{1}{2n_p \lambda} \ell_r^2 \right) dr + \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau]}(r) \nu_r^+ dA_r. \tag{2.11}$$

By assumption (2.6) we clearly have, for all $T > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \exp(pV_T) &\leq \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [0, T]} e^{pV_r} \right) \leq \mathbb{E} \exp(pV_T^{(+)}) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \exp \left(p \int_0^T \left(\mu_s^+ + \frac{1}{2n_p \lambda} \ell_s^2 \right) ds + p \int_0^T \nu_s^+ dA_s \right) < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Remark 2.1. Assumption (2.6) is necessary for some estimates throughout the proofs.

Remark 2.2. Usually, the monotonicity coefficients μ_t, ν_t and the Lipschitz coefficient ℓ_t are considered deterministic constants. But in many concrete cases the coefficients are stochastic processes (may depend on ω and

t); for instance, if we take, as a simple example, in one dimensional case, a BSDE with the generators

$$\begin{aligned} F(t, y, z) &= \frac{\tilde{a} B_t |B_t|^{\tilde{a}}}{t^\alpha} (y - f_1(B_t y)) + \frac{\tilde{b} |B_t|^{(\tilde{b}+1)/2}}{t^{\beta/2}} f_2(z), \\ G(t, y) &= \frac{\tilde{c} B_t |B_t|^{\tilde{c}}}{(t + A_t)^\gamma} (y - f_3(B_t y)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c} > 0$ and $0 < \tilde{a} \leq 1$, $-1 < \tilde{b} \leq 1$, $0 < \tilde{c} < 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha, \beta < 1$, $1/2 < \gamma < 1$ are some suitable constants and f_1, f_2, f_3 are three derivable and nondecreasing functions.

In this case we obtain the monotonicity coefficient functions $\mu_t = \frac{\tilde{a} B_t |B_t|^{\tilde{a}}}{t^\alpha}$, $\nu_t = \frac{\tilde{c} B_t |B_t|^{\tilde{c}}}{(t + A_t)^\gamma}$ and the Lipschitz coefficient function $\ell_t = \frac{\tilde{b} |B_t|^{(\tilde{b}+1)/2}}{t^{\beta/2}}$.

We deduce that the condition

$$\mathbb{E} \exp \left(p \int_0^T \left(|\mu_s| + \frac{1}{2n_p \lambda} \ell_s^2 \right) ds + p \int_0^T |\nu_s| dA_s \right) < \infty, \quad \text{for all } T > 0, \quad (2.13)$$

is satisfied if

$$\frac{3p\tilde{a}}{1-\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{2} T^{\alpha-2}, \quad 2\gamma \left(\frac{3p\tilde{c}}{1-\gamma} \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq \frac{1}{2T} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{3p\tilde{b}^2}{1-\beta} \frac{1}{n_p \lambda} \leq T^{\beta-2}, \quad (2.14)$$

since we have (2.2) and

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left(\tilde{a} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |B_t|^2 \right) \right) < \infty \quad \text{iff} \quad \tilde{a} < \frac{1}{2T}$$

(for the details see, for instance, [6], Thm. 4.1).

Therefore, under above restrictions, our assumption (A₆ – 2.6) is satisfied.

Definition 2.3. The notation $dK_t \in \partial_y \Psi(t, Y_t) dQ_t$ means that K is an \mathbb{R}^m -valued locally bounded variation stochastic process, Q is a real increasing stochastic process, Y is an \mathbb{R}^m -valued continuous stochastic process such that $\int_0^T \Psi(t, Y_t) dQ_t < \infty$, \mathbb{P} -a.s., for all $T \geq 0$ and, \mathbb{P} -a.s., for any $0 \leq t \leq s$

$$\int_t^s \langle y(r) - Y_r, dK_r \rangle + \int_t^s \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \leq \int_t^s \Psi(r, y(r)) dQ_r, \quad \text{for any } y \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^m).$$

Remark 2.4. The condition $0 \in \partial\varphi(0) \cap \partial\psi(0)$ does not restrict the generality of the problem, since from $Dom(\partial\varphi) \cap Dom(\partial\psi) \neq \emptyset$ it follows that there exists $u_0 \in Dom(\partial\varphi) \cap Dom(\partial\psi)$ and $\hat{u}_{01} \in \partial\varphi(u_0)$, $\hat{u}_{02} \in \partial\psi(u_0)$. In this case equation (1.1) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \hat{Y}_t + \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{\tau} d\hat{K}_s = \eta + \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{\tau} [\hat{F}(s, \hat{Y}_s, \hat{Z}_s) ds + \hat{G}(s, \hat{Y}_s) dA_s] - \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{\tau} \hat{Z}_s dB_s, & \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}, \\ d\hat{K}_t \in \partial\hat{\varphi}(\hat{Y}_t) dt + \partial\hat{\psi}(\hat{Y}_t) dA_t, & \text{for all } t \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\hat{Y}_t := Y_t - u_0, \quad \hat{Z}_t := Z_t, \quad \hat{\eta} := \eta - u_0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{F}(s, y, z) &= F(t, y + u_0, z) - \hat{u}_{01}, & \hat{G}(s, y, z) &= G(t, y + u_0) - \hat{u}_{02}, \\ \hat{\varphi}(y) &= \varphi(y + u_0) - \langle \hat{u}_{01}, y \rangle - \varphi(u_0), & \hat{\psi}(y) &= \psi(y + u_0) - \langle \hat{u}_{02}, y \rangle - \psi(u_0), \\ \partial \hat{\varphi}(y) &= \partial \varphi(y + u_0) - \hat{u}_{01}, & \partial \hat{\psi}(y) &= \partial \psi(y + u_0) - \hat{u}_{02}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$d\hat{K}_t = dK_t - \hat{u}_{01}dt - \hat{u}_{02}dA_t.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and the Moreau-Yosida regularization of φ :

$$\varphi_\varepsilon(y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} |y - v|^2 + \varphi(v) : v \in \mathbb{R}^m \right\}, \quad (2.15)$$

which is a C^1 -convex function.

The gradient $\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(x) = \partial \varphi_\varepsilon(x) \in \partial \varphi(J_\varepsilon(x))$, where $J_\varepsilon(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x - \varepsilon \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(x)$ and the next inequalities are satisfied

$$\begin{aligned}(a) \quad & |J_\varepsilon(x) - J_\varepsilon(y)| \leq |x - y|, \\ (b) \quad & |\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(x) - \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(y)| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |x - y|, \\ (c) \quad & \varphi_\varepsilon(y) = \frac{|y - J_\varepsilon(y)|^2}{2\varepsilon} + \varphi(J_\varepsilon(y))\end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

and

$$-\langle u - v, \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(u) - \nabla \varphi_\delta(v) \rangle \leq (\varepsilon + \delta) \langle \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(u), \nabla \varphi_\delta(v) \rangle \leq \frac{\varepsilon + \delta}{2} \left[|\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(u)|^2 + |\nabla \varphi_\delta(v)|^2 \right] \quad (2.17)$$

(for other useful inequalities see, *e.g.*, [14], inequalities (2.8)).

Since $0 \in \partial \varphi(0)$ we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}0 = \varphi(0) &\leq \varphi(J_\varepsilon(u)) \leq \varphi_\varepsilon(u) \leq \varphi(u), \quad \text{for any } u \in \mathbb{R}^m, \\ J_\varepsilon(0) &= 0, \quad \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(0) = 0, \quad \text{and } \varphi_\varepsilon(0) = 0.\end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

We introduce the compatibility conditions between φ, ψ and F, G .

(A₇) For all $\varepsilon > 0, t \geq 0, y \in \mathbb{R}^m, z \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$

$$\begin{aligned}(i) \quad & \langle \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(y), \nabla \psi_\varepsilon(y) \rangle \geq 0, \\ (ii) \quad & \langle \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(y), G(t, y) \rangle \leq |\nabla \psi_\varepsilon(y)| |G(t, y)|, \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}, \\ (iii) \quad & \langle \nabla \psi_\varepsilon(y), F(t, y, z) \rangle \leq |\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(y)| |F(t, y, z)|, \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}\end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Example 2.5.

(a) If $\varphi = \psi$ then the compatibility assumptions (2.19) are clearly satisfied.

(b) Let $m = 1$. Since $\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon$ and $\nabla \psi_\varepsilon$ are increasing monotone functions on \mathbb{R} , we see that, if $y \cdot G(t, y) \leq 0$ and $y \cdot F(t, y, z) \leq 0$, for all t, y, z , then the compatibility assumptions (2.19) are satisfied.

(b) Let $m = 1$. If $\varphi, \psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ are the convexity indicator functions $\varphi(y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } y \in [a, b], \\ +\infty, & \text{if } y \notin [a, b], \end{cases}$ and

$\psi(y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } y \in [c, d], \\ +\infty, & \text{if } y \notin [c, d], \end{cases}$ where $-\infty \leq a \leq b \leq \infty$ and $-\infty \leq c \leq d \leq \infty$ are such that $0 \in [a, b] \cap [c, d]$

(see (A₆)), then $\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(y) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}[(y-b)^+ - (a-y)^+]$, and $\nabla\psi_\varepsilon(y) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}[(y-d)^+ - (c-y)^+]$.

Assumption (A₇ - *i*) is clearly fulfilled; the remaining compatibility assumptions are satisfied if, for example, $G(t, y) \geq 0$, for $y \leq a$, $G(t, y) \leq 0$, for $y \geq b$, and, respectively, $F(t, y, z) \geq 0$, for $y \leq c$, $F(t, y, z) \leq 0$, for $y \geq d$.

3. INTUITIVE INTRODUCTION OF L^p -VARIATIONAL SOLUTIONS

For $a \geq 0$, let us define the space \mathcal{V}_m^a of the m -dimensional local continuous semimartingales M such that for all $T > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [0, T]} e^{aV_r} |M_r|^a \right) < \infty, \quad \text{if } a > 1 \quad (3.1)$$

and given by

$$\begin{aligned} M_t &= \gamma - \int_0^t N_r dQ_r + \int_0^t R_r dB_r \quad \text{or equivalently} \\ M_t &= M_T + \int_t^T N_r dQ_r - \int_t^T R_r dB_r, \quad M_0 = \gamma, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $N : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $R : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ are p.m.s.p. such that for all $T > 0$:

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{V_r} |N_r| dQ_r \right)^a + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{2V_r} |R_r|^2 dr \right)^{a/2} < \infty, \quad \text{if } a > 0 \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\int_0^T |N_r| dQ_r + \int_0^T |R_r|^2 dr < \infty, \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}, \quad \text{if } a = 0.$$

For an intuitive introduction, let (Y, Z, U) be a strong solution of (1.1) or (1.2), that is Y, Z , and U are p.m.s.p., Y has continuous trajectories,

$$\int_0^T |Z_r|^2 dr + \int_0^T |U_r|^2 dr < \infty, \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}, \quad \text{for all } T \geq 0,$$

and the following equation is satisfied, for all $T \geq 0$,

$$\begin{cases} Y_t + \int_t^T dK_r = Y_T + \int_t^T H(r, Y_r, Z_r) dQ_r - \int_t^T Z_r dB_r, & \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \\ dK_r = U_r dQ_r \in \partial_y \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r, \end{cases}$$

and

$$e^{V_t} |Y_t - \xi_t| + \int_t^\infty e^{2V_r} |Z_r - \zeta_r|^2 dr \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

For $\delta \in (0, 1]$ we define

$$\delta_q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \delta \mathbf{1}_{[1,2)}(q) = \begin{cases} \delta, & \text{if } 1 \leq q < 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Let $q \in [1, 2]$, $n_q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (q - 1) \wedge 1 = q - 1$, $M \in \mathcal{V}_m^0$ of form (3.2) and

$$\Gamma_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(|M_t - Y_t|^2 + \delta_q \right)^{1/2}. \quad (3.5)$$

By Itô's formula applied to $(\Gamma_t)^q$ we deduce, using inequality (6.4) from Remark 6.2, that, for all $0 \leq t \leq s$ and for all $\delta \in (0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Gamma_t)^q + \frac{q}{2} \int_t^s (\Gamma_r)^{q-4} \left(n_q |M_r - Y_r|^2 + \delta_q \right) |R_r - Z_r|^2 dr - q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r, U_r dQ_r \rangle \\ & \leq (\Gamma_s)^q + q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r, N_r - H(r, Y_r, Z_r) \rangle dQ_r \\ & \quad - q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r, (R_r - Z_r) dB_r \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where $U_t dQ_t \in \partial_y \Psi(t, Y_t) dQ_t$.

Using the subdifferential inequality

$$\langle M_r - Y_r, U_t dQ_t \rangle + \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \leq \Psi(r, M_r) dQ_r$$

we get, from (3.6),

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Gamma_t)^q + \frac{q}{2} \int_t^s (\Gamma_r)^{q-4} \left(n_q |M_r - Y_r|^2 + \delta_q \right) |R_r - Z_r|^2 dr + q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \\ & \leq (\Gamma_s)^q + q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \Psi(r, M_r) dQ_r + q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r, N_r - H(r, Y_r, Z_r) \rangle dQ_r \\ & \quad - q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r, (R_r - Z_r) dB_r \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Remark 3.1. Let $\delta > 0$, $p > 1$ and $q \in \{2, p \wedge 2\}$. We have

$$n_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (p - 1) \wedge 1 \leq q - 1 = (q - 1) \wedge 1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} n_q \leq 1$$

and moreover

- if $q = p \wedge 2$, then $n_q = q - 1 = (p - 1) \wedge 1 = n_p$ and $\delta_q = \delta \mathbf{1}_{p < 2}$;
- if $q = 2$, then $n_p \leq 1 = n_q$ and $\delta_q = 0$.

3.1. Definition and preliminary estimates

Following the approach used for the forward stochastic variational inequalities from article [22], we propose, starting from inequality (3.7) and using $n_q(\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \leq (\Gamma_r)^{q-4} (n_q |M_r - Y_r|^2 + \delta_q)$, the next variational formulation for a solution of the multivalued BSDE (1.2).

Definition 3.2. We say that $(Y_t, Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is an L^p -variational solution of (1.2), where $p > 1$, if:

- $Y : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $Z : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ are two p.m.s.p., Y has continuous trajectories satisfying

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [0, \tau]} e^{pV_r} |Y_r|^p \right) < \infty \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^\tau e^{2V_r} |Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{p/2} + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^\tau e^{2V_r} \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \right)^{p/2} < \infty, \quad (3.9)$$

where V is defined by (2.10);

- $(Y_t, Z_t) = (\xi_t, \zeta_t) = (\eta, 0)$, for $t > \tau$ and

$$e^{pV_T} |Y_T - \xi_T|^p + \left(\int_T^\infty e^{2V_s} |Z_s - \zeta_s|^2 ds \right)^{p/2} \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} 0 \quad (3.10)$$

(where (ξ, ζ) are provided by (2.1));

- let Γ_t be defined by (3.5), i.e. $\Gamma_t = (|M_t - Y_t|^2 + \delta_q)^{1/2}$; then, for every $q \in \{2, p \wedge 2\}$ and $\delta \in (0, 1]$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Gamma_t)^q + \frac{q(q-1)}{2} \int_t^s (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} |R_r - Z_r|^2 dr + q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \\ & \leq (\Gamma_s)^q + q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \Psi(r, M_r) dQ_r + q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r, N_r - H(r, Y_r, Z_r) \rangle dQ_r \\ & \quad - q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r, (R_r - Z_r) dB_r \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

for any $0 \leq t \leq s < \infty$ and $M \in \mathcal{V}_m^0$ of form (3.2), i.e. $M_t = M_T + \int_t^T N_r dQ_r - \int_t^T R_r dB_r$.

Remark 3.3. For $q = 2$ inequality (3.11) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & |M_t - Y_t|^2 + \int_t^s |R_r - Z_r|^2 dr + 2 \int_t^s \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \\ & \leq |M_s - Y_s|^2 + 2 \int_t^s \Psi(r, M_r) dQ_r + 2 \int_t^s \langle M_r - Y_r, N_r - H(r, Y_r, Z_r) \rangle dQ_r \\ & \quad - 2 \int_t^s \langle M_r - Y_r, (R_r - Z_r) dB_r \rangle, \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

which is exactly the definition of the variational solution in the case $p \geq 2$ from article [14] (since, in this case, $q \in \{2, p \wedge 2\}$ means $q = 2$).

Remark 3.4. As we see above, in the case $p \geq 2$, inequality (3.11) from Definition 3.2 should be satisfied only for $q = 2$.

But in the case $1 < p < 2$, we ask inequality (3.11) to be satisfied for $q = p$ and also for $q = 2$ (in order to obtain the existence of a solution $(Y, Z) \in S_m^p \times \Lambda_{m \times k}^p$). This is due to the fact that, without inequality (3.11) accomplished for $q = 2$, we are not able to obtain the estimates for the term $\mathbb{E}(\int_0^T e^{2V_r} |Z_r|^2 dr)^{p/2}$ (see inequality (3.17)) and therefore the fact that $t \mapsto \int_0^t e^{pV_r} (\Gamma_r)^{p-2} \langle Y_r, Z_r dB_r \rangle$ is a martingale (see Prop. 3.7).

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\{\Gamma_t : t \geq 0\}$ be an arbitrary continuous bounded variation p.m.s.p.. Then inequality (3.11) from Definition 3.2 is equivalent to*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & e^{qL_t} (\Gamma_t)^q + q \int_t^s e^{qL_r} (\Gamma_r)^q dL_r + \frac{q}{2} n_q \int_t^s e^{qL_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} |R_r - Z_r|^2 dr \\
 & + q \int_t^s e^{qL_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \\
 & \leq e^{qL_s} (\Gamma_s)^q + q \int_t^s e^{qL_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \Psi(r, M_r) dQ_r \\
 & + q \int_t^s e^{qL_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r, N_r - H(r, Y_r, Z_r) \rangle dQ_r \\
 & - q \int_t^s e^{qL_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r, (R_r - Z_r) dB_r \rangle,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

for any $q \in \{2, p \wedge 2\}$, $\delta \in (0, 1]$, $0 \leq t \leq s < \infty$, and $M \in \mathcal{V}_m^0$ of form (3.2).

Proof. Let $T > 0$ be arbitrary and $0 \leq t \leq s \leq T$. Let $M \in \mathcal{V}_m^0$ of form (3.2) be such that

$$\int_0^T \Psi(r, M_r) dQ_r < \infty, \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}$$

(3.11) \implies (3.13):

We remark that the stochastic process

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Lambda_t & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{q(q-1)}{2} \int_0^t (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} |R_r - Z_r|^2 dr + q \int_0^t (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \\
 & - q \int_0^t (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \Psi(r, M_r) dQ_r - q \int_0^t (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r, N_r - H(r, Y_r, Z_r) \rangle dQ_r \\
 & + q \int_0^t (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r, (R_r - Z_r) dB_r \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

is a local semimartingale, and from (3.11) it follows that

$$t \mapsto (\Gamma_t)^q - \Lambda_t$$

is a continuous nondecreasing stochastic process.

Therefore, $\Gamma^q = [\Gamma^q - \Lambda] + \Lambda$ is a local semimartingale and, for all $0 \leq t \leq s \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^{qL_s} (\Gamma_s)^q - e^{qL_t} (\Gamma_t)^q & = \int_t^s d[e^{qL_r} (\Gamma_r)^q] \\
 & = q \int_t^s e^{qL_r} (\Gamma_r)^q dL_r + \int_t^s e^{qL_r} d[(\Gamma_r)^q - \Lambda_r] + \int_t^s e^{qL_r} d\Lambda_r
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq q \int_t^s e^{qL_r} (\Gamma_r)^q dL_r + \int_t^s e^{qL_r} d\Lambda_r,$$

which clearly yields (3.13).

The implication (3.13) \implies (3.11) is proved in the same manner. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Lambda}_t &= q \int_0^t e^{qL_r} (\Gamma_r)^q dL_r + \frac{q(q-1)}{2} \int_0^t e^{qL_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} |R_r - Z_r|^2 dr \\ &\quad + q \int_0^t e^{qL_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r - q \int_0^t e^{qL_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \Psi(r, M_r) dQ_r \\ &\quad - q \int_0^t e^{qL_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r, N_r - H(r, Y_r, Z_r) \rangle dQ_r \\ &\quad + q \int_0^t e^{qL_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r, (R_r - Z_r) dB_r \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then $t \mapsto (e^{L_t} \Gamma_t)^q - \tilde{\Lambda}_t$ is a continuous nondecreasing stochastic process and

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma_s)^q - (\Gamma_t)^q &= \int_t^s d \left[e^{-qL_r} (e^{L_r} \Gamma_r)^q \right] \\ &= -q \int_t^s e^{-qL_r} (e^{L_r} \Gamma_r)^q dL_r + \int_t^s e^{-qL_r} d \left[(e^{L_r} \Gamma_r)^q - \tilde{\Lambda}_r \right] + \int_t^s e^{-qL_r} d\tilde{\Lambda}_r \\ &\geq -q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r)^q dL_r + \int_t^s e^{-qL_r} d\tilde{\Lambda}_r. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.6. In the following results we will often use the continuous bounded variation p.m.s.p. $\{V_t : t \geq 0\}$ given by (2.10) in the place of $\{L_t : t \geq 0\}$.

Proposition 3.7. Let $(Y_t, Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$ an L^p -variational solution in the sense of Definition 3.2 and $q = p \wedge 2$, and $M \in \mathcal{V}_m^q$ of form (3.2). Then

$$t \mapsto \int_0^t e^{qV_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r, (R_r - Z_r) dB_r \rangle$$

is a continuous martingale.

If moreover

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{V_r} |H(r, Y_r, Z_r)| dQ_r \right)^{p \wedge 2} < \infty,$$

then, for all $T \geq 0$, $M \in \mathcal{V}_m^q$ of form (3.2), and for all stopping times $0 \leq \sigma \leq \theta \leq T$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & e^{qV_\sigma} (\Gamma_\sigma)^q + q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \int_\sigma^\theta e^{qV_r} (\Gamma_r)^q dV_r + \frac{q(q-1)}{2} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \int_\sigma^\theta e^{qV_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} |R_r - Z_r|^2 dr \\
 & + q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \int_\sigma^\theta e^{qV_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} e^{qV_\theta} (\Gamma_\theta)^q + q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \int_\sigma^\theta e^{qV_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \Psi(r, M_r) dQ_r \\
 & + q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \int_\sigma^\theta e^{qV_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r, N_r - H(r, Y_r, Z_r) \rangle dQ_r, \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s..
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T e^{2qV_r} (\Gamma_r)^{2q-4} |M_r - Y_r|^2 |R_r - Z_r|^2 dr \right]^{1/2} \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T e^{2qV_r} (\Gamma_r)^{2q-2} |R_r - Z_r|^2 dr \right]^{1/2} \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{r \in [0, T]} \left(e^{(q-1)V_r} (|M_r - Y_r|^2 + \delta_q)^{(q-1)/2} \right) \cdot \left(\int_0^T e^{2V_r} |R_r - Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{1/2} \right] \\
 & \leq \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [0, T]} e^{qV_r} (|M_r - Y_r|^2 + \delta_q)^{q/2} \right) \right]^{(q-1)/q} \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{2V_r} |R_r - Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{q/2} \right]^{1/q} \\
 & < \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

since, from (2.12),

$$(\delta_q)^{q/2} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [0, T]} e^{qV_r} \right) < \infty, \quad \text{for all } T > 0$$

and inequalities (3.1), (3.3), (3.8) and (3.9) hold.

Consequently, the stochastic integral $t \mapsto \int_0^t e^{qV_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r, (R_r - Z_r) dB_r \rangle$ is a continuous martingale.

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{qV_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} |\langle M_r - Y_r, N_r - H(r, Y_r, Z_r) \rangle| dQ_r \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{qV_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-1} [|N_r| + |H(r, Y_r, Z_r)|] dQ_r \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{r \in [0, T]} \left(e^{(q-1)V_r} (|M_r - Y_r|^2 + \delta_q)^{(q-1)/2} \right) \cdot \left(\int_0^T e^{V_r} [|N_r| + |H(r, Y_r, Z_r)|] dQ_r \right) \right] \\
 & \leq \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [0, T]} e^{qV_r} (|M_r - Y_r|^2 + \delta_q)^{q/2} \right) \right]^{(q-1)/q} \\
 & \quad \cdot \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{V_r} [|N_r| + |H(r, Y_r, Z_r)|] dQ_r \right)^q \right]^{1/q} \\
 & < \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using inequality (3.13) with $L = V$, inequality (3.14) follows. \square

Remark 3.8. From Section 3 (see the proof of inequality (3.7)) we see that a strong solution $(Y, Z, K) \in S_m^0 \times \Lambda_{m \times k}^0 \times \Lambda_m^0$ of BSDE (1.2), such that (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10) are satisfied, is also an L^p -variational solution. Conversely, we have the next result.

Corollary 3.9. *If (Y, Z) is an L^p -variational solution of BSDE (1.2) with $\varphi = \psi = 0$, V is a continuous nondecreasing process and*

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{V_r} |H(r, Y_r, Z_r)| dQ_r \right)^{p \wedge 2} < \infty, \quad \text{for all } T > 0,$$

then $(Y, Z, 0)$ is a strong solution of BSDE (1.2).

Proof. By Corollary 2.45 of [21] there exists a unique $(M, R) \in S_m^q[0, T] \times \Lambda_{m \times k}^q(0, T)$ such that

$$M_t = Y_T + \int_t^T H(r, Y_r, Z_r) dQ_r - \int_t^T R_r dB_r$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} e^{qV_r} |M_r|^q + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{2V_r} |R_r|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \\ & \leq C_q \mathbb{E} \left[e^{qV_T} |Y_T|^q + \left(\int_0^T e^{V_r} |H(r, Y_r, Z_r)| dQ_r \right)^q \right] \end{aligned}$$

for $q = p \wedge 2$.

With this M inequality (3.13) becomes (since $\Psi = 0$) \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{qV_t} (\Gamma_t)^q + q \int_t^s e^{qV_r} (\Gamma_r)^q dV_r + \frac{q}{2} n_q \int_t^s e^{qV_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} |R_r - Z_r|^2 dr \\ & \leq e^{qV_s} (\Gamma_s)^q - q \int_t^s e^{qV_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r, (R_r - Z_r) dB_r \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for any $\delta \in (0, 1]$ and $0 \leq t \leq s < \infty$.

By Proposition 3.7, the stochastic integral is a martingale and therefore we obtain, using the last inequality with $s = T$, for all $0 \leq t \leq T$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$e^{qV_t} (\Gamma_t)^q + \frac{q}{2} n_q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \int_t^T e^{qV_r} \frac{1}{(|M_r - Y_r|^2 + 1)^{(2-q)/2}} |R_r - Z_r|^2 dr \leq (\delta_q)^{q/2} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} e^{qV_T}, \quad (3.15)$$

since $M_T = Y_T$ and V is nondecreasing.

Passing to limit as $\delta \rightarrow 0_+$ we obtain, by Fatou's Lemma, for all $0 \leq t \leq T$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$e^{qV_t} |M_t - Y_t|^q + \frac{q(q-1)}{2} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \int_t^T e^{qV_r} \frac{1}{(|M_r - Y_r|^2 + 1)^{(2-q)/2}} |R_r - Z_r|^2 dr = 0,$$

which clearly yields $(M, R) = (Y, Z)$ in $S_m^q[0, T] \times \Lambda_{m \times k}^q(0, T)$, hence

$$Y_t = Y_T + \int_t^T H(r, Y_r, Z_r) dQ_r - \int_t^T Z_r dB_r.$$

□

Proposition 3.10. *Let $M \in \mathcal{V}_m^0$ of form (3.2). Let $Y : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $Z : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ be two p.m.s.p. such that Y has continuous trajectories and \mathbb{P} -a.s.,*

- (i) $\int_0^T e^{2V_r} |R_r - Z_r|^2 dr + \int_0^T e^{2V_r} \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r < \infty$, for all $T > 0$,
- (ii) $\Psi(r, M_r) \leq \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} \Psi(r, M_r)$,
- (iii) $\langle M_r - Y_r, N_r \rangle dQ_r \leq |M_r - Y_r| dL_r$, a.e. $r \in [0, T]$,

with L an increasing and continuous p.m.s.p. with $L_0 = 0$.

I. *If inequality (3.11) holds for $q = 2$, then, for all $a > 0$ and for any stopping times $0 \leq \sigma \leq \theta < \infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{2V_r} |R_r - Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{a/2} + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{2V_r} \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \right)^{a/2} \\
 & \leq C_{a,\lambda} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[\sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta]} e^{aV_r} |M_r - Y_r|^a + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{V_r} \Psi(r, M_r) dQ_r \right)^{a/2} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{V_r} |M_r - Y_r| [dL_r + |H(r, M_r, R_r)| dQ_r] \right)^{a/2} \right] \\
 & \leq 2C_{a,\lambda} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[\sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta]} e^{aV_r} |M_r - Y_r|^a + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{V_r} \Psi(r, M_r) dQ_r \right)^{a/2} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{V_r} [dL_r + |H(r, M_r, R_r)| dQ_r] \right)^a \right], \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s..
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

In particular, for $\gamma = 0, N = 0, R = 0$ (hence $M = 0$) and $L = 0$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{2V_r} |Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{a/2} + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{2V_r} \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \right)^{a/2} \\
 & \leq C_{a,\lambda} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[\sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta]} e^{aV_r} |Y_r|^a + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{V_r} |Y_r| |H(r, 0, 0)| dQ_r \right)^{a/2} \right] \\
 & \leq 2C_{a,\lambda} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta]} e^{aV_r} |Y_r|^a + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{V_r} |H(r, 0, 0)| dQ_r \right)^a \right], \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s..
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

II. *If inequality (3.11) holds and for some fixed stopping times $0 \leq \sigma \leq \theta < \infty$, $1 < q \leq a$*

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta]} e^{aV_r} |M_r - Y_r|^a \right) < \infty, \tag{3.18}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta]} e^{aV_r} |M_r - Y_r|^a \\
 & \leq C_{\lambda, q, a} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[e^{aV_\theta} |M_\theta - Y_\theta|^a + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{V_r} |M_r - Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} \Psi(r, M_r) dQ_r \right)^{a/q} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{qV_r} |M_r - Y_r|^{q-1} [dL_r + |H(r, M_r, R_r)| dQ_r] \right)^{a/q} \right], \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta]} e^{aV_r} |M_r - Y_r|^a \right) + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{qV_r} |M_r - Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{M_r \neq Y_r} |R_r - Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{a/q} \\
& \quad + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{qV_r} |M_r - Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{M_r \neq Y_r} \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \right)^{a/q} \\
& \leq C_{\lambda, q, a} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[e^{aV_\theta} |M_\theta - Y_\theta|^a + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{V_r} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} \Psi(r, M_r) dQ_r \right)^{a/2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{V_r} [dL_r + |H(r, M_r, R_r)| dQ_r] \right)^a \right], \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s..
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

In particular, for $\gamma = 0, N = 0, R = 0$ (hence $M = 0$) and $L = 0$, it follows

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta]} e^{aV_r} |Y_r|^a \leq C_{\lambda, q, a} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[e^{aV_\theta} |Y_\theta|^a + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{qV_r} |Y_r|^{q-1} |H(r, 0, 0)| dQ_r \right)^{a/q} \right] \tag{3.21}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta]} e^{aV_r} |Y_r|^a \right) + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{qV_r} |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{M_r \neq Y_r} |Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{a/q} \\
& \quad + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{qV_r} |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{M_r \neq Y_r} \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \right)^{a/q} \\
& \leq C_{\lambda, q, a} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[e^{aV_\theta} |Y_\theta|^a + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{V_r} |H(r, 0, 0)| dQ_r \right)^a \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Proof. Using the monotonicity of H we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle M_r - Y_r, -H(r, Y_r, Z_r) dQ_r \rangle \\
& = \langle M_r - Y_r, -H(r, M_r, R_r) dQ_r \rangle + \langle M_r - Y_r, H(r, M_r, R_r) - H(r, Y_r, Z_r) dQ_r \rangle \\
& \leq |M_r - Y_r| |H(r, M_r, R_r)| dQ_r + |M_r - Y_r|^2 dV_r + \frac{n_p \lambda}{2} |R_r - Z_r|^2 ds \\
& = |M_r - Y_r| |H(r, M_r, R_r)| dQ_r + (\Gamma_r)^2 dV_r - \delta_q dV_r + \frac{n_p \lambda}{2} |R_r - Z_r|^2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

If we suppose that (3.11) is satisfied, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\Gamma_t)^q + \frac{q(n_q - n_p \lambda)}{2} \int_t^s (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} |R_r - Z_r|^2 dr \\
& \quad + q \delta_q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} dV_r + q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \\
& \leq (\Gamma_s)^q + q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r)^q dV_r + q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} \Psi(r, M_r) dQ_r \\
& \quad + q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} |M_r - Y_r| [dL_r + |H(r, M_r, R_r)| dQ_r] \\
& \quad - q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r, (R_r - Z_r) dB_r \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq s < \infty$.

Since $q \in \{2, p \wedge 2\}$, we have (see Rem. 3.1)

$$n_q - n_p \lambda \geq n_q (1 - \lambda) = (q - 1)(1 - \lambda)$$

and therefore we deduce, using a stochastic Gronwall's type inequality for the previous inequality (see, for instance, [16], Lem. 12),

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{qV_t} (\Gamma_t)^q + \frac{q}{2} n_q (1 - \lambda) \int_t^s e^{qV_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} |R_r - Z_r|^2 dr + q \delta_q \int_t^s e^{qV_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} dV_r \\ & + q \int_t^s e^{qV_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \\ & \leq e^{qV_s} (\Gamma_s)^q + q \int_t^s e^{qV_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} \Psi(r, M_r) dQ_r \\ & + q \int_t^s e^{qV_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} |M_r - Y_r| [dL_r + |H(r, M_r, R_r)| dQ_r] \\ & - q \int_t^s e^{qV_r} (\Gamma_r)^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r, (R_r - Z_r) dB_r \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

I. Writing (3.23) for $q = 2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{2V_t} |M_t - Y_t|^2 + (1 - \lambda) \int_t^s e^{2V_r} |R_r - Z_r|^2 dr + 2 \int_t^s e^{2V_r} \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \\ & \leq e^{2V_s} |M_s - Y_s|^2 + 2 \int_t^s e^{qV_r} \Psi(r, M_r) dQ_r + 2 \int_t^s e^{2V_r} |M_r - Y_r| [dL_r + |H(r, M_r, R_r)| dQ_r] \\ & - 2 \int_t^s e^{2V_r} \langle M_r - Y_r, (R_r - Z_r) dB_r \rangle, \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq s < \infty$, which yields (3.16), by Proposition 6.3 from the Appendix.

II. Using Fatou's Lemma, Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and the continuity in probability of the stochastic integral we clearly deduce from (3.23), as $\delta \rightarrow 0_+$, that:

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{qV_t} |M_t - Y_t|^q + \frac{q}{2} (q - 1)(1 - \lambda) \int_t^s e^{qV_r} |M_r - Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{M_r \neq Y_r} |R_r - Z_r|^2 dr \\ & + q \int_t^s e^{qV_r} |M_r - Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{M_r \neq Y_r} \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \\ & \leq e^{qV_s} |M_s - Y_s|^q + q \int_t^s e^{qV_r} |M_r - Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} \Psi(r, M_r) dQ_r \\ & + q \int_t^s e^{qV_r} |M_r - Y_r|^{q-1} [dL_r + |H(r, M_r, R_r)| dQ_r] \\ & - q \int_t^s e^{qV_r} |M_r - Y_r|^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r, (R_r - Z_r) dB_r \rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

since $\Gamma_r \xrightarrow[\delta \rightarrow 0_+]{} |M_r - Y_r| \mathbf{1}_{M_r \neq Y_r}$, \mathbb{P} -a.s., for all $r \geq 0$.

Using Proposition 6.4 and Remark 6.5 we get (3.19) and (3.20). \square

4. UNIQUENESS AND CONTINUITY OF L^p -VARIATIONAL SOLUTIONS

In order to prove the uniqueness of the variational solution it is sufficient that inequality (3.11) from Definition 3.2 is satisfied only for $q = p \wedge 2$. The both cases $q \in \{p \wedge 2, 2\}$ are necessarily for the existence of a solution (the case $q = 2$ is needed for obtaining the estimates for the term $\mathbb{E}(\int_0^T e^{2V_r} |Z_r|^2 dr)^{p/2}$ (see also Rem. 3.4).

Theorem 4.1 (Continuity). *We suppose that assumptions (A₁ – A₆) are satisfied. Let $(\hat{Y}, \hat{Z}), (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z})$ be two L^p -variational solutions of (1.2) corresponding to $(\hat{\eta}, \hat{H})$ and $(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{H})$ respectively, where \hat{H} and \tilde{H} have the same coefficients μ, ν, ℓ .*

Then, for any stopping time $0 \leq \sigma \leq \tau$, it holds, \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{qV_\sigma} |\hat{Y}_\sigma - \tilde{Y}_\sigma|^q + c_{q,\lambda} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \int_\sigma^\tau e^{qV_r} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{\hat{Y}_r \neq \tilde{Y}_r} |\hat{Z}_r - \tilde{Z}_r|^2 dr \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} e^{qV_\tau} |\hat{\eta} - \tilde{\eta}|^q + q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \int_\sigma^\tau e^{qV_r} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^{q-1} |\hat{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r) - \tilde{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r)| dQ_r \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} e^{qV_\tau} |\hat{\eta} - \tilde{\eta}|^q \\
& \quad + C_{q,\lambda} [\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} (\Lambda_{\sigma,\tau})]^{(q-1)/q} \cdot \left[\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^\tau e^{V_r} |\hat{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r) - \tilde{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r)| dQ_r \right)^q \right]^{1/q},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$\Lambda_{\sigma,\tau} = e^{qV_\tau} |\hat{\eta}|^q + e^{qV_\tau} |\tilde{\eta}|^q + \left(\int_\sigma^\tau e^{V_r} |\hat{H}(r, 0, 0)| dQ_r \right)^q + \left(\int_\sigma^\tau e^{V_r} |\tilde{H}(r, 0, 0)| dQ_r \right)^q \tag{4.2}$$

and $c_{q,\lambda}, C_{q,\lambda} > 0$.

Moreover, for all $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0,\tau]} e^{\alpha q V_t} |\hat{Y}_t - \tilde{Y}_t|^{\alpha q} + (q-1) \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^\tau \frac{1}{(e^{V_r} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r| + 1)^{2-q}} e^{2V_r} |\hat{Z}_r - \tilde{Z}_r|^2 dr \right)^\alpha \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0,\tau]} e^{\alpha q V_t} |\hat{Y}_t - \tilde{Y}_t|^{\alpha q} + (q-1) \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^\tau e^{qV_r} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^{q-2} |\hat{Z}_r - \tilde{Z}_r|^2 dr \right)^\alpha \\
& \leq C_{\alpha,q,\lambda} (\mathbb{E} e^{qV_\tau} |\hat{\eta} - \tilde{\eta}|^q)^\alpha \\
& \quad + C_{\alpha,q,\lambda} [\mathbb{E} (\Lambda_{\sigma,\tau})]^{(q-1)/q} \cdot \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^\tau e^{V_r} |\hat{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r) - \tilde{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r)| dQ_r \right)^q \right)^{\alpha/q},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where $\Lambda_{\sigma,\tau}$ is given by (4.2) and $C_{\alpha,q,\lambda} > 0$.

Corollary 4.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 the uniqueness of the L^p -variational solution holds.*

Proof. The uniqueness property of the L^p -variational solution follows clearly from continuity property (4.3). Indeed, if $\hat{\eta} = \tilde{\eta}$ and $\hat{H} = \tilde{H}$, we conclude, from (4.3), in the case $q > 1$, that $\hat{Y} = \tilde{Y}$ in S_m^0 and $\hat{Z} = \tilde{Z}$ in $\Lambda_{m \times k}^0$. \square

Remark 4.3. We also notice that both results (uniqueness by continuity property (4.3) and existence of a variational solution, by Thm. 5.5) hold true in the case of infinite dimensional spaces without any major change in their proofs; the suitable framework is that from [14].

Proof. Step I. *Obtaining of inequality (4.8) for an approximation M^ε*

Let $M \in \mathcal{V}_m^q$ of form (3.2) and, following (3.5), we define

$$\hat{\Gamma}_t = (|M_t - \hat{Y}_t|^2 + \delta_q)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_t = (|M_t - \tilde{Y}_t|^2 + \delta_q)^{1/2}.$$

Let $T > 0$ and the stopping times $0 \leq \sigma \leq \theta \leq T \wedge \tau$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\sigma}^{\theta} e^{V_r} \left[|\hat{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r)| + |\tilde{H}(r, \tilde{Y}_r, \tilde{Z}_r)| \right] dQ_r \right)^q < \infty. \quad (4.4)$$

Using (3.14) (which is an equivalent form of (3.11)), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & [e^{qV_{\sigma}} (\hat{\Gamma}_{\sigma})^q + e^{qV_{\sigma}} (\tilde{\Gamma}_{\sigma})^q] + q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}} \int_{\sigma}^{\theta} e^{qV_r} [(\hat{\Gamma}_r)^q + (\tilde{\Gamma}_r)^q] dV_r \\ & + \frac{q}{2} n_q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}} \int_{\sigma}^{\theta} e^{qV_r} \left[(\hat{\Gamma}_r)^{q-2} |R_r - \hat{Z}_r|^2 + (\tilde{\Gamma}_r)^{q-2} |R_r - \tilde{Z}_r|^2 \right] dr \\ & + q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}} \int_{\sigma}^{\theta} e^{qV_r} \left[(\hat{\Gamma}_r)^{q-2} \Psi(r, \hat{Y}_r) + (\tilde{\Gamma}_r)^{q-2} \Psi(r, \tilde{Y}_r) \right] dQ_r \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}} [e^{qV_{\theta}} (\hat{\Gamma}_{\theta})^q + e^{qV_{\theta}} (\tilde{\Gamma}_{\theta})^q] + q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}} \int_{\sigma}^{\theta} e^{qV_r} [(\hat{\Gamma}_r)^{q-2} + (\tilde{\Gamma}_r)^{q-2}] \Psi(r, M_r) dQ_r \\ & + q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}} \int_{\sigma}^{\theta} e^{qV_r} (\hat{\Gamma}_r)^{q-2} \langle M_r - \hat{Y}_r, N_r - \hat{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r) \rangle dQ_r \\ & + q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}} \int_{\sigma}^{\theta} e^{qV_r} (\tilde{\Gamma}_r)^{q-2} \langle M_r - \tilde{Y}_r, N_r - \tilde{H}(r, \tilde{Y}_r, \tilde{Z}_r) \rangle dQ_r, \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.} \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Let

$$Y_r \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} (\hat{Y}_r + \tilde{Y}_r).$$

We have, for all $\beta > 0$, by Young's inequality¹,

$$\begin{aligned} |M_r - \hat{Y}_r|^2 & \leq \frac{1+\beta}{\beta} |M_r - Y_r|^2 + \frac{1+\beta}{4} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^2, \\ |M_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^2 & \leq \frac{1+\beta}{\beta} |M_r - Y_r|^2 + \frac{1+\beta}{4} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & (\hat{\Gamma}_r)^{q-2} |R_r - \hat{Z}_r|^2 + (\tilde{\Gamma}_r)^{q-2} |R_r - \tilde{Z}_r|^2 \\ & = (|M_r - \hat{Y}_r|^2 + \delta_q)^{(q-2)/2} |R_r - \hat{Z}_r|^2 + (|M_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^2 + \delta_q)^{(q-2)/2} |R_r - \tilde{Z}_r|^2 \\ & \geq \left[\frac{1+\beta}{\beta} |M_r - Y_r|^2 + \frac{1+\beta}{4} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^2 + \delta_q \right]^{(q-2)/2} \left[|R_r - \hat{Z}_r|^2 + |R_r - \tilde{Z}_r|^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

¹ Indeed, for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $\beta > 0$, we have, by Young's inequality,

$$(a+b)^2 = a^2 + b^2 + 2ab \leq a^2 + b^2 + \frac{1}{\beta} a^2 + \beta b^2 = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta}\right) a^2 + (1+\beta) b^2.$$

since $1 < q \leq 2$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & (\hat{\Gamma}_r)^{q-2} |R_r - \hat{Z}_r|^2 + (\tilde{\Gamma}_r)^{q-2} |R_r - \tilde{Z}_r|^2 \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1+\beta}{\beta} |M_r - Y_r|^2 + \frac{1+\beta}{4} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^2 + \delta_q \right]^{(q-2)/2} |\hat{Z}_r - \tilde{Z}_r|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Let $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ and

$$M_t^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \int_{t \vee \varepsilon}^\infty e^{-\frac{Q_r - Q_{t \vee \varepsilon}}{Q_\varepsilon}} Y_r dQ_r, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Then, by Proposition 6.12, $(M^\varepsilon, R^\varepsilon) \in S_m^p \times \Lambda_{m \times k}^p$ is the unique solution of the BSDE:

$$\begin{cases} M_t^\varepsilon = M_T^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_t^T \mathbf{1}_{[\varepsilon, \infty)}(r) (Y_r - M_r^\varepsilon) dQ_r - \int_t^T R_r^\varepsilon dB_r, & \text{for any } T > 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \\ \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} |M_T^\varepsilon - \xi_T|^p = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

- (a) $|M_t^\varepsilon| \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \sup_{r \geq 0} |Y_r|$, \mathbb{P} -a.s., for all $t \geq 0$,
- (b) $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} M_t^\varepsilon = Y_t$, \mathbb{P} -a.s., for all $t \geq 0$,
- (c) $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |M_t^\varepsilon - Y_t|^p = 0$, for all $T > 0$.

We will replace now in (4.5) M by M^ε , R by R^ε and N by $N^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \mathbf{1}_{[\varepsilon, \infty)}(r) (Y_r - M_r^\varepsilon)$. This is possible since our M^ε is from \mathcal{V}_m^p and of form (3.2).

We see first that, for any $r \geq \varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M_r^\varepsilon - \hat{Y}_r, N_r^\varepsilon \rangle &= \langle M_r^\varepsilon - \hat{Y}_r, \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} (Y_r - M_r^\varepsilon) \rangle = \frac{1}{2Q_\varepsilon} \langle M_r^\varepsilon - \hat{Y}_r, (\hat{Y}_r - M_r^\varepsilon) + (\tilde{Y}_r - M_r^\varepsilon) \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2Q_\varepsilon} \left[-|M_r^\varepsilon - \hat{Y}_r|^2 + |M_r^\varepsilon - \hat{Y}_r| |M_r^\varepsilon - \tilde{Y}_r| \right] = \frac{1}{2Q_\varepsilon} \left[|M_r^\varepsilon - \tilde{Y}_r| - |M_r^\varepsilon - \hat{Y}_r| \right] |M_r^\varepsilon - \hat{Y}_r| \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\langle M_r^\varepsilon - \tilde{Y}_r, N_r^\varepsilon \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2Q_\varepsilon} \left[|M_r^\varepsilon - \hat{Y}_r| - |M_r^\varepsilon - \tilde{Y}_r| \right] |M_r^\varepsilon - \tilde{Y}_r|.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & (\hat{\Gamma}_r^\varepsilon)^{q-2} \langle M_r^\varepsilon - \hat{Y}_r, N_r^\varepsilon \rangle + (\tilde{\Gamma}_r^\varepsilon)^{q-2} \langle M_r^\varepsilon - \tilde{Y}_r, N_r^\varepsilon \rangle \\ &= (|M_r^\varepsilon - \hat{Y}_r|^2 + \delta_q)^{(q-2)/2} \langle M_r^\varepsilon - \hat{Y}_r, N_r^\varepsilon \rangle + (|M_r^\varepsilon - \tilde{Y}_r|^2 + \delta_q)^{(q-2)/2} \langle M_r^\varepsilon - \tilde{Y}_r, N_r^\varepsilon \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{-1}{2Q_\varepsilon} \left[(|M_r^\varepsilon - \hat{Y}_r|^2 + \delta_q)^{(q-2)/2} |M_r^\varepsilon - \hat{Y}_r| - (|M_r^\varepsilon - \tilde{Y}_r|^2 + \delta_q)^{(q-2)/2} |M_r^\varepsilon - \tilde{Y}_r| \right] \\ &\quad \cdot \left[|M_r^\varepsilon - \hat{Y}_r| - |M_r^\varepsilon - \tilde{Y}_r| \right] \leq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

since we have, for all $a, b \geq 0$, $\delta \geq 0$ and $\beta \geq -1/2$,

$$\left[(a^2 + \delta)^\beta a - (b^2 + \delta)^\beta b \right] (a - b) \geq 0.$$

We use inequalities (4.6) and (4.7) and inequality (4.5) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[e^{qV_\sigma} (\hat{\Gamma}_\sigma^\varepsilon)^q + e^{qV_\sigma} (\tilde{\Gamma}_\sigma^\varepsilon)^q \right] + q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \int_\sigma^\theta e^{qV_r} \left[(\hat{\Gamma}_r^\varepsilon)^q + (\tilde{\Gamma}_r^\varepsilon)^q \right] dV_r \\ & + \frac{q}{4} n_q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \int_\sigma^\theta e^{qV_r} \left[\frac{1+\beta}{\beta} |M_r^\varepsilon - Y_r|^2 + \frac{1+\beta}{4} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^2 + \delta_q \right]^{(q-2)/2} |\hat{Z}_r - \tilde{Z}_r|^2 dr \\ & + q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \int_\sigma^\theta e^{qV_r} \left[(\hat{\Gamma}_r^\varepsilon)^{q-2} \Psi(r, \hat{Y}_r) + (\tilde{\Gamma}_r^\varepsilon)^{q-2} \Psi(r, \tilde{Y}_r) \right] dQ_r \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[e^{qV_\theta} (\hat{\Gamma}_\theta^\varepsilon)^q + e^{qV_\theta} (\tilde{\Gamma}_\theta^\varepsilon)^q \right] + q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \int_\sigma^\theta e^{qV_r} \left[(\hat{\Gamma}_r^\varepsilon)^{q-2} + (\tilde{\Gamma}_r^\varepsilon)^{q-2} \right] \Psi(r, M_r^\varepsilon) dQ_r \\ & + q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \int_\sigma^\theta e^{qV_r} \left[(\hat{\Gamma}_r^\varepsilon)^{q-2} \langle M_r^\varepsilon - \hat{Y}_r, -\hat{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r) \rangle + (\tilde{\Gamma}_r^\varepsilon)^{q-2} \langle M_r^\varepsilon - \tilde{Y}_r, -\tilde{H}(r, \tilde{Y}_r, \tilde{Z}_r) \rangle \right] dQ_r. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Step II. Working with a sequence of stopping times

Let $0 \leq u \leq v$ and the stopping times $v^* = Q_v^{-1}$, $u^* = Q_u^{-1}$, where Q^{-1} is the inverse of the function $r \mapsto Q_r : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$.

Let, for each $k, i \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the stopping times²

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k = \inf \left\{ u \geq 0 : \uparrow V \downarrow_u + \sup_{r \in [0, u]} |e^{V_r} \hat{Y}_r - \hat{Y}_0| + \sup_{r \in [0, u]} |e^{V_r} \tilde{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_0| + \int_0^u e^{2V_r} |\hat{Z}_r|^2 dr \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^u e^{2V_r} |\tilde{Z}_r|^2 dr + \int_0^u e^{V_r} |\hat{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r)| dQ_r + \int_0^u e^{V_r} |\tilde{H}(r, \tilde{Y}_r, \tilde{Z}_r)| dQ_r \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^u e^{2V_r} \Psi(r, \hat{Y}_r) dQ_r + \int_0^u e^{2V_r} \Psi(r, \tilde{Y}_r) dQ_r \geq k \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

and define

$$u_k^* = \sigma \wedge u^* \wedge \alpha_k \quad \text{and} \quad v_{k+i}^* = \theta \wedge v^* \wedge \alpha_{k+i}$$

(in which case (4.4) is satisfied).

We consider in (4.8)

$$\sigma = u_k^* \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = v_{k+i}^*$$

² The notation $\uparrow V \downarrow_t$ means the total variation of the function $V \in \text{BV}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R})$ on the interval $[0, t]$. For details see Section 6.3.5 of [21].

and passing to $\liminf_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}$ we obtain (using Prop. 6.12, Fatou's Lemma and Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem):

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 e^{qV_{u_k^*}} \left(\frac{1}{4} |\hat{Y}_{u_k^*} - \tilde{Y}_{u_k^*}|^2 + \delta_q \right)^{q/2} + 2q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{u_k^*}} \int_{u_k^*}^{v_{k+i}^*} e^{qV_r} \left(\frac{1}{4} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^2 + \delta_q \right)^{q/2} dV_r \\
& + \frac{q}{4} n_q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{u_k^*}} \int_{u_k^*}^{v_{k+i}^*} e^{qV_r} \left(\frac{1+\beta}{4} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^2 + \delta_q \right)^{(q-2)/2} |\hat{Z}_r - \tilde{Z}_r|^2 dr \\
& + q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{u_k^*}} \int_{u_k^*}^{v_{k+i}^*} e^{qV_r} \left(\frac{1}{4} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^2 + \delta_q \right)^{(q-2)/2} [\Psi(r, \hat{Y}_r) + \Psi(r, \tilde{Y}_r)] dQ_r \\
& \leq 2 \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{u_k^*}} e^{qV_{v_{k+i}^*}} \left(\frac{1}{4} |\hat{Y}_{v_{k+i}^*} - \tilde{Y}_{v_{k+i}^*}|^2 + \delta_q \right)^{q/2} \\
& + q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{u_k^*}} \int_{u_k^*}^{v_{k+i}^*} e^{qV_r} \left(\frac{1}{4} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^2 + \delta_q \right)^{(q-2)/2} \cdot 2\Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \\
& + \frac{q}{2} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{u_k^*}} \int_{u_k^*}^{v_{k+i}^*} e^{qV_r} \left(\frac{1}{4} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^2 + \delta_q \right)^{(q-2)/2} \langle \hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r, \hat{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r) - \tilde{H}(r, \tilde{Y}_r, \tilde{Z}_r) \rangle dQ_r.
\end{aligned}$$

By Fatou's Lemma, as $\beta \rightarrow 0$, we deduce that the previous inequality holds true also for $\beta = 0$.

We remark now that

$$2\Psi(r, Y_r) = 2\Psi\left(r, \frac{1}{2}\hat{Y}_r + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{Y}_r\right) \leq \Psi(r, \hat{Y}_r) + \Psi(r, \tilde{Y}_r)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r, \hat{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r) - \tilde{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r) + \tilde{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r) - \tilde{H}(r, \tilde{Y}_r, \tilde{Z}_r) \rangle dQ_r \\
& \leq \langle \hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r, \hat{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r) - \tilde{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r) \rangle dQ_r + |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^2 dV_r + \frac{n_p \lambda}{2} |\hat{Z}_r - \tilde{Z}_r|^2 dr.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 e^{qV_{u_k^*}} \left(\frac{1}{4} |\hat{Y}_{u_k^*} - \tilde{Y}_{u_k^*}|^2 + \delta_q \right)^{q/2} + 2q\delta_q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{u_k^*}} \int_{u_k^*}^{v_{k+i}^*} e^{qV_r} \left(\frac{1}{4} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^2 + \delta_q \right)^{(q-2)/2} dV_r \\
& + \frac{q}{4} (n_q - n_p \lambda) \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{u_k^*}} \int_{u_k^*}^{v_{k+i}^*} e^{qV_r} \left(\frac{1}{4} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^2 + \delta_q \right)^{(q-2)/2} |\hat{Z}_r - \tilde{Z}_r|^2 dr \\
& \leq 2 \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{u_k^*}} e^{qV_{v_{k+i}^*}} \left(\frac{1}{4} |\hat{Y}_{v_{k+i}^*} - \tilde{Y}_{v_{k+i}^*}|^2 + \delta_q \right)^{q/2} \\
& + \frac{q}{2} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{u_k^*}} \int_{u_k^*}^{v_{k+i}^*} e^{qV_r} \left(\frac{1}{4} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^2 + \delta_q \right)^{(q-2)/2} \langle \hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r, \hat{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r) - \tilde{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r) \rangle dQ_r.
\end{aligned}$$

Step III. *Passing to the limit*

Since $q \in \{2, p \wedge 2\}$, we have (see Rem. 3.1)

$$n_q - n_p \lambda \geq n_q (1 - \lambda) = (q - 1) (1 - \lambda),$$

passing to $\lim_{u,v \rightarrow \infty}$, by Fatou's Lemma and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem and using the continuity of the natural filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_r : r \geq 0\}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2 e^{qV_{\sigma \wedge \alpha_k}} \left(\frac{1}{4} |\hat{Y}_{\sigma \wedge \alpha_k} - \tilde{Y}_{\sigma \wedge \alpha_k}|^2 + \delta_q \right)^{q/2} \\
 & + 2q\delta_q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\sigma \wedge \alpha_k}} \int_{\sigma \wedge \alpha_k}^{\theta \wedge \alpha_{k+i}} e^{qV_r} \left(\frac{1}{4} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^2 + \delta_q \right)^{(q-2)/2} dV_r \\
 & + \frac{q}{4} (q-1) (1-\lambda) \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\sigma \wedge \alpha_k}} \int_{\sigma \wedge \alpha_k}^{\theta \wedge \alpha_{k+i}} e^{qV_r} \left(\frac{1}{4} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^2 + \delta_q \right)^{(q-2)/2} |\hat{Z}_r - \tilde{Z}_r|^2 dr \\
 & \leq 2 \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\sigma \wedge \alpha_k}} e^{qV_{\theta \wedge \alpha_{k+i}}} \left(\frac{1}{4} |\hat{Y}_{\theta \wedge \alpha_{k+i}} - \tilde{Y}_{\theta \wedge \alpha_{k+i}}|^2 + \delta_q \right)^{q/2} \\
 & + q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\sigma \wedge \alpha_k}} \int_{\sigma \wedge \alpha_k}^{\theta \wedge \alpha_{k+i}} e^{qV_r} \left(\frac{1}{4} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^2 + \delta_q \right)^{(q-1)/2} |\hat{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r) - \tilde{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r)| dQ_r.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Passing to the limit, as $\delta \rightarrow 0_+$, by Fatou's Lemma for the left-hand side and inequality

$$\delta_q \left(\frac{1}{4} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^2 + \delta_q \right)^{(q-2)/2} \leq \delta_q^{q/2},$$

and by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem for the right-hand side we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & e^{qV_{\sigma \wedge \alpha_k}} |\hat{Y}_{\sigma \wedge \alpha_k} - \tilde{Y}_{\sigma \wedge \alpha_k}|^q \\
 & + \frac{q}{2} (q-1) (1-\lambda) \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\sigma \wedge \alpha_k}} \int_{\sigma \wedge \alpha_k}^{\theta \wedge \alpha_{k+i}} e^{qV_r} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^{q-2} |\hat{Z}_r - \tilde{Z}_r|^2 dr \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\sigma \wedge \alpha_k}} e^{qV_{\theta \wedge \alpha_{k+i}}} |\hat{Y}_{\theta \wedge \alpha_{k+i}} - \tilde{Y}_{\theta \wedge \alpha_{k+i}}|^q \\
 & + q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\sigma \wedge \alpha_k}} \int_{\sigma \wedge \alpha_k}^{\theta \wedge \alpha_{k+i}} e^{qV_r} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^{q-1} |\hat{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r) - \tilde{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r)| dQ_r.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Passing to the limit successively, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty}$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty}$ and $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty}$, in (4.10), we obtain (using Fatou's Lemma and Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem via condition (3.8)), for any stopping times $0 \leq \sigma \leq \theta \leq \tau$ and \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & e^{qV_\sigma} |\hat{Y}_\sigma - \tilde{Y}_\sigma|^q + \frac{q}{2} (q-1) (1-\lambda) \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \int_\sigma^\theta e^{qV_r} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^{q-2} |\hat{Z}_r - \tilde{Z}_r|^2 dr \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} e^{qV_\theta} |\hat{Y}_\theta - \tilde{Y}_\theta|^q + q \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \int_\sigma^\theta e^{qV_r} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^{q-1} |\hat{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r) - \tilde{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r)| dQ_r
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

(we also used the continuity of the natural filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_r : r \geq 0\}$).

From (4.11) we obtain conclusion (4.1) if we use Holder's inequality and boundedness property (3.22) from Proposition 3.10 and inequality $|\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^q \leq 2^{q-1} (|\hat{Y}_r|^q + |\tilde{Y}_r|^q)$.

Applying now a stochastic Gronwall's type inequality provided by Proposition 6.6, we infer from (4.11) that, for all $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta]} e^{\alpha q V_r} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^{\alpha q} + (q-1)^\alpha \mathbb{E} \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{q V_r} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^{q-2} |\hat{Z}_r - \tilde{Z}_r|^2 dr \right)^\alpha \\ & \leq C_{q, \alpha, \lambda} \left[\left(\mathbb{E} e^{q V_\theta} |\hat{Y}_\theta - \tilde{Y}_\theta|^q \right)^\alpha + L^{\frac{\alpha(q-1)}{q}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{V_r} |\hat{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r) - \tilde{H}(r, \hat{Y}_r, \hat{Z}_r)| dQ_r \right)^q \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{q}} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

where L is a constant such that, see inequality (3.22),

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} e^{q V_r} |\hat{Y}_r - \tilde{Y}_r|^q \leq 2^{q-1} \mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} e^{q V_r} (|\hat{Y}_r|^q + |\tilde{Y}_r|^q) \leq L.$$

Hence conclusion (4.3) follows. \square

Remark 4.4. We notice that if we have the uniqueness of Y and if we multiply (4.9) with $\delta^{1-q/2}$, then the uniqueness of Z follows also from (4.9) by taking $\delta \rightarrow 0_+$.

5. EXISTENCE OF THE SOLUTION

5.1. Existence on a deterministic interval time $[0, T]$

The existence of a L^p -variational solution will be proved firstly in the case of a deterministic time interval, i.e. $\tau = T > 0$.

The proof of the main result of this section (see Thm. 5.5) will be splitted into several steps. The main idea is to use firstly more restrictive assumptions (more precisely, (5.1), (5.2), (5.3) and (5.4)) in order to obtain the existence of a strong solution (see Lem. 5.1); this is only an intermediate step and we will renounce to these assumptions.

Lemma 5.1 (Strong solution). *We suppose that assumptions $(A_2 - A_7)$ are satisfied. Let $V^{(+)}$ be given by definition (2.11). In addition we assume that:*

(i) *there exists $L > 0$ such that*

$$|\eta| + \varphi(\eta) + \psi(\eta) + \ell_t + F_1^\#(t) + G_1^\#(t) \leq L, \quad a.e. t \in [0, T], \mathbb{P} - a.s.; \quad (5.1)$$

(ii) *there exists $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \exp \left[(2 + \delta) V_T^{(+)} \right] < \infty; \quad (5.2)$$

(iii) *there exists $\tilde{L} > 0$ such that*

$$\left| e^{V_T^{(+)}} \eta \right|^2 + \left(\int_0^T e^{V_r^{(+)}} (F_1^\#(r) dr + G_1^\#(r) dA_r) \right)^2 \leq \tilde{L}, \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s.; \quad (5.3)$$

(iv) *for³ $\tilde{\rho}_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (C_\lambda \tilde{L})^{1/2} > 0$ it holds*

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \left[(F_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(r))^2 dr + (G_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(r))^2 dA_r \right] < \infty. \quad (5.4)$$

³The constant $C_\lambda := C_{2, \lambda}$, where $C_{2, \lambda}$ is given by (6.35).

Then the multivalued BSDE

$$\begin{cases} Y_t + \int_t^T dK_r = \eta + \int_t^T H(r, Y_r, Z_r) dQ_r - \int_t^T Z_r dB_r, \\ dK_t = U_t^{(1)} dt + U_t^{(2)} dA_t, \\ U_t^{(1)} dt \in \partial\varphi(Y_t) dt \quad \text{and} \quad U_t^{(2)} dA_t \in \partial\psi(Y_t) dA_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \end{cases}$$

has a strong a solution $(Y, Z, U^{(1)}, U^{(2)}) \in S_m^0 \times \Lambda_{m \times k}^0 \times \Lambda_m^0 \times \Lambda_m^0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} e^{2V_r^{(+)}} |Y_r|^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} |Z_r|^2 dr + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} |U_r^{(1)}|^2 dr + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} |U_r^{(2)}|^2 dA_r < \infty.$$

Proof. Step I. Approximating equation

Let $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. We consider the approximating BSDE

$$Y_t^\varepsilon + \int_t^T \nabla_y \Psi^\varepsilon(r, Y_r^\varepsilon) dQ_r = \eta + \int_t^T H_\varepsilon(r, Y_r^\varepsilon, Z_r^\varepsilon) dQ_r - \int_t^T Z_r^\varepsilon dB_r, \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (5.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^\varepsilon(r, y) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \alpha_r \varphi_\varepsilon(y) + (1 - \alpha_r) \psi_\varepsilon(y) \mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}]}(A_r), \\ \nabla_y \Psi^\varepsilon(r, y) &= [\alpha_r \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(y) + (1 - \alpha_r) \nabla \psi_\varepsilon(y)] \mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}]}(A_r), \\ H_\varepsilon(r, y, z) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\alpha_r F_\varepsilon(r, y, z) + (1 - \alpha_r) G_\varepsilon(r, y)] \mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}]}(A_r), \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

where φ_ε and ψ_ε are the Moreau-Yosida's regularization given by (2.15) and $F_\varepsilon, G_\varepsilon$ are the mollifier approximations defined by Section 6.4.

By properties provided by (6.50), Remark 6.13 and (2.16), and by assumption (5.1) we see that the function

$$\Phi_\varepsilon(r, y, z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H_\varepsilon(r, y, z) - \nabla_y \Psi^\varepsilon(r, y)$$

is a Lipschitz function:

$$\begin{aligned} &|\Phi_\varepsilon(r, y, z) - \Phi_\varepsilon(r, \hat{y}, \hat{z})| \\ &\leq \left[\alpha_r \left(\ell_r |z - \hat{z}| + \frac{\kappa(1 + \ell_r)}{\varepsilon^2} |y - \hat{y}| \right) + (1 - \alpha_r) \frac{\kappa}{\varepsilon^2} |y - \hat{y}| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \alpha_r |y - \hat{y}| + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (1 - \alpha_r) |y - \hat{y}| \right] \mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}]}(A_r) \\ &\leq \left[\alpha_r \frac{\kappa L + \kappa + 1}{\varepsilon^2} |y - \hat{y}| + (1 - \alpha_r) \frac{\kappa + 1}{\varepsilon^2} |y - \hat{y}| + L \alpha_r |z - \hat{z}| \right] \mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}]}(A_r) \\ &\leq \left[\frac{\kappa L + \kappa + 1}{\varepsilon^2} |y - \hat{y}| + L \alpha_r |z - \hat{z}| \right] \mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}]}(A_r). \end{aligned}$$

If we use the above properties of Φ_ε , the presence of the indicator $\mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}]}(A_r)$ in the definition of Φ_ε , assumption (5.1) and properties (6.49) and (6.50–a) of the mollifier approximations of F and G , we see that the assumptions of Lemma 5.20 in [21] are satisfied for any $p' \geq 2$ arbitrary fixed.

Therefore equation (5.5) has a unique solution $(Y^\varepsilon, Z^\varepsilon) \in S_m^{p'}[0, T] \times \Lambda_{m \times k}^{p'}(0, T)$ and consequently, for any $p' \geq 2$,

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t^\varepsilon|^{p'} < \infty. \quad (5.7)$$

Step II. *Boundedness of the approximating solution*

Remark that, by property (6.51), since $n_p \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle Y_t^\varepsilon, \Phi_\varepsilon(t, Y_t^\varepsilon, Z_t^\varepsilon) \rangle dQ_t \\ &= \langle Y_t^\varepsilon, F_\varepsilon(t, Y_t^\varepsilon, Z_t^\varepsilon) \rangle \mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}]}(A_t) dt + \langle Y_t^\varepsilon, G_\varepsilon(t, Y_t^\varepsilon) \rangle \mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}]}(A_t) dA_t \\ & \quad - \langle Y_t^\varepsilon, \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon) \rangle \mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}]}(A_t) dt - \langle Y_t^\varepsilon, \nabla \psi_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon) \rangle \mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}]}(A_t) dA_t \\ & \leq \left[|Y_t^\varepsilon| F_1^\#(t) + \left(\mu_t + \frac{1}{2n_p \lambda} \ell_t^2 \right)^+ |Y_t^\varepsilon|^2 + \frac{n_p \lambda}{2} |Z_t^\varepsilon|^2 \right] dt + \left[|Y_t^\varepsilon| G_1^\#(t) + \nu_t^+ |Y_t^\varepsilon|^2 \right] dA_t \\ & \leq |Y_t^\varepsilon| \bar{H}_1^\#(t) dQ_t + |Y_t^\varepsilon|^2 dV_t^{(+)} + \frac{\lambda}{2} |Z_t^\varepsilon|^2 dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\bar{H}_1^\#(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \alpha_t F_1^\#(t) + (1 - \alpha_t) G_1^\#(t).$$

By Young's inequality and assumption (5.2) and (5.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{2V_t^{(+)}} |Y_t^\varepsilon|^2 & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[(\exp 2V_T^{(+)}) \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t^\varepsilon|^2 \right] \\ & \leq \left[\frac{2}{2 + \delta} \mathbb{E} \exp(2 + \delta) V_T^{(+)} + \frac{\delta}{2 + \delta} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t^\varepsilon|^{(4+2\delta)/\delta} \right] \\ & < \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

therefore, by Proposition 6.8 (our main stochastic Gronwall's type inequality), we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left[\sup_{r \in [t, T]} |e^{V_r^{(+)}} Y_r^\varepsilon|^2 + \int_t^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} |Z_r^\varepsilon|^2 dr \right] \leq C_\lambda \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left[|e^{V_T^{(+)}} \eta|^2 + \left(\int_t^T e^{V_r^{(+)}} \bar{H}_1^\#(r) dQ_r \right)^2 \right]$$

($C_\lambda = C_{2, \lambda}$, where $C_{2, \lambda}$ is given by (6.35)).

From the above inequality we get, using (5.3) and property (6.49), that, \mathbb{P} -a.s., for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & |Y_t^\varepsilon| \leq e^{V_t^{(+)}} |Y_t^\varepsilon| \leq \left[\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left(\sup_{r \in [t, T]} |e^{V_r^{(+)}} Y_r^\varepsilon|^2 \right) \right]^{1/2} \leq (C_\lambda \tilde{L})^{1/2} = \tilde{\rho}_0, \\ (b) \quad & \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} |Z_r^\varepsilon|^2 dr \right) \leq \tilde{\rho}_0^2, \\ (c) \quad & |F_\varepsilon(t, Y_t^\varepsilon, Z_t^\varepsilon)| \leq \ell_t |Z_t^\varepsilon| + F_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(t), \quad |G_\varepsilon(t, Y_t^\varepsilon)| \leq G_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(t), \\ (d) \quad & |H_\varepsilon(r, Y_r^\varepsilon, Z_r^\varepsilon)| \leq \left[\alpha_r \left(\ell_r |Z_r^\varepsilon| + F_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(r) \right) + (1 - \alpha_r) G_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(r) \right] \mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}]}(A_r). \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

Step III. *Boundedness in L^2 of $\nabla \varphi^\varepsilon$ and $\nabla \psi^\varepsilon$*

Using the stochastic subdifferential inequality (see [21], Lem. 2.38, Rem. 2.39)

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2V_t^{(+)}} \varphi_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon) &\leq e^{2V_s^{(+)}} \varphi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon) + \int_t^s e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \langle \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(Y_r^\varepsilon), \Phi_\varepsilon(r, Y_r^\varepsilon, Z_r^\varepsilon) \rangle dQ_r \\ &\quad - \int_t^s e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \langle \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(Y_r^\varepsilon), Z_r^\varepsilon dB_r \rangle, \quad 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T \end{aligned}$$

(and similar inequality for ψ_ε) and following the ideas from [13], [14] and Section 5.6.2 of [21], we deduce that:

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{2V_t^{(+)}} [\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon) + \psi_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon)] \\ &\quad + \int_t^s e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \left[\alpha_r |\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(Y_r^\varepsilon)|^2 + \langle \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(Y_r^\varepsilon), \nabla \psi_\varepsilon(Y_r^\varepsilon) \rangle + (1 - \alpha_r) |\nabla \psi_\varepsilon(Y_r^\varepsilon)|^2 \right] dQ_r \\ &\leq e^{2V_s^{(+)}} [\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon) + \psi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon)] + \int_t^s e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \langle \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(Y_r^\varepsilon) + \nabla \psi_\varepsilon(Y_r^\varepsilon), H_\varepsilon(r, Y_r^\varepsilon, Z_r^\varepsilon) \rangle dQ_r \\ &\quad - \int_t^s e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \langle \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(Y_r^\varepsilon) + \nabla \psi_\varepsilon(Y_r^\varepsilon), Z_r^\varepsilon dB_r \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

The compatibility assumptions (2.19) and inequality (6.49) yield for $|y| \leq \tilde{\rho}_0$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \nabla \psi_\varepsilon(y), F_\varepsilon(t, y, z) \rangle \\ &= \int_{B(0,1)} \langle \nabla \psi_\varepsilon(y) - \nabla \psi_\varepsilon(y - \varepsilon u), F(t, y - \varepsilon u, \beta_\varepsilon(z)) \rangle \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(\varepsilon |F(t, y - \varepsilon u, 0)|) \rho(u) du \\ &\quad + \int_{B(0,1)} \langle \nabla \psi_\varepsilon(y - \varepsilon u), F(t, y - \varepsilon u, \beta_\varepsilon(z)) \rangle \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(\varepsilon |F(t, y - \varepsilon u, 0)|) \rho(u) du \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{B(0,1)} |\varepsilon u| |F(t, y - \varepsilon u, \beta_\varepsilon(z))| \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(\varepsilon |F(t, y - \varepsilon u, 0)|) \rho(u) du \\ &\quad + \int_{B(0,1)} |\nabla \psi_\varepsilon(y - \varepsilon u)| |F(t, y - \varepsilon u, \beta_\varepsilon(z))| \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(\varepsilon |F(t, y - \varepsilon u, 0)|) \rho(u) du \\ &\leq |F|_\varepsilon(t, y, z) + \int_{B(0,1)} [|\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(y - \varepsilon u) - \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(y)| + |\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(y)|] \\ &\quad \cdot |F(t, y - \varepsilon u, \beta_\varepsilon(z))| \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(\varepsilon |F(t, y - \varepsilon u, 0)|) \rho(u) du \\ &\leq |F|_\varepsilon(t, y, z) + (1 + |\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(y)|) |F|_\varepsilon(t, y, z) = (2 + |\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(y)|) |F|_\varepsilon(t, y, z) \\ &\leq 2L|z| + 2F_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(t) + |\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(y)| \left(L|z| + F_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(t) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\langle \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(y), G_\varepsilon(t, y) \rangle = (2 + |\nabla \psi_\varepsilon(y)|) |G|_\varepsilon(t, y) \leq 2G_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(t) + |\nabla \psi_\varepsilon(y)| G_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(t).$$

Hence, using the above inequalities and the definition of $H_\varepsilon(t, y, z)$, we have, for any $|y| \leq \tilde{\rho}_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(y) + \nabla \psi_\varepsilon(y), H_\varepsilon(s, y, z) \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(y) + \nabla \psi_\varepsilon(y), \alpha_s F_\varepsilon(s, y, z) + (1 - \alpha_s) G_\varepsilon(s, y) \rangle \mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{2}]}(A_r) \\ &\leq \alpha_t (2 + 2|\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(y)|) |F|_\varepsilon(t, y, z) + (1 - \alpha_t) (2 + 2|\nabla \psi_\varepsilon(y)|) |G|_\varepsilon(t, y) \\ &\leq \alpha_t \left[\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(y)|^2 + 1 + 3(|F|_\varepsilon(t, y, z))^2 \right] + (1 - \alpha_t) \left[\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \psi_\varepsilon(y)|^2 + 1 + 3(|G|_\varepsilon(t, y))^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.18) we deduce inequality

$$\mathbb{E} \left(e^{2V_T^{(+)}} (\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_T^\varepsilon) + \psi_\varepsilon(Y_T^\varepsilon)) \right) \leq \mathbb{E} \left(e^{2V_T^{(+)}} (\varphi(\eta) + \psi(\eta)) \right). \quad (5.11)$$

On the other hand,

$$M_t^\varepsilon = \int_0^t e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \langle \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(Y_r^\varepsilon) + \nabla \psi_\varepsilon(Y_r^\varepsilon), Z_r^\varepsilon dB_r \rangle \quad \text{is a martingale.} \quad (5.12)$$

By Young's inequality and assumption (5.2) we have

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} dQ_r \leq \mathbb{E} (e^{2V_T^{(+)}} Q_T) \leq \left[\frac{2}{2+\delta} \mathbb{E} \exp(2+\delta) V_T^{(+)} + \frac{\delta}{2+\delta} \mathbb{E} Q_T^{(2+\delta)/\delta} \right] < \infty \quad (5.13)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} (e^{2V_T^{(+)}} (\varphi(\eta) + \psi(\eta))) \leq 2L \mathbb{E} (e^{2V_T^{(+)}}) < \infty. \quad (5.14)$$

Therefore, using inequalities (6.49), (5.9–a) and (5.11)–(5.14), we deduce from (5.10) that, for all $0 \leq t \leq s \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} e^{2V_t^{(+)}} \varphi_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon) + \mathbb{E} e^{2V_t^{(+)}} \psi_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_t^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \left[|\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(Y_r^\varepsilon)|^2 dr + |\nabla \psi_\varepsilon(Y_r^\varepsilon)|^2 dA_r \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[e^{2V_T^{(+)}} (\varphi(\eta) + \psi(\eta)) \right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \int_t^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \left(1 + 3(|F|_\varepsilon(r, Y_r^\varepsilon, Z_r^\varepsilon))^2 \right) dr + \mathbb{E} \int_t^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \left(1 + 3(|G|_\varepsilon(r, Y_r^\varepsilon))^2 \right) dA_r \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[e^{2V_T^{(+)}} (\varphi(\eta) + \psi(\eta)) \right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \int_t^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \left[1 + 6L^2 |Z_r^\varepsilon|^2 + 6(F_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(r))^2 \right] dr + \mathbb{E} \int_t^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \left[1 + 3(G_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(r))^2 \right] dA_r. \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

Therefore, by assumption (5.4) and (5.9–b),

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left[\mathbb{E} e^{2V_t^{(+)}} \varphi_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon) + \mathbb{E} e^{2V_t^{(+)}} \psi_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon) \right] \leq C_{\tilde{\rho}_0, L, T, \lambda} \\ (b) \quad & \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \left[|\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(Y_r^\varepsilon)|^2 dr + |\nabla \psi_\varepsilon(Y_r^\varepsilon)|^2 dA_r \right] \leq C_{\tilde{\rho}_0, L, T, \lambda} \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

($C_{\tilde{\rho}_0, L, T, \lambda}$ is independent of ε).

Step IV. *Cauchy sequence*

Let $\varepsilon, \delta \in (0, 1]$. We have

$$Y_t^\varepsilon - Y_t^\delta = \int_t^T dK_r^{\varepsilon, \delta} - \int_t^T (Z_r^\varepsilon - Z_r^\delta) dB_r, \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} dK_r^{\varepsilon, \delta} &= \left[H_\varepsilon(r, Y_r^\varepsilon, Z_r^\varepsilon) - H_\delta(r, Y_r^\delta, Z_r^\delta) - [\nabla_y \Psi^\varepsilon(r, Y_r^\varepsilon) - \nabla_y \Psi^\delta(r, Y_r^\delta)] \right] dQ_r \\ &= \alpha_r \left[F_\varepsilon(r, Y_r^\varepsilon, Z_r^\varepsilon) - F_\delta(r, Y_r^\delta, Z_r^\delta) \right] \mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}]}(A_r) dr \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_r) \left[G_\varepsilon(r, Y_r^\varepsilon) - G_\delta(r, Y_r^\delta) \right] \mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}]}(A_r) dA_r \\ &\quad + \alpha_r F_\delta(r, Y_r^\delta, Z_r^\delta) \left(\mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}]}(A_r) - \mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{\delta}]}(A_r) \right) dr \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_r) G_\delta(r, Y_r^\delta) \left(\mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}]}(A_r) - \mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{\delta}]}(A_r) \right) dA_r \\ &\quad - \alpha_r \left[\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(Y_r^\varepsilon) - \nabla \varphi_\delta(Y_r^\delta) \right] dr - (1 - \alpha_r) \left[\nabla \psi_\varepsilon(Y_r^\varepsilon) - \nabla \psi_\delta(Y_r^\delta) \right] dA_r. \end{aligned}$$

By inequalities (2.17) and property (6.52-c) and (5.9-a) we have (since $n_p \leq 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Y_r^\varepsilon - Y_r^\delta, dK_r^{\varepsilon, \delta} \rangle &\leq dR_r^{\varepsilon, \delta} + |Y_r^\varepsilon - Y_r^\delta| dN_r^{\varepsilon, \delta} + |Y_r^\varepsilon - Y_r^\delta|^2 dV_r^{(+)} + \frac{\lambda}{2} |Z_r^\varepsilon - Z_r^\delta|^2 dr \\ &\leq (1 + 2\tilde{\rho}_0) d(R_r^{\varepsilon, \delta} + N_r^{\varepsilon, \delta}) + |Y_r^\varepsilon - Y_r^\delta|^2 dV_r^{(+)} + \frac{\lambda}{2} |Z_r^\varepsilon - Z_r^\delta|^2 dr, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} dR_r^{\varepsilon, \delta} &= |\varepsilon - \delta| \left[\mu_r^+ |\varepsilon - \delta| + 2F_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(r) + 2\ell_r |Z_r^\varepsilon| \right] dr + |\varepsilon - \delta| \left[\nu_r^+ |\varepsilon - \delta| + 2G_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(r) \right] dA_r \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon + \delta}{2} (|\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(Y_r^\varepsilon)|^2 + |\nabla \varphi_\delta(Y_r^\delta)|^2) dr + \frac{\varepsilon + \delta}{2} (|\nabla \psi_\varepsilon(Y_r^\varepsilon)|^2 + |\nabla \psi_\delta(Y_r^\delta)|^2) dA_r \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} dN_r^{\varepsilon, \delta} &= \left[2\mu_r^+ |\varepsilon - \delta| + \ell_r |Z_r^\delta| \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \wedge \frac{1}{\delta}, \infty)} (|Z_r^\delta| + A_r) \mathbf{1}_{\varepsilon \neq \delta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (F_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(r) + \ell_r |Z_r^\delta|) \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \wedge \frac{1}{\delta}, \infty)} (F_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(r) + A_r) \right] dr \\ &\quad + \left[2\nu_r^+ |\varepsilon - \delta| + G_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(r) \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \wedge \frac{1}{\delta}, \infty)} (G_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(r) + A_r) \right] dA_r. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.8) and Proposition 6.8 we get

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} e^{2V_r^{(+)}} |Y_r^\varepsilon - Y_r^\delta|^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} |Z_r^\varepsilon - Z_r^\delta|^2 dr \leq C_\lambda \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} d(R_r^{\varepsilon, \delta} + N_r^{\varepsilon, \delta}).$$

Boundedness assumptions (2.2), (2.6), (5.1), (5.2), (5.4) and (5.9-b), (5.16-b) yield

$$\lim_{\varepsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} d(R_r^{\varepsilon, \delta} + N_r^{\varepsilon, \delta}) = 0 \tag{5.17}$$

(also the calculus for obtaining (5.8) is useful).

For instance, if we denote

$$\bar{H}_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \alpha_t F_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(t) + (1 - \alpha_t) G_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(t),$$

we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \left[F_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(r) dr + G_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(r) dA_r \right] = \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \bar{H}_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(r) dQ_r \\ & \leq \left(\mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} (\bar{H}_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(r))^2 dQ_r \right)^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} dQ_r \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \sqrt{2} \left(\mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \left[(F_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(r))^2 dr + (G_{1+\tilde{\rho}_0}^\#(r))^2 dA_r \right] \right)^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{E} e^{2V_T^{(+)}} Q_T \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

which is finite, using assumption (5.4) and inequality (5.13).

For instance, for any $a > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} (\mu_r^+ dr + \nu_r^+ dA_r) \leq \mathbb{E} \left(e^{2V_T^{(+)}} \int_0^T (\mu_r^+ dr + \nu_r^+ dA_r) \right) \\ & \leq \frac{2}{2+a} \mathbb{E} \exp(2+a) V_T^{(+)} + \frac{a}{2+a} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T (\mu_r^+ dr + \nu_r^+ dA_r) \right)^{(2+a)/a}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Holder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T (\mu_r^+ dr + \nu_r^+ dA_r) \right)^{(2+a)/a} & \leq \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T (\mu_r^+ dr + \nu_r^+ dA_r) \right)^k \right)^{\frac{2+a}{ak}} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{k!}{p^k} \right)^{\frac{2+a}{ak}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left(e^{p \int_0^T (\mu_r^+ dr + \nu_r^+ dA_r)} \right) \right)^{\frac{2+a}{ak}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{N}^* \ni k = \lceil \frac{2+a}{a} \rceil + 1 > \frac{2+a}{a}$, since

$$\alpha^k \leq \frac{k!}{p^k} e^{p\alpha}, \quad \text{for any } \alpha > 0, p > 1.$$

Hence $\mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} (\mu_r^+ dr + \nu_r^+ dA_r) < \infty$.

For instance,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \ell_r |Z_r^\delta| \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \wedge \frac{1}{\delta}, \infty)} (|Z_r^\delta| + A_r) dr \leq L(\varepsilon + \delta) \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} |Z_r^\delta| (|Z_r^\delta| + A_r) dr \\ & = L(\varepsilon + \delta) \left(\mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} |Z_r^\delta|^2 dr + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} |Z_r^\delta| A_r dr \right), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\mathbf{1}_{[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \wedge \frac{1}{\delta}, \infty)} (|Z_r^\delta| + A_r) \leq \frac{|Z_r^\delta| + A_r}{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \wedge \frac{1}{\delta}} \leq (\varepsilon + \delta) (|Z_r^\delta| + A_r), \quad \text{for any } r.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} |Z_r^\delta| A_r \, dr &\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} |Z_r^\delta|^2 \, dr + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} A_r^2 \, dr \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} |Z_r^\delta|^2 \, dr + \frac{T}{2} \mathbb{E} \left(e^{2V_T^{(+)}} A_T^2 \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} |Z_r^\delta|^2 \, dr + \frac{2}{2+\delta} \mathbb{E} \exp(2+\delta) V_T^{(+)} + \frac{\delta}{2+\delta} \mathbb{E} A_T^{(4+2\delta)/\delta} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} |Z_r^\delta|^2 \, dr + \frac{2}{2+\delta} \mathbb{E} \exp(2+\delta) V_T^{(+)} + \frac{\delta}{2+\delta} \mathbb{E} e^{\frac{4+2\delta}{\delta} A_T}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \ell_r |Z_r^\delta| \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \wedge \frac{1}{\delta}, \infty)} (|Z_r^\delta| + A_r) \, dr \rightarrow 0$, as $\varepsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0$.

Using the similar calculus for the other quantities, conclusion (5.17) is completely proved.

Step V. Passing to the limit

Consequently there exists $(Y, Z) \in S_m^0 \times \Lambda_{m \times k}^0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} e^{2V_r^{(+)}} |Y_r^\varepsilon - Y_r|^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} |Z_r^\varepsilon - Z_r|^2 \, dr \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

From (5.16) there exist two p.m.s.p. $U^{(1)}$ and $U^{(2)}$, such that along a sequence $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^{V^{(+)}} \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon_n}(Y^{\varepsilon_n}) &\rightharpoonup e^{V^{(+)}} U^{(1)}, \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\Omega \times [0, T], d\mathbb{P} \otimes dt; \mathbb{R}^m), \\
 e^{V^{(+)}} \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon_n}(Y^{\varepsilon_n}) &\rightharpoonup e^{V^{(+)}} U^{(2)}, \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\Omega \times [0, T], d\mathbb{P} \otimes dA_t; \mathbb{R}^m).
 \end{aligned}$$

Passing to limit in the approximating equation (5.5), for $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, we infer

$$Y_t + \int_t^T U_r \, dQ_r = \eta + \int_t^T H(r, Y_r, Z_r) \, dQ_r - \int_t^T Z_r \, dB_r, \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.},$$

where

$$U_r \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\alpha_r U_r^1 + (1 - \alpha_r) U_r^2], \quad \text{for } r \in [0, T].$$

Since $\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(y) \in \partial \varphi(y - \varepsilon \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(y))$ then for all $E \in \mathcal{F}$, $0 \leq t \leq s \leq T$ and $X \in S_m^2[0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E} \int_t^s \langle e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon_n}(Y_r^{\varepsilon_n}), X_r - Y_r^{\varepsilon_n} \rangle \mathbf{1}_E \, dr + \mathbb{E} \int_t^s e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \varphi(Y_r^{\varepsilon_n} - \varepsilon \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(Y_r^{\varepsilon_n})) \mathbf{1}_E \, dr \\
 \leq \mathbb{E} \int_t^s e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \varphi(X_r) \mathbf{1}_E \, dr.
 \end{aligned}$$

Passing to $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ in the above inequality we obtain $U_s^{(1)} \in \partial \varphi(Y_s)$, $d\mathbb{P} \otimes ds$ -a.e. and, with similar arguments, $U_s^{(2)} \in \partial \psi(Y_s)$, $d\mathbb{P} \otimes dA_s$ -a.e..

We conclude that $(Y, Z, U) \in S_m^0[0, T] \times \Lambda_{m \times k}^0[0, T] \times \Lambda_m^0[0, T]$ is a strong solution of

$$\begin{cases} Y_t + \int_t^T (U_s^{(1)} ds + U_s^{(2)} dA_s) = \eta + \int_t^T [F(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + G(s, Y_s) dA_s] - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s, \\ U_s^{(1)} \in \partial\varphi(Y_s), \quad d\mathbb{P} \otimes ds - \text{a.e.} \quad \text{and} \quad U_s^{(2)} \in \partial\psi(Y_s), \quad d\mathbb{P} \otimes dA_s - \text{a.e.}, \quad \text{on } [0, T]. \end{cases} \quad (5.18)$$

Moreover, from (5.9),

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & |Y_t| \leq e^{V_t^{(+)}} |Y_t| \leq \left[\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left(\sup_{r \in [t, T]} |e^{V_r^{(+)}} Y_r|^2 \right) \right]^{1/2} \leq \tilde{\rho}_0, \\ (b) \quad & \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} |Z_r|^2 dr \right) \leq \tilde{\rho}_0^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

From inequalities (6.49) and (5.9–a) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (|F|_\varepsilon(r, Y_r^\varepsilon, Z_r^\varepsilon))^2 &\leq 2L^2 |Z_r^\varepsilon|^2 + 2(F_{1+\hat{\rho}_0}^\#(r))^2 \quad \text{and} \\ (|G|_\varepsilon(r, Y_r^\varepsilon))^2 &\leq (G_{1+\hat{\rho}_0}^\#(r))^2 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, passing to $\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}$ in the first inequality of (5.15) and using (6.50–b) and Fatou's Lemma and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \left[|U_r^{(1)}|^2 dr + |U_r^{(2)}|^2 dA_r \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[e^{2V_T^{(+)}} (\varphi(\eta) + \psi(\eta)) \right] + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \left(1 + 6L^2 |Z_r|^2 + 6|F(r, Y_r, 0)|^2 \right) dr \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \left(1 + 3|G(r, Y_r)|^2 \right) dA_r. \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

□

The next result, Proposition 5.2, provides the proof for the existence of a variational solution but under some still strong hypotheses (more precisely, assumption (5.3) of Lemma 5.1 is relaxed and becomes (5.21) and we have added (5.22) and (5.23)).

Proposition 5.2. *We suppose that assumptions $(A_2 - A_7)$ are satisfied. Let $V^{(+)}$ be given by definition (2.11). In addition we assume that:*

(i) (see (5.3)) there exists $\hat{L} > 0$ such that

$$\left| e^{V_T^{(+)}} \eta \right|^2 + \left(\int_0^T e^{V_r^{(+)}} (|F(r, 0, 0)| dr + |G(r, 0)| dA_r) \right)^2 \leq \hat{L}; \quad (5.21)$$

(ii) there exists $a \in (1 + n_p \lambda, p \wedge 2)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \ell_s^2 ds \right)^{\frac{a}{2-a}} < \infty, \\ (b) \quad & \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T e^{V_s^{(+)}} \left(F_{1+\hat{\rho}_0}^\#(s) ds + G_{1+\hat{\rho}_0}^\#(s) dA_s \right) \right]^a < \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

where⁴ $\hat{\rho}_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (C_\lambda \hat{L})^{1/2}$;

(iii) there exists a p.m.s.p. $(\Theta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and, for each $\rho \geq 0$, there exist an non-decreasing function $K_\rho : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$F_\rho^\#(t) + G_\rho^\#(t) \leq K_\rho(\Theta_t), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T], \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.} \quad (5.23)$$

Then the multivalued BSDE

$$\begin{cases} Y_t + \int_t^T dK_r = \eta + \int_t^T H(r, Y_r, Z_r) dQ_r - \int_t^T Z_r dB_r, & \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s., for all } t \in [0, T], \\ dK_r = U_r dQ_r \in \partial_y \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \end{cases}$$

has a unique L^p -variational solution, in the sense of Definition 3.2.

Moreover, for all $t \in [0, T]$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left(\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |e^{V_s^{(+)}} Y_s|^p \right) + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left(\int_t^T e^{2V_s^{(+)}} (\varphi(Y_s) ds + \psi(Y_s) dA_s) \right)^{p/2} \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left(\int_0^T e^{2V_s^{(+)}} |Z_s|^2 ds \right)^{p/2} \\ & \leq C_{p, \lambda} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left[e^{pV_T^{(+)}} |\eta|^p + \left(\int_t^T e^{V_s^{(+)}} (|F(r, 0, 0)| dr + |G(t, 0)| dA_r) \right)^p \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.24)$$

Proof. Step I. Approximating equation

Let $t \in [0, T]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and

$$\beta_t = t + A_t + |\mu_t| + |\nu_t| + \ell_t + V_t^{(+)} + F_{1+\hat{\rho}_0}^\#(t) + G_{1+\hat{\rho}_0}^\#(t) + \Theta_t.$$

Consider the BSDE

$$\begin{cases} Y_t^{(n)} + \int_t^T U_s^{(n)} dQ_s = \eta^{(n)} + \int_t^T H^{(n)}(s, Y_s^{(n)}, Z_s^{(n)}) dQ_s - \int_t^T Z_s^{(n)} dB_s, & t \in [0, T], \\ U_s^{(n)} = \alpha_r U_r^{(1, n)} + (1 - \alpha_r) U_r^{(2, n)} \\ U_s^{(1, n)} \in \partial \varphi(Y_s^{(n)}), \quad d\mathbb{P} \otimes ds - \text{a.e.} \quad \text{and} \quad U_s^{(2, n)} \in \partial \psi(Y_s^{(n)}), \quad d\mathbb{P} \otimes dA_s - \text{a.e.}, \end{cases} \quad (5.25)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^{(n)} & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \eta \mathbf{1}_{[0, n]} (|\eta| + \varphi(\eta) + \psi(\eta) + V_T^{(+)}), \\ F^{(n)}(t, y, z) & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F(t, y, z) \mathbf{1}_{[0, n]}(\beta_t) \quad \text{and} \quad G^{(n)}(t, y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G(t, y) \mathbf{1}_{[0, n]}(\beta_t), \\ H^{(n)}(s, y, z) & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \alpha_s F^{(n)}(s, y, z) + (1 - \alpha_s) G^{(n)}(s, y). \end{aligned}$$

If we denote

$$\mu_t^{(n)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{1}_{[0, n]}(\beta_t) \mu_t, \quad \nu_t^{(n)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{1}_{[0, n]}(\beta_t) \nu_t, \quad \ell_t^{(n)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{1}_{[0, n]}(\beta_t) \ell_t,$$

⁴The constant $C_\lambda := C_{2, \lambda}$, where $C_{2, \lambda}$ is given by (6.35).

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y - \hat{y}, H^{(n)}(t, y, z) - H^{(n)}(t, \hat{y}, z) \rangle &\leq (\alpha_t \mu_t^{(n)} + (1 - \alpha_t) \nu_t^{(n)}) |y - \hat{y}|^2, \\ |H^{(n)}(t, y, z) - H^{(n)}(t, y, \hat{z})| &\leq \alpha_t \ell_t^{(n)} |z - \hat{z}|. \end{aligned}$$

Of course,

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta^{(n)}| &\leq n \mathbf{1}_{[0, n]} (|\eta| + V_T^{(+)}), \\ |\mu_t^{(n)}| &\leq n \mathbf{1}_{[0, n]} (\beta_t), \quad |\nu_t^{(n)}| \leq n \mathbf{1}_{[0, n]} (\beta_t), \quad |\ell_t^{(n)}| \leq n \mathbf{1}_{[0, n]} (\beta_t), \\ F_1^{(n)\#}(t) &= \sup_{|u| \leq 1} |F^{(n)}(t, u, 0)| \leq n \mathbf{1}_{[0, n]} (\beta_t), \\ G_1^{(n)\#}(t) &= \sup_{|u| \leq 1} |G^{(n)}(t, u)| \leq n \mathbf{1}_{[0, n]} (\beta_t). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\theta_n := \inf \{ r \geq 0 : r + A_r + V_r^{(+)} > n \}.$$

We have $\mathbf{1}_{[0, n]}(\beta_r) \leq \mathbf{1}_{[0, \theta_n]}(r)$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} V_t^{(n,+)} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^t \left[\left(\mu_r^{(n)} + \frac{1}{2n_p \lambda} (\ell_r^{(n)})^2 \right)^+ dr + (\nu_r^{(n)})^+ dA_r \right] \\ &= \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{[0, n]}(\beta_r) \left[\left(\mu_r + \frac{1}{2n_p \lambda} (\ell_r)^2 \right)^+ dr + \nu_r^+ dA_r \right] \\ &\leq \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{[0, \theta_n]}(\beta_r) \left[\left(\mu_r + \frac{1}{2n_p \lambda} (\ell_r)^2 \right)^+ dr + \nu_r^+ dA_r \right] \\ &= V_{t \wedge \theta_n}^{(+)} \leq V_{\theta_n}^{(+)} \leq n \end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &|e^{V_T^{(n,+)}} \eta^{(n)}|^2 + \left(\int_0^T e^{V_r^{(n,+)}} (F_1^{(n)\#}(r) dr + G_1^{(n)\#}(r) dA_r) \right)^2 \\ &\leq n^2 e^{2n} + n^2 e^{2n} \left(\int_0^{T \wedge \theta_n} (dr + dA_r) \right)^2 \leq n^2 e^{2n} (1 + n^2) = \tilde{L}^{(n)} \end{aligned}$$

and, for every $\rho \geq 0$,

$$F_\rho^{(n)\#}(t) + G_\rho^{(n)\#}(t) \leq [F_\rho^\#(t) + G_\rho^\#(t)] \mathbf{1}_{[0, n]}(\beta_t) \leq K_\rho(\Theta_t) \mathbf{1}_{[0, n]}(\beta_t) \leq K_\rho(n).$$

Therefore assumptions (5.1)–(5.4) are satisfied.

Hence, by Lemma 5.1, there exists a unique (strong) solution $(Y^{(n)}, Z^{(n)}, U^{(n)}) \in S_m^0[0, T] \times \Lambda_{m \times k}^0(0, T) \times \Lambda_m^0(0, T)$ of BSDE (5.25).

Step II. *Boundedness of the approximating solution*

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle Y_t^{(n)}, H^{(n)}(t, Y_t^{(n)}, Z_t^{(n)}) - U_t^{(n)} \rangle dQ_t \\
 & \leq \left[\left(\alpha_t \mu_t + (1 - \alpha_t) \nu_t + \alpha_t \frac{1}{2n_p \lambda} \ell_t^2 \right) \mathbf{1}_{[0, n]}(\beta_t) |Y_t^{(n)}|^2 \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \alpha_t \mathbf{1}_{[0, n]}(\beta_t) \frac{n_p \lambda}{2} |Z_t^{(n)}|^2 + |H^{(n)}(t, 0, 0)| |Y_t^{(n)}| \right] dQ_t \\
 & \leq |Y_t^{(n)}| d\bar{N}_t + |Y_t^{(n)}|^2 dV_t^{(n,+)} + \frac{\lambda}{2} |Z_t^{(n)}|^2 dt,
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

where

$$\bar{N}_t := \int_0^t [|F(r, 0, 0)| dr + |G(r, 0)| dA_r]. \tag{5.28}$$

Since by (5.19), for all $t \in [0, T]$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$|Y_t^{(n)}|^2 \leq |e^{V_t^{(n,+)}} Y_t^{(n)}|^2 \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left(\sup_{r \in [t, T]} |e^{V_r^{(n,+)}} Y_r^{(n)}|^2 \right) \leq C_\lambda \tilde{L}^{(n)} =: (\tilde{\rho}_0^n)^2$$

and $|\eta^{(n)}| \leq |\eta|$, we deduce, using the stochastic Gronwall's type inequality provided by Proposition 6.8, applied to (5.27), that, for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left(\sup_{r \in [t, T]} |e^{V_r^{(n,+)}} Y_r^{(n)}|^2 \right) + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left(\int_t^T e^{2V_r^{(n,+)}} |Z_r^{(n)}|^2 dr \right) \\
 & \leq C_\lambda \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left[|e^{V_T^{(n,+)}} \eta|^2 + \left(\int_t^T e^{V_r^{(n,+)}} d\bar{N}_r \right)^2 \right] \\
 & \leq C_\lambda \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left[|e^{V_T^{(+)}} \eta|^2 + \left(\int_t^T e^{V_r^{(+)}} d\bar{N}_r \right)^2 \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

(the constant $C_\lambda := C_{2, \lambda}$, where $C_{2, \lambda}$ is given by (6.35)).

Therefore, by assumption (5.21) we have, for all $t \in [0, T]$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a) \quad & |Y_t^{(n)}| \leq |e^{V_t^{(n,+)}} Y_t^{(n)}| \leq \left[\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left(\sup_{r \in [t, T]} |e^{V_r^{(n,+)}} Y_r^{(n)}|^2 \right) \right]^{1/2} \leq (C_\lambda \hat{L})^{1/2} = \hat{\rho}_0, \\
 (b) \quad & \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(n,+)}} |Z_r^{(n)}|^2 dr \leq \hat{\rho}_0^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

Step III. Cauchy sequence

Let $n, i \in \mathbb{N}^*$ arbitrary fixed. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & Y_t^{(n+i)} - Y_t^{(n)} + \int_t^T (U_s^{(n+i)} - U_s^{(n)}) dQ_s \\
 & = \eta^{(n+i)} - \eta^{(n)} + \int_t^T \left(H^{(n+i)}(s, Y_s^{(n+i)}, Z_s^{(n+i)}) - H^{(n)}(s, Y_s^{(n)}, Z_s^{(n)}) \right) dQ_s \\
 & \quad - \int_t^T (Z_s^{(n+i)} - Z_s^{(n)}) dB_s.
 \end{aligned}$$

We recall the property

$$\langle Y_s^{(n+i)} - Y_s^{(n)}, U_s^{(n+i)} - U_s^{(n)} \rangle dQ_s \geq 0.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle Y_s^{(n+i)} - Y_s^{(n)}, H^{(n+i)}(s, Y_s^{(n+i)}, Z_s^{(n+i)}) - H^{(n)}(s, Y_s^{(n)}, Z_s^{(n)}) \rangle dQ_s \\ &= \langle Y_s^{(n+i)} - Y_s^{(n)}, H^{(n+i)}(s, Y_s^{(n+i)}, Z_s^{(n+i)}) - H^{(n+i)}(s, Y_s^{(n)}, Z_s^{(n)}) \rangle dQ_s \\ & \quad + \langle Y_s^{(n+i)} - Y_s^{(n)}, H^{(n+i)}(s, Y_s^{(n)}, Z_s^{(n)}) - H^{(n)}(s, Y_s^{(n)}, Z_s^{(n)}) \rangle dQ_s \\ &\leq \mathbf{1}_{[0, n+i]}(\beta_s) \left(\mu_s ds + \nu_s dA_s + \frac{1}{2n_p \lambda} \ell_s^2 ds \right) |Y_s^{(n+i)} - Y_s^{(n)}|^2 + \frac{n_p \lambda}{2} |Z_s^{(n+i)} - Z_s^{(n)}|^2 ds \\ & \quad + |Y_s^{(n+i)} - Y_s^{(n)}| \left| \mathbf{1}_{[0, n+i]}(\beta_s) - \mathbf{1}_{[0, n]}(\beta_s) \right| \left(\ell_s |Z_s^{(n)}| + F_{\hat{\rho}_0}^\#(s) ds + G_{\hat{\rho}_0}^\#(s) dA_s \right) \\ &\leq |Y_s^{(n+i)} - Y_s^{(n)}| \mathbf{1}_{(n, \infty)}(\beta_s) \left(\ell_s |Z_s^{(n)}| + F_{\hat{\rho}_0}^\#(s) ds + G_{\hat{\rho}_0}^\#(s) dA_s \right) \\ & \quad + |Y_s^{(n+i)} - Y_s^{(n)}|^2 dV_s^{(+)} + \frac{n_a \lambda'}{2} |Z_s^{(n+i)} - Z_s^{(n)}|^2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\left| \mathbf{1}_{[0, n+i]}(\beta_s) - \mathbf{1}_{[0, n]}(\beta_s) \right| \leq \mathbf{1}_{(n, \infty)}(\beta_s) \tag{5.30}$$

and

$$n_p \lambda \leq n_a \lambda', \quad \text{with } \lambda' := \frac{n_p \lambda + a - 1}{2(a - 1)} \in (0, 1)$$

(where a is given by assumption (5.22) and $n_a := (a - 1) \wedge 1 = a - 1$).

By (5.29)–a) and Proposition 6.8 and Hölder's inequality we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} e^{aV_s^{(+)}} |Y_s^{(n+i)} - Y_s^{(n)}|^a + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{aV_s^{(+)}} |Z_s^{(n+i)} - Z_s^{(n)}|^2 ds \right)^{a/2} \\ &\leq C_{a, \lambda} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{aV_T^{(+)}} |\eta|^a \mathbf{1}_{(n, \infty)}(|\eta| + V_T^{(+)}) \right] \\ & \quad + C_{a, \lambda} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{V_s^{(+)}} \mathbf{1}_{(n, \infty)}(\beta_s) \left[\ell_s |Z_s^{(n)}| ds + F_{\hat{\rho}_0}^\#(s) ds + G_{\hat{\rho}_0}^\#(s) dA_s \right] \right)^a \\ &\leq C_{a, \lambda} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{aV_T^{(+)}} |\eta|^a \mathbf{1}_{(n, \infty)}(|\eta| + V_T^{(+)}) \right] + 2^{a-1} C_{a, \lambda} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{V_s^{(+)}} \mathbf{1}_{(n, \infty)}(\beta_s) \ell_s |Z_s^{(n)}| ds \right)^a \\ & \quad + 2^{a-1} C_{a, \lambda} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{V_s^{(+)}} \mathbf{1}_{(n, \infty)}(\beta_s) \left(F_{\hat{\rho}_0}^\#(s) ds + G_{\hat{\rho}_0}^\#(s) dA_s \right) \right)^a \\ &\leq C_{a, \lambda} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{aV_T^{(+)}} |\eta|^a \mathbf{1}_{(n, \infty)}(|\eta| + V_T^{(+)}) \right] \\ & \quad + C'_{a, \lambda} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T e^{2(V_s^{(+)} - V_s^{(n,+)})} \ell_s^2 \mathbf{1}_{(n, \infty)}(\beta_s) ds \right)^{\frac{a}{2}} \left(\int_0^T e^{2V_s^{(n,+)}} |Z_s^{(n)}|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{a}{2}} \right] \\ & \quad + C'_{a, \lambda} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{V_s^{(+)}} \mathbf{1}_{(n, \infty)}(\beta_s) \left(F_{\hat{\rho}_0}^\#(s) ds + G_{\hat{\rho}_0}^\#(s) dA_s \right) \right)^a \\ &\leq C_{a, \lambda} \hat{L}^{\frac{a}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{(n, \infty)}(|\eta| + V_T^{(+)}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + C'_{a,\lambda} \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{2(V_s^{(+)} - V_s^{(n,+)})} \ell_s^2 \mathbf{1}_{(n,\infty)}(\beta_s) ds \right)^{\frac{2-a}{2-a}} \right]^{\frac{2-a}{2}} \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{2V_s^{(n,+)}} |Z_s^n|^2 ds \right) \right]^{\frac{a}{2}} \\
 & + C'_{a,\lambda} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{V_s^{(+)} } \mathbf{1}_{(n,\infty)}(\beta_s) \left(F_{\hat{\rho}_0}^\#(s) ds + G_{\hat{\rho}_0}^\#(s) dA_s \right) \right)^a.
 \end{aligned}$$

Step IV. Passing to the limit

Hence there exists $(Y, Z) \in S_m^0[0, T] \times \Lambda_{m \times k}^0(0, T)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (j) \quad & |Y_t| \leq e^{V_t^{(+)}} |Y_t| \leq (C_\lambda \hat{L})^{1/2} = \hat{\rho}_0, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}, \\
 (jj) \quad & \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} |Z_r|^2 dr \leq \hat{\rho}_0^2, \\
 (jjj) \quad & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} e^{aV_s^{(+)}} |Y_s^n - Y_s|^a + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{aV_s^{(+)}} |Z_s^n - Z_s|^2 ds \right)^{a/2} \right] = 0, \\
 (jv) \quad & (Y_t, Z_t) = (\eta, 0), \quad \text{for all } t > T.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.31}$$

Using (5.25) and assumption (A₄) we deduce

$$\varphi(Y_t^{(n)})dt + \psi(Y_t^{(n)})dA_t \leq \langle Y_t^{(n)}, U_t^{(1,n)} \rangle dt + \langle Y_t^{(n)}, U_t^{(2,n)} \rangle dA_t$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \varphi(Y_t^{(n)})dt + \psi(Y_t^{(n)})dA_t + \langle Y_t^{(n)}, H^{(n)}(t, Y_t^{(n)}, Z_t^{(n)}) - U_t^{(n)} \rangle dQ_t \\
 & \leq \langle Y_t^{(n)}, H^{(n)}(t, Y_t^{(n)}, Z_t^{(n)}) \rangle dQ_t \\
 & \leq \left[(\alpha_t \mu_t + (1 - \alpha_t) \nu_t + \alpha_t \frac{1}{2n_p \lambda} \ell_t^2) \mathbf{1}_{[0, n]}(\beta_t) |Y_t^{(n)}|^2 \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \alpha_t \mathbf{1}_{[0, n]}(\beta_t) \frac{n_p \lambda}{2} |Z_t^{(n)}|^2 + |H^{(n)}(t, 0, 0)| |Y_t^{(n)}| \right] dQ_t \\
 & \leq |Y_t^{(n)}| d\bar{N}_t + |Y_t^{(n)}|^2 dV_t^{(+)} + \frac{n_p \lambda}{2} |Z_t^{(n)}|^2 dr,
 \end{aligned}$$

where \bar{N} is defined by (5.28).

Also by (5.29) and assumption (2.6) we have

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{pV_t^{(+)}} |Y_t^{(n)}|^p \leq \hat{\rho}_0^p \mathbb{E} \exp \left(p \int_0^T \left(|\mu_s| + \frac{1}{2n_p \lambda} \ell_s^2 \right) ds + p \int_0^T |\nu_s| dA_s \right) < \infty.$$

Hence, by Proposition 6.8, we deduce that, for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left(\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |e^{V_s^{(+)}} Y_s^{(n)}|^p \right) + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left(\int_t^T e^{2V_s^{(+)}} \left(\varphi(Y_s^{(n)}) ds + \psi(Y_s^{(n)}) dA_s \right) \right)^{p/2} \\
 & + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left(\int_t^T e^{2V_s^{(+)}} |Z_s^{(n)}|^2 ds \right)^{p/2} \\
 & \leq C_{p,\lambda} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left[e^{pV_T^{(+)}} |\eta|^p + \left(\int_t^T e^{V_s^{(+)}} d\bar{N}_s \right)^p \right], \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.32}$$

By Remark 3.8 and inequality $V_t \leq V_t^{(+)}$, we see that $(Y^{(n)}, Z^{(n)})$, as a strong solution of (5.25), is also an L^p -variational solution on $[0, T]$ for (5.25).

Hence, for

$$q \in \{2, p \wedge 2\}, \quad \delta_q = \delta \mathbf{1}_{[1,2)}(q) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_t^{(n)} = (|M_t - Y_t^{(n)}|^2 + \delta_q)^{1/2},$$

it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Gamma_t^{(n)})^q + \frac{q(q-1)}{2} \int_t^s (\Gamma_r^{(n)})^{q-2} |R_r - Z_r^{(n)}|^2 dr + q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r^{(n)})^{q-2} \Psi(r, Y_r^{(n)}) dQ_r \\ & \leq (\Gamma_s^{(n)})^q + q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r^{(n)})^{q-2} \Psi(r, M_r) dQ_r \\ & \quad + q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r^{(n)})^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r^{(n)}, N_r - H(r, Y_r^{(n)}, Z_r^{(n)}) \rangle dQ_r \\ & \quad - q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r^{(n)})^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r^{(n)}, (R_r - Z_r^{(n)}) \rangle dB_r; \end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

for any $0 \leq t \leq s < \infty$ and $M \in \mathcal{V}_m^0$ of form (3.2), i.e. $M_t = M_T + \int_t^T N_r dQ_r - \int_t^T R_r dB_r$.

By convergence result (5.31–jjj) and assumptions (A₄–A₆) we can pass to $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ (on a subsequence) in (5.32) and (5.33) to conclude that (Y, Z) is also an L^p -variational solution on $[0, T]$ and inequality (5.24) holds. \square

Corollary 5.3. *Let the assumptions of Proposition 5.2 be satisfied. If we consider the particular case $\varphi = \psi = 0$, then the BSDE*

$$Y_t = \eta + \int_t^T H(r, Y_r, Z_r) dQ_r - \int_t^T Z_r dB_r, \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s., \quad t \in [0, T], \tag{5.34}$$

has a unique strong solution $(Y, Z) \in S_m^p[0, T] \times \Lambda_{m \times k}^p(0, T)$.

Proof. Based on the results from (5.31) and assumptions (A₄–A₆) we can pass to limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ in the approximating equation (5.25) with $\varphi = \psi = 0$ and $U^{(1)} = U^{(2)} = 0$ to infer that (Y, Z) satisfies (5.34). From (5.24) and assumption (5.21) we get $(Y, Z) \in S_m^p[0, T] \times \Lambda_{m \times k}^p(0, T)$. Moreover by (5.31–j)

$$|Y_t| \leq \hat{\rho}_0, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s..$$

\square

Another consequence of the proof of Proposition 5.2 is the existence of a strong solution under weaker conditions than those of Lemma 5.1.

Corollary 5.4. *Let the assumptions of Proposition 5.2 be satisfied. In addition we assume that:*

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \mathbb{E} \left[e^{2V_T^{(+)}} (\varphi(\eta) + \psi(\eta)) \right] < \infty, \\ (ii) \quad & \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} dQ_r < \infty, \\ (iii) \quad & \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \left(|F_{\hat{\rho}_0}^\#(r)|^2 dr + |G_{\hat{\rho}_0}^\#(r)|^2 dA_r \right) < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{5.35}$$

Then the BSDE

$$\begin{cases} Y_t + \int_t^T dK_r = Y_T + \int_t^T H(r, Y_r, Z_r) dQ_r - \int_t^T Z_r dB_r, & \mathbb{P} - a.s., \text{ for all } t \in [0, T], \\ dK_r = U_r^{(1)} dr + U_r^{(2)} dA_r, \\ U^{(1)} dr \in \partial\varphi(Y_r) dr \quad \text{and} \quad U^{(2)} dA_r \in \partial\psi(Y_r) dA_r \end{cases}$$

has a unique strong solution $(Y, Z, U^{(1)}, U^{(2)}) \in S_m^0 \times \Lambda_{m \times k}^0 \times \Lambda_m^0 \times \Lambda_m^0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{2V_t} |Y_t|^2 + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{2V_r} |Z_r|^2 dr \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{2V_r} |U_r^{(1)}|^2 dr \right) \\ + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{2V_r} |U_r^{(2)}|^2 dA_r \right) < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (5.36)$$

Moreover

$$|Y_t| \leq e^{V_t^{(+)}} |Y_t| \leq \hat{\rho}_0, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \mathbb{P} - a.s..$$

Proof. We are in the framework of the proof of Proposition 5.2. We can deduce again inequality (5.15) and therefore, using (5.29),

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(n,+)}} \left[|U_r^{(1, n)}|^2 dr + |U_r^{(2, n)}|^2 dA_r \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[e^{2V_T^{(n,+)}} (\varphi(\eta^{(n)}) + \psi(\eta^{(n)})) \right] + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(n,+)}} \left(1 + 6L^2 |Z_r^{(n)}|^2 + 6|F^{(n)}(r, Y_r^{(n)}, 0)|^2 \right) dr \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(n,+)}} \left(1 + 3|G^{(n)}(r, Y_r^{(n)})|^2 \right) dA_r \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[e^{2V_T^{(+)}} (\varphi(\eta) + \psi(\eta)) \right] + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} (dr + dA_r) + 6L^2 \hat{\rho}_0^2 \\ & \quad + 6 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} |F_{\hat{\rho}_0}^\#(r)|^2 dr + 3 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} |G_{\hat{\rho}_0}^\#(r)|^2 dA_r, \end{aligned}$$

where $V^{(n,+)}$ is defined by (5.26).

Hence, using assumptions (5.35), there exists $(\hat{U}^{(1)}, \hat{U}^{(2)}) \in \Lambda_m^0(0, T) \times \Lambda_m^0(0, T)$ such that, on a subsequence still denoted by $\{U^{(1, n)}, U^{(2, n)}; n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$, we have, if we denote $(U^{(1)}, U^{(2)}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (e^{-V^{(+)}} \hat{U}^{(1)}, e^{-V^{(+)}} \hat{U}^{(2)}) \in \Lambda_m^0(0, T) \times \Lambda_m^0(0, T)$:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{V_r^{(n,+)}} U^{(1, n)} & \rightharpoonup e^{V^{(+)}} U^{(1)}, \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\Omega \times [0, T], d\mathbb{P} \otimes dt; \mathbb{R}^m), \\ e^{V_r^{(n,+)}} U^{(2, n)} & \rightharpoonup e^{V^{(+)}} U^{(2)}, \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\Omega \times [0, T], d\mathbb{P} \otimes dA_t; \mathbb{R}^m). \end{aligned}$$

and, passing to the limit,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \left[|U_r^{(1)}|^2 dr + |U_r^{(2)}|^2 dA_r \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[e^{2V_T^{(+)}} (\varphi(\eta) + \psi(\eta)) \right] + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \left(1 + 6L^2 |Z_r|^2 + 6 |F(r, Y_r, 0)|^2 \right) dr \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r^{(+)}} \left(1 + 3 |G(r, Y_r)|^2 \right) dA_r. \end{aligned}$$

Passing to $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ in the approximating equation (5.25) and using the results from the proof of Proposition 5.2 we infer

$$\begin{cases} Y_t + \int_t^T U_s dQ_s = \eta + \int_t^T H(s, Y_s, Z_s) dQ_s - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s, & t \in [0, T], \\ U_s = \alpha_r U_r^{(1)} + (1 - \alpha_r) U_r^{(2)} \\ U_s^{(1)} \in \partial\varphi(Y_s), \quad d\mathbb{P} \otimes ds - a.e. \quad \text{and} \quad U_s^{(2)} \in \partial\psi(Y_s), \quad d\mathbb{P} \otimes dA_s - a.e. \quad \text{on} \quad [0, T], \end{cases} \quad (5.37)$$

and the conclusion follows. \square

The main result of this section (and one of the main goal of our article, among the uniqueness property provided by Theorem 4.1) is the next Theorem 5.5. We remark that, with respect to Proposition 5.2, the restrictive assumption (5.21) is relaxed and becomes (5.38); the assumptions (5.22) and (5.23) are kept.

Theorem 5.5 (*L^p -variational solution*). *We suppose that assumptions (A₂ – A₇) are satisfied. In addition we assume that:*

(i) (see (5.21))

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{pV_T} |\eta|^p + \left(\int_0^T e^{V_r} (|F(r, 0, 0)| dr + |G(r, 0)| dA_r) \right)^p \right] < \infty, \quad (5.38)$$

where V is defined by (2.10);

(ii) (see (5.22)) there exists $a \in (1 + n_p \lambda, p \wedge 2)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \ell_s^2 ds \right)^{\frac{a}{2-a}} < \infty, \\ (b) \quad & \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T e^{V_r^{(+)}} (F_\rho^\#(r) dr + G_\rho^\#(r) dA_r) \right]^a < \infty, \quad \text{for all } \rho > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.39)$$

where $V^{(+)}$ is defined by (2.11) and $F_\rho^\#, G_\rho^\#$ are defined by (2.4);

(iii) (we recall (5.23)) there exists a positive p.m.s.p. $(\Theta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and for each $\rho \geq 0$ there exist an non-decreasing function $K_\rho : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$F_\rho^\#(t) + G_\rho^\#(t) \leq K_\rho(\Theta_t), \quad a.e. \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (5.40)$$

Then the multivalued BSDE

$$\begin{cases} Y_t + \int_t^T dK_r = \eta + \int_t^T H(r, Y_r, Z_r) dQ_r - \int_t^T Z_r dB_r, & \mathbb{P} - a.s., \text{ for all } t \in [0, T], \\ dK_r = U_r dQ_r \in \partial_y \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \end{cases}$$

has a unique L^p -variational solution, in the sense of Definition 3.2.

Moreover this solution satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{pV_t} |Y_t|^p \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{2V_r} |Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{p/2} + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{2V_r} \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \right)^{p/2} \\ & + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{qV_r} |Y_r|^{q-2} |Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{p/q} + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{qV_r} |Y_r|^{q-2} \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \right)^{p/q} \\ & \leq C_{p, \lambda} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{pV_T} |\eta|^p + \left(\int_0^T e^{V_r} |H(r, 0, 0)| dQ_r \right)^p \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5.41)$$

where $q \in \{2, p \wedge 2\}$.

Remark 5.6. If we consider the particular coefficients given by Remark 2.2, we mention that assumptions (5.38)–(5.40) are satisfied (under some supplementary restrictions).

Indeed, by (2.13), we have

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{p\bar{V}_T}) < \infty, \quad \text{where } \bar{V}_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^t \left(|\mu_r| + \frac{1}{2n_p \lambda} \ell_r^2 \right) dr + \int_0^t |\nu_r| dA_r.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{V_r} (|F(r, 0, 0)| dr + |G(r, 0)| dA_r) \right)^p \leq c_p \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{\bar{V}_r} (|\mu_r| dr + \ell_r dr + |\nu_r| dA_r) \right)^p \\ & \leq c_p \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{\bar{V}_r} \left(|\mu_r| dr + \left(\frac{1}{2n_p \lambda} \ell_r^2 + \frac{n_p \lambda}{2} \right) dr + |\nu_r| dA_r \right) \right)^p \\ & \leq c_p 2^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{\bar{V}_s} d\bar{V}_s \right)^p + c_p 2^{p-1} \left(\frac{n_p \lambda}{2} \right)^p \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{\bar{V}_s} ds \right)^p \\ & \leq c_p 2^{p-1} \left(1 + \left(\frac{n_p \lambda T}{2} \right)^p \right) \mathbb{E}(e^{p\bar{V}_T}) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

and therefore assumption (5.38) is satisfied.

Assumption (5.39–a) is clearly satisfied by the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality;

In order to fulfill assumption (5.39–b) we should impose, in addition, that there exist $m, n > 0$ such that $|f_i(y)| \leq e^{m|y|+n}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}, i = \overline{1, 3}$. Hence, for $a < p$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T e^{V_r^{(+)}} (F_\rho^\#(r) dr + G_\rho^\#(r) dA_r) \right]^a \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T e^{\bar{V}_r} \left(\rho + e^{m\rho|B_r|+n} \right) (|\mu_r| dr + \ell_r dr + |\nu_r| dA_r) \right]^a \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\rho + e^{m\rho \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |B_r| + n} \right) \int_0^T e^{\bar{V}_r} \left(d\bar{V}_r + \frac{n_p \lambda}{2} dr \right) \right]^a \\
&\leq 2^{2(a-1)} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\rho^a + e^{am\rho \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |B_r| + an} \right) \left(\left(\int_0^T e^{\bar{V}_r} d\bar{V}_r \right)^a + \left(\frac{n_p \lambda}{2} \right)^a \left(\int_0^T e^{\bar{V}_r} dr \right)^a \right) \right] \\
&\leq 2^{2(a-1)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{n_p \lambda T}{2} \right)^a \right) \left[\rho^a \mathbb{E}(e^{a\bar{V}_T}) + e^{an} \mathbb{E}(e^{am\rho \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |B_r|} e^{a\bar{V}_T}) \right] \\
&\leq 2^{2(a-1)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{n_p \lambda T}{2} \right)^a \right) \left[\rho^a \mathbb{E}(e^{a\bar{V}_T}) + e^{an} \mathbb{E}(e^{\frac{am}{2} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |B_r|^2 + \frac{am\rho^2}{2}} e^{a\bar{V}_T}) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

which is finite if, for instance, m is such that $\frac{am\rho}{2(p-a)} < \frac{1}{2T}$.

Therefore we deduce that assumption (5.39–b) is satisfied.

Assumptions (5.40) is satisfied with $K_\rho(r) = r(\rho + e^{m\rho r + n})$, $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\Theta_t = |B_t| + |\mu_t| + |\nu_t| + \ell_t$.

Proof. Step I. Approximating equation

Let $t \in [0, T]$ and

$$\beta_t = t + A_t + |\mu_t| + |\nu_t| + \ell_t + V_t^{(+)} + |F(t, 0, 0)| + |G(t, 0)| + \Theta_t,$$

Define, for $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta^{(n)} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \eta \mathbf{1}_{[0, n]}(|\eta| + V_T^{(+)}), \\
F^{(n)}(t, y, z) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F(t, y, z) - F(t, 0, 0) \mathbf{1}_{(n, \infty)}(\beta_t), \\
G^{(n)}(t, y) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G(t, y) - G(t, 0) \mathbf{1}_{(n, \infty)}(\beta_t), \\
H^{(n)}(t, y, z) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \alpha_t F^{(n)}(t, y, z) + (1 - \alpha_t) G^{(n)}(t, y).
\end{aligned}$$

We highlight the following properties of the function $H^{(n)}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle y' - y, H^{(n)}(t, y', z) - H^{(n)}(t, y, z) \rangle &\leq [\mu_t \alpha_t + \nu_t (1 - \alpha_t)] |y' - y|^2, \\
|H^{(n)}(t, y, z') - H^{(n)}(t, y, z)| &\leq \alpha_t \ell_t |z' - z|, \\
|H^{(n+i)}(t, y, z) - H^{(n)}(t, y, z)| &\leq [\alpha_t |F(t, 0, 0)| + (1 - \alpha_t) |G(t, 0)|] \mathbf{1}_{(n, \infty)}(\beta_t)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.42}$$

and, using the previous, the monotonicity properties

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle y, H^{(n)}(t, y, z) \rangle \\
&\leq |y| [\alpha_t |F(t, 0, 0)| + (1 - \alpha_t) |G(t, 0)|] \mathbf{1}_{[0, n]}(\beta_t) + |y|^2 dV_s + \alpha_t \frac{n_p \lambda}{2} |z|^2 \\
&\leq |y| [\alpha_t |F(t, 0, 0)| + (1 - \alpha_t) |G(t, 0)|] \mathbf{1}_{[0, n]}(\beta_t) + |y|^2 dV_s^{(+)} + \alpha_t \frac{n_p \lambda}{2} |z|^2
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle Y'_t - Y_t, H^{(n)}(t, Y'_t, Z'_t) - H^{(n)}(t, Y_t, Z_t) \rangle dQ_t &\leq |Y'_t - Y_t|^2 dV_t + \frac{n_p \lambda}{2} |Z'_t - Z_t|^2 dt \\
&\leq |Y'_t - Y_t|^2 dV_t^{(+)} + \frac{n_p \lambda}{2} |Z'_t - Z_t|^2 dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the assumptions of Proposition 5.2 are satisfied for the approximating BSDE

$$\begin{cases} Y_t^{(n)} + \int_t^T dK_s^{(n)} = \eta^{(n)} + \int_t^T H^{(n)}(s, Y_s^{(n)}, Z_s^{(n)}) dQ_s - \int_t^T Z_s^{(n)} dB_s, & t \in [0, T], \\ dK_r^{(n)} \in \partial_y \Psi(r, Y_r^{(n)}) dQ_r = \alpha_r \partial \varphi(Y_r^{(n)}) dr + (1 - \alpha_r) \partial \psi(Y_r^{(n)}) dA_r \end{cases} \quad (5.43)$$

and therefore there exists a unique L^p -variational solution $(Y^{(n)}, Z^{(n)})$ of (5.43).

Since $V \leq V^{(+)}$, we obtain from (5.24)

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [0, T]} e^{pV_r} |Y_r^{(n)}|^p \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{2V_r} |Z_r^{(n)}|^2 dr \right)^{p/2} + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{2V_r} \Psi(r, Y_r^{(n)}) dQ_r \right)^{p/2} < \infty$$

and for any $q \in \{2, p \wedge 2\}$, $\delta_q = \delta \mathbf{1}_{[1, 2)}(q)$ and $\Gamma_t^{(n)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(|M_t - Y_t^{(n)}|^2 + \delta_q \right)^{1/2}$ it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Gamma_t^{(n)})^q + \frac{q(q-1)}{2} \int_t^s (\Gamma_r^{(n)})^{q-2} |R_r - Z_r^{(n)}|^2 dr + q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r^{(n)})^{q-2} \Psi(r, Y_r^{(n)}) dQ_r \\ & \leq (\Gamma_s^{(n)})^q + q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r^{(n)})^{q-2} \Psi(r, M_r) dQ_r \\ & \quad + q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r^{(n)})^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r^{(n)}, N_r - H^{(n)}(r, Y_r^{(n)}, Z_r^{(n)}) \rangle dQ_r \\ & \quad - q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r^{(n)})^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r^{(n)}, (R_r - Z_r^{(n)}) dB_r \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (5.44)$$

for any $0 \leq t \leq s < \infty$ and for any $M \in \mathcal{V}_m^0$ of form (3.2), i.e. $M_t = M_T + \int_t^T N_r dQ_r - \int_t^T R_r dB_r$.

Since $\mathbb{E}(\sup_{r \in [0, T]} e^{pV_r} |Y_r^{(n)}|^p) < \infty$ and inequality (5.44) holds for $1 < q = p \wedge 2 \leq p$, boundedness properties (3.22) and (3.17) from Proposition 3.10 yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{pV_t} |Y_t^{(n)}|^p \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{2V_r} |Z_r^{(n)}|^2 dr \right)^{p/2} + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{2V_r} \Psi(r, Y_r^{(n)}) dQ_r \right)^{p/2} \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{qV_r} |Y_r^{(n)}|^{q-2} |Z_r^{(n)}|^2 dr \right)^{p/q} + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{qV_r} |Y_r^{(n)}|^{q-2} \Psi(r, Y_r^{(n)}) dQ_r \right)^{p/q} \\ & \leq C_{p, \lambda} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{pV_T} |\eta|^p + \left(\int_0^T e^{V_r} |H(r, 0, 0)| dQ_r \right)^p \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.45)$$

Step II. Cauchy sequence

From the continuity property (4.3), for $q = p \wedge 2$, we have for all $0 < \alpha < 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{\alpha q V_t} |Y_t^{(n+i)} - Y_t^{(n)}|^{\alpha q} + \left(\mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{2V_r} \frac{|Z_r^{(n+i)} - Z_r^{(n)}|^2}{(e^{V_r} |Y_t^{(n+i)} - Y_t^{(n)}| + 1)^{2-q}} dr \right)^\alpha \\ & \leq C_{\alpha, q, \lambda} \left[\mathbb{E} e^{qV_T} \left| \eta^{(n+i)} - \eta^{(n)} \right|^q \right. \\ & \quad \left. + K \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{V_r} |H^{(n+i)}(t, Y_t^{(n)}, Z_t^{(n)}) - H^{(n)}(t, Y_t^{(n)}, Z_t^{(n)})| dQ_r \right)^q \right)^{1/q} \right]^\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (5.46)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \left[\mathbb{E} e^{qV_T} |\eta^{(n+i)}|^q + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{V_r} |H^{(n+i)}(r, 0, 0)| dQ_r \right)^q + \mathbb{E} e^{qV_T} |\eta^{(n)}|^q \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{V_r} |H^{(n)}(r, 0, 0)| dQ_r \right)^q \right]^{(q-1)/q} \\ &\leq 2^{(q-1)/q} \left[\mathbb{E} \left(e^{qV_T} |\eta|^q + \left(\int_0^T e^{V_r} |F(r, 0, 0)| dr + |G(r, 0)| dA_r \right)^q \right) \right]^{(q-1)/q} \end{aligned}$$

and $C_{\alpha, q, \lambda}$ is a positive constant depending only α, q and λ .

First we remark, see also (5.30),

$$\mathbb{E} e^{qV_T} |\eta^{(n+i)} - \eta^{(n)}|^q \leq \mathbb{E} e^{qV_T} |\eta|^q \mathbf{1}_{(n, \infty)}(|\eta| + V_T^{(+)})) \rightarrow 0, \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}, \text{ for } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

since, by $1 < q \leq p$ and assumption (5.38), we have

$$\mathbb{E} e^{qV_T} |\eta|^q \leq (\mathbb{E} e^{pV_T} |\eta|^p)^{q/p} < \infty.$$

Again by (5.30) and assumption (5.38) we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{V_r} |H^{(n+i)}(t, Y_t^{(n)}, Z_t^{(n)}) - H^{(n)}(t, Y_t^{(n)}, Z_t^{(n)})| dQ_r \right)^q \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{V_r} [|F(r, 0, 0)| \mathbf{1}_{(n, \infty)}(\beta_r) dr + |G(r, 0)| \mathbf{1}_{(n, \infty)}(\beta_r) dA_r] \right)^q \\ &\leq 2^{q-1} \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{V_r} |F(r, 0, 0)| \mathbf{1}_{(n, \infty)}(\beta_r) dr \right)^q + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T e^{V_r} |G(r, 0)| \mathbf{1}_{(n, \infty)}(\beta_r) dA_r \right)^q \right] \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}, \text{ for } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

From (5.46) we conclude that there exists $(Y, Z) \in S_m^0 \times \Lambda_{m \times k}^0$ such that (on a subsequence)

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t^{(n)} - Y_t| + \int_0^T |Z_r^{(n)} - Z_r|^2 dr \rightarrow 0, \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}, \text{ for } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Passing to $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ in (5.44) and (5.45) we infer that (Y, Z) is an L^p -variational solution. \square

Remark 5.7. If we consider BSDE (1.1) in the particular case $G \equiv 0$, $\psi \equiv 0$, F and η deterministic and F independent of Y and Z , we obtain the deterministic differential equation

$$\begin{cases} Y_t' \in \partial \varphi(Y_t) - F(t), & t \in [0, T], \\ Y_T = \eta, \end{cases} \quad (5.47)$$

since the solution $(Y_t, Z_t) = (Y_t, 0)$ is deterministic.

Let us remark that a variational solution in the sense of definition (3.11) implies that Y satisfies the inequality

$$|M - Y_t|^2 + 2 \int_t^s \varphi(Y_r) dr \leq |M - Y_s|^2 + 2 \int_t^s \varphi(M) dr + 2 \int_t^s \langle M - Y_r, -F(r) \rangle dr,$$

for any $M \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and any $0 \leq t \leq s \leq T$.

On the other hand, see ([2], Chap. III, Def. 2.1), the integral solution of (5.47) means that Y satisfies the inequality (let us remark that our equation (5.47) is with final condition)

$$|M - Y_t|^2 \leq |M - Y_s|^2 + 2 \int_t^s \langle M - Y_r, M^* - F(r) \rangle dr, \quad \text{for any } M^* \in \partial\varphi(M)$$

and any $0 \leq t \leq s \leq T$.

It is easy to see that any variational solution of (5.47) is an integral solution of the same problem. Using now Chapter III, Corollary 2.2 of [2], we can prove the converse, *i.e.* that any integral solution of (5.47) is a limit of strong solutions and therefore (see Rem. 3.8) is a variational solution of (5.47) in the sense of definition (3.11).

Therefore, the definition of the variational solution for (5.47) coincides with the definition of integral solution for the same problem.

Hence the question about the difference between the variational solution (our definition) and the strong solution is equivalent with the problem of the difference between the integral solution and the strong solution of the same problem. We also remark that, in this framework, our assumptions about the generator F reduces to the assumption that $F \in L^1(0, T, \mathbb{R}^m)$. Finally, we remark that, accordingly with Chapter III, Theorem 2.1 of [2], under the assumption $F \in L^1(0, T, \mathbb{R}^m)$ the solution is only integral (in order to have a strong solution it is necessary to impose that $F \in L^2(0, T, \mathbb{R}^m)$, see [2], Chap. IV, Thm. 2.1).

5.2. Existence on a random interval time $[0, \tau]$

Theorem 5.8. *We suppose that assumptions $(A_1 - A_7)$ are satisfied. Let V and $V^{(+)}$ be given by definitions (2.10) and (2.11) respectively. In addition we assume that:*

(i)

$$0 \leq \Psi(r, \eta) \leq \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} \Psi(r, \eta), \quad \text{a.e. } r \geq 0;$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\sup_{t \geq 0} e^{pV_t} |\xi_t|^p) + \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^\tau e^{2V_r} |\zeta_r|^2 dr\right)^{p/2} + \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^\tau e^{2V_r} \Psi(r, \xi_r) dQ_r\right)^{p/2} \\ + \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^\tau e^{V_r} (|F(r, 0, 0)| dr + |G(r, 0)| dA_r)\right)^p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L < \infty; \end{aligned} \quad (5.48)$$

(iii)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(\Lambda_t) = 0, \quad (5.49)$$

where $\Lambda_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\int_t^\infty e^{V_r} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} \Psi(r, \xi_r) dQ_r\right)^{p/2} + \left(\int_t^\infty e^{V_r} |H(r, \xi_r, \zeta_r)| dQ_r\right)^p$;

(iv) there exists $a \in (1 + n_p \lambda, p \wedge 2)$ such that for every $T \geq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T \ell_s^2 ds\right)^{\frac{a}{2-a}} < \infty, \\ (b) \quad \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T e^{V_s^{(+)}} (F_\rho^\#(s) ds + G_\rho^\#(s) dA_s)\right)^a < \infty, \quad \text{for all } \rho > 0; \end{aligned} \quad (5.50)$$

(v) there exists a positive p.m.s.p. $(\Theta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and, for each $\rho \geq 0$, there exist a non-decreasing function $K_\rho : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$F_\rho^\#(t) + G_\rho^\#(t) \leq K_\rho(\Theta_t), \quad a.e. t \geq 0, \mathbb{P} - a.s.. \quad (5.51)$$

Then the multivalued BSDE

$$\begin{cases} Y_t + \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{\tau} dK_r = \eta + \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{\tau} H(r, Y_r, Z_r) dQ_r - \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{\tau} Z_r dB_r, & \mathbb{P} - a.s., \text{ for all } t \geq 0, \\ dK_r = U_r dQ_r \in \partial_y \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \end{cases}$$

has a unique L^p -variational solution, in the sense of Definition 3.2.

Moreover this solution satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, \tau]} e^{pV_t} |Y_t|^p \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^\tau e^{2V_r} |Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{p/2} + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^\tau e^{2V_r} \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \right)^{p/2} \\ & + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^\tau e^{qV_r} |Y_r|^{q-2} |Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{p/q} + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^\tau e^{qV_r} |Y_r|^{q-2} \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \right)^{p/q} \\ & \leq C_{p, \lambda} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{pV_\tau} |\eta|^p + \left(\int_0^\tau e^{V_r} |H(r, 0, 0)| dQ_r \right)^p \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.52)$$

Remark 5.9. Our initial assumptions are about η but the first three terms from (5.48) involves the processes ξ and ζ (associated to η). However, we remark that in order to obtain that the first three terms in (5.48) are bounded it is sufficient to impose

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{t \geq 0} e^{pV_t} |\eta|^p \right) < \infty$$

(for the proof we can apply ([21], Cor. 2.45) for the process $\sup_{t \geq 0} V_t$) and respectively

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^\tau e^{2V_r} \Psi(r, \eta) dQ_r \right)^{p/2} < \infty.$$

Proof. Step I. Approximating equation and estimates

By Theorem 5.5 there exists a unique pair $(Y^{(n)}, Z^{(n)})$ as L^p -variational solution on $[0, n]$ of the BSDE, with the final data $\xi_n = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}^n} \eta$,

$$\begin{cases} Y_t^{(n)} + \int_t^n dK_s^{(n)} = \xi_n + \int_t^n H(s, Y_s^{(n)}, Z_s^{(n)}) dQ_s - \int_t^n Z_s^{(n)} dB_s, & t \in [0, n], \\ dK_s^{(n)} \in \partial_y \Psi(s, Y_s^{(n)}) dQ_s. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$(Y_t^{(n)}, Z_t^{(n)}) = (\xi_t, \zeta_t), \quad \text{for all } t \geq n \quad \text{and} \quad (Y_t^{(n)}, Z_t^{(n)}) = (\eta, 0), \quad \text{for all } t \geq \tau. \quad (5.53)$$

By (5.41) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{t \geq 0} e^{pV_t} |Y_t^{(n)}|^p \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^\infty e^{2V_r} |Z_r^{(n)}|^2 dr \right)^{p/2} + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^\infty e^{2V_r} \Psi(r, Y_r^{(n)}) dQ_r \right)^{p/2} \\
 & \leq \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, n]} e^{pV_t} |Y_t^{(n)}|^p \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^n e^{2V_r} |Z_r^{(n)}|^2 dr \right)^{p/2} + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^n e^{2V_r} \Psi(r, Y_r^{(n)}) dQ_r \right)^{p/2} \\
 & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{t \geq 0} e^{pV_t} |\xi_t|^p \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^\tau e^{2V_r} |\zeta_r|^2 dr \right)^{p/2} + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^\tau e^{2V_r} \Psi(r, \xi_r) dQ_r \right)^{p/2} \\
 & \leq C_{p, \lambda} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{pV_n} |\xi_n|^p + \left(\int_0^n e^{V_r} |H(r, 0, 0)| dQ_r \right)^p \right] + L \\
 & \leq L \cdot C_{p, \lambda} + L \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{L}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.54}$$

On the other hand, let us take in Proposition 3.10

$$M_t = \xi_t = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \eta, \quad R_t = \zeta_t, \quad N_t = 0, \quad \text{and } L_t = 0$$

and therefore

$$M_t = M_T + \int_t^T 0 dr - \int_t^T R_r dB_r, \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq t \leq T < \infty.$$

Since, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, k]} e^{pV_t} |M_t - Y_t^{(k)}|^p \right) \leq 2^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, k]} e^{pV_t} |\xi_t|^p + 2^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, k]} e^{pV_t} |Y_t^{(k)}|^p < \infty,$$

we can use boundedness properties (3.20) and (3.16) from Proposition 3.10 in order to deduce that, for all $0 \leq t \leq s \leq k$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left(\sup_{r \in [t, s]} e^{pV_r} |\xi_r - Y_r^{(k)}|^p \right) + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left(\int_t^s e^{2V_r} |\zeta_r - Z_r^{(k)}|^2 dr \right)^{p/2} \\
 & \leq C_{p, \lambda} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left[e^{pV_s} |\xi_s - Y_s^{(k)}|^p \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \left(\int_t^s e^{V_r} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} \Psi(r, \xi_r) dQ_r \right)^{p/2} + \left(\int_t^s e^{V_r} |H(r, \xi_r, \zeta_r)| dQ_r \right)^p \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} e^{pV_s} |\xi_s - Y_s^{(k)}|^p \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left(\sup_{s \in [t, k]} e^{pV_s} |\xi_s - Y_s^{(k)}|^p \right) \\
 & \leq C_{p, \lambda} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left[e^{pV_k} |\xi_k - Y_k^{(k)}|^p + \left(\int_t^k e^{V_r} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} \Psi(r, \xi_r) dQ_r \right)^{p/2} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \left(\int_t^k e^{V_r} |H(r, \xi_r, \zeta_r)| dQ_r \right)^p \right] \\
 & = C_{p, \lambda} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left[\left(\int_t^k e^{V_r} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} \Psi(r, \xi_r) dQ_r \right)^{p/2} + \left(\int_t^k e^{V_r} |H(r, \xi_r, \zeta_r)| dQ_r \right)^p \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the above two inequalities we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left(\sup_{r \in [t, s]} e^{pV_r} |\xi_r - Y_r^{(k)}|^p \right) + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left(\int_t^s e^{2V_r} |\zeta_r - Z_r^{(k)}|^2 dr \right)^{p/2} \\
& \leq C_{p, \lambda} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left[\left(\int_t^k e^{V_r} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} \Psi(r, \xi_r) dQ_r \right)^{p/2} + \left(\int_t^k e^{V_r} |H(r, \xi_r, \zeta_r)| dQ_r \right)^p \right] \\
& \leq C_{p, \lambda} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left[\left(\int_t^\infty e^{V_r} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} \Psi(r, \xi_r) dQ_r \right)^{p/2} + \left(\int_t^\infty e^{V_r} |H(r, \xi_r, \zeta_r)| dQ_r \right)^p \right] \\
& = C_{p, \lambda} \cdot \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} (\Lambda_t).
\end{aligned}$$

Step II. Cauchy sequence

In particular, since

$$(Y_r^{(n)}, Z_r^{(n)}) = (\xi_r, \zeta_r), \quad \text{for all } r \geq n \quad \text{and} \quad (Y_r^{(n+i)}, Z_r^{(n+i)}) = (\xi_r, \zeta_r), \quad \text{for all } r \geq n+i,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_n} \left(\sup_{r \geq n} e^{pV_r} |Y_r^{(n)} - Y_r^{(n+i)}|^p \right) + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_n} \left(\int_n^\infty e^{2V_r} |Z_r^{(n)} - Z_r^{(n+i)}|^2 dr \right)^{p/2} \\
& = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_n} \left(\sup_{r \in [n, n+i]} e^{pV_r} |Y_r^{(n)} - Y_r^{(n+i)}|^p \right) + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_n} \left(\int_n^{n+i} e^{2V_r} |Z_r^{(n)} - Z_r^{(n+i)}|^2 dr \right)^{p/2} \\
& = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_n} \left(\sup_{r \in [n, n+i]} e^{pV_r} |\xi_r - Y_r^{(n+i)}|^p \right) + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_n} \left(\int_n^{n+i} e^{2V_r} |\zeta_r - Z_r^{(n+i)}|^2 dr \right)^{p/2} \\
& \leq C_{p, \lambda} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_n} \left[\left(\int_n^\infty e^{V_r} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} \Psi(r, \xi_r) dQ_r \right)^{p/2} + \left(\int_n^\infty e^{V_r} |H(r, \xi_r, \zeta_r)| dQ_r \right)^p \right] \\
& = C_{p, \lambda} \cdot \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_n} (\Lambda_n)
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore, using (5.49),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \geq n} e^{pV_r} |\xi_r - Y_r^{(n)}|^p \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_n^\infty e^{2V_r} |\zeta_r - Z_r^{(n)}|^2 dr \right)^{p/2} = 0.$$

By the continuity property (4.3) on $[0, n]$, with $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, n]} e^{\alpha q V_t} |Y_t^{(n+i)} - Y_t^{(n)}|^{\alpha q} + \left(\mathbb{E} \int_0^n e^{2V_r} \frac{|Z_r^{(n+i)} - Z_r^{(n)}|^2}{(e^{V_r} |Y_r^{(n+i)} - Y_r^{(n)}| + 1)^{2-q}} dr \right)^\alpha \\
& \leq C_{\alpha, q, \lambda} \left[\mathbb{E} e^{qV_n} |Y_n^{(n+i)} - Y_n^{(n)}|^q \right]^\alpha \leq C_{\alpha, q, \lambda} \left[\mathbb{E} e^{pV_n} |Y_n^{(n+i)} - \xi_n|^p \right]^{\alpha q/p} \\
& \leq C_{\alpha, p, \lambda} \cdot [\mathbb{E} (\Lambda_n)]^{\alpha q/p} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.55}$$

Hence, using again Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} e^{\alpha q V_t} |Y_t^{(n+i)} - Y_t^{(n)}|^{\alpha q} \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, n]} e^{\alpha q V_t} |Y_t^{(n+i)} - Y_t^{(n)}|^{\alpha q} + \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \geq n} e^{p V_r} |Y_r^{(n)} - Y_r^{(n+i)}|^p \right) \right)^{\alpha q/p} \\ & \leq C_{\alpha, p, \lambda} \cdot [\mathbb{E} (\Lambda_n)]^{\alpha q/p} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Step III. *Passing to the limit*

Hence there exists $Y \in S_m^0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} e^{\alpha q V_t} |Y_t - Y_t^{(n)}|^{\alpha q} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and, on a subsequence denoted also by $Y^{(n)}$,

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} e^{\alpha q V_t} |Y_t - Y_t^{(n)}|^{\alpha q} \rightarrow 0, \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.56)$$

Since $(Y_t^{(n)}, Z_t^{(n)}) = (\eta, 0)$, for all $t \geq \tau$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, it clearly follows that $Y_t = \eta$, for all $t \geq \tau$.

By Fatou's Lemma applied to inequality (5.54) we deduce

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{t \geq 0} e^{p V_t} |Y_t|^p \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^\infty e^{2 V_r} \Psi(r, Y_r) dQ_r \right)^{p/2} \leq \tilde{L}.$$

From (5.54) we also infer that there exists $Z \in \Lambda_{m \times k}^0$ such that

$$Z^{(n)} \rightharpoonup Z, \quad \text{weakly in } L^p(\Omega; L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^{m \times k})), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^\infty e^{2 V_r} |Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{p/2} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^\infty e^{2 V_r} |Z_r^{(n)}|^2 dr \right)^{p/2} \leq \tilde{L}.$$

Now, by the continuity property (4.1) on $[0, n]$, we have, \mathbb{P} -a.s., for all $t \in [0, n]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{q V_t} |Y_t^{(n+i)} - Y_t^{(n)}|^q + c_{q, \lambda} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \int_t^n e^{2 V_r} \frac{|Z_r^{(n+i)} - Z_r^{(n)}|^2}{(e^{V_r} |Y_r^{(n+i)} - Y_r^{(n)}| + 1)^{2-q}} dr \\ & + c_{q, \lambda} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \int_t^n e^{q V_r} |Y_r^{(n+i)} - Y_r^{(n)}|^{q-2} |Z_r^{(n+i)} - Z_r^{(n)}|^2 dr \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} e^{q V_n} |Y_n^{(n+i)} - Y_n^{(n)}|^q \leq \left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} e^{p V_n} |Y_n^{(n+i)} - \xi_n|^p \right)^{q/p} \\ & \leq [\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} (C_{\lambda, \alpha, p} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_n} (\Lambda_n))]^{q/p} = C_{\lambda, \alpha, p} [\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} (\Lambda_n)]^{q/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.57)$$

Therefore, if we denote $\Delta_t^{(n)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*} e^{V_t} |Y_t^{(n+i)} - Y_t^{(n)}|$, then

$$(\Delta_t^{(n)})^p \leq C_{\lambda, \alpha, p} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} (\Lambda_n), \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, n].$$

From Proposition 1.56 of [21] we infer

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (\Delta_t^{(n)})^{\alpha p} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} (C_{\lambda, \alpha, p} \mathbb{E}(\Lambda_n))^\alpha, \quad \text{for all } 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

Consequently, by Beppo Levi monotone convergence theorem for $T \rightarrow \infty$, it follows

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} (\Delta_t^{(n)})^{\alpha p} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} (C_{\lambda, \alpha, p} \mathbb{E}(\Lambda_n))^\alpha, \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let $T > 0$ be arbitrary and $T \leq n$. Then, from (5.57),

$$\begin{aligned} & c_{q, \lambda} \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{1}{(\sup_{t \geq 0} \Delta_t^{(n)} + 1)^{2-q}} \int_0^T e^{2V_r} |Z_r^{(n+i)} - Z_r^{(n)}|^2 dr \right) \\ & \leq c_{q, \lambda} \mathbb{E} \int_0^n e^{2V_r} \frac{|Z_r^{(n+i)} - Z_r^{(n)}|^2}{(e^{V_r} |Y_r^{(n+i)} - Y_r^{(n)}| + 1)^{2-q}} dr \leq [C_{\lambda, \alpha, p} \mathbb{E}(\Lambda_n)]^{q/p}. \end{aligned}$$

In this inequality we pass to $\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty}$ and it follows

$$c_{q, \lambda} \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{1}{(\sup_{t \geq 0} \Delta_t^{(n)} + 1)^{2-q}} \int_0^T e^{2V_r} |Z_r - Z_r^{(n)}|^2 dr \right) \leq [C_{\lambda, \alpha, p} \mathbb{E}(\Lambda_n)]^{q/p}.$$

Now, by Beppo Levi monotone convergence theorem for $T \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$c_{q, \lambda} \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{1}{(\sup_{t \geq 0} \Delta_t^{(n)} + 1)^{2-q}} \int_0^\infty e^{2V_r} |Z_r - Z_r^{(n)}|^2 dr \right) \leq [C_{\lambda, \alpha, p} \mathbb{E}(\Lambda_n)]^{q/p} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, on a subsequence denoted also by $Z^{(n)}$, we get

$$\int_0^\infty e^{2V_r} |Z_r - Z_r^{(n)}|^2 dr \rightarrow 0, \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.58)$$

Since $Z_r^{(n)} = 0$ for all $r > \tau$, we clearly deduce $Z_r = 0$, for all $r > \tau$.

We shall verify that (3.10) is satisfied. For $1 \leq n < T$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left[e^{pV_T} |Y_T - \xi_T|^p + \left(\int_T^\infty e^{2V_s} |Z_s - \zeta_s|^2 ds \right)^{p/2} \right] \\ & = \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left[e^{pV_T} |Y_T - Y_T^{(n)}|^p + \left(\int_T^\infty e^{2V_s} |Z_s - Z_s^{(n)}|^2 ds \right)^{p/2} \right] \\ & \leq (\sup_{t \geq 0} e^{pV_t} |Y_t - Y_t^{(n)}|^p)^{\alpha q/p} + \left(\int_0^\infty e^{2V_s} |Z_s - Z_s^{(n)}|^2 ds \right)^{p/2}. \end{aligned}$$

From (5.56) and (5.58) we get

$$e^{pV_T} |Y_T - \xi_T|^p + \left(\int_T^\infty e^{2V_s} |Z_s - \zeta_s|^2 ds \right)^{p/2} \rightarrow 0, \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}, \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty,$$

and consequently the convergence holds true in $L^0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^m)$ (which is the convergence in probability).

In order to verify (3.11), let $0 \leq t \leq s < \infty$ be arbitrarily chosen and let $n > s$.

Then, for $q \in \{2, p \wedge 2\}$, $\delta_q = \delta \mathbf{1}_{[1,2)}(q)$ and $\Gamma_t^{(n)} = (|M_t - Y_t^{(n)}|^2 + \delta_q)^{1/2}$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Gamma_t^{(n)})^q + \frac{q(q-1)}{2} \int_t^s (\Gamma_r^{(n)})^{q-2} |R_r - Z_r^{(n)}|^2 dr + q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r^{(n)})^{q-2} \Psi(r, Y_r^{(n)}) dQ_r \\ & \leq (\Gamma_s^{(n)})^q + q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r^{(n)})^{q-2} \Psi(r, M_r) dQ_r - q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r^{(n)})^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r^{(n)}, (R_r - Z_r^{(n)}) dB_r \rangle \\ & \quad + q \int_t^s (\Gamma_r^{(n)})^{q-2} \langle M_r - Y_r^{(n)}, N_r - H^{(n)}(r, Y_r^{(n)}, Z_r^{(n)}) \rangle dQ_r, \end{aligned} \quad (5.59)$$

for all $M \in \mathcal{V}_m^0$ of the form

$$M_t = M_T + \int_t^T N_r dQ_r - \int_t^T R_r dB_r.$$

Passing to the limit, for $n \rightarrow \infty$, in (5.59) we infer (using for the left-hand side the Fatou's Lemma and, for the right-hand side, the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem and the continuity of the stochastic integral with respect to the convergence in probability) that the pair (Y, Z) satisfies inequality (3.11). \square

6. APPENDIX

In this section, mainly based on some results from [21] and their proofs, we recall and we obtain new inequalities and properties useful in our framework and frequently used in our paper. These results concern mainly inequalities for BSDEs and are interesting by themselves. For more details the interested readers are referred to the monograph of Pardoux and Răşcanu [21].

Let $\{B_t : t \geq 0\}$ be a k -dimensional Brownian motion with respect to a given stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0})$, where $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the natural filtration associated to $\{B_t : t \geq 0\}$ augmented with \mathcal{N} (the set of \mathbb{P} -null events of \mathcal{F}).

Notation 6.1. If $p \geq 1$, we denote $n_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (p-1) \wedge 1$.

6.1. An Itô's formula and some backward stochastic inequalities

For the proof of the next result see equality (2.24) from the proof of Proposition 2.26 in [21] and Corollary 2.29 in [21].

Proposition 6.1. Let $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho \geq 0$ and δ such that $\delta \geq 0$, if $p \geq 2$ and $\delta > 0$, if $p < 2$.

Let $Y \in S_d^0$ be a local semimartingale of the form

$$Y_t = Y_0 - \int_0^t dK_r + \int_0^t R_r dB_r, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (6.1)$$

where $R \in \Lambda_{m \times k}^0$, $K \in S_m^0$, $K \in \text{BV}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^m)$, \mathbb{P} -a.s..

Let $\varphi_{\rho, \delta} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow (0, \infty)$,

$$\varphi_{\rho, \delta}(x) = \left(\frac{|x|^2}{1 + \rho|x|^2} + \delta \right)^{1/2}.$$

By Itô's formula, applied to $\varphi_{\rho,\delta}^p(Y_t)$, with $p \in \mathbb{R}$, we have, for all $0 \leq t \leq s \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi_{\rho,\delta}^p(Y_t) + \frac{p}{2} \int_t^s R_r^{(p,\rho,\delta)} dr + \frac{p}{2} \left[L_s^{(p,\rho,\delta)} - L_t^{(p,\rho,\delta)} \right] \\ &= \varphi_{\rho,\delta}^p(Y_s) + \frac{p}{2} \int_t^s Q_r^{(p,\rho,\delta)} dr + p \int_t^s \langle U_r^{(p,\rho,\delta)}, dK_r \rangle - p \int_t^s \langle U_r^{(p,\rho,\delta)}, R_r dB_r \rangle, \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s., \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

where

$$U_r^{(p,\rho,\delta)} = \varphi_{\rho,\delta}^{p-2}(Y_r) \frac{1}{(1+\rho|Y_r|^2)^2} Y_r,$$

$$R_r^{(p,\rho,\delta)} = \varphi_{\rho,\delta}^{p-4}(Y_r) \frac{1}{(1+\rho|Y_r|^2)^3} \left[\frac{p-1}{1+\rho|Y_r|^2} |R_r^* Y_r|^2 + (|R_r|^2 |Y_r|^2 - |R_r^* Y_r|^2) \right],$$

$$L_t^{(p,\rho,\delta)} = \delta \int_0^t \varphi_{\rho,\delta}^{p-4}(Y_r) \frac{1}{(1+\rho|Y_r|^2)^3} \left[|R_r|^2 + \rho (|R_r|^2 |Y_r|^2 - |R_r^* Y_r|^2) \right] dr,$$

and

$$Q_r^{(p,\rho,\delta)} = \varphi_{\rho,\delta}^{p-2}(Y_r) \frac{3\rho}{(1+\rho|Y_r|^2)^3} |R_r^* Y_r|^2.$$

In the case $\rho = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (|Y_t|^2 + \delta)^{p/2} + \frac{p}{2} \int_t^s (|Y_r|^2 + \delta)^{(p-4)/2} \left[(p-1) |R_r^* Y_r|^2 + (|R_r|^2 |Y_r|^2 - |R_r^* Y_r|^2) \right] dr \\ & \quad + \frac{p}{2} \int_t^s \delta (|Y_r|^2 + \delta)^{(p-4)/2} |R_r|^2 dr \\ &= (|Y_s|^2 + \delta)^{p/2} + p \int_t^s (|Y_r|^2 + \delta)^{(p-2)/2} \langle Y_r, dK_r \rangle \\ & \quad - p \int_t^s (|Y_r|^2 + \delta)^{(p-2)/2} \langle Y_r, R_r dB_r \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

Remark 6.2. If $p \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & (p-1) |R_r^* Y_r|^2 + (|R_r|^2 |Y_r|^2 - |R_r^* Y_r|^2) + \delta |R_r|^2 \\ & \geq n_p \left[|R_r^* Y_r|^2 + (|R_r|^2 |Y_r|^2 - |R_r^* Y_r|^2) \right] + \delta |R_r|^2 \\ & = (n_p |Y_r|^2 + \delta) |R_r|^2 \geq n_p (|Y_r|^2 + \delta) |R_r|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and from (6.3) we infer

$$\begin{aligned} & (|Y_t|^2 + \delta)^{p/2} + \frac{p}{2} \int_t^s (|Y_r|^2 + \delta)^{(p-4)/2} (n_p |Y_r|^2 + \delta) |R_r|^2 dr \\ & \leq (|Y_s|^2 + \delta)^{p/2} + p \int_t^s (|Y_r|^2 + \delta)^{(p-2)/2} \langle Y_r, dK_r \rangle \\ & \quad - p \int_t^s (|Y_r|^2 + \delta)^{(p-2)/2} \langle Y_r, R_r dB_r \rangle, \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s., \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq s \leq T$.

6.2. Backward stochastic inequalities

Based on Proposition 6.80 in [21] and its proof we adapt here the Pardoux-Răşcanu's inequalities (6.92) and (6.94) from [21] to the case of our framework (namely the fact that the solutions are defined using not an equality but a stochastic inequality, see Definition 3.2).

Proposition 6.3. *Let $(Y, Z) \in S_m^0 \times \Lambda_{m \times k}^0$ and $a \geq 0$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, for all $0 \leq t \leq s < \infty$,*

$$\int_t^s |Z_r|^2 dr + \int_t^s dD_r \leq a |Y_s|^2 + a \int_t^s (dR_r + |Y_r| dN_r) + \gamma \int_t^s \langle Y_r, Z_r dB_r \rangle, \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s.,$$

where R, N and D are increasing and continuous p.m.s.p. with $R_0 = N_0 = D_0 = 0$.

Then, for all $q > 0$ and for all stopping times $0 \leq \sigma \leq \theta < \infty$, the following inequality hold:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^\theta |Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{q/2} + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^\theta dD_r \right)^{q/2} \\ & \leq C_{a,\gamma,q} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[\sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta]} |Y_r|^q + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta dR_r \right)^{q/2} + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta |Y_r| dN_r \right)^{q/2} \right] \\ & \leq 2 C_{a,\gamma,q} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[\sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta]} |Y_r|^q + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta dR_r \right)^{q/2} + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta dN_r \right)^q \right], \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s., \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

where $C_{a,\gamma,q}$ is a positive constant depending only on a, γ and q .

Proof. We follow the first part of the proof of Proposition 6.80 in [21]. Let the sequence of stopping times

$$\theta_n = \theta \wedge \inf \left\{ s \geq \sigma : \sup_{r \in [\sigma, \sigma \vee s]} |Y_r - Y_\sigma| + \int_\sigma^{\sigma \vee s} |Z_r|^2 dr + \int_\sigma^{\sigma \vee s} d(D_r + R_r + N_r) \geq n \right\}. \quad (6.6)$$

We have, for $q > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{q/2} + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} dD_r \right)^{q/2} \\ & \leq 2 \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Z_r|^2 dr + \int_\sigma^{\theta_n} dD_r \right)^{q/2} \\ & \leq C'_{a,\gamma,q} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[|Y_{\theta_n}|^q + \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} dR_r \right)^{q/2} + \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Y_r| dN_r \right)^{q/2} + \left| \int_\sigma^{\theta_n} \langle Y_r, Z_r dB_r \rangle \right|^{q/2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

By Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} C'_{a,\gamma,q} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left| \int_\sigma^{\theta_n} \langle Y_r, Z_r dB_r \rangle \right|^{q/2} & \leq C''_{a,\gamma,q} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Y_r|^2 |Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{q/4} \\ & \leq C''_{a,\gamma,q} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta_n]} |Y_r|^{q/2} \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{q/4} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (C''_{a,\gamma,q})^2 \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta_n]} |Y_r|^q + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{q/2} \end{aligned}$$

and consequently from (6.7) the following inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{q/2} + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} dD_r \right)^{q/2} \\ & \leq C_{a,\gamma,q} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[\sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta]} |Y_r|^q + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta dR_r \right)^{q/2} + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta |Y_r| dN_r \right)^{q/2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

Since

$$\left(\int_\sigma^\theta |Y_r| dN_r \right)^{q/2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta]} |Y_r|^q + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_\sigma^\theta dN_r \right)^q,$$

from (6.8) we infer

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{q/2} + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} dD_r \right)^{q/2} \\ & \leq C_{a,\gamma,q} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[\sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta]} |Y_r|^q + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta dR_r \right)^{q/2} + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta dN_r \right)^q \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

Consequently, by Fatou's Lemma, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, inequality (6.5) follows. \square

Proposition 6.4. *Let $(Y, Z) \in S_m^0 \times \Lambda_{m \times k}^0$, $a \geq 0$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < q \leq p$ satisfying for all $0 \leq t \leq s < \infty$ and \mathbb{P} -a.s.,*

$$\begin{aligned} & |Y_t|^q + \int_t^s |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} |Z_r|^2 dr + \int_t^s |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} dD_r \\ & \leq a |Y_s|^q + a \int_t^s \left[|Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r + |Y_r|^{q-1} dN_r \right] + \gamma \int_t^s |Y_r|^{q-2} \langle Y_r, Z_r dB_r \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where⁵ R, N and D are increasing and continuous p.m.s.p. with $R_0 = N_0 = D_0 = 0$.

If σ and θ are two stopping times such that $0 \leq \sigma \leq \theta < \infty$ and

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta]} |Y_r|^p < \infty, \quad (6.10)$$

then, \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[\sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta]} |Y_r|^p + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} |Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{p/q} + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} dD_r \right)^{p/q} \right] \\ & \leq C_{p,q,a,\gamma} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[|Y_\theta|^p + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r \right)^{p/2} + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta dN_r \right)^p \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

where $C_{p,q,a,\gamma}$ is a positive constant depending only on p, q, a and γ .

Proof. We follow the proof of Proposition 6.80 in [21]. Let the stopping time θ_n be defined by

$$\theta_n = \theta \wedge \inf \left\{ s \geq \sigma : \sup_{r \in [\sigma, \sigma \vee s]} |Y_r - Y_\sigma| + \int_\sigma^{\sigma \vee s} |Z_r|^2 dr + \int_\sigma^{\sigma \vee s} d(D_r + R_r + N_r) \geq n \right\}.$$

⁵ We use the convention: $|Y_r|^{q-2} Y_r = |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} Y_r$, for any $q \geq 1$.

For any stopping time $\tau \in [\sigma, \theta_n]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |Y_\tau|^q + \int_\tau^{\theta_n} |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} |Z_r|^2 dr + \int_\tau^{\theta_n} |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} dD_r \\ & \leq a |Y_{\theta_n}|^q + a \int_\tau^{\theta_n} \left(|Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r + |Y_r|^{q-1} dN_r \right) + \gamma \int_\tau^{\theta_n} |Y_r|^{q-2} \langle Y_r, Z_r dB_r \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

Remark that

$$M_s = \int_0^s \mathbf{1}_{[\sigma, \theta_n]}(r) |Y_r|^{q-2} \langle Y_r, Z_r dB_r \rangle, \quad s \geq 0$$

is a martingale, since for any $T > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{[\sigma, \theta_n]}(r) |Y_r|^{2q-2} |Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{1/2} & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta_n]} |Y_r|^{q-1} \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{1/2} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{q-1}{q} \mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta_n]} |Y_r|^q + \frac{1}{q} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{q/2} \\ & \leq \frac{q-1}{q} \mathbb{E} (|Y_\sigma| + n)^q + \frac{1}{q} n^{q/2} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (6.12),

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[\left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} |Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{p/q} + \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} dD_r \right)^{p/q} \right] \\ & \leq C_{p,q,a} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[|Y_{\theta_n}|^p + \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} \left(|Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r \right) \right)^{p/q} + \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Y_r|^{q-1} dN_r \right)^{p/q} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \sup_{\tau \in [\sigma, \theta_n]} |Y_\tau|^p & \leq C'_{p,q,a,\gamma} \left[\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} |Y_{\theta_n}|^p + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r \right)^{p/q} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Y_r|^{q-1} dN_r \right)^{p/q} + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \sup_{\tau \in [\sigma, \theta_n]} |M_{\theta_n} - M_\tau|^{p/q} \right] \\ & \leq C''_{p,q,a,\gamma} \left[\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} |Y_{\theta_n}|^p + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r \right)^{p/q} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Y_r|^{q-1} dN_r \right)^{p/q} + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Y_r|^{2q-2} |Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{p/(2q)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

On the other hand, from (6.13),

$$\begin{aligned} & C''_{p,q,a,\gamma} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Y_r|^{2q-2} |Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{p/(2q)} \\ & \leq C''_{p,q,a,\gamma} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[\sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta_n]} |Y_r|^{p/2} \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} |Y_r|^{q-2} |Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{p/(2q)} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta_n]} |Y_r|^p + \frac{(C''_{p,q,a,\gamma})^2}{2} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} |Y_r|^{q-2} |Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{p/q} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta_n]} |Y_r|^p + C_{p,q,a,\gamma}''' \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[|Y_{\theta_n}|^p + \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} (|Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r) \right)^{p/q} \right] \\ &\quad + C_{p,q,a,\gamma}''' \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Y_r|^{q-1} dN_r \right)^{p/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this last inequality in (6.14) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \sup_{\tau \in [\sigma, \theta_n]} |Y_\tau|^p \\ &\leq C_{p,q,a,\gamma} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[|Y_{\theta_n}|^p + \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r \right)^{p/q} + \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Y_r|^{q-1} dN_r \right)^{p/q} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

Now, by Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} &C_{p,q,a,\gamma} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[\left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r \right)^{p/q} + \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Y_r|^{q-1} dN_r \right)^{p/q} \right] \\ &\leq C_{a,\gamma} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[\left(\sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta_n]} (|Y_r| \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0})^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} \right) \int_\sigma^{\theta_n} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r \right]^{p/q} \\ &\quad + \left(\sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta_n]} |Y_r|^{q-1} \int_\sigma^{\theta_n} dN_r \right)^{p/q} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \sup_{\tau \in [\sigma, \theta_n]} |Y_\tau|^p + \hat{C}_{p,q,a,\gamma} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r \right)^{p/2} + \hat{C}_{p,q,a,\gamma} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} dN_r \right)^p, \end{aligned}$$

which yields, via (6.15),

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \sup_{\tau \in [\sigma, \theta_n]} |Y_\tau|^p \leq C_{p,q,a,\gamma} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[|Y_{\theta_n}|^p + \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r \right)^{p/2} + \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} dN_r \right)^p \right]. \quad (6.16)$$

Hence, from the last two inequalities, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[\left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r \right)^{p/q} + \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} |Y_r|^{q-1} dN_r \right)^{p/q} \right] \\ &\leq \tilde{C}_{p,q,a,\gamma} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[|Y_{\theta_n}|^p + \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r \right)^{p/2} + \left(\int_\sigma^{\theta_n} dN_r \right)^p \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

By Beppo Levi monotone convergence theorem and by Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we deduce, from (6.13), (6.16) and (6.17), inequalities (6.18) and (6.11). \square

Remark 6.5. Passing to the limit in (6.13) and (6.15), as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce (using Beppo Levi's monotone convergence theorem and condition (6.10) and Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem) that the following inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[\sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta]} |Y_r|^p + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} |Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{p/q} + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} dD_r \right)^{p/q} \right] \\ &\leq C_{p,q,a,\gamma} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[|Y_\theta|^p + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r \right)^{p/q} + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta |Y_r|^{q-1} dN_r \right)^{p/q} \right], \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.} \end{aligned} \quad (6.18)$$

Moreover (using again the same Beppo Levi theorem and Lebesgue theorem) we can see that inequalities (6.11) and (6.18) are also true in the case $0 \leq \sigma \leq \theta \leq \infty$.

Proposition 6.6. *Let Y be a continuous stochastic process. Let $q \geq 1$ and $b, L \geq 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |Y_r|^q \leq L < \infty$$

and, for all $0 \leq t \leq T < \infty$,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left(|Y_t|^q + \int_t^T dD_r \right) \leq b \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left[|Y_T|^q + \int_0^T \left(|Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r + |Y_r|^{q-1} dN_r \right) \right],$$

\mathbb{P} -a.s., where R, N and D are increasing and continuous p.m.s.p. $R_0 = N_0 = D_0 = 0$.

Then, for any $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t|^{\alpha q} + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T dD_r \right)^\alpha \\ & \leq \frac{2b^\alpha}{1-\alpha} \left[\left(\mathbb{E} |Y_T|^q \right)^\alpha + L^{\frac{\alpha(q-2)}{q}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{q}} + L^{\frac{\alpha(q-1)}{q}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T dN_r \right)^q \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{q}} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (6.19)$$

and, for any $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$, there exists $C_{\alpha, q, b, \varepsilon, \delta} > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t|^{\alpha q} + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T dD_r \right)^\alpha \\ & \leq C_{\alpha, q, b, \varepsilon, \delta} \left[\left(\mathbb{E} |Y_T|^q \right)^\alpha + \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r \right)^{\frac{q}{2} + \varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{\alpha q}{q+2\varepsilon}} + \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T dN_r \right)^{q+\delta} \right)^{\frac{\alpha q}{q+\delta}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.20)$$

Proof. By Proposition 1.56, (A₃) of [21], the conclusions clearly hold true in the case $q = 1$.

Let $q > 1$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$.

We remark first that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t|^{\alpha q} & \leq \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(|Y_t|^q + \int_t^T dD_r \right)^\alpha, \\ \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T dD_r \right)^\alpha & \leq \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(|Y_t|^q + \int_t^T dD_r \right)^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t|^{\alpha q} + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T dD_r \right)^\alpha \leq 2 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(|Y_t|^q + \int_t^T dD_r \right)^\alpha. \quad (6.21)$$

By Proposition 1.56, (A₃) of [21] we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(|Y_t|^q + \int_t^T dD_r \right)^\alpha \\ & \leq \frac{b^\alpha}{1-\alpha} \left[\mathbb{E} |Y_T|^q + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |Y_r|^{q-1} dN_r \right]^\alpha \\ & \leq \frac{b^\alpha}{1-\alpha} \left(\mathbb{E} |Y_T|^q \right)^\alpha + A^\alpha + B^\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (6.22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A^\alpha &= \frac{b^\alpha}{1-\alpha} \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [0, T]} \left(|Y_r| \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} |^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} \right) \cdot \int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r \right) \right)^\alpha, \\ B^\alpha &= \frac{b^\alpha}{1-\alpha} \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [0, T]} |Y_r|^{q-1} \int_0^T dN_r \right) \right)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality with $\beta = \frac{q}{q-1} > 1$ and $\beta' = q$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} B^\alpha &\leq \frac{b^\alpha}{1-\alpha} \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |Y_r|^{\beta(q-1)} \right)^{\alpha/\beta} \cdot \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T dN_r \right)^{\beta'} \right)^{\alpha/\beta'} \\ &\leq \frac{b^\alpha}{1-\alpha} L^{\alpha(q-1)/q} \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T dN_r \right)^q \right)^{\alpha/q}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.23}$$

In addition, again by Hölder's inequality and Young's inequality, with $\beta = \frac{\alpha q}{q-1} > 1$, $\beta' = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - (q-1)/q}$, $\gamma = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} = \frac{q}{q-1} > 1$ and $\gamma' = q$, we obtain the next inequality for any α such that $\frac{q-1}{q} < \alpha < 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} B^\alpha &\leq \frac{1}{8} \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |Y_r|^{\beta(q-1)} \right)^{\alpha\gamma/\beta} + C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, b} \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T dN_r \right)^{\beta'} \right)^{\alpha\gamma'/\beta'} \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |Y_r|^{\alpha q} + C_{\alpha, q, b} \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T dN_r \right)^{\alpha q / (\alpha q - q + 1)} \right)^{\alpha q - q + 1}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.24}$$

Of course,

$$A^\alpha = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 1 < q < 2, \\ \frac{b^\alpha}{1-\alpha} \left(\mathbb{E} \int_0^T dR_r \right)^\alpha, & \text{if } q = 2. \end{cases} \tag{6.25}$$

If $q > 2$, by Hölder's inequality with $\beta = \frac{q}{q-2} > 1$ and $\beta' = \frac{q}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^\alpha &\leq \frac{b^\alpha}{1-\alpha} \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |Y_r|^{\beta(q-2)} \right)^{\alpha/\beta} \cdot \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T dR_r \right)^{\beta'} \right)^{\alpha/\beta'} \\ &\leq \frac{b^\alpha}{1-\alpha} L^{\alpha(q-2)/q} \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T dR_r \right)^{q/2} \right)^{2\alpha/q}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.26}$$

We see that inequality (6.26) is satisfied also in the case $1 < q \leq 2$.

In addition, again by Hölder's inequality and Young's inequality, with $\beta = \frac{\alpha q}{q-2} > 1$, $\beta' = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - (q-2)/q}$, $\gamma = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} = \frac{q}{q-2} > 1$ and $\gamma' = \frac{q}{2}$, we obtain the next inequality for any α such that $\frac{q-2}{q} < \alpha < 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} A^\alpha &= \frac{1}{8} \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |Y_r|^{\beta(q-2)} \right)^{\alpha\gamma/\beta} + C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, b} \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T dR_r \right)^{\beta'} \right)^{\alpha\gamma'/\beta'} \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} (|Y_r|^{\alpha q}) + C_{\alpha, q, b} \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T dR_r \right)^{\alpha q / (\alpha q - q + 2)} \right)^{(\alpha q - q + 2)/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.27}$$

We see that inequality (6.27) is satisfied also in the case $1 < q \leq 2$ and for any α such that $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Now it is clear that inequality (6.19) follows from inequalities (6.21), (6.22), (6.23), (6.25) and (6.26).

On the other hand, from inequalities (6.21), (6.22), (6.24), (6.25) and (6.27) we deduce that, for any α such that $\frac{q-2}{q} < \frac{q-1}{q} < \alpha < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t|^{\alpha q} + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T dD_r \right)^\alpha \\ & \leq C_{\alpha, q, b} \left[(\mathbb{E} |Y_T|^q)^\alpha + \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r \right)^{\frac{\alpha q}{\alpha q - q + 2}} \right)^{\frac{\alpha q - q + 2}{2}} + \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T dN_r \right)^{\frac{\alpha q}{\alpha q - q + 1}} \right)^{\alpha q - q + 1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If $0 < \alpha < 1$ is arbitrary fixed, then the last inequality hold also for α replaced by any $\bar{\alpha}$ such that

$$\alpha \vee \frac{\mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} (q-2)(q+2\varepsilon)}{q(q+2\varepsilon-2)} \vee \frac{(q-1)(q+\delta)}{q(q+\delta-1)} < \bar{\alpha} < 1. \quad (6.28)$$

By Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t|^{\alpha q} + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T dD_r \right)^\alpha \leq \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t|^{\bar{\alpha} q} \right)^{\alpha/\bar{\alpha}} + \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T dD_r \right)^{\bar{\alpha}} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\bar{\alpha}}} \\ & \leq 2 \left[\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t|^{\bar{\alpha} q} + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T dD_r \right)^{\bar{\alpha}} \right]^{\frac{\alpha}{\bar{\alpha}}} \\ & \leq C_{\bar{\alpha}, q, b} \left[(\mathbb{E} |Y_T|^q)^{\bar{\alpha}} + \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r \right)^{\frac{\bar{\alpha} q}{\bar{\alpha} q - q + 2}} \right)^{\frac{\bar{\alpha} q - q + 2}{2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T dN_r \right)^{\frac{\bar{\alpha} q}{\bar{\alpha} q - q + 1}} \right)^{\bar{\alpha} q - q + 1} \right]^{\frac{\alpha}{\bar{\alpha}}} \\ & \leq C_{\bar{\alpha}, q, b} \left[(\mathbb{E} |Y_T|^q)^\alpha + \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r \right)^{\frac{\bar{\alpha} q}{\bar{\alpha} q - q + 2}} \right)^{\frac{\bar{\alpha} q - q + 2}{\bar{\alpha} q} \cdot \frac{\alpha q}{2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T dN_r \right)^{\frac{\bar{\alpha} q}{\bar{\alpha} q - q + 1}} \right)^{\frac{\bar{\alpha} q - q + 1}{\bar{\alpha} q} \cdot \alpha q} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Using (6.28) we obtain

$$\frac{\bar{\alpha} q}{\bar{\alpha} q - q + 2} \leq \frac{q}{2} + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\bar{\alpha} q}{\bar{\alpha} q - q + 1} \leq q + \delta$$

and, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r \right)^{\frac{\bar{\alpha} q}{\bar{\alpha} q - q + 2}} \right)^{\frac{\bar{\alpha} q - q + 2}{\bar{\alpha} q} \cdot \frac{\alpha q}{2}} \leq \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r \right)^{\frac{q}{2} + \varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{\alpha q}{q + 2\varepsilon}} \\ & \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T dN_r \right)^{\frac{\bar{\alpha} q}{\bar{\alpha} q - q + 1}} \right)^{\frac{\bar{\alpha} q - q + 1}{\bar{\alpha} q} \cdot \alpha q} \leq \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T dN_r \right)^{q + \delta} \right)^{\frac{\alpha q}{q + \delta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently inequality (6.20) holds for any $0 < \alpha < 1$. □

Proposition 6.7. *Let:*

$$- (Y, Z) \in S_m^0 \times \Lambda_{m \times k}^0 ;$$

- $K \in S_m^0$ and $K \in \text{BV}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^m)$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.;
- D, R, N, \tilde{R} be some increasing continuous p.m.s.p. with $D_0 = R_0 = N_0 = 0$;
- V be a bounded variation p.m.s.p. with $V_0 = 0$;
- σ and θ be two stopping times such that $0 \leq \sigma \leq \theta < \infty$.

I. If for all $0 \leq t \leq s < \infty$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$|Y_t|^2 + \int_t^s |Z_r|^2 dr + \int_t^s dD_r \leq |Y_s|^2 + 2 \int_t^s \langle Y_r, dK_r \rangle - 2 \int_t^s \langle Y_r, Z_r dB_r \rangle, \quad (6.29)$$

and for some $\lambda < 1$

$$\int_t^s \langle Y_r, dK_r \rangle \leq \int_t^s (dR_r + |Y_r| dN_r + |Y_r|^2 dV_r) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_t^s |Z_r|^2 dr, \quad (6.30)$$

then, for any $q > 0$, there exists a positive constant $C_{q,\lambda}$ such that \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{2V_r} |Z_r|^2 ds \right)^{q/2} + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{2V_r} dD_r \right)^{q/2} \\ & \leq C_{q,\lambda} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[\sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta]} |e^{V_r} Y_r|^q + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{2V_r} dR_r \right)^{q/2} + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{2V_r} |Y_r| dN_r \right)^{q/2} \right] \\ & \leq 2C_{q,\lambda} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[\sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta]} |e^{V_r} Y_r|^q + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{2V_r} dR_r \right)^{q/2} + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{V_r} dN_r \right)^q \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.31)$$

II. If $q > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & |Y_t|^q + \frac{q}{2} n_q \int_t^s |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} |Z_r|^2 dr + \int_t^s |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} dD_r \\ & \leq |Y_s|^q + q \int_t^s |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} [d\tilde{R}_r + \langle Y_r, dK_r \rangle] - q \int_t^s |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} \langle Y_r, Z_r dB_r \rangle, \\ (ii) \quad & \mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta]} e^{qV_r} |Y_r|^q < \infty \end{aligned} \quad (6.32)$$

and for some $\lambda < 1$

$$d\tilde{R}_r + \langle Y_r, dK_r \rangle \leq (\mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r + |Y_r| dN_r + |Y_r|^2 dV_r) + \frac{n_q}{2} \lambda |Z_r|^2 dt, \quad (6.33)$$

then there exists some positive constants $C_{q,\lambda}, C'_{q,\lambda}$ such that, \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[\sup_{r \in [\sigma, \theta]} |e^{V_r} Y_r|^q + \int_\sigma^\theta e^{qV_r} |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} |Z_r|^2 ds + \int_\sigma^\theta e^{qV_r} |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} dD_r \right] \\ & \leq C_{q,\lambda} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[|e^{V_\theta} Y_\theta|^q + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{qV_r} |Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r \right) + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{qV_r} |Y_r|^{q-1} dN_r \right) \right] \\ & \leq C'_{q,\lambda} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \left[|e^{V_\theta} Y_\theta|^q + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{2V_r} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} dR_r \right)^{q/2} + \left(\int_\sigma^\theta e^{V_r} dN_r \right)^q \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.34)$$

Proof. Using inequalities (6.29) and (6.30) we obtain, for all $0 \leq t \leq s < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |Y_t|^2 + (1 - \lambda) \int_t^s |Z_r|^2 dr + \int_t^s dD_r \\ & \leq |Y_s|^2 + \int_t^s [(2dR_r + 2|Y_r|dN_r) + |Y_r|^2 d(2V_r)] - 2 \int_t^s \langle Y_r, Z_r dB_r \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which yields, applying ([21], Prop. 6.69) (or [16], Lem. 12),

$$\begin{aligned} & |e^{V_t} Y_t|^2 + (1 - \lambda) \int_t^s |e^{V_r} Z_r|^2 dr + \int_t^s e^{2V_r} dD_r \\ & \leq |e^{V_s} Y_s|^2 + 2 \int_t^s [e^{2V_r} dR_r + |e^{V_r} Y_r| e^{V_r} dN_r] - 2 \int_t^s \langle e^{V_r} Y_r, e^{V_r} Z_r dB_r \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Inequality (6.31) follows now by Proposition 6.3.

In the same manner, using (6.32), (6.33) and Proposition 6.69 of [21], we infer

$$\begin{aligned} & |e^{V_t} Y_t|^q + \frac{q}{2} n_q (1 - \lambda) \int_t^s |e^{V_r} Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} |e^{V_r} Z_r|^2 dr + \int_t^s |e^{V_r} Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} e^{2V_r} dD_r \\ & \leq |e^{V_s} Y_s|^q + q \int_t^s [|e^{V_r} Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} \mathbf{1}_{q \geq 2} e^{2V_r} dR_r + |e^{V_r} Y_r|^{q-1} e^{V_r} dN_r] \\ & \quad - q \int_t^s |e^{V_r} Y_r|^{q-2} \mathbf{1}_{Y_r \neq 0} \langle e^{V_r} Y_r, e^{V_r} Z_r dB_r \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Inequalities from (6.34) follow now by Proposition 6.4 and Remark 6.5. □

With a similar approach we deduce the next result; for its proof see Proposition 6.80 of [21].

Proposition 6.8 (Pardoux–Răşcanu). *Let $(Y, Z) \in S_m^0 \times \Lambda_{m \times k}^0$ satisfying*

$$Y_t = Y_T + \int_t^T dK_s - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s.,$$

where $K \in S_m^0$ and $K \in \text{BV}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^m)$, \mathbb{P} -a.s..

Let τ and σ be two stopping times such that $0 \leq \tau \leq \sigma < \infty$. Assume that there exists three increasing and continuous p.m.s.p. D, R, N with $D_0 = R_0 = N_0 = 0$ and a bounded variation p.m.s.p. V with $V_0 = 0$ such that for, $\lambda < 1$,

$$dD_t + \langle Y_t, dK_t \rangle \leq dR_t + |Y_t| dN_t + |Y_t|^2 dV_t + \frac{\lambda}{2} |Z_t|^2 dt.$$

Then, for any $q > 0$, there exists a positive constant $C_{q,\lambda}$ such that, \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\tau} \left(\int_\tau^\sigma e^{2V_s} dD_s \right)^{q/2} + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\tau} \left(\int_\tau^\sigma e^{2V_s} |Z_s|^2 ds \right)^{q/2} \\ & \leq C_{q,\lambda} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\tau} \left[\sup_{s \in [\tau, \sigma]} |e^{V_s} Y_s|^q + \left(\int_\tau^\sigma e^{2V_s} dR_s \right)^{q/2} + \left(\int_\tau^\sigma e^{V_s} dN_s \right)^q \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $p > 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} dD_t + \langle Y_t, dK_t \rangle &\leq (\mathbf{1}_{p \geq 2} dR_t + |Y_t| dN_t + |Y_t|^2 dV_t) + \frac{np}{2} \lambda |Z_t|^2 dt, \\ \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [\tau, \sigma]} e^{pV_s} |Y_s|^p &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

then there exists a positive constant $C_{p,\lambda}$ such that, \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\tau} \left(\sup_{s \in [\tau, \sigma]} |e^{V_s} Y_s|^p \right) + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\tau} \left(\int_\tau^\sigma e^{2V_s} dD_s \right)^{p/2} + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\tau} \left(\int_\tau^\sigma e^{2V_s} |Z_s|^2 ds \right)^{p/2} \\ &\leq C_{p,\lambda} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_\tau} \left[|e^{V_\sigma} Y_\sigma|^p + \left(\int_\tau^\sigma e^{2V_s} \mathbf{1}_{p \geq 2} dR_s \right)^{p/2} + \left(\int_\tau^\sigma e^{V_s} dN_s \right)^p \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.35)$$

6.3. Smoothing approximations

Lemma 6.9. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $Q : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a strictly increasing continuous stochastic process such that $Q_0 = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Q_t = \infty$, and let $G : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a measurable stochastic process such that $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} |G_t| < \infty$, \mathbb{P} -a.s..*

Define

$$G_t^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_{t \vee \varepsilon}^\infty e^{-\frac{Q_r - Q_t \vee \varepsilon}{Q_\varepsilon}} G_r dQ_r. \quad (6.36)$$

Then $G^\varepsilon : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ are continuous stochastic processes and, \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad &|G_t^\varepsilon| \leq \sup_{r \geq 0} |G_r|, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0; \\ (b) \quad &\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} G_t^\varepsilon = G_t, \quad \text{a.e. } t \geq 0; \\ (c) \quad &|G_t^\varepsilon - G_t| \leq \exp(2 - 1/\sqrt{Q_\varepsilon}) \sup_{r \geq 0} |G_r| \\ &\quad + \sup_{r \geq 0} \{ |G_r - G_t| : 0 \leq Q_r - Q_t \leq \sqrt{Q_\varepsilon} \vee Q_\varepsilon \}, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.37)$$

Moreover, if G is a continuous stochastic process, then, for all $T > 0$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, T]} |G_s^\varepsilon - G_s| \right) = 0, \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.} \quad (6.38)$$

Proof. (b) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. We can assume that $0 < \varepsilon < t$.

$$\begin{aligned} |G_t^\varepsilon - G_t| &\leq \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_t^\infty e^{-\frac{Q_r - Q_t}{Q_\varepsilon}} |G_r - G_t| dQ_r \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-s} |G_{Q^{-1}(Q_t + sQ_\varepsilon)} - G_{Q^{-1}(Q_t)}| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^n |G_{Q^{-1}(Q_t + sQ_\varepsilon)} - G_{Q^{-1}(Q_t)}| ds + 2 \sup_{r \geq 0} |G_r| \int_n^\infty e^{-s} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since almost all points $t \in [0, n]$ are Lebesgue points for the measurable and bounded function $G_{Q^{-1}(\cdot)}$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^n |G_{Q^{-1}(Q_t + sQ_\varepsilon)} - G_{Q^{-1}(Q_t)}| ds = 0, \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, n],$$

and therefore, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |G_t^\varepsilon - G_t| \leq 2e^{-n} \sup_{r \geq 0} |G_r|, \quad \text{a.e. } t \in (0, T),$$

which yields (b).

(c) Let $t_\varepsilon = Q^{-1}(Q_t + \sqrt{Q_\varepsilon})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |G_t^\varepsilon - G_t| &\leq \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_{tV\varepsilon}^\infty e^{-\frac{Q_r - Q_{tV\varepsilon}}{Q_\varepsilon}} |G_r - G_t| dQ_r \\ &\leq \sup_{r \in [tV\varepsilon, t_\varepsilon V\varepsilon]} |G_r - G_t| \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_{tV\varepsilon}^{t_\varepsilon V\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{Q_r - Q_{tV\varepsilon}}{Q_\varepsilon}} dQ_r + 2 \sup_{s \geq 0} |G_s| \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_{t_\varepsilon V\varepsilon}^\infty e^{-\frac{Q_r - Q_{tV\varepsilon}}{Q_\varepsilon}} dQ_r \\ &\leq \sup_{r \in [tV\varepsilon, t_\varepsilon V\varepsilon]} |G_r - G_t| \int_0^{\frac{Q_{t_\varepsilon V\varepsilon} - Q_{tV\varepsilon}}{Q_\varepsilon}} e^{-s} ds + 2 \sup_{s \geq 0} |G_s| \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_{t_\varepsilon}^\infty e^{-\frac{Q_r - Q_{tV\varepsilon}}{Q_\varepsilon}} dQ_r \\ &\leq \sup_{r \in [tV\varepsilon, t_\varepsilon V\varepsilon]} |G_r - G_t| + 2e^{-\frac{Q_{t_\varepsilon} - Q_{tV\varepsilon}}{Q_\varepsilon}} \sup_{s \geq 0} |G_s|. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{Q_{t_\varepsilon} - Q_{tV\varepsilon}}{Q_\varepsilon} = \frac{\sqrt{Q_\varepsilon}}{Q_\varepsilon} + \frac{Q_t - Q_{tV\varepsilon}}{Q_\varepsilon} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q_\varepsilon}} - 1,$$

inequality (6.37-c) follows.

Clearly, (6.38) follows from (6.37-c). □

Remark 6.10. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $Q : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a strictly increasing continuous stochastic process such that $Q_0 = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Q_t = \infty$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} Q_t = -\infty$, and let $G : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a measurable stochastic process such that $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} |G_t| < \infty$, \mathbb{P} -a.s..

Then similar boundedness and convergence results as in the previous Lemma 6.9 hold true for $G^{i,\varepsilon} : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $i = \overline{1, 4}$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} G_t^{1,\varepsilon} &= \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_t^\infty G_r e^{-\frac{Q_r - Q_t}{Q_\varepsilon}} dQ_r, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ G_t^{2,\varepsilon} &= \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^t G_r e^{-\frac{Q_t - Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} dQ_r, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ G_t^{3,\varepsilon} &= e^{-\frac{Q_t}{Q_\varepsilon}} G_0 + \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_0^t G_r e^{-\frac{Q_t - Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} dQ_r \\ &= \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^t [\mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 0)}(r) G_0 + \mathbf{1}_{[0, \infty)}(r) G_r] e^{-\frac{Q_t - Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} dQ_r, \quad t \geq 0, \\ G_t^{4,\varepsilon} &= \mathbf{1}_{[0, \varepsilon)}(t) G_0 + \mathbf{1}_{[\varepsilon, \infty)}(t) \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_0^t G_r e^{-\frac{Q_t - Q_{rV\varepsilon}}{Q_\varepsilon}} dQ_r, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 6.11. Let the assumptions of Lemma 6.9 be satisfied and $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ be a proper convex lower semicontinuous function such that $\int_0^\infty |\varphi(G_u)| dQ_u < \infty$, \mathbb{P} -a.s..

Then, for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\alpha^\beta \varphi(G_r^\varepsilon) dQ_r = \int_\alpha^\beta \varphi(G_r) dQ_r,$$

where G^ε is given by (6.36).

Moreover, if $\mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty |\varphi(G_u)| dQ_u < \infty$, then, for any stopping times $0 \leq \sigma \leq \theta$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \int_\sigma^\theta \varphi(G_r^\varepsilon) dQ_r = \mathbb{E} \int_\sigma^\theta \varphi(G_r) dQ_r.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\alpha^\beta \varphi(G_r^\varepsilon) dQ_r &\leq \int_\alpha^\beta \left(\frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_{r \vee \varepsilon}^\infty e^{-\frac{Q_u - Q_{r \vee \varepsilon}}{Q_\varepsilon}} \varphi(G_u) dQ_u \right) dQ_r \\ &= \int_0^\infty \varphi(G_u) \left(\int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}_{[\alpha, \beta]}(r) \mathbf{1}_{[r \vee \varepsilon, \infty)}(u) \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{Q_u - Q_{r \vee \varepsilon}}{Q_\varepsilon}} dQ_r \right) dQ_u \\ &= \int_0^\infty \varphi(G_u) \mathbf{1}_{[\varepsilon, \infty)}(u) \left(\frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_0^u \mathbf{1}_{[\alpha, \beta]}(r) e^{-\frac{Q_u - Q_{r \vee \varepsilon}}{Q_\varepsilon}} dQ_r \right) dQ_u, \end{aligned}$$

since $\mathbf{1}_{[r \vee \varepsilon, \infty)}(u) = \mathbf{1}_{[0, u]}(r) \mathbf{1}_{[\varepsilon, \infty)}(u)$.

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_0^u \mathbf{1}_{[\alpha, \beta]}(r) e^{-\frac{Q_u - Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} dQ_r &\leq \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_0^u \mathbf{1}_{[\alpha, \beta]}(r) e^{-\frac{Q_u - Q_{r \vee \varepsilon}}{Q_\varepsilon}} dQ_r \\ &= \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_0^u \mathbf{1}_{[\alpha, \beta]}(r) \left[\mathbf{1}_{[0, \varepsilon)}(r) e^{-\frac{Q_u - Q_\varepsilon}{Q_\varepsilon}} + \mathbf{1}_{[\varepsilon, \infty)}(r) e^{-\frac{Q_u - Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} \right] dQ_r \\ &\leq \frac{Q_{u \wedge \varepsilon}}{Q_\varepsilon} e^{1 - \frac{Q_u}{Q_\varepsilon}} + \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_0^u \mathbf{1}_{[\alpha, \beta]}(r) e^{-\frac{Q_u - Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} dQ_r, \end{aligned} \tag{6.39}$$

since

$$\int_0^u \mathbf{1}_{[\alpha, \beta]}(r) \mathbf{1}_{[0, \varepsilon)}(r) dQ_r \leq Q_{u \wedge \varepsilon}.$$

By Remark 6.10 (with the extension $Q_r = r$, for $r < 0$),

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_0^u \mathbf{1}_{[\alpha, \beta]}(r) e^{-\frac{Q_u - Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} dQ_r = \mathbf{1}_{[\alpha, \beta]}(u), \quad \text{a.e. } u \geq 0,$$

hence, by (6.39),

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_0^u \mathbf{1}_{[\alpha, \beta]}(r) e^{-\frac{Q_u - Q_{r \vee \varepsilon}}{Q_\varepsilon}} dQ_r = \mathbf{1}_{[\alpha, \beta]}(u), \quad \text{a.e. } u \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, since

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_0^u \mathbf{1}_{[\alpha, \beta]}(r) e^{-\frac{Q_u - Q_{r \vee \varepsilon}}{Q_\varepsilon}} dQ_r \leq e + 1,$$

by Fatou's Lemma and by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we infer

$$\int_\alpha^\beta \varphi(G_r) dQ_r \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\alpha^\beta \varphi(G_r^\varepsilon) dQ_r \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\alpha^\beta \varphi(G_r^\varepsilon) dQ_r \leq \int_\alpha^\beta \varphi(G_r) dQ_r.$$

The second assertion of this corollary follows in the same manner. \square

Proposition 6.12. *Let $Q : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a strictly increasing continuous stochastic process such that $Q_0 = 0$.*

Let $\tau : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a stopping time and $\eta : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ a \mathcal{F}_τ -measurable random variable such that $\mathbb{E}|\eta|^p < \infty$, if $p > 1$, and $(\xi, \zeta) \in S_m^p \times \Lambda_{m \times k}^p$ the unique pair associated to η given by the martingale representation formula (see [21], Cor. 2.44):

$$\begin{cases} \xi_t = \eta - \int_t^\infty \zeta_s dB_s, & t \geq 0, \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s., \\ \xi_t = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \eta = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{t \wedge \tau}} \eta \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_t = \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau]}(t) \zeta_t \end{cases}$$

(or equivalently, $\xi_t = \eta - \int_{t \wedge \tau}^\tau \zeta_s dB_s$, $t \geq 0$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.).

Let $U \in S_m^p$, with $p > 1$, be such that

- (a) $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} |U_t|^p < \infty$,
- (b) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} |U_t - \xi_t|^p = 0$.

Define

$$U_t^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_{t \vee \varepsilon}^\infty e^{-\frac{Q_r - Q_{t \vee \varepsilon}}{Q_\varepsilon}} U_r dQ_r \quad \text{and} \quad M_t^\varepsilon = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t}(U_t^\varepsilon), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (6.40)$$

Then:

I.

- (j) $|M_t^\varepsilon| \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \sup_{r \geq 0} |U_r|$, $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$, for all $t \geq 0$,
- (jj) $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq 0} |M_t^\varepsilon|^p \leq C_p \mathbb{E} \sup_{r \geq 0} |U_r|^p$.

Also, for any $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |M_t^\varepsilon - U_t| &\leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left[\exp(2 - 1/\sqrt{Q_\varepsilon}) \sup_{r \geq 0} |U_r| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sup_{r \geq 0} \left\{ |U_r - U_t| : 0 \leq Q_r - Q_t \leq \sqrt{Q_\varepsilon} \vee Q_\varepsilon \right\} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (6.42)$$

which yields

- (jjj) $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} M_t^\varepsilon = U_t$, $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$, for all $t \geq 0$;
- (jv) $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |M_t^\varepsilon - U_t|^p = 0$, for all $T > 0$.

II. M^ε is the unique solution of the BSDE:

$$\begin{cases} M_t^\varepsilon = M_T^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_t^T \mathbf{1}_{[\varepsilon, \infty)}(r) (U_r - M_r^\varepsilon) dQ_r - \int_t^T R_r^\varepsilon dB_r, & \text{for all } T > 0, t \in [0, T], \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} |M_t^\varepsilon - \xi_t|^p = 0. \end{cases} \quad (6.44)$$

Moreover,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \geq t} |U_t - \xi_t|^p = 0 \quad \implies \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \geq t} |M_s^\varepsilon - \xi_s|^p \right) = 0. \quad (6.45)$$

III. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ be a proper convex lower semicontinuous function such that

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty |\varphi(U_r)| dQ_r < \infty.$$

Let $0 \leq s \leq t$ and the stopping times $s^* = Q_s^{-1}$, $t^* = Q_t^{-1}$, where Q^{-1} is the inverse of the function $r \mapsto Q_r : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$.

Then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \int_{s^*}^{t^*} \varphi(M_r^\varepsilon) dQ_r = \mathbb{E} \int_{s^*}^{t^*} \varphi(U_r) dQ_r.$$

Moreover, if $g : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a continuous function, $D : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a continuous stochastic process such that for all $R > 0$

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^R |\varphi(U_r)| \sup_{\theta \in [0, r]} g(U_\theta, D_\theta) dQ_r + \mathbb{E} \int_0^R |\varphi(U_r)| \sup_{\theta \in [0, r]} \sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1} g(M_\theta^\varepsilon, D_\theta) dQ_r < \infty,$$

then, for all $0 \leq T \leq \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} (v) \quad & \mathbb{E} \int_{s^* \wedge T}^{t^* \wedge T} g(M_r^\varepsilon, D_r) \varphi(M_r^\varepsilon) dQ_r \leq \mathbb{E} \int_{s^* \wedge T}^{t^* \wedge T} g(M_r^\varepsilon, D_r) \varphi(U_r^\varepsilon) dQ_r, \\ (vj) \quad & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \int_{s^* \wedge T}^{t^* \wedge T} g(M_r^\varepsilon, D_r) \varphi(M_r^\varepsilon) dQ_r = \mathbb{E} \int_{s^* \wedge T}^{t^* \wedge T} g(U_r, D_r) \varphi(U_r) dQ_r. \end{aligned} \tag{6.46}$$

Proof. By Doob's inequality (see [21], Thm. 1.60) and (6.41–j) we get estimate (6.41–jj).

Clearly

$$|M_t^\varepsilon - U_t| \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} |U_t^\varepsilon - U_t| \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |U_r^\varepsilon - U_r|$$

and conclusions (6.42) and (6.43) hold by Lemma 6.9 and Doob's inequality.

Let us to prove (6.44). By the martingale representation theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_\varepsilon^\infty e^{-\frac{Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} U_r dQ_r &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_\varepsilon^\infty e^{-\frac{Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} U_r dQ_r + \int_t^\infty \tilde{R}_r^\varepsilon dB_r \\ &= e^{-\frac{Q_t \vee \varepsilon}{Q_\varepsilon}} M_t^\varepsilon + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_\varepsilon^{t \vee \varepsilon} e^{-\frac{Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} U_r dQ_r + \int_t^\infty \tilde{R}_r^\varepsilon dB_r, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$e^{-\frac{Q_t \vee \varepsilon}{Q_\varepsilon}} M_t^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_t^\infty 1_{[\varepsilon, \infty)}(r) e^{-\frac{Q_r}{Q_\varepsilon}} U_r dQ_r - \int_t^\infty \tilde{R}_r^\varepsilon dB_r. \tag{6.47}$$

Now by Itô's formula

$$\begin{aligned} M_t^\varepsilon &= M_T^\varepsilon - \int_t^T d \left[e^{\frac{Q_r \vee \varepsilon}{Q_\varepsilon}} \left(e^{-\frac{Q_r \vee \varepsilon}{Q_\varepsilon}} M_r^\varepsilon \right) \right] \\ &= M_T^\varepsilon - \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_t^T 1_{[\varepsilon, \infty)}(r) e^{\frac{Q_r \vee \varepsilon}{Q_\varepsilon}} \left(e^{-\frac{Q_r \vee \varepsilon}{Q_\varepsilon}} M_r^\varepsilon \right) dQ_r - \int_t^T e^{\frac{Q_r \vee \varepsilon}{Q_\varepsilon}} d \left(e^{-\frac{Q_r \vee \varepsilon}{Q_\varepsilon}} M_r^\varepsilon \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= M_T^\varepsilon - \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_t^T 1_{[\varepsilon, \infty)}(r) M_r^\varepsilon dQ_r + \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_t^T e^{\frac{Q_r \vee \varepsilon}{Q_\varepsilon}} 1_{[\varepsilon, \infty)}(r) e^{-\frac{Q_r \vee \varepsilon}{Q_\varepsilon}} U_r dQ_r \\
 &\quad - \int_t^T e^{\frac{Q_r \vee \varepsilon}{Q_\varepsilon}} \tilde{R}_r^\varepsilon dB_r \\
 &= M_T^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_t^T 1_{[\varepsilon, \infty)}(r) (U_r - M_r^\varepsilon) dQ_r - \int_t^T R_r^\varepsilon dB_r,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $R_r^\varepsilon \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{\frac{Q_r \vee \varepsilon}{Q_\varepsilon}} \tilde{R}_r^\varepsilon$.

The convergence result from (6.44) is obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |M_t^\varepsilon - \xi_t| &= \left| \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_{t \vee \varepsilon}^\infty e^{-\frac{Q_r - Q_{t \vee \varepsilon}}{Q_\varepsilon}} (U_r - \xi_r) dQ_r + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_{t \vee \varepsilon}^\infty e^{-\frac{Q_r - Q_{t \vee \varepsilon}}{Q_\varepsilon}} (\xi_r - \xi_t) dQ_r \right| \\
 &\leq \left| \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \int_0^\infty e^{-s} (U_{Q^{-1}(sQ_\varepsilon + Q_{t \vee \varepsilon})} - \xi_{Q^{-1}(sQ_\varepsilon + Q_{t \vee \varepsilon})}) ds \right| + \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \sup_{r \geq t} |\xi_r - \xi_t|
 \end{aligned}$$

By Jensen's inequality and, after that, by Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality (see [21], Cor. 2.9) we have

$$\left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \sup_{r \geq t} |\xi_r - \xi_t| \right)^p \leq \left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \sup_{r \geq t} \left| \int_t^r \zeta_s dB_s \right| \right)^p \leq C_p \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left(\int_t^\infty |\zeta_s|^2 ds \right)^{p/2}.$$

Hence (by Jensen's and Holder's inequalities)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E} |M_t^\varepsilon - \xi_t|^p &\leq 2^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \int_0^\infty e^{-s} |U_{Q^{-1}(sQ_\varepsilon + Q_{t \vee \varepsilon})} - \xi_{Q^{-1}(sQ_\varepsilon + Q_{t \vee \varepsilon})}| ds \right)^p \\
 &\quad + 2^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \sup_{r \geq t} |\xi_r - \xi_t| \right)^p \\
 &\leq 2^{p-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-s} \mathbb{E} |U_{Q^{-1}(sQ_\varepsilon + Q_{t \vee \varepsilon})} - \xi_{Q^{-1}(sQ_\varepsilon + Q_{t \vee \varepsilon})}|^p ds + C_p \mathbb{E} \left(\int_t^\infty |\zeta_s|^2 ds \right)^{p/2}
 \end{aligned}$$

and, using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} |M_t^\varepsilon - \xi_t|^p = 0.$$

In order to prove (6.45), we see that, for $\varepsilon < T \leq t$ and $1 < q < p$,

$$|M_t^\varepsilon - \xi_t|^p \leq 2^{p-1} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \sup_{r \geq T} |U_r - \xi_r|^p + 2^{p-1} \left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \sup_{r \geq t} |\xi_r - \xi_t|^q \right)^{p/q}$$

and consequently (by Burkholder-Davis-Gundy and Doob's inequality)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq T} |M_t^\varepsilon - \xi_t|^p &\leq 2^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \sup_{r \geq T} |U_r - \xi_r|^p + 2^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq T} \left[\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \sup_{r \geq t} \left| \int_t^r \zeta_s dB_s \right|^q \right]^{p/q} \\
 &\leq 2^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \sup_{r \geq T} |U_r - \xi_r|^p + C_{p,q} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \geq T} \left[\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_t} \left(\int_T^\infty |\zeta_s|^2 ds \right)^{q/2} \right]^{p/q} \\
 &\leq C_p \mathbb{E} \sup_{r \geq T} |U_r - \xi_r|^p + C'_{p,q} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_T^\infty |\zeta_s|^2 ds \right)^{p/2}
 \end{aligned}$$

and (6.45) follows.

Inequality (6.46)–*v* follows since, using the notation $r^* = Q_r^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \int_{s^* \wedge T}^{t^* \wedge T} g(M_r^\varepsilon, D_r) \varphi(M_r^\varepsilon) dQ_r = \mathbb{E} \int_{s^*}^{t^*} \mathbf{1}_{[0, T]}(r) g(M_r^\varepsilon, D_r) \varphi(\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_r}(U_r^\varepsilon)) dQ_r \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} \int_{s^*}^{t^*} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_r} [\mathbf{1}_{[0, T]}(r) g(M_r^\varepsilon, D_r) \varphi(U_r^\varepsilon)] dQ_r \\
& = \mathbb{E} \int_s^t \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{r^*}} [\mathbf{1}_{[0, T]}(r^*) g(M_{r^*}^\varepsilon, D_{r^*}) \varphi(U_{r^*}^\varepsilon)] dr \\
& = \int_s^t \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{1}_{[0, T]}(r^*) g(M_{r^*}^\varepsilon, D_{r^*}) \varphi(U_{r^*}^\varepsilon)] dr = \mathbb{E} \int_s^t \mathbf{1}_{[0, T]}(r^*) g(M_{r^*}^\varepsilon, D_{r^*}) \varphi(U_{r^*}^\varepsilon) dr \\
& = \mathbb{E} \int_{s^*}^{t^*} \mathbf{1}_{[0, T]}(r) g(M_r^\varepsilon, D_r) \varphi(U_r^\varepsilon) dQ_r = \mathbb{E} \int_{s^* \wedge T}^{t^* \wedge T} g(M_r^\varepsilon, D_r) \varphi(U_r^\varepsilon) dQ_r.
\end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Corollary 6.11 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \int_{s^* \wedge T}^{t^* \wedge T} g(M_r^\varepsilon, D_r) \varphi(U_r^\varepsilon) dQ_r \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty \varphi(U_\theta) \mathbf{1}_{[\varepsilon, \infty)}(\theta) \left(\frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_0^\theta \mathbf{1}_{[s^* \wedge T, t^* \wedge T]}(r) g(M_r^\varepsilon, D_r) e^{-\frac{Q_\theta - Q_r \vee \varepsilon}{Q_\varepsilon}} dQ_r \right) dQ_\theta \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty \varphi(U_\theta) \mathbf{1}_{[\varepsilon, \infty)}(\theta) \cdot \sup_{r \in [0, \theta]} |g(M_r^\varepsilon, D_r) - g(U_r, D_r)| dQ_\theta \\
& \quad + \mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty \varphi(U_\theta) \mathbf{1}_{[\varepsilon, \infty)}(\theta) \left(\frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_0^\theta \mathbf{1}_{[s^* \wedge T, t^* \wedge T]}(r) g(U_r, D_r) e^{-\frac{Q_\theta - Q_r \vee \varepsilon}{Q_\varepsilon}} dQ_r \right) dQ_\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by Fatou's Lemma and Remark 6.10 (with the extension $Q_r = r$, for $r < 0$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \int_{s^* \wedge T}^{t^* \wedge T} g(U_r, D_r) \varphi(U_r) dQ_r \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \mathbb{E} \int_{s^* \wedge T}^{t^* \wedge T} g(M_r^\varepsilon, D_r) \varphi(M_r^\varepsilon) dQ_r \\
& \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \mathbb{E} \int_{s^* \wedge T}^{t^* \wedge T} g(M_r^\varepsilon, D_r) \varphi(U_r^\varepsilon) dQ_r \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \mathbb{E} \int_{s^* \wedge T}^{t^* \wedge T} g(M_r^\varepsilon, D_r) \varphi(U_r^\varepsilon) dQ_r \\
& \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty \varphi(U_\theta) \left(\mathbf{1}_{[\varepsilon, \infty)}(\theta) \frac{1}{Q_\varepsilon} \int_0^\theta \mathbf{1}_{[s^* \wedge T, t^* \wedge T]}(r) g(U_r, D_r) e^{-\frac{Q_\theta - Q_r \vee \varepsilon}{Q_\varepsilon}} dQ_r \right) dQ_\theta \\
& = \mathbb{E} \int_{s^* \wedge T}^{t^* \wedge T} g(U_\theta, D_\theta) \varphi(U_\theta) dQ_\theta
\end{aligned}$$

and convergence (6.46)–*vj* follows. \square

6.4. Mollifier approximation

Let $F : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $G : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be such that assumptions (A₅) and (A₆) are satisfied.

Let $\rho \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m; \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $\rho(y) = 0$ if $|y| \geq 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \rho(y) dy = 1$.

Let $\kappa > 0$ be such that

$$\int_{B(0,1)} |\nabla \rho(v)| dv \leq \kappa \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla_y \rho(y)| \leq \kappa \mathbf{1}_{\overline{B(0,1)}}(y), \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Define, for $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_\varepsilon(t, y, z) &= \int_{\overline{B(0,1)}} F(t, y - \varepsilon u, \beta_\varepsilon(z)) \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(\varepsilon |F(t, y - \varepsilon u, 0)|) \rho(u) du \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} F(t, y - \varepsilon u, \beta_\varepsilon(z)) \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(\varepsilon |F(t, y - \varepsilon u, 0)|) \rho(u) du \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} F(t, u, \beta_\varepsilon(z)) \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(\varepsilon |F(t, u, 0)|) \rho\left(\frac{y - u}{\varepsilon}\right) du, \end{aligned} \tag{6.48}$$

where

$$\beta_\varepsilon(z) = \frac{z}{1 \vee (\varepsilon |z|)} = \text{Pr}_{\overline{B(0,1/\varepsilon)}}(z).$$

For all $z, \hat{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ and all $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta_\varepsilon(z)| &\leq |z| \wedge \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \\ |\beta_\varepsilon(z) - \beta_\varepsilon(\hat{z})| &\leq |z - \hat{z}|, \\ |\beta_\varepsilon(z) - \beta_\delta(z)| &\leq \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \wedge \frac{1}{\delta}, \infty)}(|z|) \mathbf{1}_{\varepsilon \neq \delta} \cdot |z|. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, from the assumptions satisfied by F , we have, for all $y, u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ with $|u| \leq 1$ and for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$,

$$|F(t, y - \varepsilon u, \beta_\varepsilon(z))| \leq \ell_t |z| + F_{|y|+1}^\#(t)$$

and consequently

$$|F_\varepsilon(t, y, z)| \leq \ell_t |z| + F_{|y|+1}^\#(t) \quad \text{and} \quad |F_\varepsilon(t, 0, 0)| \leq F_1^\#(t). \tag{6.49}$$

The mollifier approximation F_ε of F satisfies the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad &|F_\varepsilon(t, y, z)| \leq \ell_t \beta_\varepsilon(z) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (1 + \ell_t), \\ (b) \quad &|F_\varepsilon(t, y, z) - F_\varepsilon(t, y, \hat{z})| \leq \ell_t |z - \hat{z}|, \\ (c) \quad &|F_\varepsilon(t, y, z) - F_\varepsilon(t, \hat{y}, z)| \leq \frac{\kappa}{\varepsilon} \left[\ell_t |\beta_\varepsilon(z)| + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right] |y - \hat{y}| \leq \frac{\kappa(1 + \ell_t)}{\varepsilon^2} |y - \hat{y}|. \end{aligned} \tag{6.50}$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |F_\varepsilon(t, y, z) - F_\varepsilon(t, \hat{y}, z)| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |F(t, u, \beta_\varepsilon(z))| \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(\varepsilon |F(t, u, 0)|) \left| \rho\left(\frac{y-u}{\varepsilon}\right) - \rho\left(\frac{\hat{y}-u}{\varepsilon}\right) \right| du \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{m+1}} |y - \hat{y}| \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} [\ell_t |\beta_\varepsilon(z)| + |F(t, u, 0)|] \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(\varepsilon |F(t, u, 0)|) \\
& \quad \cdot \left(\int_0^1 \left| \nabla \rho\left(\frac{y-u}{\varepsilon} + \theta \frac{\hat{y}-y}{\varepsilon}\right) \right| d\theta \right) du \\
& = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |y - \hat{y}| \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} [\ell_t |\beta_\varepsilon(z)| + |F(t, y + \theta(\hat{y}-y) - \varepsilon v, 0)|] \right. \\
& \quad \left. \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(\varepsilon |F(t, y + \theta(\hat{y}-y) - \varepsilon v, 0)|) \cdot |\nabla \rho(v)| dv \right) d\theta \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |y - \hat{y}| \left[\ell_t |\beta_\varepsilon(z)| + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right] \int_0^1 \left(\int_{B(0,1)} |\nabla \rho(v)| dv \right) d\theta \leq \frac{\kappa}{\varepsilon} \left[\ell_t |\beta_\varepsilon(z)| + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right] |y - \hat{y}|
\end{aligned}$$

since $0 \leq (a+b) \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(\varepsilon b) \leq a + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$, for all $a, b \geq 0$.

We also have, for all $y, \hat{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ with $|\hat{y}| \leq \rho$ and for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle y - \hat{y}, F_\varepsilon(t, y, z) \rangle & \leq \mu_t |y - \hat{y}|^2 + |y - \hat{y}| \left[F_{\rho+1}^\#(t) + \ell_t |z| \right] \\
& \leq |y - \hat{y}| F_{\rho+1}^\#(t) + \left(\mu_t + \frac{1}{2n_p \lambda} \ell_t^2 \mathbf{1}_{z \neq 0} \right)^+ |y - \hat{y}|^2 + \frac{n_p \lambda}{2} |z|^2, \quad \text{for all } \lambda > 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.51}$$

where $p > 1$, $n_p = (p-1) \wedge 1$.

Indeed, by taking

$$\alpha_\varepsilon(t, y) = \int_{B(0,1)} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(\varepsilon |F(t, y - \varepsilon u, 0)|) \rho(u) du,$$

we have $0 \leq \alpha_\varepsilon(t, y) \leq 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle y - \hat{y}, F_\varepsilon(t, y, z) \rangle \\
& = \int_{B(0,1)} \langle y - \hat{y}, F(t, y - \varepsilon u, \beta_\varepsilon(z)) - F(t, \hat{y} - \varepsilon u, \beta_\varepsilon(z)) \rangle \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(\varepsilon |F(t, y - \varepsilon u, 0)|) \rho(u) du \\
& \quad + \int_{B(0,1)} \langle y - \hat{y}, F(t, \hat{y} - \varepsilon u, \beta_\varepsilon(z)) - F(t, \hat{y} - \varepsilon u, 0) \rangle \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(\varepsilon |F(t, y - \varepsilon u, 0)|) \rho(u) du \\
& \quad + \int_{B(0,1)} \langle y - \hat{y}, F(t, \hat{y} - \varepsilon u, 0) \rangle \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(\varepsilon |F(t, y - \varepsilon u, 0)|) \rho(u) du \\
& \leq \left[\mu_t |y - \hat{y}|^2 + |y - \hat{y}| \ell_t |\beta_\varepsilon(z)| \right] \alpha_\varepsilon(t, y) + |y - \hat{y}| F_{\rho+1}^\#(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for all $y, \hat{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ such that $|y| \leq \rho, |\hat{y}| \leq \rho$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a) \quad & \langle y - \hat{y}, F_\varepsilon(t, y, z) - F_\varepsilon(t, \hat{y}, z) \rangle \\
 & \leq \mu_t^+ |y - \hat{y}|^2 + |y - \hat{y}| \left[F_{\rho+1}^\#(t) + \ell_t |z| \right] \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \infty)}(F_{\rho+1}^\#(t)) \\
 (b) \quad & \langle y - \hat{y}, F_\varepsilon(t, y, z) - F_\varepsilon(t, \hat{y}, \hat{z}) \rangle \\
 & \leq |y - \hat{y}| \left[F_{\rho+1}^\#(t) + \ell_t |\hat{z}| \right] \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \infty)}(F_{\rho+1}^\#(t)) \\
 & \quad + \left(\mu_t^+ + \frac{1}{2n_p \lambda} \ell_t^2 \mathbf{1}_{z \neq \hat{z}} \right) |y - \hat{y}|^2 + \frac{n_p \lambda}{2} |z - \hat{z}|^2 \\
 (c) \quad & \langle y - \hat{y}, F_\varepsilon(t, y, z) - F_\delta(t, \hat{y}, \hat{z}) \rangle \\
 & \leq |\varepsilon - \delta| \left[\mu_t^+ |\varepsilon - \delta| + 2F_{\rho+1}^\#(t) + 2\ell_t |z| \right] \\
 & \quad + |y - \hat{y}| \left[2\mu_t^+ |\varepsilon - \delta| + \ell_t |\hat{z}| \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \wedge \frac{1}{\delta}, \infty)}(|\hat{z}|) \mathbf{1}_{\varepsilon \neq \delta} \right. \\
 & \quad \quad \left. + (F_{\rho+1}^\#(t) + \ell_t |\hat{z}|) \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \wedge \frac{1}{\delta}, \infty)}(F_{\rho+1}^\#(t)) \right] \\
 & \quad + \left(\mu_t^+ + \frac{1}{2n_p \lambda} \ell_t^2 \mathbf{1}_{z \neq \hat{z}} \right) |y - \hat{y}|^2 + \frac{n_p \lambda}{2} |z - \hat{z}|^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.52}$$

Obviously, it is sufficient to prove (6.52-c).

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle y - \hat{y}, F_\varepsilon(t, y, z) - F_\delta(t, \hat{y}, \hat{z}) \rangle \\
 & \leq \int_{B(0,1)} \langle y - \varepsilon u - (\hat{y} - \delta u) + (\varepsilon - \delta) u, F(t, y - \varepsilon u, \beta_\varepsilon(z)) - F(t, \hat{y} - \delta u, \beta_\varepsilon(z)) \rangle \\
 & \quad \quad \quad \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(\varepsilon |F(t, y - \varepsilon u, 0)|) \rho(u) du \\
 & \quad + \int_{B(0,1)} \langle y - \hat{y}, F(t, \hat{y} - \delta u, \beta_\varepsilon(z)) - F(t, \hat{y} - \delta u, \beta_\delta(\hat{z})) \rangle \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(\varepsilon |F(t, y - \varepsilon u, 0)|) \rho(u) du \\
 & \quad + \int_{B(0,1)} \langle y - \hat{y}, F(t, \hat{y} - \delta u, \beta_\delta(\hat{z})) \rangle \\
 & \quad \quad \quad \cdot \left[\mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(\varepsilon |F(t, y - \varepsilon u, 0)|) - \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(\delta |F(t, \hat{y} - \delta u, 0)|) \right] \rho(u) du \\
 & \leq \mu_t \int_{B(0,1)} |y - \varepsilon u - (\hat{y} - \delta u)|^2 \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(\varepsilon |F(t, y - \varepsilon u, 0)|) \rho(u) du \\
 & \quad + 2|\varepsilon - \delta| \left[F_{\rho+1}^\#(t) + \ell_t |\beta_\varepsilon(z)| \right] + |y - \hat{y}| \ell_t |\beta_\varepsilon(z) - \beta_\delta(\hat{z})| \alpha_\varepsilon(t, y) \\
 & \quad + |y - \hat{y}| \left[F_{\rho+1}^\#(t) + \ell_t |\beta_\delta(\hat{z})| \right] \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \wedge \frac{1}{\delta}, \infty)}(F_{\rho+1}^\#(t))
 \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_t |y - \varepsilon u - (\hat{y} - \delta u)|^2 & \leq \mu_t^+ |y - \varepsilon u - (\hat{y} - \delta u)|^2 \\
 & \leq \mu_t^+ |y - \hat{y}|^2 + 2\mu_t^+ |y - \hat{y}| |\varepsilon - \delta| + \mu_t^+ |\varepsilon - \delta|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

and, using the properties of β_ε , inequality (6.52-c) follows.

Remark 6.13. The function G will be approximate in the same manner. For $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$:

$$G_\varepsilon(t, y) = \int_{\overline{B(0,1)}} G(t, y - \varepsilon u) \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(\varepsilon |G(t, y - \varepsilon u)|) \rho(u) du. \quad (6.53)$$

Inequalities (6.50)–(6.52) are similarly obtained for G , with $z = \hat{z} = 0$ and $\ell = 0$.

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to express their thanks to the anonymous referees for their critical and suggestive comments, as well as insightful questions, that helped to significantly improve the overall quality of the paper and lead to the current much better looking version.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aman, L^p solution of reflected generalized BSDEs with non-Lipschitz coefficients. *Random Oper. Stoch. Equ.* **17** (2009) 201–219.
- [2] V. Barbu, Nonlinear Semigroups and Differential Equations in Banach Spaces. Editura Academiei, București, Romania (1976).
- [3] P. Briand and R. Carmona, BSDEs with polynomial growth generators. *J. Appl. Math. Stoch. Anal.* **13** (2000) 207–238.
- [4] P. Briand, B. Delyon, Y. Hu, E. Pardoux and L. Stoica, L^p solutions of backward stochastic differential equations. *Stoch. Process. Appl.* **108** (2003) 109–129.
- [5] R.W.R. Darling and E. Pardoux, Backward SDE with random terminal time and applications to semilinear elliptic PDE. *Ann. Probab.* **25** (1997) 1135–1159.
- [6] R. Dobrushin, P. Groeneboom and M. Ledoux, Lectures on Probability Theory and Statistics. Ecole d’Eté de Probabilités de Saint-Flour – 1994. Springer, Berlin (1996).
- [7] D. Duffie and L. Epstein, Stochastic differential utility. *Econometrica* **60** (1992) 353–394.
- [8] N. El Karoui, C. Kapoudjian, E. Pardoux, S. Peng and M.C. Quenez, Reflected solutions of backward SDE’s and related obstacle problems for PDE’s. *Ann. Probab.* **25** (1997) 702–737.
- [9] N. El Karoui, S. Peng and M.C. Quenez, Backward stochastic differential equations in finance. *Math. Finance* **7** (1997) 1–71.
- [10] S. Hamadène and A. Popier, L^p -solutions for reflected backward stochastic differential equations. *Stoch. Dyn.* **12** (2012) 1150016 (35 pages).
- [11] T. Klimsiak, BSDEs with monotone generator and two irregular reflecting barriers. *Bull. Sci. Math.* **137** (2013) 268–321.
- [12] J.P. Lepeltier, A. Matoussi and M. Xu, Reflected backward stochastic differential equations under monotonicity and general increasing growth conditions. *Adv. Appl. Probab.* **37** (2005) 134–159.
- [13] L. Maticiuc and A. Rășcanu, A stochastic approach to a multivalued Dirichlet-Neumann problem. *Stoch. Process. Appl.* **120** (2010) 777–800.
- [14] L. Maticiuc and A. Rășcanu, Backward Stochastic Variational Inequalities on Random Interval. *Bernoulli* **21** (2015) 1166–1199.
- [15] L. Maticiuc and A. Rășcanu, On the continuity of the probabilistic representation of a semilinear Neumann–Dirichlet problem. *Stoch. Process. Appl.* **126** (2016) 572–607.
- [16] L. Maticiuc and A. Rășcanu, Viability of moving sets for a nonlinear Neumann problem. *Nonlinear Anal.* **66** (2007) 1587–1599.
- [17] E. Pardoux, BSDEs, weak convergence and homogenization of semilinear PDEs. In *Nonlinear Analysis, Differential Equations and Control* (Montreal, QC, 1998). Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (1999) 503–549.
- [18] E. Pardoux and S. Peng, Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equation. *Systems Control Lett.* **14** (1990) 55–61.
- [19] E. Pardoux and A. Rășcanu, Backward stochastic differential equations with subdifferential operator and related variational inequalities. *Stoch. Process. Appl.* **76** (1998) 191–215.
- [20] E. Pardoux and A. Rășcanu, Backward stochastic variational inequalities. *Stochastics* **67** (1999) 159–167.
- [21] E. Pardoux and A. Rășcanu, Stochastic Differential Equations, Backward SDEs, Partial Differential Equations. Vol. 69 of *Springer Series: Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability*. Springer, Berlin (2014).
- [22] A. Rășcanu, Existence for a class of stochastic parabolic variational inequalities. *Stochastics* **5** (1981) 201–239.

- [23] A. Rozkosz and L. Słomiński, L^p solutions of reflected BSDEs under monotonicity condition. *Stoch. Process. Appl.* **122** (2012) 3875–3900.
- [24] A. Rozkosz and L. Słomiński, Stochastic representation of entropy solutions of semilinear elliptic obstacle problems with measure data. *Electr. J. Probab.* **17** (2012) 1–27.

Subscribe to Open (S2O)

A fair and sustainable open access model



This journal is currently published in open access with no charge for authors under a Subscribe-to-Open model (S2O). Open access is the free, immediate, online availability of research articles combined with the rights to use these articles fully in the digital environment.

S2O is one of the transformative models that aim to move subscription journals to open access. Every year, as long as the minimum amount of subscriptions necessary to sustain the publication of the journal is attained, the content for the year is published in open access.

Ask your library to support open access by subscribing to this S2O journal.

Please help to maintain this journal in open access! Encourage your library to subscribe or verify its subscription by contacting subscribers@edpsciences.org

We are thankful to our subscribers and sponsors for making it possible to publish the journal in open access, free of charge for authors. More information and list of sponsors: <https://www.edpsciences.org/en/math-s2o-programme>