



Harmonic Analysis

## Uniqueness sets for unbounded spectra

*Ensembles d'unicité pour des spectres non-bornés*Alexander Olevskii<sup>a</sup>, Alexander Ulanovskii<sup>b</sup><sup>a</sup> School of Mathematics, Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv, 69978 Tel Aviv, Israel<sup>b</sup> Stavanger University, N-4036 Stavanger, Norway

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 13 May 2011

Accepted 17 May 2011

Available online 12 June 2011

Presented by Jean-Pierre Kahane

## ABSTRACT

For every set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  of finite measure, we construct a system of exponentials  $\{e^{i\lambda t}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  which is complete in  $L^2(S)$  and such that the set of frequencies  $\Lambda$  has the critical density  $D(\Lambda) = \text{mes}(S)/2\pi$ .

© 2011 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## R É S U M É

Pour tout ensemble  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  de mesure finie nous construisons un système d'exponentielles  $\{e^{i\lambda t}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  qui est total dans  $L^2(S)$  et dont l'ensemble des fréquences a la densité critique, à savoir  $\text{mes}(S)/2\pi$ .

© 2011 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be some space of continuous functions on the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ . A set  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$  is called a uniqueness set for  $\mathcal{F}$  if

$$f \in \mathcal{F}, \quad f|_{\Lambda} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad f = 0.$$

In what follows we consider *discrete* uniqueness sets for the classical Paley–Wiener spaces  $PW_S$ . Given a set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  of finite measure, the space  $PW_S$  consists of all functions  $f$  which are the (inverse) Fourier transform

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{itx} F(t) dt \tag{1}$$

of functions  $F \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $F = 0$  a.e. outside  $S$ . Since the measure of  $S$  is finite, we have  $F \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , and so every function  $f \in PW_S$  is continuous.

Let  $S = [a, b]$  be an interval. Assume that a set  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$  is uniformly discrete (u.d.):

$$\inf_{\lambda, \lambda^* \in \Lambda, \lambda \neq \lambda^*} |\lambda - \lambda^*| > 0,$$

and assume that  $\Lambda$  is regularly distributed in the sense that the uniform density  $D(\Lambda)$  exists:

$$\text{Card}(\Lambda \cap (x, x+r)) = rD(\Lambda) + o(r) \quad \text{uniformly on } x \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

E-mail addresses: olevskii@post.tau.ac.il (A. Olevskii), Alexander.Ulanovskii@uis.no (A. Ulanovskii).

Then the condition  $D(\Lambda) \geq |S|/2\pi$  is necessary while the condition

$$D(\Lambda) > \frac{1}{2\pi}|S| \tag{2}$$

is sufficient for  $\Lambda$  to be a uniqueness set for  $PW_S$ , where  $|S|$  denotes the measure of  $S$ . This can be shown by standard complex variable techniques. A deep result of Beurling and Malliavin [3] states that the same is true for an arbitrary set  $\Lambda$  provided the uniform density is replaced with a certain exterior density (Beurling–Malliavin density).

In the case of disconnected spectra  $S$ , condition (2) ceases to be sufficient already when  $S$  is the union of two intervals. This is where the arithmetics of  $\Lambda$  comes into the play. For example, one can easily check that  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}$  is not a uniqueness set for  $PW_S$ , where  $S = [0, \epsilon] \cup [2\pi, 2\pi + \epsilon]$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ . On the other hand, Landau [4] discovered that certain small perturbations of  $\mathbb{Z}$  produce uniqueness sets for  $PW_S$  whenever  $S$  is a finite union of intervals satisfying  $|S_\pi| < 2\pi$ , where  $S_\pi$  denotes the “projection” of  $S$  onto  $[-\pi, \pi]$ :

$$S_\pi := (S + 2\pi\mathbb{Z}) \cap [-\pi, \pi].$$

In [5], Theorem 3.1 we proved that every “exponentially small” perturbation of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a uniqueness for  $PW_S$  where  $S$  is an arbitrary bounded set satisfying  $|S_\pi| < 2\pi$ . This result remains true for unbounded sets  $S$  with a moderate accumulation at infinity. However, if this accumulation is too fast then the functions of the corresponding Paley–Wiener space loose analyticity, and the result is no longer true, see [5].

In the present Note we show that for every set  $S$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  of finite measure, the Paley–Wiener space  $PW_S$  possesses a uniformly discrete uniqueness set:

**Theorem 1.** Assume  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|S| < \infty$  and  $|S_\pi| < 2\pi$ . There is a u.d. set  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $D(\Lambda) = 1$ , which is a uniqueness set for  $PW_S$ .

**Corollary.** For every set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|S| = 2\pi$ , there is a u.d. set  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $D(\Lambda) = 1$ , which is a uniqueness set for  $PW_S$ .

**2. Proof**

Here we sketch the proof of the results. Set  $\mathbb{Z}_n := \mathbb{Z} \cap \{|t| \geq n\}$  and  $\mathbb{T} := (-\pi, \pi)$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $w$  (a weight) be an  $L^1$ -function on a set  $A \subset \mathbb{T}$ ,  $|A| < 2\pi$ ,  $w(t) \geq 1$ . Then there is a sequence of disjoint sets  $A_j \subset \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that:

- (i) Every exponential system  $\{e^{i\lambda t}\}_{\lambda \in A_j}$  is complete in  $L^2(w, A)$ .
- (ii) No neighboring integers belong to different subsets  $A_j$ .

**Proof.** Set  $X := L^2(w, A)$ . One can identify  $X^*$  with  $L^2(1/w, A)$  and check that  $X^*$  is embedded in  $L^1(A)$ . So, if  $g \in X^*$  is orthogonal to  $E(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ , then  $g = 0$  a.e. Hence,  $E(\mathbb{Z}_n)$  is complete in  $X$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

To construct  $A_j$ , we run an induction process, where on  $m$ -th step one adds to  $A_{j(m)}$  a “large” finite set of integers, so that there is a trigonometric polynomial with these frequencies which approximates an exponential function  $e^{i\ell(m)t}$  with an error  $\epsilon(m)$ . The couple  $(j, \ell)$  runs over  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  infinitely many times and  $\epsilon(m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.** 1. Define a weight  $w$  to be the multiplicity function  $w(t) := \text{Card}\{k \in \mathbb{Z} : t + 2\pi k \in S\}$ , where  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ . Set  $A_j := \{t \in \mathbb{T} : w(t) = j\}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$

2. Take any function  $f \in PW_S$  and let  $F$  be the Fourier transform in (1). Then

$$F(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} F_k(t + 2\pi k), \quad F_k(t) := F(t - 2\pi k) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{T}}(t),$$

where the functions  $\{F_k\}$  are supported by  $S_\pi$ .

3. Set

$$G(a, t) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i2\pi ka} F_k(t), \quad 0 \leq a < 1, t \in \mathbb{T}. \tag{3}$$

One may check that  $G$  belongs to  $L^2(1/w, S_\pi)$  for every  $a \in [0, 1)$ . A simple calculation shows that for each  $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have

$$f(\nu + a) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} G(a, t) e^{i(\nu+a)t} dt. \tag{4}$$

4. By Lemma 1, there exist disjoint sets  $\Lambda(n, j) \subset \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $0 \leq j < n$ , so that each system of exponentials  $E(\Lambda(n, j))$  is complete in the space  $X := L^2(w, S_\pi)$ . Set

$$A_n := \bigcup_{j=0}^n \left( \Lambda(n, j) + \frac{j}{n} \right); \quad \Lambda := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n.$$

By Lemma 1(ii), we may assume that  $\Lambda$  is uniformly discrete and  $D(\Lambda) = 1$ .

5. Now we prove that  $\Lambda$  is a uniqueness set for  $PW_S$ . Assume  $f(x) = 0, x \in \Lambda$ . Given  $n$  and  $j$ , we use (4) for every  $v \in \Lambda(n, j)$ . Due to completeness of  $E(\Lambda(n, j))$  in  $X$ , we get:  $G(j/n, t) = 0$  a.e. Since  $G$  is continuous with respect to  $a$  for almost all  $t \in S_\pi$ , we see that  $G(a, t) = 0$  on  $(0, 1)$ . From (3) we conclude that  $F_k(t) = 0$  a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}$  for every  $k$ . Hence,  $f = 0$  which proves Theorem 1.  $\square$

**Proof of Corollary.** The only case which is not covered by Theorem 1 is when  $|S| = |S_\pi| = 2\pi$ . Then one can check that  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}$  is a uniqueness set for  $PW_S$ .  $\square$

### 3. Remarks

1. The results above hold also for the spaces  $\hat{L}_S^p, p > 1$ , of all functions  $f$  defined in (1), where  $F \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  and  $F = 0$  a.e. outside  $S$ .

2. Let  $B_S^q$  denote the Bernstein's type spaces of all continuous functions  $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R})$  whose spectrum, in the distributional sense, lies in  $S$  (cf. Section 6 in [7]). The following result shows a sharp contrast between these spaces and the Paley–Wiener spaces:

*For every  $q > 2$  there is an (unbounded) closed set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  of Lebesgue measure zero such that no u.d. set  $\Lambda$  is a uniqueness set for  $B_S^q$ .*

For  $q = \infty$  this follows directly from Theorem 3 in [7] (see also Theorem 3.1 in [6]). The latter results can be extended to the case  $q > 2$ .

3. Given two sets  $S, Q$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  of finite measure, it is well known that there is no non-trivial  $L^2$ -function  $f$  supported by  $S$  so that  $\hat{f}$  is supported by  $Q$  (see [1,2]). By slightly changing the proof of Theorem 1, one can obtain the following extension of this result:

*Suppose  $S, Q \subset \mathbb{R}, |S|, |Q| < \infty$ . There is a u.d. set  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\Lambda \cap Q = \emptyset, D(\Lambda) = |S|/2\pi$  and  $\Lambda$  is a uniqueness set for  $PW_S$ .*

4. One may extend the results (and proofs) of this Note to functions of several variables. For example, the following is true:

*For every set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  of finite Lebesgue measure there is a u.d. set  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n, D(\Lambda) = |S|/(2\pi)^n$ , which is a uniqueness set for the space  $PW_S$ .*

5. A set  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$  is called a sampling set for the space  $PW_S$  if there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 dx \leq C \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |f(\lambda)|^2, \quad \text{for every } f \in PW_S.$$

It is well known that when  $S$  is a bounded set, then the space  $PW_S$  possesses a u.d. sampling set  $\Lambda$ . The following open question seems to be interesting: Is it true that  $PW_S$  possesses a u.d. sampling set for every set  $S$  of finite measure?

### References

[1] W.O. Amrein, A.M. Berthier, On support properties of  $L^p$ -functions and their Fourier transforms, J. Funct. Anal. 24 (3) (1977) 258–267.  
 [2] M. Benedicks, On Fourier transforms of functions supported on sets of finite Lebesgue measure, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 106 (1) (1985) 180–183.  
 [3] A. Beurling, P. Malliavin, On the closure of characters and the zeros of entire functions, Acta Math. 118 (1967) 79–93.  
 [4] H.J. Landau, A sparse regular sequence of exponentials closed on large sets, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 70 (1964) 566–569.  
 [5] A. Olevskii, A. Ulanovskii, Universal sampling and interpolation of band-limited signals, Geom. Funct. Anal. 18 (3) (2008) 1029–1052.  
 [6] A. Olevskii, A. Ulanovskii, Interpolation in Bernstein and Paley–Wiener spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 256 (10) (2009) 3257–3278.  
 [7] A. Olevskii, A. Ulanovskii, Approximation of discrete functions and size of spectrum, St. Petersburg Math. J. 21 (2010) 1015–1025.