



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I

www.sciencedirect.com



Harmonic Analysis

On Ingham-type interpolation in \mathbb{R}^n Sur l'interpolation du type d'Ingham dans \mathbb{R}^n Alexander Olevskii^{a,1}, Alexander Ulanovskii^b^a School of Mathematics, Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv, 69978 Israel^b Stavanger University, 4036 Stavanger, Norway

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 1 June 2010

Accepted 2 June 2010

Available online 23 June 2010

Presented by Jean-Pierre Kahane

ABSTRACT

Let \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{K} be 0-symmetric convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . We are interested in determining conditions under which every set Λ satisfying $(\Lambda - \Lambda) \cap \mathcal{K} = \{0\}$ is a set of interpolation for the Paley–Wiener space of functions with spectrum in \mathcal{S} . Some sufficient and necessary conditions are given which, in particular, imply sharp asymptotic estimates for the l_p -balls.

© 2010 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

R É S U M É

Soient \mathcal{S} et \mathcal{K} deux ensembles convexes 0-symétriques (symétriques par rapport à 0). A quelle condition tout ensemble Λ vérifiant $(\Lambda - \Lambda) \cap \mathcal{K} = \{0\}$ est-il un ensemble d'interpolation pour les fonctions localement L^2 à spectre dans \mathcal{S} ? On donne des conditions nécessaires et des conditions suffisantes pour qu'il en soit ainsi, et on en dérive des estimations précises pour les boules l_p quand $n \rightarrow \infty$.

© 2010 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

Version française abrégée

On dit que \mathcal{S} et \mathcal{K} , convexes et 0-symétriques dans \mathbb{R}^n , forment une paire admissible si, pour tout $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ vérifiant $(\Lambda - \Lambda) \cap \mathcal{K} = \{0\}$, l'application $f \rightarrow \hat{f}|_{\Lambda}$ de $L^2(\mathcal{S})$ dans $l^2|_{\Lambda}$ est surjective (on dit alors que Λ est un ensemble d'interpolation pour $PW_{\mathcal{S}}$). Voici les principaux résultats :

Théorème 1. Soient \mathcal{A} et \mathcal{B} deux ensembles convexes 0-symétriques dans \mathbb{R}^n . S'il existe $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ telle que

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}} |f|^2 > \frac{99}{100} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^2 \quad \text{et} \quad \int_{\mathcal{B}} |\hat{f}|^2 > \frac{99}{100} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{f}|^2,$$

alors $(\mathcal{A}, 12\mathcal{B})$ est admissible, et de même $(\mathcal{B}, 12\mathcal{A})$.

Théorème 2. Si \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{K} est une paire admissible, alors

$$\text{vol}(\mathcal{S}) \cdot \text{vol}(\mathcal{K}) \geq (2\pi)^n.$$

E-mail addresses: olevskii@post.tau.ac.il (A. Olevskii), Alexander.Ulanovskii@uis.no (A. Ulanovskii).

¹ The first author is partially supported by the Israel Science Foundation.

Théorème 3. Soit B_p resp. B_q la boule unité de \mathbb{R}^n en norme l_p resp. l_q . Il existe $C > 0$ tel que (B_p, CnB_q) soit admissible pour tout $n > 1, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ et $q = p/(p - 1)$.

Cela est faux pour $C < 1/e$.

1. Introduction

Let S be a bounded set in $\mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 1$. By PW_S we denote the space of all functions $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that \hat{f} vanishes a.e. outside S , where

$$\hat{f}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{it \cdot x} f(x) dx$$

is the Fourier transform of f . Let Λ be a separated set in \mathbb{R}^n . Then the restriction operator

$$R : f \rightarrow f|_{\Lambda}$$

acts boundedly from PW_S into $l^2(\Lambda)$. Λ is called a set of interpolation for PW_S if R is surjective. The following result is classical:

Theorem A. (See Ingham [2].) Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the condition:

$$\inf_{\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda, \lambda \neq \lambda'} |\lambda - \lambda'| > \pi.$$

Then Λ is a set of interpolation for $PW_{[-1,1]}$.

Ingham-type results for $\mathbb{R}^n, n > 1$, were first obtained by J.P. Kahane in [3,4]. In [5] (see also references therein) the authors extended Ingham’s approach to PW_{B_2} , where B_2 is the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n . Some estimates were obtained involving the first eigenvalue of Laplacian in certain domains. These estimates show, in particular, that every set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying

$$\inf_{\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda, \lambda \neq \lambda'} \|\lambda - \lambda'\|_2 > Cn, \tag{1}$$

for some constant $C > 0$, is a set of interpolation for B_2 .

2. Results

Definition 1. Let $S, K, 0 \in K$, be bounded measurable sets in \mathbb{R}^n . We say that the pair (S, K) is admissible if every set Λ with the property $(\Lambda - \Lambda) \cap K = \{0\}$ is a set of interpolation for PW_S .

Definition 2. Let $a \in (0, 1)$. We say that a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a -concentrated on a set \mathcal{A} if

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}} |f(t)|^2 dt > a \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(t)|^2 dt.$$

We show that the Ingham-type interpolation property is intimately connected with existence of functions f concentrated on K such that \hat{f} is concentrated on S . Below by a we denote a small absolute positive constant (one may take $a = 10^{-2}$).

Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be 0-symmetric convex bodies. Suppose there exists $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that f is $(1 - a)$ -concentrated on \mathcal{A} and \hat{f} is $(1 - a)$ -concentrated on \mathcal{B} . Then the pairs $(\mathcal{A}, 12\mathcal{B})$ and $(\mathcal{B}, 12\mathcal{A})$ are admissible.

The next theorem gives a necessary condition for admissibility:

Theorem 2. Let $S, K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be convex 0-symmetric bodies. If the pair (S, K) is admissible then

$$\text{vol}(S) \cdot \text{vol}(K) \geq (2\pi)^n. \tag{2}$$

As an application of Theorem 1, we have the following result in which $B_p \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ denotes the unit ball in the l_p -norm:

Theorem 3. There exists $C > 0$ such that the pair (B_p, CnB_q) is admissible for every n and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, where $q = p/(p - 1)$.

This result is sharp, see Remark 1 below. In particular, it follows that the linear growth in (1) cannot be improved.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Below we sketch the proof of Theorem 1.

It is well known that Λ is an interpolation set for PW_S if and only if there exists $C(\Lambda, S)$ such that the inequality

$$\int_S \left| \sum_{j=1}^N c_j e^{i\lambda_j \cdot x} \right|^2 dx \geq C(\Lambda, S) \sum_{j=1}^N |c_j|^2, \tag{3}$$

holds for every finite sequence c_1, \dots, c_N and every $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N \in \Lambda$. Suppose f satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1. It clearly suffices to show that $(\mathcal{A}, 12\mathcal{B})$ is admissible. Let g be the inverse Fourier transform of $\hat{f}(x)\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}(x)$. Then $g \in PW_{\mathcal{B}}$ and g is $(1 - \alpha)$ -concentrated on \mathcal{A} , for some small $\alpha = \alpha(\alpha) > 0$. We may assume that $\|g\|_2 = 1$, and so $\|g \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}\|_2^2 \geq 1 - \alpha$. Set

$$\eta(t) := 1, \quad t \in 2\mathcal{A}, \quad \eta(t) := -1, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus 2\mathcal{A},$$

and denote by $h(t)$ the convolution of $\eta(t)$ and $|g(t)|^2$. It is straightforward to check that $|h(t)| \leq 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and that

$$h(t) \geq 1 - 2\alpha > 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{A}, \quad h(t) \leq -1 + 2\alpha < 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus 3\mathcal{A}.$$

Next, we set $\Psi(t) := h(t)|g(t)|^2$. Since $|g(t)|^2 \in PW_{2\mathcal{B}}$, we have $\Psi \in PW_{4\mathcal{B}}$. Also, we have $\Psi(t) < 0$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus 3\mathcal{A}$, and

$$\hat{\Psi}(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(t) dt \geq \int_{\mathcal{A}} (1 - 2\alpha)|g(t)|^2 dt - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{A}} |f(t)|^2 dt \geq (1 - 2\alpha)(1 - \alpha) - \alpha > 0.$$

Suppose that $(\Lambda - \Lambda) \cap 4\mathcal{B} = \{0\}$. Following Ingham [2], we have

$$\sup_{x \in 3\mathcal{A}} \Psi(x) \int_{3\mathcal{A}} \left| \sum_j c_j e^{i\lambda_j \cdot x} \right|^2 dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(x) \left| \sum_j c_j e^{i\lambda_j \cdot x} \right|^2 dx = \sum_{j,l} c_j \bar{c}_l \hat{\Psi}(\lambda_j - \lambda_l) = \hat{\Psi}(0) \sum_j |c_j|^2,$$

which proves (3) for $S = 3\mathcal{A}$. Hence, every Λ with $(\Lambda - \Lambda) \cap 4\mathcal{B} = \{0\}$ is a set of interpolation for $3\mathcal{A}$. This shows that $(3\mathcal{A}, 4\mathcal{B})$ is admissible, which is equivalent to the admissibility of $(\mathcal{A}, 12\mathcal{B})$.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

By Minkowski–Hlawka theorem (see [1, ch. 6]), there is a lattice $\Lambda := T\mathbb{Z}^n$, where $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is an invertible linear operator, such that:

$$(i) \quad \Lambda \cap \mathcal{K} = \{0\}; \quad (ii) \quad d(\Lambda) < \text{vol}(\mathcal{K}),$$

where $d(\Lambda) = \text{vol}(T[0, 1]^n)$ is the volume of the fundamental parallelepiped of Λ . By assumption, Λ is an interpolation set for PW_S . Hence \mathbb{Z}^n is a set of interpolation for $PW_{T^{-1}S}$. Since the exponential system $\{e^{ik \cdot t}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ forms an orthogonal basis in $L^2[-\pi, \pi]^n$, we have $\text{vol}(T^{-1}S) \geq (2\pi)^n$. Now this and estimate (ii) above prove (2).

5. Admissible l_p -balls

Lemma 1. Set

$$S(x) := \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\sin x_j}{x_j} \right)^2, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad n > 1.$$

Then $S \in PW_{2\mathcal{B}_{\infty}}$, and there exists $C > 0$ such that $S(x)$ is $(1 - \epsilon)$ -concentrated on $(C\sqrt{n/\epsilon})\mathcal{B}_2$ for every $0 < \epsilon < 1$.

Proof. Set

$$\beta := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\sin t}{t} \right)^4 dt, \quad \gamma := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin^4 t}{t^2} dt.$$

Then $\|S\|_2^2 = \beta^n$ and

$$\int_{\|x\|_2 > \sqrt{n\gamma/\epsilon\beta}} S^2(x) dx < \frac{\epsilon\beta}{n\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|x\|_2^2 S^2(x) dx = \epsilon\beta^n = \epsilon \|S\|_2^2.$$

which shows that $S(x)$ is $(1 - \epsilon)$ -concentrated on $(\sqrt{n\gamma/\epsilon\beta})\mathcal{B}_2$. \square

Lemma 1 and Theorem 1 show that the pairs $(\mathcal{B}_2, C\sqrt{n}\mathcal{B}_\infty)$ and $(\mathcal{B}_\infty, C\sqrt{n}\mathcal{B}_2)$ are admissible, for some constant $C > 0$. Clearly, if a pair $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{K})$ is admissible and $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S}^*$, $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{K}^*$, then $(\mathcal{S}^*, \mathcal{K}^*)$ is admissible, too. Since $1 \leq p < p^* \leq \infty$ implies $n^{1/p}\mathcal{B}_p \subset n^{1/p^*}\mathcal{B}_{p^*}$, we obtain Theorem 3 in a slightly stronger form:

Theorem 3*. *There is a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $n > 1$ the pair $(n^{1/p}\mathcal{B}_p, Cn^{1/p^*}\mathcal{B}_{p^*})$ is admissible provided $p, p^* \geq 1$ and at least one of the numbers p, p^* does not exceed 2.*

6. Remarks

1. When $q = p/(p - 1)$, the Blaschke–Santaló inequality gives

$$\text{vol}(\mathcal{B}_p) \cdot \text{vol}(Cn\mathcal{B}_q) \leq (Cn)^n (\text{vol}(\mathcal{B}_2))^2 = C^n (2\pi e + o(1))^n.$$

By Theorem 2, we see that Theorem 3 ceases to be true for $C < e^{-1}$.

2. One can show that Theorem 3* remains true for all $p, p^* \geq 1$ provided one replaces C by $C \cdot \min\{p, p^*\}$.

3. Theorems 1 and 2 admit extension to the case when $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{S}$ and \mathcal{K} are arbitrary (not necessarily convex) bounded sets in \mathbb{R}^n .

The conclusion of Theorem 2 remains true, the only change in the proof is that one uses a theorem of Hlawka (see [1], Corollary on p. 182) instead of Minkowski–Hlawka theorem.

The proof of Theorem 1 (with obvious minor changes) yields the following variant of Theorem 1: *Under the assumptions on f , the pairs $(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B})$ and $(\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A})$ are admissible.*

4. We conjecture that a more general version of Theorem 3 holds: *There exists $C > 0$ such that every pair (K, CnK^0) is admissible, where $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex 0-symmetric body and K^0 is its polar body.*

References

- [1] J.W.S. Cassels, *An Introduction to the Geometry of Numbers*, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [2] A.E. Ingham, Some trigonometrical inequalities with applications in the theory of series, *Math. Z.* 41 (1) (1936) 367–379.
- [3] J.-P. Kahane, Fonctions pseudo-périodiques dans \mathbb{R}^p (French), in: *Proc. Intl. Sympos. Linear Spaces*, Jerusalem, 1960, Jerusalem Academic Press/Pergamon, Jerusalem/Oxford, 1961, pp. 274–281.
- [4] J.-P. Kahane, Pseudopériodicité et séries de Fourier lacunaires, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* (3) 79 (1962) 93–150.
- [5] V. Komornik, P. Loreti, *Fourier Series in Control Theory*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 2005.