

On self attracting/repelling diffusions

Michel Benaim^a, Olivier Raimond^b

^a Université de Cergy Pontoise, mathématiques, 2, av. A. Chauvin, 95302 Cergy Pontoise, France

^b Université Paris-Sud, mathématiques, bâtiment 425, 91405 Orsay, France

Received 21 May 2002; accepted 28 June 2002

Note presented by Yves Meyer.

Abstract We present an almost sure ergodic theorem for a class of self-interacting diffusions on a compact Riemannian manifold. *To cite this article: M. Benaim, O. Raimond, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 335 (2002) 541–544.*

© 2002 Académie des sciences/Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS

Diffusions auto attractives/repulsives

Résumé Nous présentons un résultat de type théorème ergodique presque sûr pour une classe de diffusions *inter-agissantes* sur une variété Riemannienne compacte. *Pour citer cet article : M. Benaim, O. Raimond, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 335 (2002) 541–544.*

© 2002 Académie des sciences/Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS

A *self interacting diffusion* is a continuous time stochastic process living on a compact connected Riemannian manifold M which can be typically described as a solution to a stochastic differential equation (SDE) of the form

$$dX_t = \sum_i F_i(X_t) \circ dB_t^i - \frac{\alpha}{2t} \left(\int_0^t \nabla V_{X_s}(X_t) ds \right) dt, \quad (1)$$

where $(B^i)_i$ is a family of independent Brownian motions, $(F_i)_i$ is a family of smooth vector fields on M such that $\sum_i F_i(F_i f) = \Delta f$ (for $f \in C^\infty(M)$) where Δ denotes the Laplacian on M , and $(u, x) \in M \times M \mapsto V_u(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth (at least C^3) “potential”. The parameter α is real and measures the strength of the interaction.

Such a process is characterized by the fact that the drift term in Eq. (1) depends both on the position of the process and its empirical occupation measure:

$$\mu_t = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \delta_{X_s} ds. \quad (2)$$

In [2] it is shown that the asymptotic behavior of $\{\mu_t\}$ can be precisely described in terms a certain deterministic semi-flow $\Psi = \{\Psi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ defined on the space of Borel probability measures on M . For instance, there are situations (depending on the shape of V) in which $\{\mu_t\}$ converges almost surely to

E-mail addresses: benaim@math.u-cergy.fr (M. Benaim); Olivier.Raimond@math.u-psud.fr (O. Raimond).

an equilibrium point μ^* of Ψ and other situations where the limit set of $\{\mu_t\}$ coincides almost surely with a periodic orbit for Ψ (see the examples in Section 4 of [2]).

The purpose of this note is to announce new results showing that for a certain class of potentials, $\{\mu_t\}$ converges almost surely (up to a change of variable) to the critical set of an “energy” function. This encompasses most of the examples considered in [2] and enlightens the results of [2]. It also allows to give a sensible definition of *self-attracting* or *repelling* diffusions. In particular, we can show that under a natural assumption (Hypothesis 1.2 below) there is a critical value $\alpha_c < 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}(\mu_t \rightarrow \lambda) > 0$ for $\alpha > \alpha_c$ and $\mathbb{P}(\mu_t \rightarrow \lambda) = 0$ for $\alpha < \alpha_c$; where λ stands for the Riemannian probability on M .

While some of the proofs are sketched here, the details will be given in [3].

1. Hypotheses

The main assumption is the following:

HYPOTHESIS 1.1 (Standing assumption). – There exists a compact space C , a Borel probability measure ν over C , a continuous function $G : C \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and a real number β such that

$$V(x, y) = \int_C G(u, x)G(u, y)\nu(du) + \beta.$$

A process (1) satisfying 1.1 will be called *self-attracting* for $\alpha \leq 0$ and *self-repelling* otherwise.

We sometime use the following additional hypothesis:

HYPOTHESIS 1.2 (Occasional assumption). – The mapping

$$V\lambda : x \mapsto V\lambda(x) = \int_M V(x, y)\lambda(dy)$$

is constant.

This later condition has the interpretation that if the empirical occupation measure of X_t is (close to) λ then the drift term in (1) is (close to) zero. In other words, if the process has visited M “uniformly” between times 0 and t , then it has no preferred directions and behaves like a Brownian motion.

Several examples of potentials satisfying Hypotheses 1.1 and 1.2 are given in [3].

Remark. – The class of potential verifying Hypothesis 1.1 belong to a more general class introduced by Ben Arous and Brunaud in [4].

2. Statement of main results

Let $\mathcal{M}(M)$ denote the space of bounded Borel measures on M . For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(M)$ we let $G\mu \in C^0(C)$ denote the function defined by

$$G\mu(u) = \int_M G(u, x)\mu(dx). \tag{3}$$

If $g \in L^2(\lambda)$ we write Gg for $G(g\lambda)$, where $g\lambda$ stands for the measure whose Radon–Nikodym derivative with respect to λ is g . Associated to G is the operator $G^* : L^2(\nu) \rightarrow L^2(\lambda)$, defined by

$$G^*f(x) = \int_C G(u, x)f(u)\nu(du). \tag{4}$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_0(M) \subset \mathcal{M}(M)$ be the set consisting of measures μ such that $\mu(M) = 0$ and let $\mathcal{H} \subset L^2(\nu)$ denote the closure of $G(\mathcal{M}_0(M))$ in $L^2(\nu)$. Then \mathcal{H} (equipped with the $L^2(\nu)$ topology) is an Hilbert space. Define \mathcal{B} to be the Hilbert affine space parallel to \mathcal{H} containing $G\lambda$:

$$\mathcal{B} = \{f \in L^2(\nu) : f - G\lambda \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

DEFINITION 2.1. – The “energy function” associated to the data $((C, \nu), G, \alpha)$ is the functional $J : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$J(f) = \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \log \left[\int_M e^{-\alpha(G^*f)(x)} \lambda(dx) \right]. \tag{5}$$

We let

$$\text{crit}(J) = \{f \in \mathcal{B} : \nabla J(f) = 0\}$$

denote the *critical set* of J .

Let $\mathcal{P}(M) \subset \mathcal{M}(M)$ be the set of Borel probabilities over M , equipped with the topology of weak* convergence. The *limit set* of $\{\mu_t\}$ denoted $L(\{\mu_t\})$ is the set of limits (in $\mathcal{P}(M)$) of convergent sequences $\{\mu_{t_k}\}$, $t_k \rightarrow \infty$.

The following theorem describes $L(\{\mu_t\})$ in terms of $\text{crit}(J)$.

THEOREM 2.1. – Assume Hypothesis 1.1. Then the following properties hold with probability one:

- (i) $L(\{\mu_t\})$ is a compact connected subset of $\mathcal{P}(M)$.
- (ii) Let $\mu \in L(\{\mu_t\})$. Then μ has a smooth (C^k if V is C^k) density with respect to λ characterized by

$$f = G\mu \in \text{crit}(J),$$

and

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} = \xi(\alpha G^* f),$$

where $\xi : C^0(M) \rightarrow C^0(M)$ is the function defined by

$$\xi(f)(x) = \frac{e^{-f(x)}}{\int_M e^{-f(y)} \lambda(dy)}. \tag{6}$$

Given $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ let $\Pi(\mu)$ denote the Borel probability measure absolutely continuous with respect to λ whose Radon–Nikodym density is

$$\frac{d\Pi(\mu)}{d\lambda} = \xi(\alpha V\mu), \tag{7}$$

where $V\mu$ is defined like $G\mu$ with V instead of G . Since $\xi(\alpha V\mu) = \xi(\alpha G^*G\mu)$, Theorem 2.1 can be rephrased as follows:

COROLLARY 2.2. – With probability one $L(\{\mu_t\})$ is a compact connected subset of

$$\text{Fix}(\Pi) = \{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(M) : \mu = \Pi(\mu)\}.$$

Sketch of the proof of Theorem 2.1. – The vector field F defined on $\mathcal{M}(M)$ by $F(\mu) = -\mu + \Pi(\mu)$ induces a continuous semi-flow $\{\Psi_t\}$ on $\mathcal{P}(M)$ (see Section 3 in [2]). By Theorem 3.8 in [2] $L = L(\{\mu_t\})$ is almost surely an *attractor free set* for Ψ . In other words, it is a compact invariant set for Ψ and $\Psi|_L$ (Ψ restricted to L) is a *chain-transitive flow* in the sense of Conley [5]. Now let $\Phi = \{\Phi_t\}$ be the local flow induced by the vector field $X = -\nabla J$. The change of variable $f = G\mu$ shows that $G \circ \Psi_t = \Phi_t \circ G$. Hence $G(L)$ is a compact invariant set for Φ and $\Phi|_{G(L)}$ is chain-transitive. The last step is the observation that $X = -\nabla J$ is a Fredholm vector field (see [6]). Thus, by a theorem of Tromba [6] (extending Sard’s lemma to functionals whose gradient is Fredholm) the set of critical values of J has empty interior. This implies that any chain-transitive set for Φ consists of critical points (see Proposition 6.4 of [1]). \square

With Theorem 2.1 in hands, it is now clear that our description of self-interacting diffusions (satisfying Hypothesis 1.1) on M relies on our understanding of the critical point structure of J . A first step in this direction is the observation that J is convex for α large enough.

THEOREM 2.3. – *Let*

$$W^* = \sup_{x,y \in M} \left(\frac{V(x,x) + V(y,y)}{2} - V(x,y) \right).$$

Assume

$$\alpha > -\frac{1}{W^*}.$$

Then J is strictly convex, $\text{Fix}(\Pi)$ reduces to a singleton $\{\mu^\}$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu_t = \mu^*$ almost surely. If we furthermore assume that Hypothesis 1.2 holds, then $\mu^* = \lambda$.*

Sketch of proof. – The Hessian of J is definite positive for $\alpha > -1/W^*$. \square

If $\alpha \leq -1/W^*$ the functional J may have several critical points.

THEOREM 2.4. – *Let $\mu^* \in \text{Fix}(\Pi)$. Assume that $f^* = G\mu^*$ is a non-degenerate critical point of J . Then*

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu_t = \mu^* \right) > 0$$

if and only if f^ is a local minimum of J .*

A consequence of this result is the following “localization” theorem.

THEOREM 2.5. – *Suppose that both Hypotheses 1.1 and 1.2 hold. Let*

$$\rho(V) = \sup \{ \langle Vg, g \rangle_{L^2(\lambda)} : g \in L^2(\lambda), \langle g, 1 \rangle_{L^2(\lambda)} = 0, \|g\|_{L^2(\lambda)} = 1 \}.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu_t = \lambda \right) > 0$$

if $1 + \alpha\rho(V) > 0$; and

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu_t = \lambda \right) = 0$$

if $1 + \alpha\rho(V) < 0$.

Sketch of the proof. – The condition $1 + 2\alpha\rho(V) \neq 0$ makes $G\lambda$ a non-degenerate critical point of J . Such a critical point is a local minimum provided $1 + 2\alpha\rho(V) > 0$. \square

Acknowledgements. We thank Gerard Ben-Arous, Thierry Coulhon, Morris W. Hirsch and Hans H. Rugh for their useful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] M. Benaïm, Dynamics of stochastic approximation algorithms, in: Séminaire de Probabilités XXXIII, in: Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1709, Springer, 1999, pp. 1–68.
- [2] M. Benaïm, M. Ledoux, O. Raimond, Self-interacting diffusions, Probab. Theory Related Fields 122 (2002) 1–41.
- [3] M. Benaïm, O. Raimond, Self-interacting diffusions III: The gradient case, in preparation.
- [4] G. Ben Arous, M. Brunaud, Méthode de Laplace : étude variationnelle des fluctuations de type champ moyen, Stochastic 31 (1990) 79–144.
- [5] C.C. Conley, Isolated Invariant Sets and the Morse Index, in: CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math., Vol. 38, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1978.
- [6] A.J. Tromba, The Morse–Sard–Brown theorem for functionals and the problem of Plateau, Amer. J. Math. 99 (6) (1977) 1251–1256.