

NICOLAS RESSAYRE

Appendix : An example of thick wall

Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S., tome 87 (1998), p. 53-56

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES_1998__87__53_0

© Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S., 1998, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S. » (<http://www.ihes.fr/IHES/Publications/Publications.html>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

APPENDIX : AN EXAMPLE OF A THICK WALL

by NICOLAS RESSAYRE

Among quotients associated to distinct G -linearized line bundles, those corresponding to chambers have a very good property: the fibers are orbits. Theorem 4.2.7 shows that between two relevant chambers the quotient is changed by a transformation similar to a Mori flip. Moreover, if G is a torus, then two quotients corresponding to chambers are linked by a finite sequence of such transformations. In this appendix, we show by an example that this can fail for arbitrary reductive group G . For this, we produce a linear action of G on a projective space, which admits a proper wall of codimension zero.

Let us fix some notation. We consider the connected reductive group $G = \mathbf{C}^* \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$. Let χ_0 be the character of G defined by $\chi_0(t, g) = t$. Then χ_0 generates the character group of G . If T_1 is the maximal torus of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ consisting in diagonal matrices, then $T = \mathbf{C}^* \times T_1$ is a maximal torus of G . Its character group is freely generated by χ_0 , and χ_1 defined by the following formula:


$$\chi_1\left(t, \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) = u, \quad t, u \in \mathbf{C}^*.$$

Let $W = \mathbf{C}^2$, $V = \mathbf{C}^5$. Let us choose an isomorphism $V \simeq \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C} \oplus W \oplus W \oplus W$. An element of V is thus represented by a 5-tuple $(x_-, x_0, v_-, v_0, v_+)$ where $x_-, x_0 \in \mathbf{C}$ and $v_-, v_0, v_+ \in W$. We define an action of G on V by the following formula:

$$(1) \quad (t, g) \star (x_-, x_0, v_-, v_0, v_+) = (t^{-2} x_-, x_0, t^{-8} g \cdot v_-, g \cdot v_0, t^2 g \cdot v_+),$$

where $\cdot$ is the canonical action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ on W . From now on, we use the notation of Section 1.1.5.

We represent the set of weights of the action of T on V by Figure 1. The coordinates in the basis (χ_0, χ_1) of these weights are denoted by (a, b) in the figure. In addition, the convex hulls of some parts of $\mathrm{st}(V)$ are drawn with thick lines.

FIG. 1. — State of V

Formula (1) defines an action of G on $X = \mathbf{P}(V)$ and a G -linearization on $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ as well; we denote by $\mathcal{L}$ this G -linearized line bundle. According to Section 1.1.5, for $\mathcal{L}$, a point $x \in X$ is:

- semi-stable if and only if for all $g \in G$, the origin belongs to the set $\text{Conv}(\text{st}_V(g \cdot x))$;
- stable if and only if for all $g \in G$, the origin belongs to the interior of $\text{Conv}(\text{st}_V(g \cdot x))$;
- unstable if and only if there exists $g \in G$ such that the origin does not belong to $\text{Conv}(\text{st}_V(g \cdot x))$.

Now we want to vary the ample G -linearized line bundle on X . We also denote by χ_0 the trivial line bundle over X where G acts on the fibers by χ_0 . Since the group $\text{NS}(X)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}$, by [KKV] each G -linearized line bundle on X is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes m\chi_0$ for some $(m, n) \in \mathbf{Z}^2$. It follows that the group $\text{NS}^G(X)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}^2$. From now on, we identify $\text{NS}^G(X)$ with $\mathbf{Z}^2$, and so $\text{NS}^G(X)_{\mathbf{R}}$ with $\mathbf{R}^2$. Note that the line bundle corresponding to $(m, n) \in \mathbf{Z}^2$ is ample if and only if n is positive. Since two ample G -linearized line bundles on the same half-line from the origin are GIT-equivalent, we can restrict our study to the points of $\text{NS}^G(X)_{\mathbf{R}}$ of the form $(r, 1)$ with $r \in \mathbf{R}$. We call the set of these points *the horizontal line* and r the *abscissa* of the point $(r, 1)$. We use these conventions in Figure 2.

Let $r \in \mathbf{Q}$. There exists a power, say $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes m\chi_0$ (with $m = nr \in \mathbf{Z}$), of $\mathcal{L} \otimes r\chi_0$ which is the restriction (as a G -line bundle) of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ for an embedding of X into a G -module. The sets $\text{st}(x)$ corresponding to this embedding are obtained from $\text{st}_V(x)$ by applying a dilation of factor n followed by a translation of vector $(m, 0)$. So to study the stability for $\mathcal{L} \otimes r\chi_0$, we can move the origin along the horizontal line in Figure 1 by $-r$ and keep the weights of the action of V . Finally the stability for $\mathcal{L} \otimes r\chi_0$ of a point $x \in X$ depends on the relative position of the point $(-r, 0)$ and the convex hulls in $\mathcal{X}(T) \otimes \mathbf{R}$ of the sets $\text{st}_V(g \cdot x)$ with $g \in G$.

From now on, we denote by (e_1, e_2) the canonical basis of W . Let $x \in X$ and let $\tilde{x} = (x_-, x_0, v_-, v_0, v_+)$ be a representative of x in V . There exists $g \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ such that $g \cdot v_-$ is proportional to e_1 . But now, if $r > 4$ the point $(-r, 0)$ does not belong to the convex hull of $\text{st}(g \cdot x)$ and x is not semi-stable for $\mathcal{L} \otimes r\chi_0$. So if $r > 4$, $X^{\text{ss}}(\mathcal{L} \otimes r\chi_0)$ is empty. Analogously, we prove that if $r < -1$ then $\mathcal{L} \otimes r\chi_0$ is not effective.

Moreover, the “origins” of the form $(-r, 0)$ in Figure 1 which correspond to the intersection of the horizontal line and a wall belong to the boundary of a set $\text{conv}(\text{st}(x))$ for some $x \in X$. So the abscissa of the intersection of a wall and the horizontal line is $r = 4$, $r = 3$, $r = 2$, $r = 0$, $r = -1$ or the segment $0 \leq r \leq 2$.

Let $x = [0 : 0 : e_1 : e_2 : 0]$. There are seven distinct sets of the form $\text{st}(g \cdot x)$: two segments, four triangles and one rectangle. The point $(-4, 0)$ is either on the boundary or in the interior of these convex sets. So, $r = 4$ is the abscissa of the wall $H(x)$. In the same way, we show that $r = 3$ is the wall $H([0 : 0 : e_1 : 0 : e_2])$ and $r = -1$ is the wall $H([0 : 0 : 0 : e_1 : e_2])$.

Obviously, the walls $H([1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0])$ and $H([0 : 1 : 0 : 0 : 0])$ have $r = 2$ and $r = 0$ as their abscissa. Moreover, the intersection of the horizontal line and the wall $H([1 : 1 : 0 : 0 : 0])$ is the interval $0 \leq r \leq 2$.

So we obtain six walls, three chambers and six cells in the G -ample cone (see Figure 2). The cone $\mathcal{C}^G(X)$ is partitioned into nine GIT-classes.




FIG. 2. — The G -ample cone

Theorem 4.2.7 compares quotients corresponding to two chambers C^+ and C^- relevant to a cell F . The starting point is that the set $X^{\text{ss}}(F)$ contains both $X^s(C^+)$ and $X^s(C^-)$, and so defines two morphisms:

$$X^{\text{ss}}(C^+)/G \xrightarrow{f_+} X^{\text{ss}}(F)/G \xleftarrow{f_-} X^{\text{ss}}(C^-)/G.$$

In the G -ample cone, the property $X^{\text{ss}}(F) \supset X^{\text{ss}}(C)$ means that F intersects the closure of C . Moreover, if we want to have $X^s(F) = X^s(C^+) \cap X^s(C^-)$ it is natural to assume that C^+ and C^- are relevant to F . This explains why Theorem 4.2.7 concerns two relevant chambers to a face.

On the other hand, if there is no codimension zero wall, then any two chambers can be joined by a chain of relevant chambers. So quotients corresponding to two

arbitrary chambers are related by a sequence of birational transformations corresponding to relevant chambers.

Back to the example, if we want to relate the quotients associated to C^1 and C^2 , we must look at the sequence of transformations

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X^{\text{ss}}(C^1)//G & & X^{\text{ss}}(\mathcal{L} \otimes \chi_0)//G & & X^{\text{ss}}(C^2)//G \\
 \searrow & & \swarrow & & \swarrow \\
 & X^{\text{ss}}(\mathcal{L})//G & & X^{\text{ss}}(\mathcal{L} \otimes 2\chi_0)//G &
 \end{array}$$

and so, we obtain $X^{\text{ss}}(\mathcal{L} \otimes \chi_0)//G$ as a natural intervening quotient between $X^{\text{ss}}(C^1)//G$ and $X^{\text{ss}}(C^2)//G$.

N. R.,
 Université de Grenoble I,
 Institut Fourier,
 UMR 5582 CNRS-UJF
 UFR de Mathématiques,
 BP 74,
 38402 Saint-Martin-d'Hères Cedex (France)
 e-mail:ressayre@ujf.ujf-grenoble.fr.

Manuscrit reçu le 21 janvier 1998.