SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

PETER GRANDITS

On a conjecture of Kazamaki

Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 30 (1996), p. 357-360

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_1996__30__357_0>

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1996, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

On a conjecture of Kazamaki

Peter Grandits*
Institut für Statistik
Universität Wien
Brünnerstraße 72,A-1210 Wien
Austria

1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to answer a question posed by N. Kazamaki in ([1],p.68) :

Does there exist a continuous decreasing function $\Phi : (1,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$, which satisfies the implication

$$d_2(M, L_\infty) < \Phi(p) \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}(M) \text{ satisfies } (R_p) \qquad \forall p > 1$$

obeying

$$lim_{p\to 1}\Phi(p) = +\infty \qquad lim_{p\to+\infty}\Phi(p) = 0 \quad ?$$

Here d_2 denotes the distance induced by the BMO_2-norm, which is defined as $\|M\|^2_{BMO_2} = sup_T\{\|\mathbb{E}[\langle M\rangle_\infty - \langle M\rangle_T|\mathcal{F}_T]\|_\infty\}$, M is a continuous BMO-martingale, L_∞ stands for the space of uniformly bounded martingales and (R_p) means the validity of the reverse Hölder inequality:

$$\mathcal{E}(M) \text{ satisfies } (R_p) \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{E}(M)^p_\infty|\mathcal{F}_T] \le C_p\mathcal{E}(M)^p_T \qquad a.s.$$

for every stopping time T, with a constant C_p depending only on p.

There are two partial answers to this question. One has been given by W. Schachermayer in ([2], rem. 4.2). He explicitly constructs a function Φ, obeying all conditions except the left boundary condition $\Phi(1+) = \infty$. The other result, given by Kazamaki himself in ([1], Th. 3.9), is the following :

Let L^K_∞ denote the class of all martingales bounded by the positive constant K and let $1 < p < \infty$. If $d_2(M, L^K_\infty) < e^{-K}\Phi(p)$, then $\mathcal{E}(M)$ has (R_p), where Φ is a function fulfilling all conditions demanded above.

Despite these two positive results the conjecture of Kazamaki turns out to be wrong. This is shown by a counterexample in Section 2.

*Supported by "Fonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung in Österreich",Project Nr. P10035

2 The Counterexample

In order to answer the question of Kazamaki negatively, it is sufficient to construct a family of BMO-martingales $M^{(b)}$ ($b \in \mathbb{R}_+$), such that

$$d_1(L_\infty, M^{(b)}) \leq C,$$

with a constant C *independent* of b, and

$$\mathcal{E}(M^{(b)}) \text{ does not satisfy } (R_{p(b)}) \text{ with } lim_{b\to\infty} p(b) = 1$$

hold. Note that d_1 is induced by the BMO_1-norm, which is equivalent to the BMO_2-norm.

The main tools for constructing our counterexample are two classical results. The first one is formulated e.g. in ([1], p. 11).

Lemma 2.1 *Let $a, b > 0$ and $\tau = inf\{t | B_t \notin (-a, b)\}$, where B denotes standard Brownian motion. Then we have*

$$\mathbb{E}[exp(\frac{1}{2}\theta^2\tau)] = \frac{cos(\frac{a-b}{2}\theta)}{cos(\frac{a+b}{2}\theta)} \qquad (0 \leq \theta < \frac{\pi}{a+b}).$$

The second one is the celebrated Garnett-Jones theorem - in its martingale version due to N. Varopoulos and M. Emery (c.f. [1] Theorem 2.8) - which characterizes the BMO-distance of a continuous martingale M from L_∞ in terms of the critical exponent a(M), defined by

$$a(M) = sup\{a \in \mathbb{R}_+ | sup_T \| \mathbb{E}[exp(a|M_\infty - M_T|)|\mathcal{F}_T]\|_\infty < \infty\},$$

where T runs through all stopping times.

Theorem 2.1 *For a continuous $M \in BMO$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{4d_1(M, L_\infty)} \leq a(M) \leq \frac{4}{d_1(M, L_\infty)}.$$

Now we give the example mentioned above.

Example:

Let B be a standard Brownian motion on the filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), Q)$. For $b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ we define the stopping time $\tau^{(b)} = inf\{t : |B_t| = b\}$ and a stopped Brownian motion with drift as $M_t^{(b)} = -B_{t\wedge\tau^{(b)}} + t\wedge\tau^{(b)}$. Applying Girsanov's theorem yields that $M_t^{(b)}$ is a local P-martingale, if the density is given by $\frac{dP}{dQ} = exp(B_{\tau^{(b)}} - \frac{1}{2}\tau^{(b)})$. Further on, because $B^{\tau^{(b)}} \in L_\infty(Q)$ and therefore in $BMO(Q)$, we can infer from Theorem 3.6 in [1] that $M^{(b)} \in BMO(P)$.

The first step is to show : No matter how small (p-1) is, we can always find a constant b s.t. $M^{(b)}$ does not satisfy (R_p). It suffices to prove

Lemma 2.2 *If $M^{(b)}$ is the family of $BMO(P)$-martingales defined above, we have*

$$\|\mathcal{E}(M^{(b)})_\infty\|_{L^p(P)} = \infty \qquad \text{for } p \geq 1 + \frac{\pi^2}{4b^2}.$$

Proof :
For notational convenience we drop the superscript (b) in this proof.

A simple calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_P[\mathcal{E}(M)_\infty^p] &= \mathbb{E}_Q[exp(B_\tau - \frac{1}{2}\tau)exp(pM_\infty - \frac{p}{2}\langle M\rangle_\infty)] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_Q[exp(B_\tau - \frac{1}{2}\tau)exp(-pB_\tau + p\tau - \frac{p}{2}\tau)] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_Q[exp(B_\tau(1-p))exp(\tau(\frac{p-1}{2})] \\
&\geq exp(-b|1-p|)\mathbb{E}_Q[exp(\tau(\frac{p-1}{2})].
\end{aligned}$$

The last expectation is $+\infty$ by Lemma 2.1 for $\frac{p-1}{2} \geq \frac{\pi^2}{8b^2}$, completing the proof. □

Remark : It is worth mentioning that, if we change the slope of the drift of the Brownian motion from 1 to k ($k > \frac{1}{2}$), analogous calculations yield the result

$$\|\mathcal{E}(M^{(b)})_\infty\|_{L^p(P)} = \infty \qquad \text{for } p \geq \frac{k^2}{2k-1} + O(\frac{1}{b^2}),$$

and we note that the first term attains its minimum for $k = 1$. For $0 < k < \frac{1}{2}$ we have $\|\mathcal{E}(M^{(b)})_\infty\|_{L^p(P)} < \infty$ for all $p > 1$. So the first part of our example works only for $k = 1$.

The second step is to show that the BMO_1-distance of $M^{(b)}$ to L_∞ is uniformly bounded, which is done by

Lemma 2.3 *Let $M^{(b)}$ be the family of BMO-martingales defined above. Then we have*

$$d_1(M^{(b)}, L_\infty) \leq 8 \qquad \forall b \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Proof: In order to apply the Garnett-Jones theorem, we have to calculate the critical exponent $a(M^{(b)})$. As above we drop the superscript (b) in the following computations. For an arbitrary stopping time T we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_P[exp(\lambda|M_\infty - M_T|)|\mathcal{F}_T] = \\
&= \mathbb{E}_Q[exp(B_\tau - B_{\tau\wedge T} - \frac{1}{2}(\tau - \tau\wedge T))exp(\lambda|-B_\tau + B_{\tau\wedge T} + \tau - \tau\wedge T|\mathcal{F}_T] \\
&\leq e^{2b+2\lambda b}\mathbb{E}_Q[exp((\tau - \tau\wedge T)(\lambda - \frac{1}{2})|\mathcal{F}_T] < \infty \qquad \text{a.s. for } \lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $a(M^{(b)}) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ holds, and the Garnett-Jones theorem yields

$$d_1(M^{(b)}, L_\infty) \leq \frac{4}{a(M^{(b)})} \leq 8,$$

finishing the proof. □

Lemma 2.2 and 2.3 together prove our assertion, formulated at the beginning of section 2.

Acknowledgement : I would like to thank W. Schachermayer for directing my attention to this problem and for many helpful discussions.

References

[1] N. Kazamaki, Continuous Exponential Martingales and BMO, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1579(1994).

[2] W. Schachermayer, A characterization of the closure of H^∞ in BMO, to appear in Seminaire de Probabilites XXX, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics.

[3] D. Revuz, M. Yor, Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York(1991).