# SÉMINAIRE DE THÉORIE SPECTRALE ET GÉOMÉTRIE

# T. F. PANKRATOVA

# Semiclassical eigenstates in a multidimensional well

*Séminaire de Théorie spectrale et géométrie*, tome 11 (1992-1993), p. 147-155 <a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=TSG\_1992-1993\_\_11\_\_147\_0">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=TSG\_1992-1993\_\_11\_\_147\_0</a>

© Séminaire de Théorie spectrale et géométrie (Chambéry-Grenoble), 1992-1993, tous droits réservés

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Séminaire de Théorie spectrale et géométrie » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Séminaire de théorie spectrale et géométrie GRENOBLE 1992-1993 (147-155)

## SEMICLASSICAL EIGENSTATES IN A MULTIDIMENSIONAL WELL

#### T.F. PANKRATOVA

ABSTRACT. The two-dimensional Schrödinger operator with an analytic potential, having a non-degenerated minimum (well) at the origin, is considered. Under the Diophantine condition on the frequencies, the full asymptotic series (the Plank constant  $\hbar$  tending to zero) for eigenfunctions with given quantum numbers  $(n_1, n_2)$ , concentrated at the bottom of the well, is constructed, the Gaussian-like asymptotics being valid in a neighbourhood of the origin which is independent of  $\hbar$ . For small quantum numbers the second approximation to the eigenvalues is written in terms of the derivatives of the potential.

## §1. Introduction

We consider the Schrödinger equation

$$(1.1) -\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\Delta u + Vu = Eu$$

where  $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}$  is the Laplace operator, V is a real valued function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ . We are interested in the semiclassical ( $\hbar \to 0$ ) asymptotics of the discrete spectrum of this operator in the case when the potential V has one or several nondegenerated minima, "wells".

If V has a finite number of identical wells which differ only by space translations, the spectrum of the corresponding Schrödinger operator is organized in the following way. There is a set of finite groups of eigenvalues, the distance between the groups being of the order  $\hbar$ , and the distance between eigenvalues in each group, the splitting, being exponentially small with respect to  $\hbar$ . The problem is to find the widths of these splittings. This problem was considered by different authors [1–8] and was completely solved in one-dynamical case. In multidimensional case the problem seems to be more difficult, and up to now there was no significant progress. In this paper, under some nondegeneracy conditions on V, we write down asymptotic series for eigenstates and the corresponding eigenvalues, the former being concentrated at the bottom of the well.

#### §2 Asymptotic expansions for the eigenstates

For simplicity we consider the case of two dimensions but the case of n dimensions is in principle the same.

Let the potential V be analytic and represented by the the Taylor series

(2.1) 
$$V(x_1, x_2) = \frac{\omega_1^2}{2} x_1^2 + \frac{\omega_2^2}{2} x_2^2 + \sum_{i+j>3} v_{ij} x_1^i x_2^j$$

convergent in a bicylinder  $|x_k| \le r$ , k = 1, 2, with  $\omega_{1,2} > 0$ .

We construct the asymptotic series for eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of (1.1) in the following form:

(2.2) 
$$E_{n_1,n_2} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k^{n_1,n_2} \hbar^k,$$

(2.3) 
$$u_{n_1,n_2} = \sum_{\substack{0 \le i \le 1, \\ 0 \le j \le 1}} A_{ij}^{n_1,n_2} D_{n_1}^{(i)} \left(\frac{\psi_1}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\right) D_{n_2}^{(j)} \left(\frac{\psi_2}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\right) \hbar^{\frac{i+j}{2}},$$

(2.4) 
$$A_{ij}^{n_1,n_2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( A_{ij}^{n_1,n_2} \right)_k \hbar^k;$$

here  $D_n^{(i)}$  denotes the *i*-th order derivative of the function of the parabolic cylinder i.e. the bounded solution of the Weber equation:

$$(2.5) D_{n_i}''(t) = [t^2 - (2n_i + 1)]D_{n_i}(t), D_n(t) = H_n e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}, H_n = \frac{e^{t^2}}{(-2)^n} \left(e^{-t^2}\right)^{(n)},$$

 $\psi_r = \psi_r(\vec{x}), \ \vec{x} = (x_1, x_2), \ r = 1, 2, \left(A_{ij}^{n_1, n_2}\right)_k = \left(A_{ij}^{n_1, n_2}\right)_k(\vec{x}), k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \text{ are functions independent of } \hbar.$ 

Substituting (2.2), (2.3) into (1.1) we find the following phase equation

$$(2.6) \qquad (\nabla S)^2 = 2V,$$

for each of the phase functions

(2.7) 
$$S^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \psi_1^2 \pm \psi_2^2 \right),$$

and the following recurrent systems for the amplitude coefficients  $\left(A_{ij}^{n_1,n_2}\right)_k$  and numbers  $E_k^{n_1,n_2}$ 

(2.8) 
$$\mathbf{A}_0^{n_1,n_2} \vec{\mathcal{A}}_0 = 0,$$

(2.9) 
$$\mathbf{A}_0^{n_1,n_2} \vec{\mathcal{A}}_k = -\sum_{r=1}^k \mathbf{A}_r^{n_1,n_2} \vec{\mathcal{A}}_{k-r}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

where

$$\vec{\mathcal{A}}_{k} = \left( \left( A_{00}^{n_{1}n_{2}} \right)_{k}, \left( A_{10}^{n_{1}n_{2}} \right)_{k}, \left( A_{01}^{n_{1}n_{2}} \right)_{k}, \left( A_{11}^{n_{1}n_{2}} \right)_{k} \right)^{T} = \left( \mathcal{A}_{1}^{k}, \mathcal{A}_{2}^{k}, \mathcal{A}_{3}^{k}, \mathcal{A}_{4}^{k} \right)^{T},$$

T denoting transposition,

(2.10) 
$$\mathbf{A}_{0}^{n_{1},n_{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} -\varkappa & \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{1} & \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{2} & 0 \\ \mathbf{a}_{1} & -\varkappa & 0 & \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{2} \\ \mathbf{a}_{2} & 0 & -\varkappa & \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{1} \\ 0 & \mathbf{a}_{2} & \mathbf{a}_{1} & -\varkappa \end{pmatrix},$$

(2.11) 
$$\varkappa = \sum_{s=1}^{2} \frac{2n_s + 1}{2} (\nabla \psi_s)^2 - E_1^{n_1 n_2},$$

(2.12) 
$$\mathbf{a}_s = \nabla \psi_s \bullet \nabla + \frac{\Delta \psi_s}{2},$$

the fat dot denoting a scalar product in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,

(2.13) 
$$\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{s} = \psi_{s}^{2} \left( \nabla \psi_{s} \bullet \nabla + \frac{\Delta \psi_{s}}{2} \right) + \psi_{s} \left( \nabla \psi_{s} \right)^{2}, s = 1, 2,$$

$$(2.14) \quad \mathbf{A}_{1}^{n_{1}n_{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}\Delta + E_{2}^{n_{1}n_{2}} & -\varkappa_{1} & -\varkappa_{2} & 0\\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2}\Delta + E_{2}^{n_{1}n_{2}} & 0 & -\varkappa_{2}\\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}\Delta + E_{2}^{n_{1}n_{2}} & -\varkappa_{1}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}\Delta + E_{2}^{n_{1}n_{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

(2.15) 
$$\varkappa_s = (2n_s + 1) \nabla \psi_s \bullet \nabla + \frac{\Delta \psi_s}{2}, \quad s = 1, 2.$$

(2.16) 
$$\mathbf{A}_{k}^{n_{1}n_{2}} = I_{4}E_{k+1}^{n_{1}n_{2}}, \quad k = 2, 3, ...$$

 $I_4$  denoting the  $4 \times 4$  identity matrix.

In the next two sections we will describe shortly how the equations (2.6), (2.8), (2.9) can be solved.

## §3 THE PHASE FUNCTIONS.

In this section we consider the equation (2.6). We search solutions of the equation (2.6) in the form of the following power series

(3.1) 
$$S^{\pm}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{\omega_1}{2} x_1^2 \pm \frac{\omega_2}{2} x_2^2 + \sum_{i+j>3} S_{ij}^{\pm} x_1^i x_2^j$$

and find the recurrent formulae for the coeffitients

$$S_{ij}^{\pm} = \frac{\tilde{v}_{ij}}{i\omega_1 \pm j\omega_2},$$

where  $\tilde{v}_{ij}$  depend on  $v_{ij}$  and  $S_{kl}^{\pm}$ ,  $k+l \leq i+j-1$ .

It is easy to see that for some values of positive numbers  $\omega_1$ ,  $\omega_2$  the denominators in expression (3.2) are equal to zero.

In order to solve (2.6) we consider diffeomorphisms

(3.3) 
$$\Phi^{\pm}: (y_1, y_2) \longmapsto \left(y_1 + \sum_{i+j\geq 2} \Phi^{\pm}_{1,ij} y_1^i y_2^j, \quad y_1 + \sum_{i+j\geq 2} \Phi^{\pm}_{1,ij} y_1^i y_2^j\right)$$

which transform the vector fields  $\nabla S^{\pm} \bullet \nabla$  to the following normal forms:

(3.4) 
$$L_0^{\pm} = \omega_1 y_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \pm \omega_2 y_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}.$$

To formulate the existance theorem we need two definitions.

We say that positive numbers  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are nonresonant if they satisfy the following conditions

(3.5) 
$$\omega_1 \neq k\omega_2, \quad \omega_2 \neq k\omega_1, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots$$

Positive numbers  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are said to be *Diophantine* if there exist positive numbers  $\alpha$  and C such that for nonnegative integers i, j,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$   $i + j \geq 1$ ,

$$|i\omega_1 - j\omega_2| \ge \frac{C}{(i+j)^{\alpha}}$$

**Theorem.** Let the potential V be represented by a series of the form (2.1) convergent in a neighbourhood of the origin.

- (1) If numbers  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are nonresonant then there exist a unique positive analytic function  $S^+$  which can be represented by convergent series of the form (3.1) in some neighbourhood of the origin and satisfies the equation (2.6), and an analytic diffeomorphism  $\Phi$  which transforms vector field  $\nabla S^+ \bullet \nabla$  to the normal form  $L_0^+$  given by (3.4).
- (2) If the numbers  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are Diophantine then there exist a unique analytic function  $S^-$  which can be represented by convergent series of the form (3.1) in some neighbourhood of the origin and satisfies the equation (2.6), and an analytic diffeomorphism  $\Phi^-$  which transforms vector field  $\nabla S^- \bullet \nabla$  to the normal form  $L_0^-$  given by (3.4).

Note 1. The proof of this theorem can be found in [11]. To suppress small denominators with appear in the series (3.1) and prove the theorem, we use the Newton method with the simultaneous change (3.3) of variables at each step.

Note 2. The analytic at the origin functions  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  can be found uniquely from the equation (2.7), see [12].

## §4. AMPLITUDE COEFFICIENTS AND EIGENVALUES

Here we construct solutions of the equations (2.8), (2.9). The first approximation to an eigenvalue is

(4.1) 
$$E_1^{n_1 n_2} = \left(n_1 + \frac{1}{2}\right) \omega_1 + \left(n_2 + \frac{1}{2}\right) \omega_2.$$

Let the frequences  $\omega_1, \omega_1$  be Diophantine.

Then the solution  $\vec{\mathcal{A}}_0$  of the system (2.8) can be found in the form

$$\vec{\mathcal{A}}_0 = \Psi J \vec{B}_0,$$

where

(4.3) 
$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\psi_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\psi_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\psi_1 \psi_2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\vec{B}_0 = (B_1^+, B_1^-, B_2^+, B_2^-)^T,$$

 $B_l^{\pm} = c_l^{\pm} e^{\mathcal{P}_l^{\pm}}, \ l = 1, 2$ , the functions  $\mathcal{P}_l^{\pm}$  being analytic solutions of the equation

(4.6) 
$$L_0^{\pm} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_l^{\pm} = d_l \tilde{\kappa} - \tilde{\theta}^{\pm}, \ l = 1, 2, \ d_1 = 1, \ d_2 = -1,$$

meaning a change of variables,  $F(x_1, x_2) = \tilde{F}(y_1, y_2)$ ,

(4.7) 
$$\theta^{\pm} = \psi_1 \frac{\Delta \psi_1}{2} \pm \psi_2 \frac{\Delta \psi_2}{2}.$$

The existance of those solutions in some neighbourhood of the origin is proven in [12]. One can prolong them analytically onto a larger domain by the formula

 $S = \int p_1 dx_1 + p_2 dx_2$  where the integral is taken along the trajectory of the corresponding Hamiltonian system (the formulae written in [10]).

One can see that the functions  $A_l^0$ , l=2,3,4, have singularities at the origin (where  $\psi_l$ , l=1,2, vanish).

Let us express the functions  $D_n$  and their derivatives by Hermitian polinomials  $H_n$  and the exponents, we shall find that

$$u_{n_{1},n_{2}}^{[0]} = e^{-\frac{\psi_{1}^{2} + \psi_{2}^{2}}{\hbar}} \cdot \left\{ H_{n_{1}} H_{n_{2}} [\mathcal{A}_{1}^{0} - \psi_{1} \mathcal{A}_{2}^{0} - \psi_{2} \mathcal{A}_{3}^{0} + \psi_{1} \psi_{2} \mathcal{A}_{4}^{0}] + \sqrt{\hbar} [H'_{n_{1}} H_{n_{2}} (\mathcal{A}_{2}^{0} - \psi_{2} \mathcal{A}_{4}^{0}) + H_{n_{1}} H'_{n_{2}} (\mathcal{A}_{3}^{0} - \psi_{1} \mathcal{A}_{4}^{0})] + \hbar H'_{n_{1}} H'_{n_{2}} \mathcal{A}_{4}^{0} \right\}.$$

We see that the senior term in respect to  $\hbar$  has no singularities. The first multiplier in square brackets is equal to  $2B_2^+$  and that is an analytic function according to the said above. The functions  $\mathcal{A}_2^0 - \psi_2 \mathcal{A}_4^0$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_3^0 - \psi_1 \mathcal{A}_4^0$  and  $\mathcal{A}_4^0$  have singularities (of the type  $\frac{1}{\psi_1}$ ,  $\frac{1}{\psi_2}$  and  $\frac{1}{\psi_1\psi_2}$  correspondently). These singularities disappear in (4.8) when the quantum numbers  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are even and they remain when the mentioned numbers are odd.

The presence of the singularities in the case of odd  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  reflects the fact that the equations  $\psi_1 = 0$  or  $\psi_2 = 0$  do not define the genuine zeros of the eigenfunction  $u_{n_1,n_2}$  as it follows formally from (2.3). The genuine zeros are shifted and in general case their lines have no intersections. In fact singularities cancel if one takes into account all subsiquent approximations.

To give an idea how the singularities cancell let us concider for simplicity the case  $n_1 = n_2 = 1$ .

#### §5 QUANTUM NUMBERS 1,1.

In this case  $H_1(t) = t$ ,  $H'_1(t) = 1$ , so we have to look for the second approximation of the eigenfunction  $u_{1,1}$  and the corresponding eigenvalue in the following form:

(5.1) 
$$u_{1,1}^{[1]} = e^{-\frac{S}{\hbar}} \left( 2\psi_1 \psi_2 B + \hbar G \right).$$

(Here  $B = B_2^+ = c_2^+ e^{\mathcal{P}_2^+}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_2^+$  being a solution of (4.6),l=2.)

(5.2) 
$$E_{1,1}^{[2]} = \hbar E_1^{1,1} + \hbar^2 E_2^{1,1},$$

(5.3) 
$$E_1^{1,1} = \frac{3}{2}\omega_1 + \frac{3}{2}\omega_2.$$

From the Schrödinger equation (1.1) we find the following equation for the function  $G_2$ 

(5.4) 
$$\nabla S \bullet \nabla G + \left(\frac{\Delta S}{2} - E_1^{1,1}\right) G = (\psi_2 \Delta \psi_1 + \psi_1 \Delta \psi_2) B + 2\psi_2 \nabla \psi_1 \bullet \nabla B + 2\psi_1 \nabla \psi_2 \bullet \nabla B + \psi_1 \psi_2 \Delta B + 2E_2^{1,1} \psi_1 \psi_2 B.$$

Now the function  $\varkappa$  defined by (2.11) is the following:

(5.5) 
$$\varkappa = \frac{3}{2} (\nabla \psi_1)^2 + \frac{3}{2} (\nabla \psi_2)^2$$

(it depends on  $n_1, n_2$ ). So

(5.6) 
$$\frac{\Delta S}{2} - E_1^{1,1} = \theta + \varkappa - (\nabla \psi_1)^2 - (\nabla \psi_2)^2,$$

( $\theta$  is defined by (4.7) with sign + ). One can see that

(5.7) 
$$\left(\theta + \varkappa - (\nabla \psi_1)^2 - (\nabla \psi_2)^2\right)\Big|_{(0,0)} = -\omega_1 - \omega_2.$$

## Finding the function G.

We consider at first the corresponding homogeneous equation with the change pf variables (3.3) (with sign +):

$$(5.8) L_0 \tilde{G}^0 + \left(\tilde{\theta} + \tilde{\varkappa} - (\nabla \tilde{\psi}_1)^2 - (\nabla \tilde{\psi}_2)^2\right) \tilde{G}^0 = 0,$$

 $L_0$  defined by (3.4). We look for the solution of (5.8) in the following form:

$$\tilde{G}^0 = y_1 y_2 e^{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}$$

and find the equation for  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ 

(5.10) 
$$L_0 \tilde{\mathcal{P}} = (\nabla \tilde{\psi}_1^2) + (\nabla \tilde{\psi}_2^2) - \tilde{\theta} - \tilde{\varkappa} - (\omega_1 + \omega_2)$$

with the right-hand side vanishing at the origin. Due to Lemma 3.1 of [2] there exists a unique analytic solution of (5.10) vanishing at the origin. Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$  be that solution.

Now we look for the solution of (5.4) (in variables  $y_1, y_2$ ) in the following form

(5.11) 
$$\tilde{G} = \tilde{U}e^{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} = \frac{\tilde{U}}{v_1 v_2} y_1 y_2 e^{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}.$$

Putting (5.11) in (5.4) we obtain the following equation for the function  $\tilde{U}$ 

(5.12) 
$$L_0 \tilde{U} - \omega_1 \tilde{U} - \omega_2 \tilde{U} = \tilde{F} e^{-\tilde{P}},$$

by F is denoted the right-hand side of the equation (5.4).

**Lemma.** If the right-hand side of (5.12) is analytic and its second mixed partial derivative with respect to  $y_1, y_2$  wanishes at the origin then there exists a unique analytic solution of (5.12) also with the wanishing at the origin second mixed partial derivative with respect to  $y_1, y_2$ .

This lemma can be proven mainly in the same way as Lemma 3.1 in [2]

## The second term of the eigenvalue.

The condition of solvability of the equation (5.12), given by the Lemma, reads:

$$\left(\tilde{F}e^{-\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}\right)_{11} = 0,$$

where  $(u)_{ij}$  means the i, j-th Taylor coefficient of the function  $u(\vec{y})$ .

We can satisfy this condition with the corresponding choice of  $E_2^{11}$ , that is

$$E_{2}^{11} = -\frac{(\Delta \tilde{B})_{00}}{2}$$

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_{1}\omega_{2}}} \left( e^{-\tilde{P}} \left[ \tilde{\psi}_{2} (\frac{\Delta \tilde{\psi}_{1}}{2}) + \tilde{\psi}_{1} (\frac{\Delta \tilde{\psi}_{2}}{2}) \tilde{B} + \tilde{\psi}_{2} \nabla \tilde{\psi}_{1} \bullet \nabla \tilde{B} + \tilde{\psi}_{1} \nabla \tilde{\psi}_{2} \bullet \nabla \tilde{B} \right] \right)_{11},$$

where  $\psi_1$ ,  $\psi_2$ ,  $\mathcal{P}$  and B are mentioned above functions (the corresponding Taylor coefficients in the right-hand side are writen out in [13] in terms of Taylor coefficients of V).

## Zeros of the eigenfunction.

The equation of the line of the zeros of the eigenfunction  $u_{11}$  is the following

(5.15) 
$$2\psi_1\psi_2e^{\mathcal{P}_2^+} + \hbar Ue^{\mathcal{P}} = 0.$$

It is clear that  $(U)_{00} = 0$  (because F(0,0) = 0, see (5.4)). The first Taylor coefficients  $(U)_{10}$  and  $(U)_{01}$  of U can be found from (5.12). They are

(5.16) 
$$(U)_{10} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_1}} [(\psi_2)_{20} + (\psi_2)_{02}] + 2\sqrt{\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}} (\mathcal{P}_2^+)_{01},$$

(5.17) 
$$(U)_{01} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_2}} [(\psi_1)_{20} + (\psi_1)_{02}] + 2\sqrt{\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}} (\mathcal{P}_2^+)_{10},$$

the right-hand sides (writen out in [13]) depend on  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  and  $v_{ij}, i+j=3$ , and do not vanish at the origin in general case. So in the zero approximation the lines of zeros of  $u_{1,1}$ , namely

(5.18) 
$$\psi_1 = 0, \psi_2 = 0,$$

intersect at the origin. In the next approximation they split into the two branches of a hyperbola in a neighbourhood of the origin:

$$(5.19) 2\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}x_1x_2 + \hbar\left[(U)_{10}x_1 + (U)_{01}x_2\right] = 0.$$

One of these branches goes through the origin. In general case these lines do not intersect. They have only a "quasi-intersection".

#### REFERENCES

- 1. M.V.Fedoryuk, Mat.Sb. 68(110) (1965), 81-110. (Russian)
- 2. A.G.Alenitsyn, Differentsial'nye Uravneniya 18 (1982), 1971–1975, English transl. in Differential Equations vol.18 (1982). (Russian)
- 3. B.Simon, Semiclassical analysis of low lying eigenvalues, II-Tunneling, Ann. Math. 120 (1984), 89-118.
- 4. G. Jona-Lasinio, F.Montinelli, E.Scoppola, New approach to the semiclassical limit of quantum mechanics, Comm.Math.Phys. 80 (1981), 223-254.
- 5. E.M. Harrel, Double wells, Comm.Math.Phys. 75 (1980), 337-408.
- 6. B. Helffer, J. Sjöstrand, Multiple wells in the semiclassical limit, Math. Nachr. 124 (1985), 263-313.
- 7. E. Delabaere and H. Dillinger, Contribution a la resurgence quantique, These de doctorat de math., L'Université de Nice Sophia-Antipolis.
- 8. T. F. Pankratova, Quasimodes and splitting of eigenvalues, Soviet Math. Dokl. 29 (1984), 597-601.
- 9. Nguyên Hu'u Du'c and F. Pham, Germes de configurations legendriennes stables et fonctions d'Airy-Weber généralisées, Annales de l'institut Fourier, Grenoble 41 (1991), 905-936.
- 10. T. F. Pankratova, Olver's form asymptotics for the localised solution of the Schrödinger equation, Intenational Conference on DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS (Equa \(\frac{\partial}{\partial}\) iff 91) Vol.2, Barcelona, Spain, World Scientific (26-31 August 1991), 810-813.
- 11. T. F. Pankratova, The eigenstates of the Schrödinger equation in a multidimensional well. Analytic phases for an analytic potential, Prépublication n°337 Mars 1993, L'Université de Nice Sophia-Antipolis.
- 12. T. F. Pankratova, Semiclassical eigenstates for a multidimensional well, Preprint DYSCO-023 May 1993, University of Milan. International institute for the Interdisciplinary Study of Dynamical Systems, Como, Italy.
- 13. T. F. Pankratova, Semiclassical eigenstates for a 2-dimensional well. Second approximation for small quantum numbers., Matematics Preprint Series No. 136 June 1993, University of Barcelona.

T.F. PANKRATOVA
Inst. of fine mechanics and optics
Sablinskaya 14,
ST PETERSBURG
(Russie)