SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

P. MC GILL

A direct proof of the Ray-Knight theorem

Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 15 (1981), p. 206-209

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_1981__15__206_0>

A DIRECT PROOF OF THE RAY-KNIGHT THEOREM

P. Mc GILL (*)

Of the several known proofs of the Ray-Knight theorem, the martingale stopping argument of ([3], problem 5, p. 74) and [2] is arguably the most elementary. Here we exploit what is essentially the same idea to give a proof which avoids explicit computations.

Let $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a Brownian motion started at zero. Its local time L_t^a is given by the Doob-Meyer decomposition

$$|B_t - a| = |a| + L_t^a + \beta_t^a$$

where β_t^a is a Brownian motion. The occupation density formula [1] gives

$$\int_0^t g(B_s)ds = \int_R g(a) L_t^a da.$$

Here g is a bounded Borel function and we always assume that L_t^a is the jointly continuous version. In the following, T will always denote the stopping time : $\inf\{t \mid B_t = 1\}$.

Now define a process $(z_a)_{a \geq 0}$ as the unique (positive) solution of the S.D.E

$$z_a = 2 \int_0^a \sqrt{|z_b|} d\hat{\beta}_b + 2 \int_0^a 1_{\{0 \leq b \leq 1\}} db$$

Here $\hat{\beta}_a$ is a Brownian motion and by [8] this equation has a unique $\hat{\beta}_a$ adapted solution. $(z_a)_{a \geq 0}$ is a diffusion. Let

$$m_0 = \inf\{a > 0 : z_a = 0\}.$$

As remarked in [9], $(z_a)_{0 \leq a \leq 1}$ is equivalent in law to $(X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ where X_t is a $BES^2(2)$ process. Therefore, by path continuity, m_0 is greater than one almost surely.

Lemma 1 : m_0 is finite almost surely.

Proof : For $\alpha > 0$, let u be the decreasing (strictly positive on R^+) solution of

$$2a \frac{d^2u}{da^2} = \alpha u$$

(*)

Dept. of Mathematics, New University of Ulster, Coleraine, N. Ireland

We can take $u(a) = \sqrt{2\alpha a}\ K_1(\sqrt{2\alpha a})$ where K_1 is the modified Bessel function so that (see [6] 5.7.12) $\lim_{a \to 0} u(a) = 1$. Now for $0 < \varepsilon < c$ if

$m_\varepsilon^1 = \inf\{b \geq 1 \ ; \ Z_b = \varepsilon\}$, we can use Itô's formula to check that

$$1_{\{a \geq 1\}} \ 1_{\{c < Z_1\}} \left[u(Z_a) \exp\{-\alpha(a-1)\} - u(Z_1) \right]$$

is a bounded martingale. By stopping at m_ε^1, we get

$$E\left[\exp\{-\alpha m_\varepsilon^1\} \ ; \ c < Z_1\right] = e^{-\alpha} \ E\left[\frac{u(Z_1)}{u(\varepsilon)} \ ; \ c < Z_1\right]$$

Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, $\alpha \to 0$ and $c \to 0$ we find that $P\left[m_0 < +\infty\right] = 1$.

The next result is well-known.

Lemma 2 : Let $g \geq 0$ be continuous with compact support on R. The equation

$$f'' = 2fg \ ; \ f'(-\infty) = 0 \ ; \ f(0) = \delta > 0$$

has a unique (strictly positive, convex, increasing) solution.

Theorem (Ray [7], Knight [5]) : The process $(L_T^{1-a}, a \geq 0)$ has the same law as $(Z_a, a \geq 0)$.

Proof : We show that for every continuous function $g \geq 0$ with compact support in $(-\infty, 1)$,

$$E\left[\exp\{-\int_{-\infty}^1 g(a)\, L_T^a\, da\}\right] = E\left[\exp\{-\int_0^\infty g(1-a)\, Z_a\, da\}\right]$$

To do this, we use martingale stopping to calculate a more explicit form for each side of this equation.

L.H.S.: By *Lemma 2*, find f with

$$f'' = 2gf \ ; \ f'(-\infty) = 0 \ ; \ f(0) = 1$$

By convexity, the martingale

$$f(B_t) \exp\{-\int_0^t g(B_s)\, ds\}$$

is uniformly integrable up to time T so by the occupation density formula we get

$$E\left[\exp\{-\int_{-\infty}^1 g(a)\, L_T^a\, da\}\right] = \frac{1}{f(1)}$$

R.H.S. : By *Lemma 2* choose v with

$$v'' = 2\, g(1-a)v \ ; \ v(1) = 1 \ ; \ v'(+\infty) = 0$$

Then $v(a) = f(1-a)$ for $a \geq 0$ and by Itô's formula

$$\frac{1}{v(a \wedge 1)} \exp\{z_a \frac{v'}{2v}(a) - \int_0^a g(1-b) z_b db\}$$

is a local martingale. It is uniformly integrable since $v' \leq 0$ hence by stopping at m_0 we have (since $z_0 = 0$, $m_0 > 1$)

$$E\left[\exp\{-\int_0^\infty g(1-b) z_b db\}\right] = \frac{1}{v(0)}$$

This completes the proof.

Final Remark : The above method applies equally well to any suitable diffusion X_t with generator

$$\mathcal{G} = \tfrac{1}{2} \sigma^2(x) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \tau(x) \frac{d}{dx}$$

In this case we choose f such that

$$\tfrac{1}{2} \sigma^2 f'' + \tau f' = fg \sigma^2 \; ; \quad f(0) = 1 \; ; \quad f'(-\infty) = 0$$

and we replace z_a by the solution of

$$W_a = 2 \int_0^a \sqrt{|W_b|} d\beta_b + 2 \int_0^a \left[1_{\{0 \leq b \leq 1\}} - W_b \frac{\tau(b)}{\sigma^2(b)} \right] db$$

The argument now proceeds as before. See [4], *Proposition 5*.

REFERENCES :

[1]   J. AZEMA and M. YOR   : "En guise d'introduction". Soc. Math. France Astérisque   52-53, 3-16, (1978).

[2]   J. AZEMA and M. YOR   : "Une solution simple au problème de Skorokhod". Sem. Probab. XIII, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 721, 90-115, Springer (1979).

[3]   K. ITO and H.P. Mc KEAN   : "Diffusion Processes and their Sample Paths". Springer (1965).

[4]   T. JEULIN and M. YOR   : "Autour d'un théorème de Ray". Soc. Math. France Astérisque 52-53, 145-158, (1978).

[5]   F. KNIGHT   : "Random Walks and a sojourn density of Brownian motion". TAMS 109, 56-86, (1963).

[6]   N. LEBEDEV   : "Special functions and their applications". Prentice Hall (1965).

[7]   D. RAY   : "Sojourn times of diffusion processes". Ill. Journ. Math. 7, 615-630, (1963).

[8]   T. YAMADA and S. WATANABE   : "On the uniqueness of solutions of stochastic differential equations". J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 11, 155-167, (1971).

[9]   T. SHIGA and S. WATANABE   : "Bessel diffusions as a one-parameter family of diffusion processes". Z. für Wahr., 27, 37-46, (1973).