

# SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

MICHAEL B. MARCUS

GILLES PISIER

## **Random Fourier series on locally compact abelian groups**

*Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg)*, tome 13 (1979), p. 72-89

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS\\_1979\\_\\_13\\_\\_72\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_1979__13__72_0)

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1979, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (<http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

Random Fourier Series on Locally Compact Abelian Groups

M. B. Marcus and G. Pisier

In 1930 Paley and Zygmund [9] introduced the problem of whether the random series

$$(1) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \varepsilon_k \cos(kt + \alpha_k), \quad t \in [0, 2\pi]$$

converges uniformly a.s., where  $\{a_k\}$  and  $\{\alpha_k\}$  are sequences of real numbers and  $\{\varepsilon_k\}$  is a Rademacher sequence, that is, a sequence of independent, symmetric random variables taking on the values  $\pm 1$ . This problem was subsequently studied by Salem and Zygmund [11], Kahane [6] and others (see [8], [10]). In [8] we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the uniform convergence of the series in (1). An interesting aspect of this result is that the condition remains valid when the sequence  $\{\varepsilon_k\}$  is replaced by other sequences of random variables, for example, independent gaussian random variables with mean zero and variance 1 ( $N(0,1)$ ). Our results in [8] are a consequence of the Dudley-Fernique [2], [3] necessary and sufficient condition for the continuity of stationary Gaussian processes and a line of approach initiated in [4] (see also [7]). In this paper, by adding some technical modifications we show that the results in [8] extend directly to the more general class of random series mentioned in the title. The case of compact abelian groups is included in [10].

Let  $G$  be a locally compact abelian group with identity

element 0. Let  $K \subset G$  be a compact symmetric neighborhood of 0. Let  $\Gamma$  denote the characters of  $G$  and let  $A \subset \Gamma$  be countable. Therefore,  $\{\gamma \mid \gamma \in A\}$  is a countable collection of characters of  $G$ . (We only consider Fourier series with spectrum in  $A$ . Therefore, in all that follows, we may as well assume that  $\Gamma$  is separable, so that the compact subsets of  $G$  are metrizable.) We also define the following sequences of random variables indexed by  $\gamma \in A$ :  $\{\epsilon_\gamma\}$  a Rademacher sequence,  $\{g_\gamma\}$  independent  $N(0,1)$  random variables and  $\{\xi_\gamma\}$  complex valued random variables satisfying

$$(2) \quad \sup_{\gamma \in A} E|\xi_\gamma|^2 < \infty \text{ and } \liminf_{\gamma \in A} E|\xi_\gamma| > 0.$$

Let  $\{a_\gamma\}$  be complex numbers satisfying  $\sum_{\gamma \in A} |a_\gamma|^2 = 1$  and consider the random Fourier series

$$(3) \quad Z(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in A} a_\gamma \epsilon_\gamma \xi_\gamma \gamma(x), \quad x \in K.$$

For each fixed  $x \in K$  the series converges a.s. so the sum is well defined. We will give a necessary and sufficient condition for the series (3) to converge uniformly a.s. on  $K$ .

Define  $K \oplus K = \{x + y \mid x \in K, y \in K\}$  and in a similar fashion define  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n K_i$ . Let  $\tau(x)$  be a non-negative function on  $K \oplus K$  and let

$$(4) \quad m_\tau(\epsilon) = \mu(x \in K \oplus K \mid \tau(x) < \epsilon)$$

where  $\mu$  is the Haar measure on  $G$ . Define

$$(5) \quad \overline{\tau(u)} = \sup\{y \mid m_\tau(y) < u\}$$

and let  $\mu_n = \mu(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n K_i)$ . Therefore  $0 \leq m_\tau(\epsilon) \leq \mu_2$  so that the domain

of  $\bar{\tau}$  is the interval  $[0, \mu_2]$ . Note that  $\bar{\tau}$  viewed as a random variable on  $[0, \mu_2]$  has the same probability distribution with respect to normalized Lebesgue measure on  $[0, \mu_2]$  that  $\tau(x)$  has with respect to normalized Haar measure on  $K \oplus K$ . In keeping with classical terminology we call  $\bar{\tau}$  the non-decreasing rearrangement of  $\tau$  (with respect to  $K \oplus K$ ). In terms of  $\mu$ ,  $\tau$  and  $K$  we define the integral

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} I(K, \mu, \tau(s)) &= I(\tau(s)) = I(\bar{\tau}) \\ &= \int_0^{\mu_2} \frac{\bar{\tau}(s)}{s(\log \frac{\mu_2}{s})^{1/2}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we define a translation invariant pseudo-metric  $\sigma$  on  $G$  by

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma(x-y) &= \left( \sum_{\gamma \in A} |a_\gamma|^2 |\gamma(x) - \gamma(y)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left( \sum_{\gamma \in A} |a_\gamma|^2 |\gamma(x-y) - 1|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

To see the motivation for this note that when  $E|\xi_\gamma|^2 = 1$  for all  $\gamma \in A$  then  $\sigma(x-y) = (E|Z(x) - Z(y)|^2)^{1/2}$ . We can now state our result.

**Theorem 1:** Employing the notation and definitions given above let  $\|Z\| = \sup_{x \in K} |Z(x)|$ . If  $I(\sigma) < \infty$  the series (3) converges uniformly a.s. and

$$(8) \quad (E\|Z\|^2)^{1/2} \leq C \left( \sup_{\gamma} E|\xi_\gamma|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left[ \left( \sum_{\gamma \in A} |a_\gamma|^2 \right)^{1/2} + I(\sigma) \right]$$

where  $C$  is a constant independent of  $\{a_\gamma\}$  and  $\sigma$ . Let  $\{\gamma_k, k = 1, 2, \dots\}$  be an ordering of  $\gamma \in A$  and let  $\{a_k\}$ ,  $\{\epsilon_k\}$  and  $\{\xi_k\}$  be the corresponding orderings of  $\{a_\gamma\}$ ,  $\{\epsilon_\gamma\}$  and  $\{\xi_\gamma\}$ . If  $I(\sigma) = \infty$  then for all open sets  $U \subset K$

$$(9) \quad \sup_n \sup_{x \in U} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \epsilon_k \xi_k \gamma_k(x) \right| = \infty$$

on a set of measure greater than zero. (Note that neither (2) nor (7) depend on the order of  $\{\gamma_k\}$  so that the implications of  $I(\sigma) < \infty$  are also valid for all orderings  $\{\gamma_k\}$  of  $\gamma \in A$ .)

Proof: The first step is a adaptation of Dudley's theorem on a sufficient condition for continuity of the sample paths of a Gaussian process. It is well known that this theorem is also valid for processes with sub-gaussian increments. Let  $\{Y(t), t \in T\}$ ,  $T$  an arbitrary index set, be a real valued stochastic process. The process is said to have subgaussian increments if there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $s, t \in T$  and  $\lambda > 0$

$$E\{\exp(\lambda(X(s)-X(t)))\} \leq \exp\{\lambda^2 \delta^2 E(X(t)-X(s))^2/2\}.$$

Let  $(S, \rho)$  be a metric (or pseudo-metric) space. We denote by  $N_\rho(S, \epsilon)$  the minimum number of balls in the metric (or pseudo-metric)  $\rho$  that is necessary to cover  $S$ . The following theorem is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.1 [7]; it is similar to a theorem of Fernique, [13].

Theorem 2: Let  $\tilde{S} = \{\tilde{X}(t), t \in T\}$ ,  $T$  a compact topological space, be a stochastic process with subgaussian increments and let

$\rho(t,s) = (E(\tilde{X}(t) - \tilde{X}(s))^2)^{1/2}$  be continuous on  $T \times T$ . Define  $\hat{\rho} = \sup_{s,t \in T} \rho(s,t)$  and assume that

$$(10) \quad J(\tilde{S}, \rho) = J(\rho) = \int_0^{\hat{\rho}} (\log N_{\rho}(\tilde{S}, u))^{1/2} du < \infty.$$

Then there exists a version  $S = \{X(t), t \in T\}$  of the process, with continuous sample paths, satisfying the inequality

$$(11) \quad E[\sup_{t \in T} |X(t)|] \leq C'[E|X(t_0)| + \hat{\rho} + J(S, \rho)]$$

where  $t_0 \in T$  and  $C' = C'(\delta)$  is a constant independent of  $\rho$ . (Note that  $N_{\rho}(S, u) = N_{\rho}(\tilde{S}, u)$  so, in particular,  $J(\tilde{S}, \rho) = J(S, \rho)$ .)

We will use this theorem in the special case in which  $\rho$  is translation invariant. In this case we can relate the integrals defined in (6) and (10). In order to do this we need the following lemma which is a generalization of Lemma 2.1 [4].

Lemma 3. Let  $\tau$  be a translation invariant pseudo-metric on  $G$  then

$$(12) \quad \frac{\mu_1}{m_{\tau}(\epsilon)} \leq N_{\tau}(K \oplus K, \epsilon) \leq \frac{\mu_4}{m_{\tau}(\epsilon/2)}.$$

Proof: Since this lemma is the only ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1 that is not supplied in [8] or [10] we will sketch the proof. Note that when  $G$  is compact we can take  $K = G$ . In this case the proof is elementary and (12) reduces to

$$\frac{\mu(G)}{m_{\tau}(\epsilon)} \leq N_{\tau}(G, \epsilon) \leq \frac{\mu(G)}{m_{\tau}(\epsilon/2)}.$$

Let  $B(t, \epsilon) = \{x \in G \mid \tau(x-t) < \epsilon\}$  and let  $M_\tau(K \oplus K, \epsilon)$  denote the maximal number of balls of radius  $\epsilon$  in the  $\tau$  pseudo-metric centered in  $K \oplus K$  and disjoint in  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^4 K_i$ . Then for all  $t \in K \oplus K$  we have

$$\mu\{B(t, \epsilon) \cap \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 K_i\} \geq \mu\{B(0, \epsilon) \cap K \oplus K\}$$

and

$$M_\tau(K \oplus K, \epsilon/2) \geq N_\tau(K \oplus K, \epsilon)$$

Denote the centers of the  $M_\tau(K \oplus K, \epsilon/2)$  balls of radius  $\epsilon/2$  centered in  $K \oplus K$  and disjoint in  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^4 K_i$  by  $\{t_j, j = 1, \dots, M_\tau(K \oplus K, \epsilon)\}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_4 &\geq \mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{M_\tau(K \oplus K, \epsilon/2)} \{B(t_j, \epsilon/2) \cap \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 K_i\}\right) \\ &\geq M_\tau(K \oplus K, \epsilon/2) \mu\{B(0, \epsilon/2) \cap K \oplus K\} \\ &\geq N_\tau(K \oplus K, \epsilon) m_\tau(\epsilon/2) \end{aligned}$$

This proves the right side of (12); the proof of the left side is similar.

We note two other standard results

$$(13) \quad N_\tau(K, \epsilon) \leq N_\tau(K \oplus K, \epsilon)$$

$$(14) \quad N_\tau(K \oplus K, 2\epsilon) \leq N_\tau^2(K, \epsilon)$$

and define the integral expression

$$(15) \quad \tilde{I}(K, \mu, \tau(u)) = \tilde{I}(\tau(u)) = \tilde{I}(\tau)$$

$$= \int_0^{\mu_2} \frac{\int_0^s \overline{\tau(u)} du}{s^2 (\log \frac{\mu_2}{s})^{1/2}} ds$$

for  $\overline{\tau}$  as defined in (5). The next lemma follows from (12), (13), (14) and integration by parts.

Lemma 5: Let  $\hat{\tau} = \sup_{x \in K \oplus K} \tau(x)$  and assume that  $J(K, \tau) = J(\tau) < \infty$ , then

the following inequalities hold:

$$(16) \quad -C_1 \hat{\tau} + I(\tau) \leq \tilde{I}(\tau) \leq 2I(\tau)$$

$$(17) \quad -C_2 \hat{\tau} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} I(\tau) \leq J(\tau) \leq C_2 \hat{\tau} + 2I(\tau)$$

$$(18) \quad -C_3 \hat{\tau} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \tilde{I}(\tau) \leq J(\tau) \leq C_3 \hat{\tau} + 2\tilde{I}(\tau)$$

where  $C_1, C_2, C_2', C_3, C_3'$  are all positive and finite.

The next step in the proof is a Jensen type inequality for the non-decreasing rearrangements of a family of random functions.

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be some probability space with expectation operator  $E$  and let  $\tau(x, \omega)$ ,  $x \in K \oplus K$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$  be a family of random non-negative functions such that  $E|\tau(x, \omega)|^2 < \infty$  for  $x \in K \oplus K$ . Following (4) and (5) we define the random families  $m_{\tau(\cdot, \omega)}(\epsilon)$  and  $\overline{\tau(\cdot, \omega)}$ . We have

Lemma 6: For  $0 \leq h \leq \mu_2$

$$(19) \quad (E|\int_0^h \overline{\tau(u, \omega)} du|^2)^{1/2} \leq \int_0^h (E|\tau(u, \omega)|^2)^{1/2} du.$$

This lemma is a generalization of Lemma 1.1 [7]. The proof is essentially the same as the one given in [8].



We can now obtain the implications of  $I(\sigma) < \infty$  in Theorem 1. Let  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, P_1)$  denote the probability space of  $\{\xi_\gamma\}$  and  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, P_2)$  denote the probability space of  $\{\epsilon_\gamma\}$  and denote the corresponding expectation operators by  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ . The series (3) is defined on the probability space  $(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2, P_1 \times P_2)$ . We shall refer to this space as  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and denote the corresponding expectation operator by  $E$  (not to be confused with the space used to explain Lemma 6).

Without loss of generality we can assume  $\sup_{\gamma \in A} E|\xi_\gamma|^2 \leq 1$ ; the second assumption of (2) is not used in this part of the proof.

Fix  $w_1 \in \Omega_1$  and consider

$$(20) \quad Z(x, w_1) = \sum_{\gamma \in A} a_\gamma \epsilon_\gamma \xi_\gamma(w_1) \gamma(x), \quad x \in K$$

as a random series on  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, P_2)$ . Note that

$$Z_1(x, w_1) = \sum_{\gamma \in A} \epsilon_\gamma \operatorname{Re}[a_\gamma \xi_\gamma(w_1) \gamma(x)] \text{ and}$$

$$Z_2(x, w_1) = \sum_{\gamma \in A} \epsilon_\gamma \operatorname{Im}[a_\gamma \xi_\gamma(w_1) \gamma(x)] \text{ are both processes with sub-$$

gaussian increments (see e.g. Chapter 2, Section 2 [5]) and both

$(E_2|Z_1(x, w_1) - Z_1(y, w_1)|^2)^{1/2}$  and  $(E_2|Z_2(x, w_1) - Z_2(y, w_1)|^2)^{1/2}$  are less than or equal to

$$(21) \quad \sigma(x-y, w_1) = \left( \sum_{\gamma \in A} |a_\gamma|^2 |\xi_\gamma(w_1)|^2 |\gamma(x-y)-1|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

By Theorem 2 with  $t_0 = 0$  and (18) we have

$$(22) \quad E_2[\sup_{x \in K} |Z(x, w_1)|] \leq D \left[ \left( \sum_{\gamma \in A} |a_\gamma|^2 |\xi_\gamma(w_1)|^2 \right)^{1/2} + \tilde{I}(\sigma(u, w_1)) \right],$$

for some constant  $D$ , where we use the facts that

$$\hat{\sigma} = \sup_{x \in K \oplus K} \sigma(x) \leq 2 \left( \sum_{\gamma \in A} |a_\gamma|^2 |\xi_\gamma(w_1)|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 |Z(0, w_1)| &\leq (E_2 |Z(0, w_1)|^2)^{1/2} \\ &= \left( \sum_{\gamma \in A} |a_\gamma|^2 |\xi_\gamma(w_1)|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The series (20) is a Rademacher series therefore by Kahane's inequality we have

$$(23) \quad E_2 \left[ \sup_{x \in K} |Z(x, w_1)|^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq C E_2 \left[ \sup_{x \in K} |Z(x, w_1)| \right]$$

where  $C$  is a constant independent of the values of  $\{a_\gamma \xi_\gamma(w_1) | \gamma \in A\}$ . By Lemma 6 we have

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} &(E_1 |\tilde{I}(\sigma(u, w_1))|^2)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \int_0^{\mu_2} \frac{(E_1 \left| \int_0^s \overline{\sigma(u, w_1)} du \right|^2)^{1/2}}{s^2 \left( \log \frac{4\mu_2}{s} \right)^{1/2}} ds \leq \tilde{I}(\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma$  is given in (7). Also

$$(25) \quad (E_1 \sum_{\gamma \in A} |a_\gamma|^2 |\xi_\gamma(w_1)|^2)^{1/2} \leq \left( \sum_{\gamma \in A} |a_\gamma|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Using (23), (24), (25) and (16) in (22) we obtain (8).

We now show that the series (3) converges uniformly a.s. It follows from (24) and Lemma 5 that  $I(\sigma) < \infty$  implies  $J(K, \sigma(\cdot, w_1)) < \infty$  a.s. ( $P_1$ ). Therefore by Theorem 2 there exists a set  $\bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_1, P(\bar{\Omega}_1) = 1$ , such that for  $w_1 \in \bar{\Omega}_1$ ,  $Z(x, w_1)$  has a version which is continuous a.s. ( $P_2$ ). Therefore by the Ito-Nisio theorem (Theorem 2.3.4 [5]) the series (20) converges uniformly a.s. ( $P_2$ )

for each  $w_1 \in \overline{\Omega}_1$ . This implies, by Fubini's theorem, that the series (3) converges uniformly a.s. (P).

We now obtain the implications of  $I(\sigma) = \infty$ . The major result in this direction is Fernique's necessary condition for the continuity of stationary Gaussian processes. Consider

$$(26) \quad G(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in A} a_{\gamma} g_{\gamma} \gamma(x), \quad x \in K.$$

We use the following version of Fernique's theorem.

Theorem 7. A necessary condition for the series (26) to converge uniformly a.s. is that  $J(K, \sigma) < \infty$ .

Proof: Fernique's theorem (Theorem 8.1.1 [3]) is proved for real valued processes on  $R^n$  but only minor modifications are necessary to adapt the proof to the case considered here. Instead of  $G(x)$  it is sufficient to prove Theorem 7 for the real valued process

$$(27) \quad Y(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in A} g_{\gamma} \operatorname{Re}(a_{\gamma} \gamma(x)) + \sum_{\gamma \in A} g_{\gamma} \operatorname{Im}(a_{\gamma} \gamma(x)), \quad x \in K,$$

where  $\{g_{\gamma} | \gamma \in A\}$  is an independent copy of  $\{g_{\gamma} | \gamma \in A\}$ , since  $E(G(x) - G(y))^2 = E(Y(x) - Y(y))^2 = \sigma^2(x-y)$  and the series (26) and (27) either both converge uniformly a.s. or neither does.

The only point in the proof of Theorem 8.1.1 [3] that needs to be extended is Lemma 8.1.2. Let  $H = \{x \in G | \sigma(x) = 0\}$  and form the quotient group  $G' = G/H$ . There exists a canonical mapping of  $G$  onto  $G'$ ; let  $K'$  be the image of  $K$  under this mapping. Denote by  $\sigma'$  the metric on  $K'$  that corresponds to the pseudo-metric  $\sigma$  on  $K$ .

Lemma 8: There exists a  $\delta_0 > 0$  and a compact symmetric neighborhood of  $0 \in K$  such that if  $s, t \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 S_i$  then  $\sigma'(s-t) \leq \delta_0$  implies  $s-t \in S$ .

Proof: Let  $S$  be a compact symmetric neighborhood of  $0 \in K'$  such

that  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^8 S \subset K'$ . Let  $\beta = \min\{\sigma'(x), x \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^8 S_i/S\}$ . Since  $0$  is the unique zero of  $\sigma'$  on  $K'$  we have  $\beta > 0$ . Let  $s, t \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 S_i$  then  $s-t \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^8 S_i$ . Set  $\delta_0 = \beta/2$  then  $\sigma'(s-t) \leq \delta_0$  implies  $s-t \in S$ .

Consider  $S$  as given in Lemma 8 and let  $T = \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 S_i$ .

Following the notation of Theorem 8.1.1 [3] we define

$B(S, \delta_0) = \bigcup_{s \in S} B(s, \delta_0)$  where  $B(s, \delta)$  denotes an open ball of radius

$\delta$  in  $K'$  with respect to the  $\sigma'$  metric. Let  $s, t \in B(S, \delta_0) \cap T$ , we show that for  $\delta \leq \delta_0$ ,  $B(s, \delta) \cap T = A_1$  and  $B(t, \delta) \cap T = A_2$  are translates of each other, i.e. if  $u \in A_1$  then  $u + t - s \in A_2$ . To do this we need only show that  $u + t - s \in T$ . Since  $t \in B(S, \delta_0)$  there exists a  $t' \in S$  such that  $\sigma(t-t') < \delta_0$ . Set

$$u + t - s = t' + (t-t') + (u-s).$$

Since  $t, t' \in T = \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 S_i$ , by Lemma 8,  $t-t' \in S$ . Similarly  $u-s \in S$  and since  $t' \in S$  we have  $u + t - s \in T$ .

Consider the process

$$(28) \quad Y'(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in A} g_{\gamma} \operatorname{Re}(a_{\gamma} \gamma(x)) + \sum_{\gamma \in A} g_{\gamma} \operatorname{Im}(a_{\gamma} \gamma(x)), \quad x \in K'.$$

This is a real valued stationary Gaussian process with

$(E|Y'(x) - Y'(y)|^2)^{1/2} = \sigma'(x-y)$  and an equivalent of Lemma 8.1.2 [3]

holds for this process.

Assume that the series (28) converges uniformly a.s. on  $K'$ . By the Landau, Shepp, Fernique theorem (Corollary 2.4.6 [5]) we have  $E(\sup_{x \in K'} Y'(x)) < \infty$ . We refer to the second paragraph of 8.1.4 [3] with  $S$  and  $T$  as given above. This shows that there exists a  $\delta' > 0$  such that

$$\int_0^{\delta'} (\log N_{\sigma'}(S, u))^{1/2} du < \infty$$

and since  $S$  is compact we also have  $J(S, \sigma') < \infty$ . Finally, since  $K'$  is compact, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $N_{\sigma'}(S, u) \geq C N_{\sigma'}(K', u)$ . Therefore  $J(K', \sigma') < \infty$ . To obtain Theorem 7 for  $Y(x)$ ,  $x \in K$  we note that the series (27) and (28) either both converge uniformly a.s. or neither does. Furthermore

$$E(\sup_{x \in K'} Y'(x)) = E(\sup_{x \in K} Y(x))$$

and  $N_{\sigma'}(K', u) = N_{\sigma'}(K, u)$ . Therefore we obtain Theorem 7.

Let  $\{\gamma_k, k = 1, 2, \dots\}$  be an ordering of  $A \subset \Gamma$ . Our main result on necessary conditions for the convergence of random Fourier series is contained in the following lemma.

Lemma 9: In the notation established above we have

$$(29) \quad \left( E \sup_n \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \epsilon_k \xi_k \gamma_k \right\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ \leq C \left( \sup_k E |\xi_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( E \sup_n \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k g_k \gamma_k \right\|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

and

$$(30) \quad (E \sup_n \|\sum_{k=1}^n a_k g_k \gamma_k\|^2)^{1/2} \leq C' (E \sup_n \|\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \epsilon_k \gamma_k\|^2)^{1/2}.$$

In particular, if  $\sum_{\gamma \in A} a_\gamma \epsilon_\gamma \gamma(x)$  converges uniformly a.s. then so does  $\sum_{\gamma \in A} a_\gamma g_\gamma \gamma(x)$  and

$$(31) \quad (E \|\sum_{\gamma \in A} a_\gamma g_\gamma \gamma\|^2)^{1/2} \leq C'' (E \|\sum_{\gamma \in A} a_\gamma \epsilon_\gamma \gamma\|^2)^{1/2}.$$

(Here  $C, C'$  and  $C''$  are finite constants independent of  $\{a_k\}$ ).

Proof: Belyaev's dichotomy [1], states that a stationary Gaussian process on the real line either has continuous sample paths a.s. or else is unbounded on all intervals. This dichotomy also holds for  $G(x)$  and is a consequence of results of Ito and Nisio. (A proof can be made using Theorems 3.4.7 and 3.4.9 [5].) Consequently, we have that either  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k g_k \gamma_k(x)$  converges uniformly a.s. on  $K$  or else for all open sets  $U \subset K$

$$(32) \quad \sup_n \sup_{x \in U} |\sum_{k=1}^n a_k g_k \gamma_k(x)| = \infty \text{ a.s.}$$

We also note that by Levy's inequality and the Landau, Shepp, Fernique theorem, if  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k g_k \gamma_k$  converges uniformly a.s. then

$$(33) \quad E(\sup_n \|\sum_{k=1}^n a_k g_k \gamma_k\|^2) < \infty.$$

Inequality (29) is a consequence of the closed graph theorem.

Let  $B_1$  be the Banach space of sequences of complex numbers  $\{a\} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$  for which  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k g_k \gamma_k$  converges uniformly a.s. on  $K$  and  $\|\{a\}\|_1 = (E \sup_n \|\sum_{k=1}^n a_k g_k \gamma_k\|^2)^{1/2} < \infty$ . Let  $B_2$  denote the

Banach space of sequences of complex numbers  $\{a\} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$  for which  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \epsilon_k \xi_k \gamma_k$  converges uniformly a.s. on  $K$  for all sequences

of complex random variables  $\{\xi_k\}$  satisfying  $E|\xi_k|^2 \leq 1$  and

$\|\{a\}\|_2 = \sup_{\{\xi_k\}} (E \sup_n \|\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \epsilon_k \xi_k \gamma_k\|^2)^{1/2} < \infty$ . Then  $\|\{a\}\|_1 < \infty$  implies

$I(\sigma) < \infty$  by Theorem 7, (33) and (17) and  $I(\sigma) < \infty$  implies  $\|\{a\}\|_2 < \infty$  by (8)

of Theorem 1 (which we have already proved) and Levy's inequality.

Therefore (29) follows from the closed graph theorem applied to the identity mapping of  $B_1$  onto  $B_2$ .

To obtain (30) we write  $g_k = g_k' + g_k''$  where  $g_k' = g_k I[|g_k| < N]$  ( $I[A]$  is the indicator function of the set  $A$ ) and  $N$  is chosen so that  $(E|g_k''|^2)^{1/2} = (2C)^{-1}$ . Then, for all  $j$

$$\begin{aligned} & E(\sup_{n \leq j} \|\sum_{k=1}^n a_k g_k \gamma_k\|^2)^{1/2} \\ & \leq (E \sup_{n \leq j} \|\sum_{k=1}^n a_k g_k' \gamma_k\|^2)^{1/2} + (E \sup_{n \leq j} \|\sum_{k=1}^n a_k g_k'' \gamma_k\|^2)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 5.3 [12]

$$(E \sup_{n \leq j} \|\sum_{k=1}^n a_k g_k' \gamma_k\|^2)^{1/2} \leq N (E \sup_{n \leq j} \|\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \epsilon_k \gamma_k\|^2)^{1/2}$$

and by (29)

$$(E \sup_{n \leq j} \|\sum_{k=1}^n a_k g_k'' \gamma_k\|^2)^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{2} (E \sup_{n \leq j} \|\sum_{k=1}^n a_k g_k \gamma_k\|^2)^{1/2}.$$

Putting this together we have

$$\left( E \sup_{n \leq j} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k g_k \gamma_k \right\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq 2N \left( E \sup_{n \leq j} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \epsilon_k \gamma_k \right\|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Passing to the limit as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain (30) with  $C' = 2N$ .

If  $\sum_{\gamma \in A} a_\gamma \epsilon_\gamma \gamma(x)$  converges uniformly a.s. the right side of (30) is finite by Kahane's theorem; therefore  $\sum_{\gamma \in A} a_\gamma g_\gamma \gamma(x)$  converges uniformly a.s. by (30) and the extended Belyaev dichotomy.

By Lemma 9, and what we have already proved, we have that  $I(\sigma) < \infty$  is a necessary and sufficient condition for the uniform convergence a.s. of  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \epsilon_k \gamma_k$ . This, essentially, is all we need to complete the proof of Theorem 1. For instance, if  $\{\xi_k\}$  is also independent (besides satisfying (2)) then by Theorem 5.1 [12],  $I(\sigma) < \infty$  is a necessary and sufficient condition for the uniform convergence a.s. of the series in (3). Also, one can easily show that  $I(\sigma) = \infty$  implies  $E \sup_n \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \epsilon_k \xi_k \gamma_k \right\|^2 = \infty$ . For the actual completion of the proof of Theorem 1 we refer the reader to Lemma 2.5 [8] and the brief "Proof of Theorem 1.1" on page 2.11 [8]. This material, although written for the case  $G = \mathbb{R}$ , extends immediately to the case considered here.

All the results of [8] have versions for the more general class of random series considered in this paper. These include a central limit theorem for  $Z(x)$  and the identification of the uniformly convergent series of the type given in (3) with a Banach space of cotype 2. An application of random Fourier series to a non-random problem in the study of lacunary series is given in [10].

Note that it is not necessary to assume that  $\sup_{\gamma} E |\xi_{\gamma}|^2 < \infty$  in



(3). Let  $\{\xi_\gamma\}$  be simply a sequence of complex valued random variables on the probability space  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, P_1)$ . Then a necessary and sufficient condition of the series (3) to converge uniformly a.s. is that

$$(34) \quad I((\sum_{\gamma} |a_{\gamma}|^2 |\xi_{\gamma}(w_1)|^2 |\gamma(s)-1|^2)^{1/2}) < \infty \text{ a.s. } (P_1).$$

From (34) we can obtain results even when the  $\{\xi_\gamma\}$  do not have second moments. For example, let  $\{\xi_\gamma\}$  be independent copies of  $\xi$  where  $E[e^{it\xi}] = e^{-|t|^p}$ . Then we have

$$I((\sum_{\gamma} |a_{\gamma}|^p |\gamma(s)-1|^p)^{1/p}) < \infty$$

implies  $\sum_{\gamma} a_{\gamma} \xi_{\gamma} \xi_{\gamma}(x)$  converges uniformly a.s. (see Theorem 2.9 [8]).

We plan to elaborate upon these remarks and to give a more detailed proof of Theorem 1 in a later paper.

## References

- [1] Belyaev, Yu. K., Continuity and Hölder's conditions for sample functions of stationary Gaussian processes, Proc. Fourth Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Prob. 2 (1961), 22-33.
- [2] Dudley, R. M. The sizes of compact subsets of Hilbert space and continuity of Gaussian processes, J. Funct. Anal. 1 (1967), 290-330.
- [3] Fernique, X., Régularité des trajectoires des fonctions aléatoires gaussiennes, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 480, 1975, 1-96.
- [4] Jain, N. C. and Marcus, M. B., Sufficient conditions for the continuity of stationary Gaussian processes and applications to random series of functions, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 24 (1974), 117-141.
- [5] Jain, N. C. and Marcus, M. B., Continuity of subgaussian processes, Advances in Probability, Vol. 5, M. Dekker.
- [6] Kahane, J. P., Some random series of functions, 1968, D. C. Heath, Lexington, Mass.
- [7] Marcus, M. B., Continuity and the central limit theorem for random trigonometric series, Z. Warscheinlichkeitsth., 42 (1978), 35-56.
- [8] Marcus, M. B. and Pisier, G., Necessary and sufficient conditions for the uniform convergence of random trigonometric series, Lecture Note Series, 1978, Arhus University, Denmark.
- [9] Paley, R. E. A. C. and Zygmund, A., On series of functions (1) (2) (3), Proceedings of Cambridge Phil. Soc. 26 (1930),

458-474, 28 (1932), 190-205.

- [10] Pisier, G., Sur l'espace de Banach des series de Fourier aleatoire presque surement continué, Exposé No. 17-18, Séminaire Maurey-Schwartz 1977-78, Ecole Polytechnique Paris.
- [11] Salem, R. and Zygmund, A. Some properties of trigonometric series whose terms have random signs, Acta Math. 91 (1954), 245-301.
- [12] Jain, N. C. and Marcus, M. B., Integrability of infinite sums of independent vector-valued random variables, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 212 (1975), 1-36.
- [13] Fernique, X., Des résultats nouveaux sur les processus gaussiens, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A 278 (1974), 363-365.