

SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

NORIIHIKO KAZAMAKI

**A simple remark on the conditioned square functions
for martingale transforms**

Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 10 (1976), p. 40-43

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_1976__10__40_0

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1976, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (<http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

A SIMPLE REMARK ON THE CONDITIONED
SQUARE FUNCTIONS FOR MARTINGALE TRANSFORMS

by N. Kazamaki

1. Let $X=(X_n, \mathbb{F}_n)$ be a fixed uniformly integrable martingale defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathbb{F}, P) , and denote its difference sequence by $x=(x_n)$, $x_n = X_n - X_{n-1}, n \geq 1, X_0 = 0$. If $f=(f_n), f_n = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k x_k$, is a martingale transform of x , then the conditioned square function of f is $s(f) = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k^2 E[x_k^2 | \mathbb{F}_{k-1}] \right\}^{1/2}$. Denote by \underline{M} the collection of all martingale transforms f of x . Let now $T_0 = 0 \leq T_1 \leq T_2 \leq \dots$ be \mathbb{F}_n -stopping times. Then (X_{T_n}) is a martingale over (\mathbb{F}_{T_n}) , because X is uniformly integrable. For simplicity we put $G_n = \mathbb{F}_{T_n}$. Since for each $k \geq 1$ v_{T_k+1} is G_{k-1} -mesurable, $\hat{f}_n = \sum_{k=1}^n v_{T_k+1} (X_{T_k} - X_{T_{k-1}})$ defines a new martingale transform. It should be noted that $\hat{f} = f$ if $T_k = k$, and that $\hat{f} = f^S$ if $T_k = k \wedge S$ for some stopping time S . Here f^S is the martingale transform stopped at S . Now we let

$$U(f) = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_{T_k+1}^2 E[(X_{T_k} - X_{T_{k-1}})^2 | G_{k-1}] \right\}^{1/2}$$

for $f_n = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k x_k$ in \underline{M} . This is none other than the conditioned square function $s(\hat{f})$. It follows at once that U is a symmetric and quasi-linear operator on \underline{M} . It seems to be interesting to investigate this operator, but to the best of our knowledge no papers on the subject have been published. In this paper we shall give an L^p -estimate between $U(f)$ and $s(f)$.

2. We start with these remarks: the operator U is not local, and $\|U(f)\|_p$ can not always be compared with $\|s(f)\|_p$. For example, let $w=(w_n)$ be an

independent sequence satisfying $P(w_k = -1) = P(w_k = 1) = 1/2, k \geq 1$, and W the martingale with difference sequence w . Define now $X_{2n+1} = X_{2n} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} w_k, X_1 = X_0 = 0$. Then $x_{2n+1} = 0$ and $x_{2n} = \frac{1}{n} w_n$ so that $s(X) = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2})^{1/2}$. Thus X is an L^2 -bounded martingale. If $T_k = 2k, v_{2k+1} = 1$ and $v_{2k} = 0$ for each $k \geq 1$, then $s(f) = 0$ but $U(f) = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2})^{1/2}$. This implies that U is not local and that $\|U(f)\|_p \leq C_p \|s(f)\|_p$ does not hold in general. On the other hand, if $T_k = 2k, v_{2k+1} = 0$ and $v_{2k} = 1$ for each $k \geq 1$, then $U(f) = 0$ and $s(f) = (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2})^{1/2}$. Moreover, in what follows we assume that the martingale X is locally square integrable.

PROPOSITION 1. Let $f_n = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k x_k, n \geq 1$, be a martingale transform in \underline{M} .

(1) If $|v_{T+1}| \leq |v_j|$ on $\{T_{k-1} < j \leq T_k\}$ for every j and k , then

$$\|U(f)\|_p \leq \sqrt{\frac{p}{2}} \|s(f)\|_p, \quad 2 \leq p < \infty$$

(2) If $|v_{T+1}| \geq |v_j|$ on $\{T_{k-1} < j \leq T_k\}$ for every j and k , then

$$\|U(f)\|_p \geq \sqrt{\frac{p}{2}} \|s(f)\|_p, \quad 0 < p \leq 2.$$

PROOF. We show only the part (1), the second part being proved similarly.

Let now $2 \leq p < \infty$, and suppose that for every $k, |v_{T+1}| \leq |v_j|$ for $T_{k-1} < j \leq T_k$.

An easy computation shows that $E[x_j^2 | F_{(j-1) \vee T_{k-1}}] = E[x_j^2 | F_{j-1}]$ on $\{T_{k-1} < j \leq T_k\}$ and $E[(X_{T_k} - X_{T_{k-1}})^2 | G_{k-1}] = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E[x_j^2 I_{\{T_{k-1} < j \leq T_k\}} | G_{k-1}]$. Therefore we have

$$\|U(f)\|_p = E \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_{T+1}^2 E \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E[x_j^2 | F_{j-1}] I_{\{T_{k-1} < j \leq T_k\}} | G_{k-1} \right] \right\}^{p/2-1/p}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq E\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E\left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} v_j^2 E[x_j^2 | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}] I_{\{T_{k-1} < j \leq T_k\}} | \mathcal{G}_{k-1}\right]\right\}^{p/2}]^{1/p} \\
&= E\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E[s_{T_k}(f)^2 - s_{T_{k-1}}(f)^2 | \mathcal{G}_{k-1}]\right\}^{p/2}]^{1/p} \\
&\leq \sqrt{\frac{p}{2}} E\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{s_{T_k}(f)^2 - s_{T_{k-1}}(f)^2\}\right]^{p/2}]^{1/p} \\
&\leq \sqrt{\frac{p}{2}} \|s(f)\|_p.
\end{aligned}$$

We considered in [1] the special case $v=1$.

REMARK. Let f be any martingale transform in \underline{M} as above. Define the following stopping times: $k \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
T_0 &= 0, \quad T_k = \text{Min} \left\{ j > T_{k-1}; |v_{j+1}| < |v_{T_{k-1}+1}| \right\}_{k-1} \\
S_0 &= 0, \quad S_k = \text{Min} \left\{ j > S_{k-1}; |v_{j+1}| > |v_{S_{k-1}+1}| \right\}_{k-1}
\end{aligned}$$

Then we get $|v_j| > |v_{T_{k-1}+1}|$ on $\{T_{k-1} < j \leq T_k\}$ and $|v_j| \leq |v_{S_{k-1}+1}|$ on $\{S_{k-1} <$

$j \leq S_k\}$.

PROPOSITION 2. For any f in \underline{M} there exist martingale transforms $f^{(1)}$ and $f^{(2)}$ in M such that

$$1^\circ. \quad f = f^{(1)} + f^{(2)}$$

$$2^\circ. \quad \text{for each } i=1,2 \quad \|U(f^{(i)})\|_p \leq \sqrt{\frac{p}{2}} \|s(f^{(i)})\|_p, \quad 2 \leq p < \infty.$$

PROOF. Let $f_n = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k x_k, v_0 = 0$ and define

$$v_n^{(1)} = \sum_{k=1}^n (v_k - v_{k-1})^+, \quad v_n^{(2)} = -\sum_{k=1}^n (v_k - v_{k-1})^-.$$

Then each $v^{(i)}$ is a previsible process so that the martingale transform $f^{(i)}$ defined by $f_n^{(i)} = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k^{(i)} x_k$ belongs to \underline{M} . As $v_n = v_n^{(1)} + v_n^{(2)}$ for each n , we get $f = f^{(1)} + f^{(2)}$. It is clear that $|v_n^{(i)}| \leq |v_{n+1}^{(i)}|$ for each $i=1,2$. This completes the proof.

REFERENCE

- [1]. N.Kazamaki, An inequality for the conditioned square functions on martingales. Tohoku Math. Journ., (to appear).