

## SEMINAIRE

# Equations aux Dérivées Partielles 1998-1999

#### Victor Ivrii

Eigenvalue asymptotics for Neumann Laplacian in domains with ultra-thin cusps  $S\'{e}minaire \'{E}. D. P. (1998-1999)$ , Exposé n° X, 6 p.

<a href="http://sedp.cedram.org/item?id=SEDP\_1998-1999\_\_\_\_A10\_0">http://sedp.cedram.org/item?id=SEDP\_1998-1999\_\_\_\_A10\_0</a>

U.M.R. 7640 du C.N.R.S. F-91128 PALAISEAU CEDEX

> Fax: 33 (0)1 69 33 49 49 Tél: 33 (0)1 69 33 49 99

## cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques http://www.cedram.org/

## EIGENVALUE ASYMPTOTICS FOR NEUMANN LAPLACIAN IN DOMAINS WITH ULTRA-THIN CUSPS †

## Victor Ivrii

ABSTRACT. Asymptotics with sharp remainder estimates are recovered for number  $\mathbf{N}(\tau)$  of eigenvalues of the generalized Maxwell problem and for related Laplacians which are similar to Neumann Laplacian. We consider domains with ultra-thin cusps (with  $\exp(-|x|^{m+1})$  width; m>0) and recover eigenvalue asymptotics with sharp remainder estimates.

1. Introduction. We are interested in eigenvalue asymptotics for Maxwell operator  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . Namely, let  $\mathfrak{H} = L^2(X, \Lambda^k) \oplus L^2(X, \Lambda^{k+1})$ ,  $\Lambda^k$  is a space of exterior forms of a degree  $k = 0, \dots, d^{1}$ , and let for

(1) 
$$\phi = \sum_{I} \phi_{I} dx_{I}, \quad dx_{I} = dx_{i_{1}} \wedge \dots dx_{i_{k}} : I = (i_{1}, \dots, i_{k}), i_{1} < \dots < i_{k}$$

we define  $\|\phi\|^2 = \sum_I \|\phi_I\|_{L^2(X)}^2$  and  $\|\begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix}\|^2 = \|\phi\|^2 + \|\psi\|^2$ . Let us consider an operator

(2) 
$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta^{\dagger} \mathcal{D}^* \alpha^{\dagger} \\ \alpha D \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with domain  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A}) = \{ \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{H}, \mathcal{A}u \in \mathcal{H}, \iota_{\partial X}\phi = 0 \}$  where  $\mathcal{D} = i\langle dx, D \rangle \wedge$ :  $C^{\infty}(X,\Lambda^k) \to C^{\infty}(X,\Lambda^{k+1})$  is the operator of the exterior differentiation and  $\mathcal{D}^*: C^{\infty}(X, \Lambda^{k+1}) \to C^{\infty}(X, \Lambda^k)$  is the formally adjoint operator<sup>2)</sup>; for  $\phi$  in form (1) we have  $\mathcal{D}\phi = \sum_{i} (iD_{i}\phi_{I})dx_{j} \wedge dx_{I}$ , ;  $\mathcal{D}^{*}\phi = \sum_{1 \leq p \leq k} (iD_{i_{p}}\phi_{I})(-1)^{p}dx_{I \setminus i_{p}}$ ,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Work was partially supported by NSERC grant OGP0138277. <sup>1)</sup>At given point, dim  $\Lambda^k = \frac{d!}{k!(d-k)!}$  and we consider complex rather than real spaces

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2)</sup>We can define action of  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}^*$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  at distributions as well

 $\alpha(x) \in \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{k+1}, \Lambda^{k+1});$  and  $\beta(x) \in \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^k, \Lambda^k)$  are nondegenerate matrices smoothly depending on x and constant close to infinity (or quickly stabilizing to constant),  $\iota_Y : C^{\infty}(X, \Lambda^k(X)) \to C^{\infty}(X, \Lambda^k(Y))$  is the restriction of the exterior form to submanifold  $Y^{3}$ 

There is no problem with self-adjoint expansion of such an operator defined on functions with compact support first provided  $\partial X$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are smooth enough. Furthermore, we assume that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are Hermitian positive matrices (otherwise one can reach it by means of the unitary transformation  $\begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & T_2 \end{pmatrix}$  with unitary matrices  $T_1(x)$  and  $T_2(x)$ .

Obviously for eigenfunctions  $\begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix}$  with non-zero eigenvalues automatically  $\mathcal{D}\alpha^{-1}\psi = \mathcal{D}^*\beta^{-1}\phi = 0$ , and further,  $\iota_{\partial X}\alpha^{-1}\psi = 0$ . Further,  $\begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ -\psi \end{pmatrix}$  is an eigenfunction with an eigenvalue  $-\tau$  and moreover, the number of eigenvalues of operator A belonging to  $(0,\tau)$  is

(3) 
$$\mathbf{N}_{k}(\tau) = \mathbf{N}_{L_{k,0}}^{-}(\tau^{2}) - \mathbf{N}_{L_{k,0}}^{-}(0+0)$$

where  $\mathbf{N}_{L_{k,0}}^{-}(\lambda)$  is the number of the eigenvalues of operator  $L_{k,0}$  generated by a quadratic form  $Q(\phi) = \|\alpha D\beta \phi\|^2 + \|\alpha_1^{-1}D^*\beta^{-1}\phi\|^2$  on the space  $\mathcal{H}_{k,0} = \{\phi \in L^2(X,\Lambda^k), \mathcal{D}^*\beta^{-1}\phi = 0\}$  with domain  $\mathfrak{D}(Q) = \{\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{k,0}, Q(\phi) < \infty, \iota_{\partial X}\beta\phi = 0\}$ , which are less than  $\lambda$ ; later in our conditions it will be a finite number;  $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{L}(\Lambda^{k-1}, \Lambda^{k-1})$  is a nondegenerate matrix (usually constant close to infinity).

Furthermore, considering the same form Q(u) on the space  $\mathcal{H}_k = \{\phi \in L^2(X, \Lambda^k)\}$  with domain  $\mathfrak{D}(Q) = \{\phi \in \mathcal{H}_k, Q(\phi) < \infty, \iota_{\partial X}\beta\phi = 0\}$ , we get an operator  $L_k$ ; one can check easily that for  $\tau > 0$  eigenspaces  $\mathcal{H}_k(\tau)$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{k,0}(\tau)$  of  $L_k$ , 0 and  $L_k$  satisfy

$$(4) \qquad \mathcal{H}_{k}(\tau) \subset \mathcal{H}_{k,0}(\tau), \ \mathcal{H}_{k}(\tau) \ominus \mathcal{H}_{k,0}(\tau) = \beta^{-1} \mathcal{D} \alpha_{1}^{-1} \left( \alpha_{1}^{-1} \mathcal{D}^{*} \beta^{-1} \mathcal{H}_{k}(\tau) \right)$$

and that  $\alpha_1^{-1}\mathcal{D}^*\beta^{-1}\mathcal{H}_k(\tau)$  is an eigenspace of the operator  $L_{k-1,0}$  generated by a form  $\|\beta^{-1}\mathcal{D}\alpha_1^{-1}\phi'\|^2 + \ldots$  on the space  $\mathcal{H}_{k-1,0} = \{\phi' \in L^2(X,\Lambda^{k-1}), \mathcal{D}^*\alpha_1\phi = 0, \iota_{\partial X}\alpha_1^{-1}\phi = 0\}$ . Therefore

(5) 
$$\mathbf{N}(\tau) = \mathbf{N}_{L_{k}}^{-}(\tau^{2}) - \mathbf{N}_{L_{k}}^{-}(0+0) - \mathbf{N}_{L_{k-1,0}}^{-}(\tau^{2}) - \mathbf{N}_{L_{k-1,0}}^{-}(0+0)$$

This reduction is not necessarily correct for non-smooth problems unless we are able to prove that  $\mathfrak{D}(L_k) \subset H^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(X)$  which is not true even for  $\alpha = \beta = I$  and  $X = W \oplus \mathbb{R}^{d-2}$  with a sector W with an angle between  $\pi$  and  $2\pi$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3)</sup>One can see easily that if Y is smooth of codimension 1 and  $\phi \in L^2(X, \Lambda^K)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}\phi \in L^2$  then  $\iota_Y \phi$  is well-defined; if  $Y = \{x_1 = 0\}$  in appropriate coordinates and  $\phi$  is of the form (1) then  $\iota_Y \phi = \sum_{I \not\supseteq 1} \phi_I|_Y dx_I$ .

Note that for k = d - 1,  $\alpha = I$ ,  $\beta = I$  and  $\psi = udx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_d$  we obtain exactly eigenvalue problem for the Neumann Laplacian.

These formulae lead us to standard (known) asymptotics for compact domains with smooth boundaries:

(6) 
$$\mathbf{N}(\tau^2) = c_0 \tau^d + O(\tau^{d-1})$$

(here and below we omit indices k and may be 0) and even

(7) 
$$\mathbf{N}(\tau^2) = c_0 \tau^d + c_1 \tau^{d-1} + o(\tau^{d-1})$$

provided

(8) 
$$\det\left(\tau^2 - \beta \mathcal{D}(\xi)^{\dagger} \alpha^2 \mathcal{D}(\xi)\beta\right) = \tau^r \left(\tau^2 - g(x,\xi)\right)^s$$

where  $\mathcal{D}(\xi) = i\langle dx, \xi \rangle \wedge$  is a principal symbol of  $\mathcal{D}$ , g is a metrics on X and standard billiard condition holds.

The same results hold for other types of compact domains: the irregularity of the boundary should be of the type described in [Ivr1], sect. 10.2, inner cone condition should be fulfilled [IF], and (5) should hold.

**2. Thin cusps. Heuristics.** Let us consider domains with cusps; I consider one cusp for simplicity: we assume that unbounded part of X is  $\{x: x'' \in f(x')\Omega\}$  where  $x = (x'; x''), x' \in X' = \mathbb{R}^{d'}$  which is the base of the cusp (or  $X' = \mathbb{R}^{+}$  for d' = 1),  $\Omega$  (which is cross-section) is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^{d''}$  with smooth boundary, d = d' + d'',  $1 \le d' \le d - 1$ , f(x') > 0 and decays as  $|x'| \to \infty$ . Look first for operators  $L_k$ . As we know, boundary conditions are very important for the Laplace operator: if we have Dirichlet boundary condition then the spectrum of operator is discrete (provided cusp shrinks at infinity).

On the other hand for operator with a Neumann boundary condition spectrum is discrete only if cusp is very thin  $(\log f |x'|^{1+m})$  with m > 0 and for such operators asymptotics with sharp remainder estimate are derived in [Ivr2].

So basically we should determine first if for operator  $L_k$  condition is "Dirichlet"-like or "Neumann"-like at infinity; then for "Neumann"-like cusp assume that it is ultra-thin and write the cusp contribution; for "Dirichlet"-like cusp we need to describe non-Weyl contribution.

Let us consider the space  $\Phi_k = \{\phi \in C^{\infty}(\Omega, \Lambda^k)\mathcal{D}''\beta\phi = \mathcal{D}''^*\beta^{-1}\phi = 0, \iota_{X''}\beta\phi = 0\}$  (so we consider full forms with coefficients depending on x'' only); one can see easily that  $\dim \Phi_k$  does not depend on the choice of  $\beta$  and

(9) 
$$\dim \Phi_k = \sum_j \frac{d'!}{j!(d'-j)!} \dim \Phi''_{k-j}$$

where  $\Phi_j'' = \{\phi \in C^{\infty}(\Omega, \Lambda_{\Omega}^j), \mathcal{D}''\phi = \mathcal{D}''^*\phi = 0, \iota_{\Omega} = 0\}$ . From the point of view of operator  $L_k$  the cusp is "Dirichlet"-like iff  $\dim \Phi_k = 0$ ; we will discuss this case later and assume that  $\dim \Phi_k \geq 1$ . Let us consider operator

(10) 
$$\ell_k(x', D') = \mathbf{P}_k \beta M_k(x', D')^* \alpha^2 M_k(x', D') \beta + \beta^{-1} M_{k-1}(x', D') \alpha_1^{-2} M_{k-1}(x', D')^* \beta^{-1} \phi$$

acting from  $C^{\infty}(X', \Phi_k)$  to  $C^{\infty}(X', \Phi_k)$  with

(11) 
$$M(x', D') = \mathcal{D}' + \frac{d''}{2} (D' \log f) \wedge )$$

acting from :  $C^{\infty}(X', \Phi_k)$  to  $C^{\infty}(X', \Lambda_{\Omega}^{k+1})$ ,  $M(x', D')^*$  a formally adjoint operator and  $P_k$  orthogonal projector from  $L^2(\Omega, \Lambda^k)$  to  $\Phi_k$ . Then the cusp term for operator  $L_k$  will be

(12) 
$$N_{k,c}(\tau) = (2\pi)^{-d'} \iint \mathbf{n}_k(x', \xi', \tau^2) dx' d\xi'$$

where  $\mathbf{n}_k(x', \xi', \tau)$  is the eigenvalue counting function for finite-dimensional<sup>4</sup>) symbol  $\ell_k(x', \xi')$  of an operator  $\ell_k(x', D')$ .

Applying then (5) we get cusp contribution for operator  $L_{k,0}$  given by the formula (10) with  $\mathbf{n}_k(x', \xi', \tau^2)$  replaced by  $\mathbf{n}_{k,0}(x', \xi', \tau^2)$  which is the eigenvalue counting function for  $\mathcal{L}(\Phi_{k,0}, \Phi_{k,0})$ -valued symbol  $\ell_{k,0}(x', \xi') = \mathbf{P}_{k,0}(x', \xi')\ell_{k,0}(x', \xi')$ ; here  $\Phi_{k,0}(x', \xi') = \{\phi \in \Phi_k, M_{k-1}(x', \xi')^*\beta^{-1}\phi = 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{P}_{k,0}(x', \xi') \text{ is the orthogonal projection on } \Phi_{k,0}(x', \xi').$ 

One can see easily that

(13) 
$$\dim \Phi_{k,0} = \dim \Phi_k - \dim \Phi_{k-1,0}, \dim \Phi_{0,0} = 0$$

and (9),(13) yield that

(9)' 
$$\dim \Phi_{k,0} = \sum_{j} \frac{(d'-1)!}{j!(d'-1-j)!} \dim \Phi''_{k-j}.$$

Furthermore, for  $\alpha = I$ ,  $\beta = I$  near infinity

(14) 
$$N_{k,c}(\tau^2) = \dim \Phi_k N_c(\tau^2), \ N_{k,0,c}(\tau^2) = \dim \Phi_{k,0} N_c(\tau^2)$$

where  $N_c(\tau^2)$  is a cusp contribution to the asymptotics for Neumann Laplacian. One can expect that  $L_k$  or  $L_{k,0}$  are "Neumann-like" iff dim  $\Phi_k = 0$  or dim  $\Phi_{k,0} = 0$  respectively.

 $<sup>^{(4)}\</sup>mathcal{L}(\Phi_k,\Phi_k)$ -valued

### 3. Thin cusps. Results.

THEOREM 1. Let either  $L = L_k$ , dim  $\Phi_k \ge 1$  or  $L = L_{k,0}$ , dim  $\Phi_{k,0} \ge 1$ . Let

(15) 
$$\alpha = I, \ \beta = I \quad \text{as } |x| \ge C$$

and let

$$(16) |\nabla^{\alpha} \log f| \le C|x'|^{m+1-|\alpha|}$$

$$(17) -\log f \asymp |x'|^{1+m}, \quad |\nabla^{\alpha} \log f| \asymp |x|^{m}$$

with m > 0. Then

(i) For  $d'' \geq 2$  the following asymptotics holds

(18) 
$$\mathbf{N}(\tau^2) = c_0 \tau^d + N_c(\tau^2) + O(\tau^{d-1}) + O(\tau^q)$$

where  $c_0$  and  $c_1$  (see below) are standard Weyl coefficients,  $N_c(\tau) \approx \tau^q$  is defined by (12),  $p = \frac{d'(m+1)}{m}$ ,  $q = \frac{(d'-1)(m+1)}{m}$  and we omit operator-related indices k and may be 0;

(ii) For d'' = 1 the following estimate holds

(19) 
$$\mathbf{N}(\tau^2) = c_0 \tau^d + N_c(\tau^2) + O\left(\tau^{d-1}(\log \tau)^{\frac{d-1}{m+1}}\right) + O(\tau^q).$$

(iii) Moreover, if  $d'' \geq 2$ , (8) holds  $L = L_{k,0}$  (and similar condition for  $L = L_k$ ) and standard Hamiltonian condition is fulfilled, then one can replace  $O(\tau^{d-1})$  in asymptotics (18) by  $(c_1 + o(1))\tau^{d-1}$ ). One can find in theorem 0.1 [Ivr2] how to improve  $O(\tau^q)$ .

THEOREM 2. Let d'' = 1,  $m \ge d - 2$  and conditions (15) - (17) be fulfilled and let  $\log f$  be positively homogeneous of degree m + 1. Then

(i) Asymptotics

(20) 
$$\mathbf{N}(\tau^2) = c_0 \tau^d + \nu(\tau^2) + N_c'(\tau^2) + O(\lambda^{\frac{d-1}{2}})$$

holds with  $\nu(\tau^2) = c_3 \tau^{d-1} (\log \tau)^{\frac{d-1}{m+1}} + O(\tau^{d-1})$  the Weyl expression for second order term in domain  $\{f(x')\tau \leq 1\}$ .

(ii) Moreover, if m > d-2, (8) holds  $L = L_{k,0}$  (and similar condition for  $L = L_k$ ) and standard Hamiltonian condition is fulfilled, then one can replace  $O(\tau^{d-1})$  in Asymptotics (20) by  $(c_1 + o(1))\tau^{d-1}$ ). One can find in theorem 0.3 [Ivr2] how to improve  $O(\tau^q)$  for m = d-2.

Remark 3. One can weaken condition (15). Moreover, same asymptotics hold for manifolds and for manifolds with compact boundary one can skip condition (15).

4. Thick cusps. Sketch. In the case of 'Dirichlet'-like' cusp condition of being ultra-thin is no longer necessary and in this case one can derive asymptotics with sharp remainder estimates exactly in the same type as in [Ivr1], section 12.1. The only one difficulty is in the case of operator  $L_{k,0}$ , dim  $\Phi_{k,0} = 0$  and dim  $\Phi_k \geq 1$  but one can overcome them taking  $\alpha_1$  fast growing rather than constant at infinity.

#### References

- [Bir1] M. Sh. Birman. The Maxwell operator in domains with edges. J. Sov. Math., 37 (1987), 793-797.
- [Bir2] M. Sh. Birman. The Maxwell operator for a resonator with inward edges. Vestn. Leningr. Univ., Math., 19, no. 3 (1986), 1-8.
- [BS1] M.Sh.BIRMAN, M.Z.SOLOMYAK. The Maxwell operator in domains with a nonsmooth boundary. Sib. Math. J., 28 (1987), 12–24.
- [BS2] M.SH.BIRMAN, M.Z.SOLOMYAK. Weyl asymptotics of the spectrum of the Maxwell operator for domains with a Lipschitz boundary. Vestn. Leningr. Univ., Math., 20, no. 3 (1987), 15– 21
- [BS3] M.Sh.BIRMAN, M.Z.SOLOMYAK. L<sub>2</sub>-theory of the Maxwell operator in arbitrary domains. Russ. Math. Surv., **42**, no. 6 (1987), 75–96.
- [BS4] M.Sh.Birman, M.Z.Solomyak. The self-adjoint Maxwell operator in arbitrary domains. Leningr. Math. J., 1, no. 1 (1990), 99-115.
- [DS] E.B.Davies and B.Simon. Spectral properties of Neumann Laplacian of horns. Geom. and Func. Anal., 2, (1992), pp. 105-117.
- [Ivr1] V.Ivrii. Microlocal analysis and precise spectral asymptotics. Springer-Verlag, SMM, 1998.
- [Ivr2] V.Ivrii. Accurate spectral asymptotics for Neumann Laplacian in domains with cusps. Applicable Analysis, 71, (to appear)
  - [IF] V.IVRII, S. FEDOROVA. Dilatations and the asymptotics of the eigenvalues of spectral problems with singularities. Funct. Anal. Appl., 20, (1986), pp. 277–281".
- [JMS] V.Jakšić, S.Molčanov and B.Simon. Eigenvalue asymptotics of the Neumann Laplacian of regions and manifolds with cusps. *J. Func. Anal.*, **106**, (1992), pp. 59–79.
- [Sol1] M.SOLOMYAK. On the negative discrete spectrum of the operator  $-\Delta_N \alpha V$  for a class of unbounded domains in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes, Centre de Recherches Mathematiques, 12, (1997), pp. 283–296.
- [Sol2] M.SOLOMYAK. On the discrete spectrum of a class of problems involving the Neumann Laplacian in unbounded domains *Advances in Mathematics*, AMS (volume dedicated to 80-th birthday of S.G.Krein (P. Kuchment and V.Lin, Editors) in press.

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, 100, St. George Str., Toronto, Ontario M5S 3G3 CANADA ivrii@math.toronto.edu

Fax: (416)978-4107