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 $\underline{\text { EQUATIONS WITH CONSTANT COEFFICIENTS }}$
by L. H8rmander

Let $P(D)$ be a differential operator with constant coefficients in $R^{n}$, D. $=-i \partial / \partial x$. We shall study the properties of the singular support of a solution of an equation $P(D) u=f \in C^{\infty}(X)$ where, $X$ is an open set in $\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$. For applications to existence theorems for the adjoint see [1].

When $P$ is of principal type it is known that a closed set $F \subset X$ is the singular support of a distribution $u$ in $X$ with $P(D) u=f$ if and only if for every $x \in F$ there is a bicharacteristic $B_{\text {B }}$ through $x$ such that the component of $B \cap X$ containing $x$ is in $F$. The bicharacteristics are of dimension 1 or 2. If $p$ is the principal part of $P$ then by definition

$$
B=\left\{x+\operatorname{Re} z^{\prime}(\xi), z \in \mathbb{C}\right\}
$$

for some $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash 0$ with $p(\xi)=0$. Thus the space of normals of $B$ is a tangent of $\mathrm{P}^{-1}(0)$ at infinity in the direction $\xi$.

We shall here give general results which are similar but less precise. To state them we must first give a suitable definition of tangent planes at infinity to the surface $\mathrm{P}^{-1}(0)$. If $V$ is a linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ we introduce

$$
\widetilde{P}_{V}(\xi, t)=\sup \{|P(\xi+\theta)| ; \theta \in V,|\theta|<t\}
$$

with an aribitrary norm. When $V=\mathbf{R}^{n}$ we write $\widetilde{P}(\xi, t)$ instead of $\widetilde{P}_{V}(\xi, t)$ and note that with constants depending only on $n$ and the degree of $P$ we have

$$
\mathrm{C}_{1} \widetilde{\mathrm{P}}(\xi, t) \leq \Sigma\left|\mathrm{P}^{(\alpha)}(\xi)\right| \quad \mathrm{t}^{|\alpha|} \leqq \mathrm{C}_{2} \widetilde{\mathrm{P}}(\dot{\xi}, \mathrm{t}),
$$

so the notation agrees with the usual one. Now set

$$
\sigma_{P}(V)=\inf _{t>1} \underset{\xi \rightarrow \infty}{ } \lim _{\tilde{\xi} \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{P}_{V}(\varepsilon, t) / \widetilde{P}(\varepsilon, t)
$$

This is a continuous function of $V$ so it vanishes fof a closed set of subspaces; $V$ which is clearly independent of the choide of norm in $R^{n}$. In view of lemmas 8 and 9 below it is reasonable to consider $V$ as a tangent of $\mathrm{P}^{-1}(0)$ at $\infty$ in $\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$ precisely when $\sigma_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{V})=0$.

Theorem 1: Let $\Gamma$ be a closed convex set in $R^{n}$ and $V$ a linear subspace of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ with $\Gamma+V=\Gamma$, that is, $V$ belongs to the edge. If $\sigma_{P}\left(V^{\prime}\right)=0$, where $V$ d denotes the orthogonal space, one can for eyery non-negative integer $k$ find $u \in C^{k}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ with $P(D) u=0$, sing supp $u=\Gamma$ and $u \not \ell^{k+1}(N)$ if $N$ is any open set intersecting $\Gamma$.

Theorem 2 : : Let $\Gamma$ be a closed convex set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $V$ be the largest vector space with $\Gamma+V=\Gamma$, that is, $V$ is the edge of $\Gamma$. If $\sigma_{P}\left(V^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ it follows that every $u \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ with $P\left({ }^{\prime} D\right) u \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{sing} \operatorname{supp}!u \subset \Gamma$ is in $C^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$.
'There is also a local uniqueness theorem :
Theorem 3 : Let $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{k} \in C^{1}(X)$ where $X$ is an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and let $x^{0}$ be a point in $X$ where $d \varphi_{1}\left(x^{0}\right), \ldots, d \varphi_{k}\left(x^{0}\right)$ are linearly independent. Assume that $\sigma_{P}(W) \neq 0$ for the space $W$ spanned by $d \varphi_{1}\left(x^{0}\right), \ldots, d \varphi_{k}\left(x^{0}\right)$. If $u \in D^{\prime}(X), P(D) u \in C^{\infty}(X)$ and $u \in C^{\infty}\left(X_{-}\right)$,

$$
\dot{X}_{-}^{\prime}=\left\{x \in X ; \varphi_{j}(x)<\varphi_{j}\left(x^{0}\right) \text { for some } j=1, \ldots, k\right\}
$$

then $u \in C^{\infty}$ in a neighborhood of $x^{0}$.
The case $k=1$ is an analogue of llolmgren's uniqueness theorem with supports replaced by singular supports and the principal part $p$ replaced by $\sigma_{P}(N)$ where $N$ denotes the one dimensional space containing $N \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 0$. It is therefore possible to use theorem 3 and theorem 1 to
give the following analogue of theorem 5.3.3 in [2] Theorem $4^{i}: ~ L e t X_{1} \subset X_{2}$ be open convex sets in $\mathbb{R}^{n}{ }^{n}$ Then an open set $\mathrm{X} \subset \mathrm{X}_{2}$ has the property

$$
\mathbf{u} \in D^{\prime}\left(X_{2}\right), p u \in C^{\infty}\left(X_{2}\right), u \in C^{\infty}\left(X_{1}\right) \Rightarrow u \in C^{0}(X)
$$

if and only if for every hyperplane H with $\sigma_{P}\left(I I^{\prime}\right)=0$ the set $X_{1}$ intersects every affine hyperplane parallel to $H$ which meets $X$.

Theorem 3 also implies the following result :

Theorem 5 ; Let $V$ be a linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $\sigma_{P}\left(V^{\prime}\right)=0$ but $\sigma_{P}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ for every linear subspace $\neq \hat{\phi}$ strictly contained in $V$. If $P_{1}(D) u \in C^{\infty}$ and sing supp $u \subset V$ it follows that either sing supp $u=$ $V$ or $u \in C^{\infty}$.

On the other hand we know from theorem 1 that one can find $u$ with $P(D) y=0$ and sing supp $u=V$. Minimal linear subspaces $V$ with ${ }^{*} p\left(V^{\prime}\right)=0$ therefore $p l a y$ to a large extent the same role as the bicharacteristics for operators of principal type. However, examples show that the singular support of a distribution with $P(D) u=0$ is not always a union of such spaces as in the case of operators of principal type.

Theorem 6 : If $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are equally strong then $\sigma_{P_{1}}(V)=0$ is equivalent to $\sigma_{P_{2}}(V)=0$.

This follows easily from the definition.

We shall now give a brief sketch of the proofs of theorems 1 and 3. First of all one must reformulate the condition $\sigma_{P}(V)=0$ or $\sigma_{p}(V) \neq 0$ using the Tarski-Seidenberg theorem.

Lemma 7 If $\sigma_{p}(V)=0$ it follows that there are positive constants $b$, $\beta, r_{1}$, $\rho$ such that for any $t>1$ and $r>r_{1} t^{\rho}$ one can find $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ with $|\xi| \underset{\square}{\rho} r$ and

$$
\tilde{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{V}}(\xi, t) / \widetilde{\mathrm{P}}(\xi, t)<\mathrm{b} t^{-\beta} .
$$

If $\sigma_{p}(V) \nRightarrow 0$ on the other hand one can find $b, r_{1}, \rho$ such that

$$
\tilde{P}_{\mathrm{V}}(\xi, t) / \widetilde{P}(\varepsilon, t)>b>0 \text { if } t>1 \text { and }|\xi|>r_{1} t^{\rho}
$$

To prove theorem 1 the next step is to express the smallness of $\widetilde{P}_{V}(\xi, t)^{\prime} / \widetilde{P}(\xi, t)$ in terms of the zeros of $P$. In doing so we assume that $V$ is defined by $x^{\prime}=0$ where $x=\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right), x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{v}\right)$ and $x^{\prime \prime}=\left(x_{v+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a splitting of the coordinates in two groups.

Lemma 8 : For suitable positive constants $\varepsilon_{0}, C, \gamma$ (depending only on $n$ and the degree $m$ of $P$ ) the inequality $\widetilde{P}_{V}(\xi, t) / \widetilde{P}(\xi, t) \leq \varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$ implies that there exists an analytic map $\theta \rightarrow \zeta(\theta)$ from the ball $\Omega=\left\{\theta \in \mathbb{C}^{\nu},|\theta|<\gamma t\right\}$ to $\mathbb{a}^{\mathbf{n}}$ such that
(i) $\zeta^{\prime}(\theta)=\xi_{0}^{\prime}+\theta$ where $\xi_{0}^{\prime} \in \mathbf{R}^{\nu}$ and $\left|\xi_{0}^{\prime}-\xi^{\prime}\right| \leq t$
(ii) $\left|\zeta^{\prime \prime}\left(\theta_{i}\right)-\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|<\operatorname{ct} \varepsilon^{1 / m}, \theta \in \Omega$,
(iii) $P(\zeta(\theta))=0$.

This gives a precise sense to the statement that $\sigma_{p}\left(V^{\prime}\right)=0$ means that $V^{\prime}$ is a tangent to $\mathrm{P}^{-1}(0)$ at $\infty$

For any positive integer $N$ one can find a function $\Phi^{N}(\theta)$ with support in the real part of $\Omega$ and integral 1 such that the derivatives of order $|\alpha| \leq N$ can be estimated by $(C N / t)|\alpha|$. With such functions we form a solution of the equation $P(D) u=0$ by taking the average

$$
u(x)=\int e^{i<x, \zeta(\theta)\rangle_{\Phi}(\theta) d \theta .}
$$

For a suitable choice of the parameters $\bar{\xi}$, $t, N$ one can make u very small outside $V$ although $u(0)=1$, and the proof of theorem 1 follows easily.

We shall only sketch the proof of theorem in the case $k=1$ in order to simplify the notations. The first step is again to express a lower bound for $\widetilde{P}_{W}(\xi, t) / \widetilde{P}(\xi, t)$ as a property of the zeros of $P$ when $W$ is a line, in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ generated by the unit vector $\eta^{0}$.

Lemma 7 Let $\delta$, c be fixed positive constants, $\delta<1$. Then there exists positive onstants $c_{1}, \gamma$ depending only on $\delta, c, n$ and the degree of D such that $\tilde{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{W}}(\xi, \mathrm{t}) / \tilde{p}(\xi, \mathrm{t})>\mathrm{c}$ implies that for some r with $0<r<S_{1}$ we have

$$
\left|P\left(F+(i t+z) \eta^{0}+\zeta\right)\right| \geq c_{1} \widetilde{?}(\xi, t) \text { if } z \in \mathbb{C},|z|=r,|\zeta|<\gamma t .
$$

The converse is also true and the proof is elementary.

To construct a fundamental solution of $P$ que usually interpretthe integral

$$
(? \pi)^{-n} \int e^{i<x, \zeta>p(\zeta)^{-1}} d \zeta
$$

by taking; it over some cycle which avoids the zeros of ? and is close to $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$. Sometimes the cycle js taken close to the cycle defined by

$$
\xi \rightarrow \xi+i \lambda(\log |\xi|) \eta^{0}
$$

instead, where $\eta^{n}$ is a unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\lambda$ is large. The modulus ot the exponential is then $|\xi|^{-\lambda<x, \eta>}$ so the fundamental solution become: roughly $\lambda<x, \eta_{1}^{0}>$ times differentiable at $x$ (thus a distribution of order $-\lambda<x, \eta^{0}>$ when $\left.<x, \eta^{()}><0\right)$. The conclusion is that if $P(D) u \in C^{\infty}$ and if the singular support of $u$ has a compact intersection with a half space $\left\{x ;\left\langle x, n^{0}\right\rangle>a\right\}$, then the intersection is in fact empty.

If $\sigma_{p}\left(\eta^{0}\right) \neq 0$ it follows from lemma 7 that outside a compact set we have on this cycle a lower bound for $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{W}(\xi, t) / \widetilde{P}(\xi, t)$ when $t=\lambda \log |\xi|$ We can therefore replace the Dirac measure at $\xi+i t \cdot \eta^{0}$ by a mean value over the zero free region given by lemma 3. More precisely we use the measure

$$
\int u(\zeta), d \mu \stackrel{N}{\xi, t}(\zeta)=(2 \pi)^{-1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \psi \int u\left(\xi+\left(i t+r e^{i \psi}\right)_{1,} \eta^{0}+\tau\right) \Phi^{N}(\tau) d \tau
$$

where $|\tau|<\gamma t / 2$ in supp $\Phi^{N}$ and the derivatives of $\Phi^{N}$ of order $k \leq N$ can be estimated by $(\mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{t})^{k}$. We choose $N$ to be the integrial part of $\varepsilon$ t. This gives a fundamental solution which for any $v$ is in $C^{\nu}$ ' for large $\lambda$ in the set defined by

$$
(1-\delta)<x, \eta^{0} \gg-\gamma|x| / 20,3 \varepsilon \mathrm{e} / \gamma<|\mathbf{x}|<\underset{,}{6} \varepsilon \mathrm{e} / \gamma
$$

The proof of theorem 3 is then a routine matter.

For the details of proof and additional statements we refer to a paper with the same title to be published in connection with the symposium on linear and partial differential equations in Jerusalem June 1972.
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