# SÉMINAIRE D'ANALYSE FONCTIONNELLE ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE

## N. GHOUSSOUB

On operators fixing copies of  $c_o$  and  $\ell_{\infty}$ 

*Séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle (Polytechnique)* (1980-1981), exp. nº 12, p. 1-11 <a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SAF\_1980-1981">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SAF\_1980-1981</a> \_\_\_\_\_A12\_0>

© Séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle (École Polytechnique), 1980-1981, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



## **ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE**

## CENTRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES

91128 PALAISEAU CEDEX - FRANCE

Tél.: (1) 941.82.00 - Poste N° Télex: ECOLEX 691596 F

### S E M I N A I R E

## 

 $\underbrace{ \text{ON OPERATORS FIXING COPIES OF} }_{\text{COPIES OF}} \mathbf{c_{O}} \underbrace{ \text{AND }}_{\text{COPIES OF}} \ell_{\text{O}}$ 

#### N. GHOUSSOUB

(University of British Columbia, Vancouver)

Exposé No XII 12 Décembre 1980

In this seminar, we report on a part of a joint work with W.B. Johnson and T. Figiel [1] concerning the structure of non-weakly compact operators on Banach lattices. First, we recall the following two fundamental theorems.

Theorem (A): (A. Pełczynski [4]). A non-weakly compact operator from a C(K)-space into any Banach space must preserve a copy of  $c_0$ ; that is there exists a subspace of C(K), isomorphic to  $c_0$ , on which T acts as an isomorphism.

Theorem (B) : (H. Rosenthal [5]). If K is a  $\sigma$ -Stonian compact space, then every non-weakly compact operator from C(K) into any Banach space must preserve a copy of  $\ell_\infty$ .

Our goal is to see to which extent, one can replace C(K) in theorems (A) and (B) by a larger class of Banach spaces.

## § I. NON WEAKLY COMPACT OPERATORS :

The existence of the James space [2] eliminates the possibility of replacing C(K) in theorem (A) by any Banach space not containing a subspace isomorphic to  $\ell_1$ , since  $c_0$  and  $\ell_1$  do not embed in this space and yet it is not reflexive. However, the result does hold for the idendity operator acting on a Banach <u>lattice</u> since if the latter is not reflexive, then it must contain a sublattice isomorphic either to  $\ell_1$  or  $c_0$  [3]. A natural problem is then to check if the result holds for any operator or equivalently if whether in theorem (A), C(K) can be replaced by any Banach lattice not containg  $\ell_1$ .

Surprisingly, Pełczynski's theorem does not extend even to this case as we show in the following counterexample.

Example (1) : For every p ,  $1 \le p < \infty$ , there exists a Banach lattice

 $\mathbf{X}_{p}$  and a lattice homomorphism  $\mathbf{T}_{p}$  from  $\mathbf{X}_{p}$  onto  $\mathbf{c}_{o}$  so that

- (i)  $T_{p}$  is strictly singular for each p, 1  $\leq$  p <  $\infty$
- (ii) X contains no subspace isomorphic to  $\boldsymbol{\ell}_{1}$  for p, 1 < p <  $_{\infty}$  .

We first give the idea. Let c be the space of converging sequences and set  $X = \ell_1(c)$ ; that is the space of doubly-indexed sequences  $a = (a_{i,j})$ , where  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ;  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\omega$  such that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} a_{i,j} = a_{i,\omega} \text{ for } i = 1,2,\dots$$

$$\|a\|_{X} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sup_{j} |a_{i,j}| < \infty$$

and

Define the norm one operator  $T: X \longrightarrow c_0$  by

$$Ta = (a_{i,\omega})^{\infty}_{i=1}.$$

Clearly, T is weakly compact and X contains lots of sublattices isomorphic to  $\ell_1$ . However, we can turn T into a non-weakly compact operator by adding to the unit ball of X vectors  $(\mathbf{f}_n)$  for which  $(\mathbf{Tf}_n)$  is not weakly compact in  $\mathbf{c}_0$  and taking for the new unit ball in X the absolute convex solid hull of the old unit ball and the  $\mathbf{f}_n'$ s, in order to get a normed lattice. The completion of the resulting space probably still contains  $\ell_1$  complementably, but we can kill them by taking the p-convexification of the space for some 1 .

Letting X and T be defined as above we define  $f_n \in X$  by

$$(r_n)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{, if } i \leq n \leq j \\ 0 & \text{, otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Clearly

$$\mathbf{Tf}_{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{\mathbf{i}=1}^{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}}$$

where  $(e_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is the unit vector basis for  $c_0$ .

Let  $X_0$  be the dense sublattice of X consisting of those vectors  $a=(a_{i,j})$  whose rows are eventually zero; i.e., for some n,  $a_{i,j}=0$  for all  $i\geq n$  and all  $j=1,2,\ldots,\omega$ .

Let  $\|\cdot\|_1$  be the greatest lattice norm on  $X_0$  such that

$$\|\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{n}}\|_{1} \le 1$$
,  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1} \le \|\mathbf{x}\|$ 

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and all  $x \in X_0$ . That is,  $\|\cdot\|_1$  is the gauge of the closed absolutely convex solid hull of the unit ball of  $X_0$  and the sequence  $(f_n)$ . Thus  $\|x\|_1 < 1$  if and only if there are  $g \in X_0^+$  and eventually zero sequence  $s_1, s_2, \dots$  in  $\mathbb{R}^+$  so that

$$|\mathbf{x}| \le \mathbf{g} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{s_i} \mathbf{f_i}$$
 and 
$$||\mathbf{g}||_{\mathbf{X}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{s_i} \le 1.$$

Let  $(X_1,\|.\|_1)$  be the completion of  $(X_0,\|.\|_1)$  and for  $1 , let <math>(X_p,\|.\|_p)$  be the completion of the p-convexification of  $(X_0,\|.\|_1)$ ; that is, for  $x \in X_0$ ,

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbf{p}} = \|\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1}^{\mathbf{p}}\|_{1}^{1/\mathbf{p}}$$
.

(See chapter 1.e in [3] for a discussion of p-convexity.)

We claim that  $\|T\|_p = 1$  for every  $1 \le p < \infty$ ; i.e., T has norm one as an operator from  $(X_0, \|.\|_p)$  into  $c_0$ . This claim is a consequence of the observation that for each i and j, the coordinatewise evaluation functional on  $X_0$  defined by  $a \longrightarrow a_i, j$  has  $\|.\|_p$ -norm one. (For p=1 this is clear, because  $|f_n| \le 1$  for each n, the general case then follows from the definition of  $\|.\|_p$ .)

Since  $X_o$  is dense in  $X_p$ , T extends to a norm one operator,  $T_p$ , from  $X_p$  into  $c_o$ . Note also that  $T_p$  is a lattice homomorphism and for every choice of signs  $\underline{+}$  and  $n=1,2,\ldots$ ; there is  $g\in X_o$ ,  $|g|\leq f_n$ , so that  $T_p=\Sigma$   $\underline{+}$   $\underline{+}$   $\underline{+}$   $\underline{+}$   $\underline{+}$  which shows that  $T_p$  is a quotient map.

In the sequel, we shall say that a sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in  $X_p$  is a <u>special</u>  $c_0$ -<u>sequence</u> if there exist  $K <_{\infty}$  and integers  $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots$  such that for every  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ ,

$$x_n \ge 0$$
 ,  $\|x_n\|_p = 1$ 

$$(x_n)_{i,j} = 0 \text{ if } i \neq i_n$$

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k} \right\|_{p} < K.$$

Note that if  $1 \le i < \infty$  and  $x \in X_0$  with

$$x_{\ell,j} = 0 \text{ for } \ell \neq i$$

then

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbf{X}} = \sup_{\mathbf{j}} |\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|$$
;

consequently,

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbf{p}} = \sup_{\mathbf{j}} |\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}|$$

for p = 1 and hence for all 1  $\le$  p <  $_{\infty}$  . In particular, all the terms of a special c  $_0-sequence$  lie in X  $_0$  .

We now show that  $\mathbf{X}_1$  contains no special  $\mathbf{c}_0$ -sequence.

If such a sequence  $(x_n^{})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  exists in  $\textbf{X}_1^{},$  pick for each n an index  $\textbf{j}_n^{}<\omega$  so that

$$(x_n)_{i_n, j_n} \ge 1/2 \sup_{j} (x_n)_{i_n, j} = 1/2 ||x_n||_1 = 1/2$$
.

By passing to a subsequence, we may assume that  $i_{n+1} > j_n$  for each n.

Given an integer N, find  $g \in X_0^+$  and  $(s_i)_{i=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$  so that

$$\|\mathbf{g}\|_{\mathbf{X}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{s}_{i} \leq \mathbf{g} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{s}_{i}^{T}_{i},$$

$$\|\mathbf{g}\|_{\mathbf{X}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{s}_{i} \leq \|\sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{n}\|_{1} + 1.$$

Evaluating both sides of the first inequality at  $(i_n, j_n)$ , we get

$$1/2 \le (g)_{i_n, j_n, j_n = i_n}^{j_n} s_i \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

It follows that

$$N/2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{N} (g)_{i_n, j_n} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{i=i_n}^{j_n} s_i \leq$$

$$\leq \|\mathbf{g}\|_{\mathbf{X}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{s}_{i} < \|\sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{n}\|_{1} + 1$$

which for large N contradicts the inequality

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{n} \right\|_{1} < K.$$

To prove (i), suppose that  $T_p: X_p \longrightarrow c_o$  is an isomorphism on an infinite dimensional subspace E of  $X_p$  which we way assume is isomorphic to  $c_o$ . Let  $(z_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  be a normalized basis for E which is K-equivalent to the unit vector basis of  $c_o$ ; since  $X_o$  is dense in  $X_p$ , we can assume that each  $z_n$  lies in  $X_o$ .

Since

$$\|T_{p}z_{n}\| = \max_{i} |(z_{n})_{i,\omega}| \text{ and}$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (z_{n})_{i,\omega} = 0 \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N},$$

we can find a sequence  $i_{1} < i_{2} < \cdots$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that for all n,

$$|(\mathbf{z}_n)_{\mathbf{i}_n,\omega}| > \delta$$
.

Define the band projection  $P_n: X_p \longrightarrow X_p$  by

$$(P_nx)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} x_{i,j}, & \text{if } i = n \\ \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq n \end{cases}$$

By the diagonal principle (cf. p.20 in [2]) it follows that the disjoint sequence  $(P_i z_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is K/ $\delta$ -equivalent to the unit vector basis of  $c_o$ . Consequently,

$$y_n = \|P_i z_n\|_p^{-1} P_i z_n$$

is a special  $c_0$ -sequence in  $X_p$  and hence the sequence  $x_n = y_n^p$  is a special  $c_0$ -sequence in  $X_1$ , which is a contradiction.

To prove (ii), note that if E is a subspace of  $X_{D}$  isomor-

phic to  $\ell_1$ , and if  $S_m X_p = \sum\limits_{i=1}^m P_i X_p$  determines the natural Schauder decomposition of  $X_p$ , then  $S_m|_E$  cannot be an isomorphism for any m because  $S_m X_p$  is isomorphic to  $c_o$ . Thus there exists a normalized sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  in E which is equivalent to the unit vector basis for  $\ell_1$  and a disjoint sequence  $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $X_o$  so that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{y}_n\|_p = 0.$$

It follows that the sublattice of  $X_p$  generated by  $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is isomorphic to  $\ell_1$ , which is impossible for p>1 because  $X_p$  is p-convex.

## § II. OPERATORS WHOSE ADJOINT ARE NOT WEAK\*-SEQUENTIALLY COMPACT

To study the extensions of theorem (B), we note first that if K is  $\sigma$ -Stomian, then C(K) is a Grothendieck space, that is the weak-star sequential convergence in its dual coincide with the weak convergence. The problem then reduces to the study of the structure of operators whose adjoints are not weak-star sequentially compact and whose domain is a Banach lattice which contains no complemented copy of  $\ell_1$ . The first theorem reduces the problem to C(K)-spaces, where much is known.

Given any u in the positive cone  $L^+$  of a Banach lattice L, denote by  $L_u$  the (not necessarily closed) ideal generated by u. The canonical injection from  $L_u$  into L is denoted by  $j_u$  or just j if there is no ambiguity. If we put the natural norm on  $L_u$ , defined by

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbf{u}} = \inf \{\lambda > 0 : |\mathbf{x}| \le \lambda \mathbf{u}\}$$

then  $(L_u, \|.\|_u)$  is an abstract M-space with unit u and hence is isometrically isomorphic to a C(K) space by Kakutani's Theorem. The operator  $j_u: (L_u, \|.\|_u) \longrightarrow L$  obviously has norm  $\|u\|$ .

Theorem 2: Let L be a Banach lattice which does not contain a copy of  $\ell_1$  as a sublattice and let T be an operator from L into a Banach space X such that T\* Ball(X\*) is not weak\* sequentially compact. Then there exists u  $\ell$  L so that  $(Tj_u)^*$  Ball(X\*) is not weak\* sequen-

tially compact.

To prove the theorem we will need a few lemmas. Given an infinite subset of IN , denote by [M] the set of all infinite subsets of M. Given a Banach space L and a bounded sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $L^{*}$ , we define for  $x \in L$  and  $M \in [N]$ 

$$\alpha_{M}(x) = \lim_{m \in M} \sup_{m} f_{m}(x) - \lim_{m \in M} \inf_{m} f_{m}(x)$$
.

Note that

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{x}) \leq 2 \sup_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M}} \|\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{m}}\| \|\mathbf{x}\|$$

and there exists  $P \in [M]$  so that

$$\left|\lim_{\mathbf{p}\in\mathbf{P}}\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x})\right| \geq 1/2 \alpha_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Given  $A \subseteq L$ , define

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{A}) = \sup\{\alpha_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \geq 0, ||\mathbf{x}|| \leq 1, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{A}\}$$

$$\beta_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{A}) = \inf\{\alpha_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{A}) : \mathbf{p} \in [\mathbf{M}]\}.$$

Lemma (3): Let L be a Banach space and let  $(f_n)$  be a bounded sequence in L\*. If  $A \subseteq Ball$  (L) and  $M \in [IN]$ , then either  $\beta_p(A) > 0$  for some  $P \in [M]$  or there exists  $P \in [M]$  such that  $(f_p)_{p \in P}$  converges pointwise on A.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \underline{Proof} & : & \text{If } \beta_p(A) = 0 \text{ for all } P \in [M], \text{ we can recursively define} \\ \underline{infinite sets } M \supseteq P_1 \supseteq P_2 \supseteq \cdots \text{ so that } \alpha_{P_n}(A) < \frac{1}{n} \cdot \text{ If } P \text{ is a} \\ \underline{diagonal sequence with respect to the } P_n's, \text{ then } \alpha_p(A) = 0 \text{ ; i.e.,} \\ \underline{(f_p)}_{p \in P} \text{ converges on } A. \end{array}$ 

From lemma (3) it follows that if L is a Banach lattice and (f<sub>n</sub>)  $\subseteq$  Ball(L\*) has no weak\* convergent subsequence, then we may assume, by passing to a subsequence of (f<sub>n</sub>) that  $\beta_{\mathbb{N}}(L^+) > 0$ .

To prove Theorem 2, we fix a sequence  $(f_n) \subseteq T\%Ball(X\%)$  with  $\sup_n \|f_n\| \le 1$  so that  $\beta_N(L^+) > 0$ . We assume that  $\beta_M(L_X) = 0$  for

all  $x \in L^+$  and  $M \in [TN]$  since this is the case if  $(j_{X-M}^*)_{M \in M}$  has a subsequence which converges weak\* in  $L_X^*$ . The conclusion that this set-up implies that L must contain a disjoint positive sequence equivalent to the unit vector basis of  $\ell_1$  is an immediate consequence of the next two lemmas. Lemma (4), produces an "almost disjoint" sequence in Ball( $L^+$ ) which, by Lemma (5), has a subsequence which is a small perturbation of a disjoint  $\ell_1$  sequence.

(ii) 
$$|f(y_n)| \ge \delta/2$$
.

 $\frac{Proof}{(M_n)\subseteq [IN]} \ \ \text{to satisfy for each } n=1,2,\cdots \ \ \text{condition (i) and}$ 

(iii) 
$$M_{n+1} \subseteq M_n$$

(iv) 
$$|f_m(y_n)| > \delta/2 \text{ for all } m \in M_n.$$

Having done this, we simply let f be any element of Ball(L\*) which is a weak\* cluster point of  $(f_k)_{k\in M_n}$  for each n = 1,2,...

Choosing  $y_1 \in Ball(L^+)$  so that  $\alpha_{IN}(y_1) > \delta$ , we have that

$$\lim_{m \in \mathbb{N}} |f_{m}(y_{1})| > \delta/2$$

so that we can choose  $M_1 \in [IN]$  to satisfy (iv) for n = 1.

Having defined  $(M_n)_{n=1}^N$  and  $(y_n)_{n=1}^N$  to satisfy (i), (iii), and (iv) for  $n \le N$ , we pick  $M \in [M_N]$  so that

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{M}}([0, \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{i}]) = 0$$

and choose  $z \in Ball(L^+)$  so that  $\alpha_{M}(z) > \delta$ . Define

$$\mathbf{y}_{N+1} = \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z} \wedge \left( \varepsilon_{N+1}^{-1} \overset{N}{\underset{i=1}{\sum}} \mathbf{y}_{k} \right)$$
.

Since

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{M}} \left( \mathbf{z} \wedge \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{N+1}}^{-1} \begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{N} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \end{array} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}} \right) = 0.$$

we have that

$$\alpha_{M}(y_{N+1}) = \alpha_{M}(z) > \delta$$
.

Thus we can choose  $\mathbf{M_{N+1}} \in \texttt{[M]}$  so that for all  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M_{N+1}}$  ,

$$|f_{\mathbf{m}}(y_{N+1})| > \delta/2$$
.

To check (i), just note that if z,  $x \in L^+$  and  $\lambda \in {\rm I\!R}^+$ , then

$$(z - z \wedge \lambda x) \wedge x = (z - \lambda x)^{+} \wedge x \leq \lambda^{-1} z.$$

$$\|y_{n(i)} - x_i\| < 4^{-i+1}\epsilon$$
.

Consequently,  $|f(x_i)| > \delta$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , and hence  $(x_i)$  is  $1/\delta$ -equivalent to the unit vector basis for  $\ell_1$  and  $[x_i]$  is  $1/\delta$ -complemented in L.

 $\frac{\text{Proof}}{n = 1, 2, \dots}$ : Assume, by passing to a subsequence of  $(y_n)$ , that for

(a) 
$$\|\mathbf{y}_{n+1} \wedge \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{y}_{i}\| < 4^{-n} \epsilon$$

We define by recursion a double sequence  $(y_n,k)_{n=1}^{\infty} \stackrel{\infty}{\underset{k=n}{\longrightarrow}} -Ball(L^+)$  to satisfy

- (b)  $(y_{n,k})_{n=1}^k$  is disjoint for k = 1, 2, ...
- (c)  $y_{n,k+1} \le y_{n,k} \le y_n$  for  $1 \le n \le k$ .

(d) 
$$\|y_n - y_{n,n}\| < 4^{-n} \epsilon$$
 for  $n = 1, 2, ...$ 

(e) 
$$\|y_{n,k} - y_{n,k+1}\| < 4^{-k} \epsilon \text{ for } 1 \le n \le k$$
.

Once this is done, we can in view of (e) set

$$x_n = \lim_{k \to \infty} y_{n,k}$$
;

from (b) and (c) we have that  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is disjoint and  $0 \le x_n \le y_n$  for each  $n=1,2,\ldots$  From (d) and (e) we infer that

$$\|\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}} - \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n}}\| < 4^{-\mathbf{n}+1} \varepsilon$$

We turn now to the construction of the  $y_{n,k}$ 's. Set  $y_{1,1} = y_1$ . Suppose that  $(y_{n,k})$  N has been defined. Let

$$y_{N+1,N+1} = y_{N+1} - y_{N+1} \wedge \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^{N} y_{N,k} \end{pmatrix}$$

and, for  $1 \le n \le N + 1$ , set

$$y_{n,N+1} = y_{n,N} - y_{n,N} \wedge y_{N+1}$$
.

We leave the verification of (b) - (e) to the reader.

By applying Theorem (B) we obtain the following two corollaries of Theorem (2).

Corollary (6): Let L be a  $\sigma$ -complete Banach lattice which does not contain a copy of  $\ell_1$  as a sublattice. If T is an operator from X into some Banach space Y and T\* Ball(Y\*) is not weak\* sequentially compact, then T preserves a copy of  $\ell_\infty$ .

<u>Proof</u>: By Theorem (2) there is  $u \in L^+$  so that  $(Tj_u)^*Ball$  (Y\*) is not weak\* sequentially compact and hence not weakly compact. When  $L_u$  is represented as C(K) space, K is  $\sigma$ -Stonian because L is  $\sigma$ -complete. Therefore, by Theorem (B)  $Tj_u$ , hence also T, preserves a copy of  $\ell_\infty$ .

Corollary (7): If L is a  $\sigma$ -complete Grothendieck Banach lattice, then every non-weakly compact operator from L into any Banach space preserves a copy of  $\ell_\infty$ .

Proof: A Grothendieck space cannot contain \$\ell\_1\$ (or any other non-reflexive separable space) as a complemented subspace, and non-weakly compact operators from a Grothendieck space have adjoints which are not weak\* sequentially compact, and hence Corollary (6) can be applied to any non-weakly compact operator from a \sigma-complete Grothendieck Banach lattice.

Problem : It is still unknown whether every non-weakly compact operator from a Grothendieck space into any Banach space preserves a copy of  $\ell_\infty$  .

## References :

- [1] T. Figiel, N. Ghoussoub, W. Johnson: "On the structure of non-weakly compact operators on Banach lattices". (To appear) (1981).
- [2] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri : "Classical Banach spaces I".

  Springer-Verlag". (1977).
- [3] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri : "Classical Banach spaces-II".

  Springer-Verlag (1979).
- [4] A. Pełczynski: "Banach spaces on which every unconditionally converging operator is weakly compact". Bull. Acad. Polo. 10, 641-648 (1962).
- [5] H.P. Rosenthal: "On relatively disjoint families of measures, with some applications to Banach space theory". Studio, Math. T XXXVII (1970).

• • •