

SÉMINAIRE D'ANALYSE FONCTIONNELLE ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE

S. KWAPIEN

**Sums of independent Banach space valued random variables
(after J. Hoffmann-Jørgensen)**

Séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle (Polytechnique) (1972-1973), exp. n° 6, p. 1-6

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SAF_1972-1973__A6_0>

© Séminaire Maurey-Schwartz
(École Polytechnique), 1972-1973, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

*Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>*

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE

CENTRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES

17, RUE DESCARTES - 75230 PARIS CEDEX 05

Téléphone : 633-25-79

S E M I N A I R E M A U R E Y - S C H W A R T Z 1 9 7 2 - 1 9 7 3

SUMS OF INDEPENDENT BANACH SPACE VALUED RANDOM VARIABLES

(AFTER J. HOFFMANN-JØRGENSEN)

by S. KWAPIEN

VI.1

Let E be a vector space and \mathfrak{B} a σ -field of subsets of E compatible with the linear structure on E .

Let $\rho : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a measurable map such that

- 1) $\rho(x+y) \leq C[\rho(x) + \rho(y)]$ for $x, y \in E$
- 2) $\rho(\alpha x) \leq \rho(x)$ for $|\alpha| \leq 1$, $x \in E$.

Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{M}, P)$ be a probability space.

By E -valued random variables we shall mean measurable mappings $X : (\Omega, \mathfrak{M}) \rightarrow (E, \mathfrak{B})$.

The definitions of symmetry and of independence for E -valued random variables are the same as usually.

Lemma 1 : Let X be an E -valued symmetric random variable and $e \in E$ then

$$P(\rho(e+X) < \frac{\rho(2e)}{2C}) \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

Proof : The subsets of E : $A = \{z | \rho(e+z) < \frac{\rho(2e)}{2C}\}$ and $B = \{x | \rho(e-x) < \frac{\rho(2e)}{2C}\}$ are disjoint and measurable. Since X is symmetric $P(X \in A) = P(X \in B)$ and $P(X \in A) + P(X \in B) \leq 1$. Hence $P(X \in A) \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Q. E. D.

In the sequel X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n will denote a fixed sequence of E -valued, independent, symmetric random variables.

Let us denote

$$S_k = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_k \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Lemma 2 : $P(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \rho(S_k) \geq t) \leq 2P(\rho(S_n) \geq \frac{t}{2C})$

Proof : Let $\tau = \min \{k | \rho(S_k) \geq t\}$ ($\tau = +\infty$ if the set is empty). The sets $(\tau = k)$ $k = 1 \dots n$ are disjoint and

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n (\tau = k) = \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \rho(S_k) \geq t \right)$$

VI.2

For $k = 1, 2 \dots n$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\rho(S_n) < \frac{t}{2C}) \cap (\tau = k) = \int_{\tau=k} \chi_{(\rho(S_n) < \frac{t}{2C})} d\mathbb{P}$$

Let $Q_k(e) = \mathbb{P}(\rho(e + X_{k+1} + \dots X_n) < \frac{t}{2C})$. By lemma 1 if $\rho(e) \geq t$ then $Q_k(e) \leq \frac{1}{2}$. By Fubini theorem and independence arguments :

$$\int_{\tau=k} \chi_{(\rho(S_n) < \frac{t}{2C})} d\mathbb{P} = \int_{\tau=k} Q_k(S_k) d\mathbb{P} \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}(\tau=k)$$

(because $\rho(S_k) \geq t$ on $(\tau = k)$).

$$\text{Thus } \mathbb{P}((\rho(S_n) < \frac{t}{2C}) \cap (\tau=k)) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}(\tau=k) \text{ for } k = 1 \dots n.$$

Hence, adding these inequalities we get

$$\mathbb{P}((\rho(S_n) < \frac{t}{2C}) \cap (\max_k \rho(S_k) \geq t)) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}(\max_k \rho(S_k) \geq t)$$

Because $\mathbb{P}((\rho(S_n) < \frac{t}{2C}) \cap (\max_k \rho(S_k) \geq t)) \geq -\mathbb{P}(\rho(S_n) \geq \frac{t}{2C}) + \mathbb{P}(\max_k \rho(S_k) \geq t)$
we get

$$\mathbb{P}(\max_k \rho(S_k) \geq t) \leq 2\mathbb{P}(\rho(S_n) \geq \frac{t}{2C}) \quad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

Let us denote by $S = \rho(S_n)$ and by $H = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \rho(X_k)$

Lemma 3 : $\mathbb{P}(H \geq t) \leq 2\mathbb{P}(S \geq \frac{t}{4C^2})$

Proof : $\rho(X_1) = \rho(S_1)$, $\rho(X_2) = \rho(S_2 - S_1) \leq C[\rho(S_2) + \rho(S_1)]$, ...

$\rho(X_n) = \rho(S_n - S_{n-1}) \leq C[\rho(S_n) + \rho(S_{n-1})]$. Therefore

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \rho(X_k) \leq 2C \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \rho(S_k).$$

$$\mathbb{P}(H \geq t) = \mathbb{P}(\max_k \rho(X_k) \geq t) \leq \mathbb{P}(\max_k \rho(S_k) \geq \frac{t}{2C}) \leq 2\mathbb{P}(S \geq \frac{t}{4C^2}) \quad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

Lemma 4 : $\mathbb{P}(S \geq s + t + u) \leq \mathbb{P}(H \geq \frac{u}{C}) + 4\mathbb{P}(S > \frac{s}{2C^3}) \mathbb{P}(S > \frac{t}{2C^3})$

Proof : Let $\tau = \min \{k | \rho(s_k) \geq \frac{t}{C^2}\}$ then

$$(S \geq s + t + u) \subset (H \geq \frac{u}{C}) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^n ((\tau=k) \cap (\rho(s_n - s_k) \geq \frac{s}{C^2})). \text{ Thus}$$

$$\rho(S \geq s + t + u) \leq \rho(H \geq \frac{u}{C}) + \sum_{k=1}^n \rho((\tau=k) \cap (\rho(s_n - s_k) \geq \frac{s}{C^2}))$$

The events $(\rho(s_n - s_k) \geq \frac{s}{C^2})$ and $(\tau = k)$ are independent therefore

$$\rho(S \geq s + t + u) \leq \rho(H \geq \frac{u}{C}) + \sum_{k=1}^n \rho(\tau=k) \rho(\rho(s_n - s_k) \geq \frac{s}{C^2})$$

$$\text{By lemma 2 } \rho(\rho(s_n - s_k) \geq \frac{s}{C^2}) = \rho(\rho(x_{k+1} + \dots + x_n) \geq \frac{s}{C^2}) \leq 2\rho(S \geq \frac{s}{2C^3})$$

Hence

$$\rho(S \geq s + t + u) \leq \rho(H \geq \frac{u}{C}) + 2\rho(S \geq \frac{s}{2C^3}) \rho(\max_k \rho(s_k) \geq \frac{t}{C^2}) \leq$$

$$\rho(H \geq \frac{u}{C}) + 4\rho(S \geq \frac{s}{2C^3}) \rho(S \geq \frac{t}{2C^3}). \quad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

Lemma 5 : If ϕ is a real random variable then

$$E|\phi| = \int_0^{+\infty} \rho(|\phi| \geq t) dt.$$

Proof :

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \rho(|\phi| \geq t) dt = \int_0^{+\infty} (E X_{|\phi| \geq t}) dt = E(\int_0^{+\infty} X_{|\phi| \geq t} dt) = E \int_0^{|\phi|} dt = E|\phi|. \quad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

Let us denote by $F(t) = \rho(S \geq t)$ and by $G(t) = \rho(H \geq t)$ then it follows from the lemma 4 that

$$(*) \quad F(3t) \leq G(\frac{t}{C}) + 4 F^2(\frac{t}{2C^3})$$

Theorem 1 : If $\rho(S \geq \frac{1}{2C^3}) \leq \frac{1}{48C^3}$ then

$$ES \leq 6CEH + 24$$

Proof : By (*) we obtain

VI.4

$$\int_0^{+\infty} F(3t)dt \leq \int_0^{+\infty} G\left(\frac{t}{C}\right)dt + 4 + 4 \int_1^{+\infty} F\left(\frac{t}{2C^3}\right)F\left(\frac{1}{2C^3}\right)dt . \text{ Hence}$$

$$\frac{1}{3} \int_0^{+\infty} F(t)dt \leq C \int_0^{+\infty} G(t)dt + 4 + 4 \cdot 2C^3 F\left(\frac{1}{2C^3}\right) \int_0^{+\infty} F(t)dt \text{ or equivalently}$$

$$\left[\frac{1}{3} - 4 \cdot 2C^3 F\left(\frac{1}{2C^3}\right)\right] \int_0^{+\infty} F(t)dt \leq C \int_0^{+\infty} G(t)dt + 4.$$

Since $\frac{1}{3} - 4 \cdot 2C^3 F\left(\frac{1}{2C^3}\right) \geq \frac{1}{6}$, by lemma 5 we get theorem . Q. E. D.

Remark 1 : From lemma 3, it follows that

$$EH \leq 8C^2 ES$$

In the rest of the paper we shall assume that ρ fulfills : $3' \rho(\alpha x) = |\alpha|^p \rho(x)$ for some $0 < p < \infty$ and for all $x \in E$.

Let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n be a sequence of independent, symmetric equidistributed real random variables.

Let $\mathcal{N}(t) = P(|f_i|^p \geq t)$. We shall assume that

- a) $\int_t^{+\infty} \mathcal{N}(s)ds \leq Kt \mathcal{N}(t)$ for $t \geq 1$
- b) $\mathcal{N}(1) > 0$

Let $X_1 = x_1 f_1, X_2 = x_2 f_2, \dots, X_n = x_n f_n$ where $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in E$. Then X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is a sequence of independent, symmetric E -valued random variables.

Theorem 2 : If $P(H \geq 1) < 1 - e^{-\mathcal{N}(1)}$ then $EH \leq K\mathcal{N}(1) + 1$

Proof : Since

$$G(s) = P(\max_k \rho(X_k) \geq s) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n P\left(|f_k|^p \geq \frac{s}{\rho(x_k)}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{s}{\rho(x_k)}\right) \text{ we have}$$

$$EH = \int_0^{+\infty} G(s)ds \leq 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_1^{+\infty} \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{s}{\rho(x_k)}\right)ds = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n \rho(x_k) \int_{\frac{1}{\rho(x_k)}}^{+\infty} \mathcal{N}(s)ds$$

VI.5

But $G(s) = P(\max_k \rho(X_k) \geq s) = 1 - \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - P(\rho(X_k) \geq s)) \geq 1 - e^{-\sum_{k=1}^n N(\frac{s}{\rho(x_k)})}$

Therefore

$$(**) \sum_{k=1}^n N\left(\frac{1}{\rho(x_k)}\right) \leq -\log(1 - G(1)) < N(1) \quad (\text{by the assumptions})$$

Hence $\frac{1}{\rho(x_k)} < 1$ and we can apply a) and then

$$EH \leq 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n K N\left(\frac{1}{\rho(x_k)}\right) \leq 1 + K N(1) \quad (\text{by } **) . \quad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

Now combining theorem 1, 2 and lemma 3 we arrive at

Theorem 3 : Let $\varepsilon = \min\{\frac{1-N(1)}{2}, \frac{1}{48C^3}\}$, $\delta = \min\{\frac{1}{4C^2}, \frac{1}{2C^3}\}$ and

$M = 6C[5 + N(1)]$ then if $P(S \geq \delta) < \varepsilon$ then $E S < M$

Remark 2 : If E is a topological vector space and ρ is continuous then it is easy to pass from finite series to infinite ones.

Let $f_1, f_2 \dots f_n$ be independent real variables such that they are equi-distributed with characteristic function equal to $e^{-|t|^q}$, $0 < q \leq 2$.

Then $N(t) = P(|f_i|^p \geq t)$ fulfills the conditions a), b) for each

$$p < q^* = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } q = 2 \\ q & \text{if } q < 2 \end{cases} .$$

Let E be a quasi-normed space e.g. the norm in E fulfills $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$ and $\|x+y\| \leq C'(\|x\| + \|y\|)$ for some C' . If we apply theorem 3 to $\rho(x) = \|x\|^p$ then we obtain

Corollary : Let E be a quasi-normed space, and $f_1, f_2 \dots f_n$ a sequence of independent real random variables each of them with the characteristic function equal to $e^{-|t|^q}$.

For each $p < q^*$, there exists ε, δ and M such that for each $x_1 \dots x_n \in E$ if

$$\mathbb{P}(\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i f_i \right\| \geq \delta) < \varepsilon \quad \text{then}$$

$$E \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i f_i \right\|^p \leq M$$

Remark 2 : Let $0 < p \leq 2$ and that λ_p be a canonical cylindrical measure on a locally convex vector space E with the dual \mathcal{S}_p (cf exposé V). Assume that F is a quasi-normed space such that F' separates F , and that $u : E \rightarrow F$ is a continuous linear operator. Using corollary one can prove the following : if $u(\lambda_p)$ is a Radon measure then $u(\lambda_p^*)$ is of each order $< p^*$.

Remark 3 : Using methods presented here it is possible to prove that if $u(\lambda_2)$ is a Radon measure then

$$\int_F e^{\varepsilon \|x\|} du(\lambda_2)(x) < +\infty \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon.$$

But it is not possible to obtain that

$$\int_F e^{\varepsilon \|x\|^2} du(\lambda_2)(x) < +\infty \quad \text{e.g. the Shepp's result.}$$

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] J. Hoffmann-Jørgensen : Sums of independent Banach space valued random variables, Aarhus University, Preprint series 1972-1973 N° 15.
- [2] B. Maurey : Séminaire Maurey-Schwartz 1972-1973, Exposé N°V.