

Central Characters for Smooth Irreducible Modular Representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$

LAURENT BERGER

To Francesco Baldassarri, on the occasion of his 60th birthday

ABSTRACT - We prove that every smooth irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -linear representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ admits a central character.

Introduction

Let Π be a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$. We say that Π is smooth, if the stabilizer of any $v \in \Pi$ is an open subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$. We say that Π admits a central character, if every $z \in Z(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p))$, the center of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$, acts on Π by a scalar. The smooth irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic p , admitting a central character, have been studied by Barthel–Livné in [BL94, BL95] and by Breuil in [Bre03]. The purpose of this note is to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM A. *If Π is a smooth irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -linear representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$, then Π admits a central character.*

The idea of the proof of theorem A is as follows. If Π does not admit a central character, and if $f = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$, then for any nonzero polynomial $Q(X) \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p[X]$, the map $Q(f) : \Pi \rightarrow \Pi$ is bijective, so that Π has the

(* Indirizzo dell'A.: UMPA, ENS de Lyon, UMR 5669 du CNRS, Université de Lyon, 46 Allée d'Italie, 69007, Lyon, France.

E-mail: laurent.berger@ens-lyon.fr

URL: perso.ens-lyon.fr/laurent.berger/

structure of a $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p(X)$ -vector space. The representation Π is therefore a smooth irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p(X)$ -linear representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$, which now admits a central character, since f acts by multiplication by X . It remains to apply Barthel–Livné and Breuil’s classification, which gives the structure of the components of Π after extending scalars to a finite extension K of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p(X)$. A corollary of this classification is that these components are all “defined” over a subring R of K , where R is a finitely generated $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -algebra. This can be used to show that Π is not of finite length, a contradiction.

Note that it is customary to ask that smooth irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ also be admissible (meaning that Π^U is finite-dimensional for every open compact subgroup U of G). A corollary of Barthel–Livné and Breuil’s classification is that every smooth irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -linear representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ that admits a central character is admissible, and hence theorem A implies that every smooth irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -linear representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ is admissible. In particular, such a representation also satisfies Schur’s lemma: every $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ -equivariant map is a scalar. Our theorem A can also be seen as a special case of Schur’s lemma, since $\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$ is a $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ -equivariant map.

There are (at least) two standard ways of proving Schur’s lemma: one way uses admissibility, and the other works for smooth irreducible E -linear representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$, but only if E is uncountable (see proposition 2.11 of [BZ76]). In order to prove theorem A, we cannot simply extend scalars to an uncountable extension of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$, as we do not know whether the resulting representation will still be irreducible.

We finish this introduction by pointing out that a few years ago, Henriart had sketched a different (and more complicated) argument for the proof of theorem A.

1. Barthel–Livné and Breuil’s classification

Let E be a field of characteristic p . In this section, we recall the explicit classification of smooth irreducible E -linear representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$, admitting a central character.

We denote the center of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ by Z . If $r \geq 0$, then $\mathrm{Sym}^r E^2$ is a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$ which gives rise, by inflation, to a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$. We extend it to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)Z$ by letting $\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$ act trivially.

Consider the representation

$$\mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)} \mathrm{Sym}^r E^2.$$

The Hecke algebra

$$\mathrm{End}_{E[\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)]} \left(\mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)} \mathrm{Sym}^r E^2 \right)$$

is isomorphic to $E[T]$ where T is a Hecke operator, which corresponds to the double class $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)\mathbf{Z} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$. If $\chi : \mathbf{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ is a smooth character, and if $\lambda \in E$, then let

$$\pi(r, \lambda, \chi) = \frac{\mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)} \mathrm{Sym}^r E^2}{T - \lambda} \otimes (\chi \circ \det).$$

This is a smooth representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$, with central character $\omega^r \chi^2$ (where $\omega : \mathbf{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_p^\times$ is given by $p^n x_0 \mapsto \bar{x}_0$, with $x_0 \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$). Let $\mu_\lambda : \mathbf{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ be given by $\mu_\lambda|_{\mathbf{Z}_p^\times} = 1$, and $\mu_\lambda(p) = \lambda$. If $\lambda = \pm 1$, then we have two exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_E \otimes (\chi \mu_\lambda \circ \det) \rightarrow \pi(0, \lambda, \chi) \rightarrow \chi \mu_\lambda \circ \det \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow \chi \mu_\lambda \circ \det \rightarrow \pi(p-1, \lambda, \chi) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_E \otimes (\chi \mu_\lambda \circ \det) \rightarrow 0,$$

where the representation Sp_E is the “special” representation with coefficients in E .

THEOREM 1.1. *If E is algebraically closed, then the smooth irreducible E -linear representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$, admitting a central character, are as follows:*

- (1) $\chi \circ \det$;
- (2) $\mathrm{Sp}_E \otimes (\chi \circ \det)$;
- (3) $\pi(r, \lambda, \chi)$, where $r \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ and $(r, \lambda) \notin \{(0, \pm 1), (p-1, \pm 1)\}$.

This theorem is proved in [BL95] and [BL94], which treat the case $\lambda \neq 0$, and in [Bre03], which treats the case $\lambda = 0$.

We now explain what happens if E is not algebraically closed.

PROPOSITION 1.2. *If Π is a smooth irreducible E -linear representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$, admitting a central character, then there exists a finite*

extension K/E such that $(\Pi \otimes_E K)^{\text{ss}}$ is a direct sum of K -linear representations of the type described in theorem 1.1.

PROOF. Barthel and Livné's methods show (as is observed in § 5.3 of [Paš10]) that Π is a quotient of

$$\Sigma = \frac{\text{ind}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)\mathbf{Z}}^{\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)} \text{Sym}^r E^2}{P(T)} \otimes (\chi \circ \det),$$

for some integer $r \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$, character $\chi: \mathbf{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow E^\times$, and polynomial $P(Y) \in E[Y]$. Let K be a splitting field of $P(Y)$, write $P(Y) = (Y - \lambda_1) \cdots (Y - \lambda_d)$, and let $P_i(Y) = (Y - \lambda_1) \cdots (Y - \lambda_i)$ for $i = 0, \dots, d$. The representations $P_{i-1}(T)\Sigma/P_i(T)\Sigma$ are then subquotients of the $\pi(r, \lambda_i, \chi)$, for $i = 1, \dots, d$. \square

We finish this section by recalling that if $\lambda \neq 0$, then the representations $\pi(r, \lambda, \chi)$ are parabolic inductions (when $\lambda = 0$, they are called supersingular). Let $B_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ be the upper triangular Borel subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$, let χ_1 and $\chi_2: \mathbf{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ be two smooth characters, and consider the parabolic induction $\text{ind}_{B_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)}^{\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)}(\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2)$. The following result is proved in [BL94] and [BL95].

THEOREM 1.3. *If $\lambda \in E \setminus \{0; \pm 1\}$, and if $r \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$, then $\pi(r, \lambda, \chi)$ is isomorphic to $\text{ind}_{B_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)}^{\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)}(\chi\mu_{1/\lambda} \otimes \chi\omega^r\mu_\lambda)$.*

2. Proof of the theorem

We now give the proof of theorem A. Let Π be a smooth irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -linear representation of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$. We have $\Pi^{(1+p\mathbf{Z}_p) \cdot \text{Id}} \neq 0$ (since a p -group acting on a \mathbf{F}_p -vector space always has nontrivial fixed points), so that if Π is irreducible, then $(1+p\mathbf{Z}_p) \cdot \text{Id}$ acts trivially on Π . If $g \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times \cdot \text{Id}$, then $g^{p-1} = \text{Id}$ on Π , so that $\Pi = \bigoplus_{\omega \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times} \Pi^{g=\omega \cdot \text{Id}}$. Since Π is irreducible, this implies that the elements of $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times \cdot \text{Id}$ act by scalars.

If $f = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$, then for any nonzero polynomial $Q(X) \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p[X]$, the kernel and image of the map $Q(f): \Pi \rightarrow \Pi$ are subrepresentations of Π . If $Q(f) = 0$ on a nontrivial subspace of Π , then f admits an eigenvector for an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$. This implies that $\Pi = \Pi^{f=\lambda \cdot \text{Id}}$, so that Π does admit a central character. If this is not

the case, then $Q(f)$ is bijective for every nonzero polynomial $Q(X) \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p[X]$, so that Π has the structure of a $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p(X)$ -vector space, and is a $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p(X)$ -linear smooth irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$, admitting a central character.

Let $E = \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p(X)$. Proposition 1.2 gives us a finite extension K of E , such that $(\Pi \otimes_E K)^{\mathrm{ss}}$ is a direct sum of K -linear representations of the type described in theorem 1.1. The $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -linear representation underlying $(\Pi \otimes_E K)^{\mathrm{ss}}$ is isomorphic to $\Pi^{[K:E]}$, and hence of length $[K:E]$. We now prove that none of the K -linear representations of the type described in theorem 1.1 are of finite length, when viewed as $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -linear representations.

Let Σ be one such representation, and let $\lambda \in K$ be the corresponding Hecke eigenvalue. We now construct a subring R of K , which is a finitely generated $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -algebra, and an R -linear representation Σ_R of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$, such that $\Sigma = \Sigma_R \otimes_R K$.

If $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$, then theorem 1.1 shows that

$$\Sigma = \frac{\mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)} \mathrm{Sym}^r \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^2}{T - \lambda} \otimes_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} K(\chi \circ \det), \text{ or } \mathrm{Sp}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \otimes_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} K(\chi \circ \det), \text{ or } K(\chi \circ \det).$$

We can then take $R = \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p[\chi(p)^{\pm 1}]$, and $\Sigma_R = (\mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)} \mathrm{Sym}^r \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^2 / (T - \lambda)) \otimes_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} R(\chi \circ \det)$, or $\mathrm{Sp}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} \otimes_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} R(\chi \circ \det)$, or $R(\chi \circ \det)$, respectively.

If $\lambda \notin \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$, then by theorem 1.3, we have

$$\Sigma = \mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{B}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)} (\chi \mu_{1/\lambda}, \chi \omega^r \mu_\lambda).$$

We can take $R = \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p[\lambda^{\pm 1}, \chi(p)^{\pm 1}]$, and let Σ_R be the set of functions $f \in \Sigma$ with values in R .

In the first case, Σ_R is a free R -module, while in the second case, Σ_R is isomorphic as an R -module to $C^\infty(\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Q}_p), R)$ and hence also free. In either case, if $f \in R$ is nonzero and not a unit and $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, then $f^{j+1} \cdot \Sigma_R$ is a proper $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -linear subrepresentation of $f^j \cdot \Sigma_R$, so that the underlying $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -linear representation of Σ_R is not of finite length. Since $\Sigma_R \subset \Sigma$, the underlying $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -linear representation of Σ is not of finite length, which is a contradiction. This finishes the proof of theorem A.

Acknowledgments. I am grateful to C. Breuil, G. Chenevier, P. Colmez, G. Henniart, F. Herzig, M. Schein, M.-F. Vignéras and the referee for helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [BL94] L. BARTHEL - R. LIVNÉ, *Irreducible modular representations of GL_2 of a local field*, Duke Math. J., **75**, no. 2 (1994), pp. 261–292.
- [BL95] L. BARTHEL - R. LIVNÉ, *Modular representations of GL_2 of a local field: the ordinary, unramified case*, J. Number Theory, **55**, no. 1 (1995), pp. 1–27.
- [BZ76] I. BERNŠTEĪN - A. ZELEVINSKIĪ, *Representations of the group $GL(n, F)$, where F is a local non-Archimedean field*, Russian Math. Surveys, **31**, no. 3 (1976), pp. 1–68.
- [Bre03] C. BREUIL, *Sur quelques représentations modulaires et p -adiques de $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$: I*, Compositio Math., **138**, no. 2, (2003), pp. 165–188.
- [Paš10] V. PAŠKŪNAS, *The image of Colmez's Montréal functor*, preprint, 2010.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 23 agosto 2011.