

## Realization Theorems for Valuated $p^n$ -Socles

PATRICK W. KEEF

ABSTRACT - If  $n$  is a positive integer and  $p$  is a prime, then a valuated  $p^n$ -socle is said to be  $n$ -summable if it is isometric to a valuated direct sum of countable valuated groups. The functions from  $\omega_1$  to the cardinals that can appear as the Ulm function of an  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socle are characterized, as are the  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socles that can appear as the  $p^n$ -socle of some primary abelian group. The second statement generalizes a classical result of Honda from [9]. Assuming a particular consequence of the generalized continuum hypothesis, a complete description is given of the  $n$ -summable groups that are uniquely determined by their Ulm functions.

### 0. Terminology and introduction

Except where specifically noted, the term “group” will mean an abelian  $p$ -group, where  $p$  is a prime fixed for the duration of the paper. Our terminology and notation will be based upon [6]. A group is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic if it is isomorphic to a direct sum of cyclic groups. We also make use of concepts related to *valuated groups* and *valuated vector spaces* that can be found, for example, in [16] and [7], and that we briefly review: Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the class of ordinals and  $\mathcal{O}_\infty = \mathcal{O} \cup \{\infty\}$ , where we agree that  $\alpha < \infty$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_\infty$ . A *valuation* on a group  $V$  is a function  $|\cdot|_V : V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\infty$  such that for every  $x, y \in V$ ,  $|x \pm y|_V \geq \min\{|x|_V, |y|_V\}$  and  $|px|_V > |x|_V$ . As a result, for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_\infty$ ,  $V(\alpha) = \{x \in V : |x|_V \geq \alpha\}$  is a subgroup of  $V$  with  $pV(\alpha) \subseteq V(\alpha + 1)$ . We say  $V$  is  $\alpha$ -*bounded* if  $V(\alpha) = \{0\}$ ; the *length* of  $V$  is the least  $\alpha$  such that  $V(\alpha) = V(\infty)$ .

A homomorphism between two valuated groups is *valuated* if it does not decrease values and an *isometry* if it is bijective and preserves values. If  $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a collection of valuated groups, then the usual direct sum,  $V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i$ , has a natural valuation, where  $V(\alpha) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i(\alpha)$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_\infty$ .

(\*) Indirizzo dell’A.: Department of Mathematics, Whitman College, Walla Walla, WA 99362, USA.

E-mail: keef@whitman.edu

If  $W$  is any subgroup of  $V$ , then restricting  $|\cdot|_V$  to  $W$  turns  $W$  into a valuated group with  $W(\alpha) = W \cap V(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_\infty$ . A valuated group  $W$  with  $pW = \{0\}$  is called a *valuated vector space*; so each  $W(\alpha)$  will be a subspace of  $W$ . We say a valuated vector space is *free* if it is isometric to a valuated direct sum of cyclic groups (of order  $p$ ). If  $V$  is a valuated group, then its socle  $V[p] = \{x \in V : px = 0\}$  is a valuated vector space, and  $V$  is *summable* if  $V[p]$  is free. A group  $G$  is a valuated group using the height function (also denoted by  $|\cdot|_G$ ) as its valuation; in this case  $G(\alpha) = p^\alpha G$ , and  $G$  is said to be *separable* if it is  $\omega$ -bounded. If  $n$  is a fixed positive integer, it follows that the  $p^n$ -socle of  $G$ , written  $G[p^n] = \{x \in G : p^n x = 0\}$ , can be viewed as a valuated group.

In [4], an  $\infty$ -bounded valuated group  $V$  was defined to be a *valuated  $p^n$ -socle* if  $p^n V = \{0\}$  and for every  $x \in V[p^{n-1}]$  and every ordinal  $\beta < |x|_V$ , there is a  $y \in V$  with  $x = py$  and  $\beta \leq |y|_V$ . It easily follows that an  $\infty$ -bounded valuated vector space is a valuated  $p$ -socle. The  $p^n$ -socle of a reduced group  $G$  is always a valuated  $p^n$ -socle, and if  $V = G[p^n]$ , then we will say  $G$  is *supported* by  $V$ . A valuated  $p^n$ -socle is *realizable* if it is supported by some reduced group. [The parallel requirements that  $V$  be  $\infty$ -bounded and that  $G$  be reduced are convenient, but not strictly speaking necessary.]

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the class of all cardinals. If  $V$  is a valuated group, then the  $\alpha$ -th *Ulm invariant* of  $V$  is

$$f_V(\alpha) = r(V(\alpha)[p]/V(\alpha+1)[p]) \in \mathcal{C}.$$

We call  $f_V : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  the *Ulm function* of  $V$ . Another definition of the Ulm function of a general valuated group is given in [10], and it is easy to verify that these agree for valuated  $p^n$ -socles. In general, when we say  $f : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a function, we mean that its support is contained in some ordinal  $\delta$ , and we identify  $f$  with its restriction  $f : \delta \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ .

A valuated  $p^n$ -socle is said to be  *$n$ -summable* if it is isometric to the valuated direct sum of a collection of countable valuated groups (each of which will also be a valuated  $p^n$ -socle). It was shown in [4] that the theory of  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socles parallels the theory of *dsc groups* (i.e., direct sums of countable groups - see Chapter XII of [6] for standard results on these groups). For example, the following is ([4], Theorem 2.7), which parallels ([6], Theorem 78.4).

**THEOREM 0.1.** *Suppose  $V$  and  $W$  are  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socles. Then there is an isometry  $V \cong W$  iff their Ulm functions agree, i.e.,  $f_V = f_W$ .*

The parallel between  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socles and dsc groups can be extended. A subgroup  $X$  of a valuated group  $V$  is *nice* if every coset  $a + X$  has an element of maximal value. A *nice composition series* for  $V$  is an ascending chain of nice subgroups  $\{X_i : i \leq \delta\}$  such that

- (C1)  $X_0 = \{0\}, X_\delta = V;$
- (C2) for all  $i < \delta, X_{i+1}/X_i \cong \mathbb{Z}_p;$
- (C3) for all limit ordinals  $\lambda \leq \delta, X_\lambda = \bigcup_{i < \lambda} X_i.$

A *nice system* for  $V$  is a collection  $\mathcal{N}$  of nice subgroups of  $V$  such that

- (S1)  $\{0\} \in \mathcal{N};$
- (S2)  $\mathcal{N}$  is closed under group sums;
- (S3) If  $S \subseteq V$  is countable, then  $S \subseteq N$  for some countable  $N \in \mathcal{N}.$

If  $V$  is a valuated  $p^n$ -socle, let  $\lambda_V$  be the unique ordinal such that  $|V|_V = \{|x|_V : x \in V\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\infty$  is order-isomorphic to  $\lambda_V \cup \{\infty\}$ . The following is ([4], Theorem 2.1), which parallels ([6], Theorem 81.9).

**THEOREM 0.2.** *Suppose  $V$  is a valuated  $p^n$ -socle and  $\lambda_V \leq \omega_1$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $V$  is  $n$ -summable;
- (b)  $V$  has a nice system;
- (c)  $V$  has a nice composition series.

If  $\lambda_V \leq \omega_1$  and  $\phi : |V|_V \rightarrow \lambda_V \cup \{\infty\}$  is an order-preserving bijection and  $|x|'_V = \phi(|x|_V)$  for every  $x \in V$ , then  $V$  is also a valuated  $p^n$ -socle using  $| \cdot |'_V$  and virtually anything that is true using one valuation (e.g., that  $V$  is  $n$ -summable) is also true using the other. It therefore makes sense to restrict our attention to those  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socles that are  $\omega_1$ -bounded. This leads to two of the main questions that are addressed in this paper:

- (1) If  $f : \omega_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a function, when does  $f = f_V$  for some  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socle  $V$ ?
- (2) If  $V$  is an  $\omega_1$ -bounded  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socle, when is  $V$  realizable?

Complete answers are given to both questions. For obvious reasons, a function satisfying (1) will be called *n-summable*. Section 1 is a discussion of  $n$ -summable functions, which are characterized in Theorem 1.10. This combinatorial condition, which we describe later, can be viewed as a generalization of the classical notion of an *admissible* function (see [6], Theorem 83.6).

Section 2 is a consideration of question (2). In Theorem 2.11 it is shown that  $V$  is realizable iff for every countable limit ordinal  $\lambda$  and every  $\alpha < \lambda$  we have

$$\sum_{\lambda+n-1 \leq \beta < \lambda+\omega} f_V(\beta) \leq \left( \sum_{\alpha < \beta < \lambda} f_V(\beta) \right)^{\aleph_0}.$$

Naturally, a group  $G$  is  $n$ -summable if  $G[p^n]$  is  $n$ -summable as a valuated  $p^n$ -socle. These groups are considered in [5], [13], [14] and [15]. An  $n$ -summable group will always be summable (since a countable valuated vector space is free), and so  $p^{\omega_1}G = \{0\}$  (see, for example, [6], Theorem 84.3). Therefore, any  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socle that is realizable must be  $\omega_1$ -bounded; this is another reason for restricting to the  $\omega_1$ -bounded case. It is known that a given valuated vector space is often supported by different groups that are not isomorphic. This suggests a third question.

(3) If  $V$  is an  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socle, when is  $V$  uniquely realizable, in the sense that any two groups supported by  $V$  are isomorphic?

Since  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socles are classified by their Ulm functions, the last question can be restated as follows:

(3') Describe the  $n$ -summable groups  $G$  that are uniquely determined by their Ulm functions; that is, those that have the property that if  $G'$  is another  $n$ -summable group with  $f_G = f_{G'}$ , then  $G$  is isomorphic to  $G'$ .

Assuming a natural statement regarding cardinal arithmetic that is a consequence of the generalized continuum hypothesis, this question is answered in Theorem 3.4. With this cardinality assumption, it is shown that  $V$  is uniquely realizable iff  $V(\omega + n - 1)$  is countable; and in this case, every group  $G$  supported by  $V$  will be a dsc group. In particular, when  $n = 1$ , Corollary 3.5 states that these groups agree with those described in ([2], Theorem 2.6).

Theorem 2.11 (i.e., the solution to the above question (2)) is a generalization of the classical “Existence Theorem for Principal  $p$ -Groups” from [9]; in fact, for  $n = 1$ , it reduces to precisely this result. However, there are several important differences. First, it is fairly clear that if  $n = 1$ , then *any* function  $f : \omega_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  will satisfy (1); i.e., any such function is the Ulm function of some free valuated vector space. On the other hand, if  $n > 1$ , then  $f$  will be the Ulm function of an  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socle iff it satisfies Theorem 1.10. Second, the proofs of our main results are

considerably less complicated; i.e., a few pages in length, as opposed to the over twenty pages needed to prove the Existence Theorem in [9]. And third, we can apply our techniques to answer question (3) whenever our condition on cardinal arithmetic holds; the latter question was not even considered in [9].

### 1. Realizing Ulm Functions

In this section we give an explicit description of those functions from  $\omega_1$  to the cardinals that are  $n$ -summable, in that they can appear as the Ulm function of an  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socle. By considering (valuated) direct sums, it follows that the sum of a collection of  $n$ -summable functions is  $n$ -summable.

If  $\alpha$  is an ordinal, then  $\alpha = q_\omega(\alpha) + r_\omega(\alpha)$ , where  $q_\omega(\alpha)$  is 0 or a limit and  $r_\omega(\alpha) < \omega$ . We say  $\alpha$  is an  $n$ -limit if  $q_\omega(\alpha) > 0$  and  $r_\omega(\alpha) < n - 1$ , and otherwise, we say  $\alpha$  is  $n$ -isolated. An  $n$ -limit  $\alpha$  is an  $n, \omega$ -limit if  $q_\omega(\alpha)$  has countable cofinality; clearly, if  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , then it is an  $n, \omega$ -limit iff it is an  $n$ -limit. Note that all ordinals are 1-isolated and  $\alpha$  is 2-isolated iff it is isolated in the usual sense of the term.

For a function  $f : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ , we denote the support of  $f$  by  $\text{supp}(f)$ , and we further let  $\text{supp}_I(f) = \{\beta \in \text{supp}(f) : \beta \text{ is } n\text{-isolated}\}$  and  $\text{supp}_L(f) = \{\beta \in \text{supp}(f) : \beta \text{ is an } n\text{-limit}\}$ . We begin with an elementary observation.

LEMMA 1.1. *If  $V$  is a valuated  $p^n$ -socle,  $f = f_V : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and  $\beta \in \text{supp}_L(f)$ , then  $q_\omega(\beta)$  is a limit point of  $\text{supp}_I(f)$ .*

PROOF. If  $\delta$  is  $n$ -isolated and  $\delta < \lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} q_\omega(\beta)$ , then let  $\alpha$  be the smallest ordinal such that  $\delta < \alpha \in \text{supp}(f)$ ; so  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . If  $\alpha$  is an  $n$ -limit, then  $\alpha = \mu + k$  where  $\mu = q_\omega(\alpha) > \delta$  and  $k = r_\omega(\alpha) < n - 1$ . Let  $x \in V(\alpha)[p] - V(\alpha + 1)[p]$  and find  $y$  such that  $|y|_V = \mu$  and  $p^k y = x$ . Since  $k + 1 < n$  and  $p^{k+1} y = px = 0$ , there is a  $z \in V(\delta + 1)$  such that  $pz = y$ . If  $\alpha' = |z|_V > \delta$ , then  $\alpha' < \mu \leq \alpha$ , and there is a  $z' \in V(\alpha' + 1)$  such that  $pz' = y$ . Therefore,  $z - z' \in V(\alpha')[p] - V(\alpha' + 1)[p]$ , and so  $f(\alpha') \neq 0$ . However, this contradicts the choice of  $\alpha$ . So  $\alpha \in \text{supp}_I(f)$  and  $\delta < \alpha < \lambda$ , proving the result.  $\square$

We will say  $f : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is  $n$ -isolated if every  $\alpha \in \text{supp}(f)$  is  $n$ -isolated; in particular,  $f$  will always be 1-isolated. The next elementary observation is a description of the Ulm functions of the class of strongly  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socles (see [4], Corollary 1.7).

LEMMA 1.2. *If  $f : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is  $n$ -isolated, then it is  $n$ -summable.*

PROOF. For an  $n$ -isolated ordinal  $\beta$ , let  $k = \min\{n, \beta + 1\}$  and  $V_\beta = \langle x_\beta \rangle$  be a cyclic valued  $p^n$ -socle of order  $p^k$  with  $|x_\beta|_{V_\beta} = 0$ , when  $\beta < n - 1$ , and otherwise,  $|x_\beta|_{V_\beta} = \beta - (n - 1)$ . We then let  $V$  be the valued direct sum of  $f(\beta)$  copies of  $V_\beta$  for all  $\beta$ . It can easily be checked that  $f = f_V$ , so that  $f$  is  $n$ -summable, as required.  $\square$

In particular, any function  $f : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is 1-summable. We will say  $f : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is an  $n, \omega$ -limit if it is the characteristic function of a set of the form  $\{\gamma_i\}_{i < \omega} \cup \{\beta\}$ , where  $\beta$  is an  $n, \omega$ -limit ordinal, and  $\gamma_i$  for  $i < \omega$  is a strictly ascending sequence of  $n$ -isolated ordinals with limit  $q_\omega(\beta)$ . Unsurprisingly, a countable valued  $p^n$ -socle  $V$  is an  $n, \omega$ -limit if  $f_V$  is an  $n, \omega$ -limit function. The following result gives a concrete picture of such objects.

LEMMA 1.3. *If  $f : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is an  $n, \omega$ -limit function, then  $f$  is  $n$ -summable.*

PROOF. Suppose, as above,  $f$  is the characteristic function of  $\{\gamma_i\}_{i < \omega} \cup \{\beta\}$ ; set  $\lambda = q_\omega(\beta)$ ,  $k = r_\omega(\beta)$ . There is clearly no loss of generality in assuming  $\gamma_0 \geq n - 1$ . Let  $W$  be generated by  $y$  and  $\{x_i\}_{i < \omega}$  subject to the relations  $p^{k+1}y = 0$ , and for  $i < \omega$ ,  $p^n x_i = y$ . It is straightforward to check that a valuation on  $W$  can be defined by the formulas:  $|p^\ell y|_W = \lambda + \ell$  for  $0 \leq \ell \leq k$ ,  $|p^\ell x_i|_W = \gamma_i - (n - 1) + \ell$  for  $0 \leq \ell \leq n - 1$ , and if  $z = jy + \ell_1 x_{i_1} + \dots + \ell_m x_{i_m}$ , where  $p^n \nmid \ell_1, \dots, \ell_m$ , then

$$|z|_W = \min\{|jy|_W, |\ell_1 x_{i_1}|_W, \dots, |\ell_m x_{i_m}|_W\}.$$

It can also be checked that if  $z \in pW = \langle p x_i : i < \omega \rangle$  and  $\alpha < |z|_W$ , then there is a  $z' \in W$  such that  $p z' = z$  and  $\alpha \leq |z'|_W$ .

From this, it follows that  $V = W[p^n]$  is a countable valued  $p^n$ -socle. It also follows that there is a valued decomposition

$$V[p] = \langle p^k y \rangle \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i < \omega} \langle p^{n-1}(x_i - x_{i+1}) \rangle \right).$$

This implies that  $f_V = f$ , as required.  $\square$

If  $f : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a function, and  $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \infty$ , then let  $\int_\alpha^\beta f = \sum_{\alpha \leq \gamma < \beta} f(\gamma)$ . We say  $f$  is countable if  $\int_0^\infty f \leq \aleph_0$ .

**THEOREM 1.4.** *Suppose  $f : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a countable function. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $f$  is  $n$ -summable;
- (b)  $f$  is the sum of a collection of  $n, \omega$ -limit functions and an  $n$ -isolated function;
- (c) If  $\beta \in \text{supp}_L(f)$ , then  $q_\omega(\beta)$  is a limit point of  $\text{supp}_I(f)$ .

**PROOF.** First, assuming (a), then (c) follows from Lemma 1.1.

Next, assuming (b), then (a) follows from Lemmas 1.2 and 1.3.

To complete the proof, suppose (c) holds for  $f$ ; we will then verify (b).

Let

$$I = \{(\beta, \gamma) : \beta \in \text{supp}_L(f) \text{ and } \gamma < f(\beta)\},$$

$\kappa = |I| \leq \aleph_0$  and  $\{(\beta_j, \gamma_j)\}_{j < \kappa}$  be a listing of  $I$ . For each  $j < \kappa$  there is a strictly increasing sequence,  $\{\alpha_{j,\ell}\}_{\ell < \omega} = C_j \subseteq \text{supp}_I(f)$ , with limit  $q_\omega(\beta_j)$ ; we can also obviously pick these so that if  $q_\omega(\beta_j) = q_\omega(\beta_{j'})$ , then  $C_j = C_{j'}$ .

Let  $\{\mathcal{N}_j\}_{j < \kappa}$  be disjoint infinite subsets of  $\omega$ . For each  $j < \kappa$ , we inductively define  $T_j \subseteq C_j$  by the equation

$$T_j = \{\alpha_{j,\ell} : \ell \in \mathcal{N}_j\} - (T_0 \cup \dots \cup T_{j-1}).$$

Clearly, these sets are disjoint.

**Claim:**  $T_j$  is infinite. The first term is infinite, so it will suffice to show that if  $j' < j$ , then  $\{\alpha_{j,\ell} : \ell \in \mathcal{N}_j\} \cap T_{j'}$  is finite. If  $q_\omega(\beta_j) = q_\omega(\beta_{j'})$ , then  $C_j = C_{j'}$  and clearly

$$\{\alpha_{j,\ell} : \ell \in \mathcal{N}_j\} \cap T_{j'} \subseteq \{\alpha_{j,\ell} : \ell \in \mathcal{N}_j\} \cap \{\alpha_{j',\ell} : \ell \in \mathcal{N}_{j'}\} = \emptyset.$$

On the other hand, if  $q_\omega(\beta_j) \neq q_\omega(\beta_{j'})$ , then  $\{\alpha_{j,\ell} : \ell \in \mathcal{N}_j\} \cap T_{j'} \subseteq C_j \cap C_{j'}$  is finite, since  $C_j$  and  $C_{j'}$  have different suprema.

For every  $(\beta_j, \gamma_j) \in I$ , let  $f_j$  be the characteristic function of  $\{\beta_j\} \cup T_j$ ; so  $f_j$  is an  $n, \omega$ -limit function. In addition, if  $\beta$  is an  $n$ -limit ordinal, then

$$\left(\sum_{j < \kappa} f_j\right)(\beta) = |\{(\beta_j, \gamma_j) \in I : \beta = \beta_j\}| = f(\beta).$$

And if  $\beta$  is  $n$ -isolated, then  $(\sum_{j < \kappa} f_j)(\beta)$  equals 1 if  $\beta \in \bigcup_{j < \kappa} T_j \subseteq \text{supp}_I(f)$ , and otherwise, it equals 0. It follows that there is an  $n$ -isolated function  $g$  such that  $f = (\sum_{j < \kappa} f_j) + g$ , thereby establishing the result.  $\square$

Since a function  $f : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is  $n$ -summable iff it is the sum of a collection of countable  $n$ -summable functions, Theorem 1.4 immediately implies the next result.

**COROLLARY 1.5.** *A function  $f : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is  $n$ -summable iff it is the sum of a collection of  $n, \omega$ -limit functions and an  $n$ -isolated function.*

**COROLLARY 1.6.** *If  $V$  is an  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socle, then it is isometric to a valuated direct sum  $\oplus_{i \in I} V_i$ , where each  $V_i$  is either cyclic or an  $n, \omega$ -limit.*

**PROOF.** By Corollary 1.5,  $f_V$  is the sum of a collection of  $n, \omega$ -limit functions and an  $n$ -isolated function, and each term is the Ulm function of a valuated  $p^n$ -socle that is an  $n, \omega$ -limit or a valuated direct sum of cyclics. So the result follows from Theorem 0.1. □

**COROLLARY 1.7.** *If  $\alpha$  is an ordinal,  $\lambda = q_\omega(\alpha)$  and  $k = r_\omega(\alpha)$ , then  $\alpha$  is the length of some  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socle  $V$  iff  $0 < k < n$  implies that  $\lambda$  has countable cofinality. In fact, if  $\lambda$  has countable cofinality or  $k \geq n$ , then we can choose  $V$  to be countable.*

**PROOF.** If  $\lambda$  has cofinality  $\kappa$ , then let  $\{\beta_i\}_{i < \kappa}$  be a strictly increasing set of  $n$ -isolated ordinals with limit  $\lambda$ . First, if  $k = 0$ , let  $K = \{\beta_i\}_{i < \kappa}$ . Next, if  $0 < k < n$ , then  $\kappa = \omega$ ,  $\alpha - 1$  is an  $n$ -limit and we let  $K = \{\beta_i\}_{i < \omega} \cup \{\alpha - 1\}$ . Lastly, if  $k \geq n$ , then  $\alpha - 1 = \lambda + k - 1$  is  $n$ -isolated and we let  $K = \{\alpha - 1\}$ . In any of these cases, let  $f$  be the characteristic function of  $K$ . It follows from Theorem 1.5 that  $f = f_V$  for some  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socle  $V$ . It is easy to check that  $V$  will have length  $\alpha$ , and that if  $\lambda$  has countable cofinality or  $k \geq n$ , then  $V$  will be countable.

Conversely, suppose  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal of uncountable cofinality and  $0 < k < n$ . If the valuated  $p^n$ -socle  $V$  is either cyclic or an  $n, \omega$ -limit, then  $f_V(\alpha - 1) = 0$ . It follows that there cannot be an  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socle of length  $\alpha$ . □

We now restrict our attention to functions  $f : \omega_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . If  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal, then let

$$f'(\lambda) = \int_{\lambda}^{\lambda+n-1} f \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{f}(\lambda) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^{\lambda} f : \alpha < \lambda \right\}.$$

Observe that  $\bar{f}(\lambda)$  is either 0 or an infinite cardinal. We say  $f$  is  $n$ -thin if there is a closed and unbounded subset  $C \subseteq \omega_1$  consisting of limit ordinals  $\lambda$  such that  $f'(\lambda) = 0$ . Further, we say  $f$  is  $n$ -admissible if



- (1.A) for every limit  $\lambda < \omega_1$  we have  $f'(\lambda) \leq \bar{f}(\lambda)$ ; and
- (1.B) either  $f$  is  $n$ -thin, or  $\{\alpha < \omega_1 : f(\alpha) \geq \aleph_1\}$  is unbounded in  $\omega_1$ .

Any function  $f : \omega_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is 1-isolated and hence 1-summable. In addition, if  $n = 1$ , then  $f'(\lambda) = 0$ , so  $f$  is 1-thin and 1-admissible.

We now point out that for  $n > 1$ , the study of  $n$ -summable functions can be reduced to the study of 2-summable functions, which will simplify our discussion. If  $n > 1$  and  $\alpha$  is isolated, then let  $f'(\alpha) = f(\alpha + n - 2)$ . If  $n = 2$ , then  $f' = f$ .

LEMMA 1.8. *If  $f : \omega_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and  $n > 1$ , then*

- (a)  $f$  is  $n$ -isolated iff  $f'$  is 2-isolated;
- (b)  $f$  is an  $n, \omega$ -limit iff  $f'$  is a 2,  $\omega$ -limit;
- (c)  $f$  is  $n$ -admissible iff  $f'$  is 2-admissible;
- (d)  $f$  is  $n$ -summable iff  $f'$  is 2-summable.

PROOF. (a), (b) and (c) follow immediately from the definitions, and (d) follows from (a), (b) and Corollary 1.5. □

LEMMA 1.9. *Suppose  $f : \omega_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is 2-admissible and  $\lambda < \omega_1$  is a limit.*

- (a) *If  $\bar{f}(\lambda)$  is uncountable,  $\alpha < \lambda$  and  $\gamma < \bar{f}(\lambda)$ , then there is an isolated ordinal  $\alpha'$  such that  $f(\alpha')$  is uncountable,  $\alpha < \alpha' < \lambda$  and  $\gamma < f(\alpha')$ .*
- (b) *If  $f(\lambda) \neq 0$ , then  $\lambda$  is a limit point of  $\text{supp}_1(f)$ .*

PROOF. We verify (a), the proof of (b) being even more straightforward.

Since  $\bar{f}(\lambda) \leq \int_{\alpha+1}^{\lambda} f$ , we have  $\gamma' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max\{\gamma, \omega\} < f(\alpha')$  for some  $\alpha'$  with  $\alpha < \alpha' < \lambda$ . Choose  $\alpha'$  to be the smallest ordinal satisfying these conditions. We need to show that  $\alpha'$  is isolated, so assume that it is actually a limit.

By (1.A),  $\gamma' < f(\alpha') \leq \bar{f}(\alpha')$ , so  $\bar{f}(\alpha')$  is uncountable and  $\gamma < \bar{f}(\alpha')$ . Arguing as in the last paragraph with  $\lambda$  replaced by  $\alpha'$ , we have  $\gamma' < f(\alpha'')$  for some  $\alpha''$  with  $\alpha < \alpha'' < \alpha'$ , contradicting the minimality of  $\alpha'$ . □

We now come to the main point of this section, the characterization of  $n$ -summable functions defined on  $\omega_1$ .

THEOREM 1.10. *For a function  $f : \omega_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $f$  is  $n$ -summable;
- (b)  $f$  is the sum of a collection of  $n, \omega$ -limit functions and an  $n$ -isolated function;
- (c)  $f$  is  $n$ -admissible.

PROOF. If  $n = 1$  then any such function satisfies any of these conditions. So we may assume  $n > 1$ . Replacing  $f$  by  $f'$ , by Lemma 1.8, we may assume  $n = 2$ .

By Corollary 1.5, (a) and (b) are equivalent, so we need to show they are equivalent to (c). Suppose first that (b) holds, and let  $f = \sum_{i \in I} f_i + g$ , where each  $f_i$  is a 2,  $\omega$ -limit and  $g$  is 2-isolated. It is easy to check that (1.A) holds for  $g$  and each  $f_i$ , which readily implies that it holds for  $f$ , as well.

Regarding (1.B), observe first that if  $\{\alpha < \omega_1 : f(\alpha) \geq \aleph_1\}$  is unbounded in  $\omega_1$ , then we are clearly done; so we may assume it is bounded, say by  $\mu < \omega_1$ . If (1.B) fails, then the set  $S = \text{supp}_L(f) \cap (\mu, \omega_1)$  is stationary in  $\omega_1$ . For every  $\lambda \in S$  there is an  $i_\lambda \in I$  such that  $f_{i_\lambda}(\lambda) \neq 0$ . Since each  $f_i$  is a 2,  $\omega$ -limit function, it follows that the assignment  $\lambda \mapsto i_\lambda$  is injective. For every  $\lambda \in S$  we can then find an  $\alpha_\lambda \in (\mu, \lambda)$  such that  $f_{i_\lambda}(\alpha_\lambda) \neq 0$ . Note that  $\lambda \mapsto \alpha_\lambda$  will be a regressive function, so by Fodor's Lemma (see, for example, [12], Theorem 8.7), there is an  $\alpha \in (\mu, \omega_1)$  such that  $\alpha_\lambda = \alpha$  for all  $\lambda$  in an uncountable subset  $R$  of  $S$ . This implies that

$$f(\alpha) \geq \sum_{i \in I} f_i(\alpha) \geq \sum_{\lambda \in R} f_{i_\lambda}(\alpha) = |R| = \omega_1.$$

This contradicts the fact that  $f(\alpha)$  is countable for all  $\alpha > \mu$ . Therefore, we have shown that (b) implies (c).

Conversely, supposing (c) holds, we verify that (b) follows. Let  $U$  be the closure of  $\{\alpha < \omega_1 : f(\alpha) \geq \aleph_1\}$  in the order topology. Define  $f_u, f_c : \omega_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  by the conditions  $\text{supp}(f_u) \subseteq U$ ,  $\text{supp}(f_c) \subseteq \omega_1 - U$  and  $f = f_u + f_c$ . Clearly,  $f_c(\alpha) \leq \aleph_0$  for all  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , and if  $\alpha$  is isolated, then  $f_u(\alpha)$  will be 0 or uncountable. We will be done if we can verify the following:

CLAIM 1. (b) holds for  $f_u$ .

CLAIM 2. (b) holds for  $f_c$ .

Starting with Claim 1, let

$$I = \{(\beta, \gamma) : \beta \in \text{supp}_L(f_u) \text{ and } \gamma < f_u(\beta) = f(\beta)\}.$$

If  $i = (\beta, \gamma) \in I$ , then clearly  $\bar{f}(\beta)$  is uncountable. Therefore, by Lemma 1.9(a), there is a strictly increasing sequence  $K_i = \{\alpha_{i,j}\}_{j < \omega} \subseteq \text{supp}_I(f_u)$  with limit  $\beta$  such that  $\gamma < f(\alpha) = f_u(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in K_i$ . Let  $f_i : \omega_1 \rightarrow \kappa$  be the characteristic function of  $\{\beta\} \cup K_i$ ; so  $f_i$  is an  $n$ -limit.

We need to establish two facts:

(1) for all  $\beta \in \text{supp}_L(f_u)$ , we have  $\sum_{i \in I} f_i(\beta) = f_u(\beta)$ ; and

(2) for all  $\alpha \in \text{supp}_I(f_u)$ , we have  $\sum_{i \in I} f_i(\alpha) \leq f_u(\alpha)$ .

For (1), if  $\beta \in \text{supp}_L(f_u)$ , then  $f_i(\beta) = 1$  iff  $i = (\beta, \gamma)$ , where  $\gamma < f_u(\beta)$ . This happens for precisely  $f_u(\beta)$  elements  $i \in I$ , so that (1) follows. Regarding (2), if  $\alpha \in \text{supp}_I(f_u)$ , then  $f_u(\alpha) \geq \aleph_1$ . Further, if  $i = (\beta, \gamma) \in I$  and  $f_i(\alpha) = 1$ , then  $\beta > \alpha$  and  $\gamma < f_u(\alpha)$ . Since this can happen for at most  $\aleph_1 \cdot f_u(\alpha) = f_u(\alpha)$  elements  $i \in I$ , we can conclude that (2) follows. So we have verified Claim 1.

Turning to Claim 2, we first define a closed and unbounded subset  $C \subseteq \omega_1$  as follows: If  $U$  is unbounded, then we let  $C = U$ . On the other hand, if  $U$  is bounded by  $\mu < \omega_1$ , then by (1.B) we can find such a subset  $D \subseteq (\mu, \omega_1)$  consisting of limit ordinals  $\lambda$  such that  $f(\lambda) = 0$ . In this case, we let  $C = U \cup D$ . Since  $\text{supp}(f_c) \subseteq \omega_1 - U$  and  $f(\lambda) = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in D$ , it follows that  $\text{supp}(f_c) \subseteq \omega_1 - C$  and that  $f_c$  agrees with  $f$  on  $\omega_1 - C$ .

Since  $C$  is closed,  $\omega_1 - C$  is the disjoint union of sets of the form  $(\delta_i, \varepsilon_i)$  for  $i \in I$ , where  $\varepsilon_i < \omega_1$ . Let  $f_c = \sum_{i \in I} f_i$  where  $\text{supp}(f_i) \subseteq (\delta_i, \varepsilon_i)$ . Since  $f_i$  is countable, Claim 2, and hence the entire result, will follow once we show  $f_i$  satisfies Theorem 1.4(c) (where  $n = 2$ ).

Let  $\lambda < \omega_1$  be a limit ordinal such that  $f_i(\lambda) \neq 0$ ; we need to show that  $\lambda$  is a limit point of  $\text{supp}_I(f_i)$ . Note that  $\delta_i < \lambda < \varepsilon_i$  and by Lemma 1.9(b),  $\lambda$  is a limit point of  $\text{supp}_I(f)$ . Since  $f, f_c$  and  $f_i$  agree on  $(\delta_i, \varepsilon_i)$ , it follows that  $\lambda$  is a limit point of  $\text{supp}_I(f_i)$ , as required.  $\square$

## 2. Realizing Valuated $p^n$ -Socles

In this section we discuss which  $\omega_1$ -bounded valuated  $p^n$ -socles  $V$  appear as the  $p^n$ -socle of some group. In fact, we will start by being a bit more general. We say a valuated group  $V$  is *group-like* if for all ordinals  $\alpha$ , we have  $(pV)(\alpha + 1) = p(V(\alpha))$ ; in other words, if  $x \in pV$  and  $\alpha < |x|_V$ , then there is a  $y \in V$  such that  $\alpha \leq |y|_V$  and  $py = x$ . Note that if  $p^nV = \{0\}$ , then  $pV \subseteq V[p^{n-1}]$ , which readily implies that any valuated  $p^n$ -socle is group-like. We say a valuated group  $V$  is *supported* by a group  $G$  if  $V$  is an essential subgroup of  $G$  so that for all  $x \in V$ ,  $|x|_V = |x|_G$ . We will say  $V$  is *realizable* if it is supported by some group  $G$ . For valuated  $p^n$ -socles, this agrees with our previous terminology.

Recall from [16] that if  $V$  is a valuated group, there is a group  $H(V)$  containing  $V$  as a nice subgroup such that  $H(V)/V$  is totally projective. In this construction we may clearly assume that  $V$  and  $H(V)$  have the same length.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Suppose  $V$  is a valuated group. Then  $V$  is realizable iff it is group-like and there is a balanced subgroup  $Z \subseteq H(V)$  such that there is a valuated decomposition  $H(V)[p] = Z[p] \oplus V[p]$ .*

PROOF. Suppose first that  $V$  is supported by the group  $G$ . To show that  $V$  is group-like, let  $x \in (pV)(x + 1)$ . Then  $x = pz$  for some  $z \in V$ . In addition, there is a  $y \in p^2G$  such that  $py = x$ . Note that  $p(y - z) = 0$  so that  $y - z \in G[p] \subseteq V$ . Therefore,  $y = z + (y - z) \in V \cap p^2G = V(x)$  and  $py = x$ , as required.

Next, since  $V$  is a nice subgroup of  $H(V)$  and  $H(V)/V$  is totally projective, by ([6], Corollary 81.4) the identity map  $V \rightarrow V$  extends to a homomorphism  $\pi : H(V) \rightarrow G$ . It is easy to see that the range of  $\pi$  is a pure subgroup of  $G$  containing  $V$ . And since  $V$  is essential in  $G$ , we can conclude that  $\pi$  is surjective. Let  $Z$  be its kernel.

Since for all  $\alpha$  we have  $(p^\alpha G)[p] = V(\alpha)[p] \subseteq \pi((p^\alpha H(V))[p]) \subseteq (p^\alpha G)[p]$ , it follows that  $Z$  is balanced in  $H(V)$ . The identity map  $V[p](=G[p]) \rightarrow V[p](\subseteq H(V))$  being an isometry leads to the valuated decomposition  $H(V)[p] = Z[p] \oplus V[p]$ , giving one implication.

Conversely, suppose  $V$  is group-like and we are given  $Z \subseteq H(V)$  as indicated. Let  $G = H(V)/Z$  and  $\pi : H(V) \rightarrow G$  be the natural epimorphism.

It is clear that  $\pi$  maps  $V$  onto an essential subgroup of  $G$ . We will be done if we can show that for all  $x \in V$ , we have  $|x|_V = |\pi(x)|_G$ . We certainly have  $|x|_V = |x|_{H(V)} \leq |\pi(x)|_G$ . We establish the reverse inequality by induction on the order of  $x$ .

Suppose first that  $x \in V[p]$  and  $\alpha = |\pi(x)|_G$ . Since  $Z$  is a balanced subgroup of  $H(V)$ , there is a  $y \in (p^\alpha H(V))[p]$  such that  $\pi(y) = x$ . We must have  $y = x + z$ , where  $z \in Z[p]$ , so that  $\alpha \leq |y|_H \leq |x|_V$ , as required.

Suppose now that this holds for all elements of  $V[p^{k-1}]$ , and  $x \in V[p^k]$ , where  $\alpha = |\pi(x)|_G$ . Since  $px \in V[p^{k-1}]$ , by induction  $\alpha + 1 \leq |\pi(px)|_G = |px|_V$ . Since  $V$  is group-like, there is a  $y \in V(\alpha)$  such that  $py = px$ . Note that  $x - y \in V[p]$  and  $|\pi(x - y)|_G \geq \alpha$ , so that  $|x - y|_V \geq \alpha$ . However, this implies that  $|x|_V = |(x - y) + y|_V \geq \alpha$ , as required. □

PROPOSITION 2.2. *If  $V$  is an  $\omega$ -bounded valuated group, then  $V$  is realizable iff it is group-like.*

PROOF. By Proposition 2.1, if  $V$  is realizable, then it is group-like. Therefore, assume  $V$  is  $\omega$ -bounded and group-like. Since  $V$  is  $\omega$ -bounded,  $H(V)$  will be separable. And since  $V$  is nice in  $H(V)$ , the quotient  $H(V)/V$  will be a separable totally projective group, i.e., it is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic. This implies

that there is a valuated decomposition  $H(V)[p] = F \oplus V[p]$ , where  $F$  is a free,  $\omega$ -bounded valuated vector space (see, for example, [11], Lemma 1). It follows that there is a pure (and hence isotype)  $\Sigma$ -cyclic subgroup  $Z \subseteq H(V)$  such that  $Z[p] = F$ . Since  $F$  is closed in  $H(V)[p]$  in the induced  $p$ -adic topology, it follows that  $Z$  is closed in  $H(V)$ . This means that  $G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H(V)/Z$  is separable. Therefore,  $Z$  is nice, and hence balanced, in  $H(V)$ . So by Proposition 2.1,  $V$  is realizable.  $\square$

Since any valuated  $p^n$ -socle is group-like, the next statement follows directly from Proposition 2.2.

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *If  $V$  is an  $\omega$ -bounded valuated  $p^n$ -socle, then it is realizable.*

The main purpose of this section is to describe precisely when a given  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socle is realizable. As discussed in the introduction, it is also natural to ask when a group  $G$  supported by an  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socle  $V$  is *determined* by  $V$ , i.e., if  $G'$  is any other group supported by  $V$ , then  $G \cong G'$ . If such a  $G$  is determined by  $V$ , we will say  $V$  is *uniquely* realizable.

For example, if  $V$  is an  $\omega$ -bounded  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socle, then by Corollary 2.3,  $V$  is realizable. In fact, the summability of  $V[p]$  implies that any group supported by  $V$  will be  $\Sigma$ -cyclic. In other words,  $\omega$ -bounded  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socles are uniquely realizable. In the next section, we consider when this remains the case for groups of greater length. For now, we present a simple example.

**EXAMPLE 2.4.** Suppose  $V$  is a valuated  $p^n$ -socle which is  $n$ -summable and  $\omega 2 = \omega + \omega$ -bounded. If  $f = f_V$  and  $\bar{f}(\omega) \geq \int_{\omega+n-1}^{\omega 2} f \geq \aleph_1$ , then  $V$  is realizable, but not uniquely realizable. In fact, there are groups  $A$  and  $A'$  supported by  $V$  such that  $A/p^\omega A$  is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic and  $A'/p^\omega A'$  is not.

**PROOF.** Since  $f$  is  $n$ -admissible,  $\bar{f}(\omega) \geq f'(\lambda)$ , which readily implies that  $f$  is an admissible function; so there is a dsc group  $A$  with  $f = f_A$ . It follows that  $A[p^n]$  is  $n$ -summable, so by Theorem 0.1, there is an isometry  $A[p^n] \cong V$ ; in other words,  $V$  is realizable.

On the other hand, let  $M = \{m < \omega : f(m) \geq \aleph_0\}$ , and let  $k \geq n - 1$  be an integer such that  $f(\omega + k) \geq \aleph_1$ . Let  $B = \bigoplus_{m \in M} \mathbb{Z}_{p^{m+k+2}}$ . Next, let  $X$  be a pure subgroup of the torsion completion  $\bar{B}$  containing  $B$  such that  $X/B$  has

rank  $\aleph_1$ . It can be verified that  $Y = X/B[p^{k+1}]$  is  $n$ -summable and

$$f_Y(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \alpha \in M; \\ \aleph_1, & \text{if } \alpha = \omega + k; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If we let  $A' = A \oplus Y$ , then  $A'$  will also be  $n$ -summable. We have constructed  $A'$  so that  $f_{A'} = f_A = f$ . This implies that  $A'[p^n]$  is also isometric to  $V$ .

Clearly, since  $A$  is a dsc group,  $A/p^\omega A$  is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic. On the other hand,  $A'/p^\omega A'$  has a summand isomorphic to

$$Y/p^\omega Y = (X/B[p^{k+1}])/(X[p^{k+1}]/B[p^{k+1}]) \cong X/X[p^{k+1}] \cong p^{k+1}X,$$

which is not  $\Sigma$ -cyclic. It follows that  $A'/p^\omega A'$  is also not  $\Sigma$ -cyclic, so that  $V$  is not uniquely realizable.  $\square$

If  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal and  $V$  is a valuated group, then the  $\lambda$ -topology on  $V$  uses  $V(\beta)$  for  $\beta < \lambda$  as a neighborhood base of 0. Naturally, a subgroup  $W$  of  $V$  is said to be  $\lambda$ -dense if it is dense in this topology, i.e., for all  $\beta < \lambda$  we have  $V = V(\beta) + W$ . We let  $L_\lambda V$  be the completion of  $V$  in the  $\lambda$ -topology, i.e., the inverse limit of  $V/V(\beta)$  over  $\beta < \lambda$ . As an exception to our overall restriction to primary groups,  $L_\lambda V$  may have elements of infinite order. However, if  $V$  is a valuated  $p^n$ -socle, then  $p^n L_\lambda V = \{0\}$ . There is a natural map  $V \rightarrow L_\lambda V$  whose kernel is  $V(\lambda)$ , leading to an inclusion  $V/V(\lambda) \subseteq L_\lambda V$ . We then set  $P_\lambda V = (L_\lambda V)[p]/(V/V(\lambda))[p]$  and  $Q_\lambda V = L_\lambda V/(V/V(\lambda))$ . If  $G$  is a group and  $\lambda$  has countable cofinality,  $G/p^\lambda G$  will be isotype and  $\lambda$ -dense in  $L_\lambda G$ , and  $Q_\lambda G$  will be divisible.

We now review some additional concepts from [4]. If  $\alpha$  is an ordinal and  $V$  is a valuated  $p^n$ -socle, then a subgroup  $W$  of  $V$  is  $\alpha$ -high if it is maximal with respect to  $W \cap V(\alpha) = \{0\}$ . An  $\alpha$ -high subgroup  $W$  of  $V$  is  $n$ -isotype in  $V$  (i.e., it is a valuated  $p^n$ -socle under the induced valuation), and if  $\alpha$  is a limit,  $W$  is  $\alpha$ -dense in  $V$ . If  $\alpha$  is  $n$ -isolated, then there is a valuated decomposition  $V = W \oplus U$ , which we refer to as a *standard  $\alpha$ -decomposition* of  $V$ . If, in addition,  $\alpha \geq n - 1$ , then  $U \subseteq V(\alpha - n + 1)$ . We now connect these definitions.

LEMMA 2.5. *Suppose  $V$  is a valuated  $p^n$ -socle,  $\lambda < \omega_1$  is a limit ordinal and  $W$  is  $\lambda$ -high in  $V$ . Suppose further that  $G$  is a group supported by  $W$ ,  $\pi : L_\lambda G \rightarrow Q_\lambda G$  is the canonical epimorphism and  $\mu : V \rightarrow L_\lambda G$  is the natural extension of the homomorphism  $W \subseteq G \rightarrow L_\lambda G$  (so that  $V(\lambda)$  is the kernel of  $\mu$ ). Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$P_\lambda V \cong (Q_\lambda G)[p]/\pi(\mu(V))[p].$$

PROOF. Note that  $(L_\lambda G)[p^n]$  will be complete in the (induced)  $\lambda$ -topology and  $W \subseteq V$  will be  $\lambda$ -dense in it; so we can identify  $(L_\lambda G)[p^n]$  with  $L_\lambda W \cong L_\lambda V$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} P_\lambda(V) &= (L_\lambda V)[p]/(V/V(\lambda))[p] \\ &= (L_\lambda G)[p]/\mu(V)[p] \\ &\cong [(L_\lambda G)[p]/G[p]]/[\mu(V)[p]/G[p]] \\ &\cong (Q_\lambda G)[p]/\pi(\mu(V))[p], \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof. □

We now describe a natural way to construct a group supported by a valuated  $p^n$ -socle. The following is the main inductive step.

LEMMA 2.6. *Suppose  $V$  is a valuated  $p^n$ -socle,  $\lambda < \lambda' < \omega_1$  are limit ordinals and  $W_\lambda \subseteq W_{\lambda'}$  are, respectively,  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda'$ -high in  $V$ . Let  $G_\lambda$  be a group supported by  $W_\lambda$  and  $A$  be a group supported by  $W_{\lambda'}(\lambda)$  (where, technically, we shift the valuation in the latter group by  $\lambda$ ). Then there is a group  $G_{\lambda'}$  containing  $G_\lambda$  supported by  $W_{\lambda'}$  such that  $p^\lambda G_{\lambda'} = A$  iff*

$$(*) \quad \int_{\lambda+n-1}^{\lambda+\omega} f \leq r(P_\lambda V).$$

PROOF. Let  $A = J \oplus K$ , where  $J$  is a maximal  $p^{n-1}$ -bounded summand of  $A$ . There is a standard  $\lambda + n - 1$ -decomposition  $W_{\lambda'} = W_{\lambda+n-1} \oplus Y$ , where  $W_{\lambda+n-1}$  is  $\lambda + n - 1$ -high in  $V$  containing  $W_\lambda$ ,  $W_{\lambda+n-1}(\lambda) = J$  and  $Y = K[p^n]$ . Let  $D$  be a divisible hull of  $W_{\lambda+n-1}/W_\lambda$  and  $E$  be a divisible hull of  $K$ . There is an embedding  $J = W_{\lambda+n-1}(\lambda) \cong J' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [W_{\lambda+n-1}(\lambda) + W_\lambda]/W_\lambda \subseteq D$ .

By a standard construction (cf., [8], Theorem 106), the existence of  $G_{\lambda'}$  is equivalent to the existence of a commutative pull-back diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & A & = & A & \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & G_\lambda & \rightarrow & G_{\lambda'} & \rightarrow & D \oplus E \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \\ 0 & \rightarrow & G_\lambda & \rightarrow & L_\lambda G_\lambda & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Q_\lambda G_\lambda \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Therefore, given  $G_\lambda$ , the existence of  $G_{\lambda'}$  is equivalent to the existence of a homomorphism  $\phi : D \oplus E \rightarrow Q_\lambda G_\lambda$  with kernel  $J' \oplus K \cong A$ .

The natural homomorphism  $\mu : V \rightarrow L_\lambda G_\lambda$ , whose kernel is  $V(\lambda)$ , induces a homomorphism  $\gamma_0 : W_{\lambda+n-1}/W_\lambda \rightarrow Q_\lambda G_\lambda$ , whose kernel is  $J'$ . The

divisibility of  $Q_\lambda G_\lambda$  implies that  $\gamma_0$  extends to a homomorphism  $\gamma_1 : D \rightarrow Q_\lambda G_\lambda$ . Since  $W_{\lambda+n-1}/W_\lambda$  is (algebraically) the direct sum of a collection of copies of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$  and  $p^{n-1}J' = \{0\}$ , it follows that  $(W_{\lambda+n-1}/W_\lambda)/J'$  is essential in  $D/J'$ . This implies that the kernel of  $\gamma_1$  is also  $J'$ . In addition, by Lemma 2.5, there is an isomorphism

$$P_\lambda(V) \cong (Q_\lambda G)[p]/\pi(\mu(V))[p] = (Q_\lambda G)[p]/\gamma_1(D)[p].$$

A standard computation shows that the rank of  $E/K$  agrees with the rank of a basic subgroup of  $K$ , which is  $\int_{\lambda+n-1}^{\lambda+\omega} f$ . Therefore, there is a homomorphism  $\sigma : E \rightarrow Q_\lambda G_\lambda$  such that  $\phi = \gamma_1 + \sigma : D \oplus E \rightarrow Q_\lambda G_\lambda$  has kernel  $J' \oplus K \cong A$  iff  $(*)$  holds.  $\square$

We will say the valuated group  $V$  has an  $\omega_1$ -high tower if it is the smoothly ascending union of a chain of subgroups indexed by the countable limit ordinals,  $\{W_\lambda\}_{\lambda < \omega_1}$ , such that for each  $\lambda$ ,  $W_\lambda$  is  $\lambda$ -high in  $V$ . For example, if  $V$  is  $\alpha$ -bounded where  $\alpha < \omega \cdot \omega$ , then  $V$  clearly has an  $\omega_1$ -high tower. If  $V$  is a valuated  $p^n$ -socle or a group, then  $V$  has an  $\omega_1$ -high tower iff the socle  $V[p]$  has an  $\omega_1$ -high tower. In particular, if  $V$  is a group or valuated  $p^n$ -socle that is summable, then it has an  $\omega_1$ -high tower.

**THEOREM 2.7.** *Suppose the valuated  $p^n$ -socle  $V$  has  $\omega_1$ -high tower and  $f = f_V$ . Then  $V$  is realizable iff for every countable limit ordinal  $\lambda$ , we have*

$$\int_{\lambda+n-1}^{\lambda+\omega} f \leq r(P_\lambda(V)).$$

**PROOF.** Let  $W_\lambda$  be as above. For each limit ordinal  $\lambda < \omega_1$ , we inductively construct an ascending chain of groups  $G_\lambda$  supported by  $W_\lambda$ . If  $\lambda$  is a limit of limit ordinals, we can construct  $G_\lambda$  by taking a union. Suppose then that we have constructed  $W_\lambda$  and we want to construct  $W_{\lambda+\omega}$ . By Corollary 2.3, we can find a group  $A$  supported by  $W_{\lambda+\omega}(\lambda)$ . So by Lemma 2.6, we can extend  $G_\lambda$  to get the required  $G_{\lambda+\omega}$  iff our cardinality condition is satisfied.  $\square$

We will need a pair of observations about the above construction, so assume the notation in the proof of Lemma 2.6. If  $\int_{\lambda+n-1}^{\lambda+\omega} f = r(P_\lambda(V))$  is infinite, then we could construct two different extensions  $\phi, \phi' : D \oplus E \rightarrow Q_\lambda G_\lambda$  of  $\gamma_1$ , both with kernel  $J' \oplus K \cong A$ , such that  $\phi$  maps onto the torsion



subgroup of  $Q_\lambda G_\lambda$ , and  $\phi'$  does not. If  $G_{\lambda'}$  and  $G'_{\lambda'}$  are the groups constructed with these two homomorphisms, we could conclude that  $Q_\lambda G_{\lambda'}$  is torsion-free and  $Q_\lambda G'_{\lambda'}$  is not (in other words,  $G_\lambda/p^\lambda G_\lambda$  is  $\lambda$ -torsion complete and  $G_{\lambda'}/p^\lambda G_{\lambda'}$  is not). This establishes the first part of the next result.

**COROLLARY 2.8.** *Suppose the  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socle  $V$  is realizable and  $f = f_V$ . If either*

- (1) *for some limit ordinal  $\lambda < \omega_1$ ,  $\int_{\lambda+n-1}^{\lambda+\omega} f = r(P_\lambda(V))$  is infinite, or*
- (2)  $\bar{f}(\omega) \geq \int_{\omega+n-1}^{\omega^2} f \geq \aleph_1$ ,

*then there are non-isomorphic groups  $G$  and  $G'$  supported by  $V$ .*

**PROOF.** Regarding (2), let  $W_{\omega^2}$  be an  $\omega^2$ -high subgroup of  $V$ . As in Example 2.4, we can construct non-isomorphic groups  $A$  and  $A'$  of length  $\omega^2$  supported by  $W_{\omega^2}$ ; in particular,  $A/p^\omega A$  and  $A'/p^\omega A'$  will not be isomorphic. If we extend these to groups  $G$  and  $G'$  supported by  $V$ , then since  $G/p^\omega G \cong A/p^\omega A$  and  $G'/p^\omega G' \cong A'/p^\omega A'$ , we can conclude that  $G$  and  $G'$  are not isomorphic. □

We have the following immediate consequence of Theorem 2.7, which is an extension of Corollary 2.3.

**COROLLARY 2.9.** *Any  $\omega + n - 1$ -bounded valuated  $p^n$ -socle  $V$  is realizable.*

We now specialize this to the case of valuated  $p^n$ -socles that are  $n$ -summable. The next result allows us to compute the required invariants.

**LEMMA 2.10.** *If  $V$  is an  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socle,  $f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_V$  and  $\lambda$  is a countable limit ordinal, then  $r(P_\lambda V) = (\bar{f}(\lambda))^{\aleph_0}$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\xi = \bar{f}(\lambda)$  and  $\beta_0 < \lambda$  be chosen so that  $\int_{\beta_0}^{\lambda} f = \int_{\beta}^{\lambda} f = \xi$  for all  $\beta_0 \leq \beta < \lambda$ . We may clearly assume that  $\beta_0$  is  $n$ -isolated, so there is a standard  $\beta_0$ -decomposition  $V = W \oplus U$ , where  $W$  is  $\beta_0$ -high in  $V$ . It follows that  $P_\lambda V$  is naturally isomorphic to  $P_\lambda U$ . Replacing  $V$  by  $U$ , we may assume  $\int_0^{\lambda} f = \xi$ .

Since  $V$  is a valuated direct sum of countable groups, the same will be true of  $V_\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V/V(\lambda)$ , and  $B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V_\lambda[p]$ . Since a countable valuated vector

space is free, we can conclude that  $B$  is free. Clearly,  $f_B(\beta) = f(\beta)$  for all  $\beta < \lambda$ , and we can identify  $(L_\lambda V)[p]$  with  $L_\lambda B$ , so that  $P_\lambda V \cong P_\lambda B$ .

If  $\alpha_i$  is a strictly increasing sequence that converges to  $\lambda$ , then we can write  $B = \bigoplus_{i < \omega} B_i$ , where for each  $i < \omega$  we have  $B_i(\alpha_i) = B_i$  and  $B_i(\alpha_{i+1}) = \{0\}$ . It follows that  $L_\lambda B$  is isometric to  $\bar{B} = \prod_{i < \omega} B_i$ , so that  $P_\lambda V$  is isomorphic to  $\bar{B}/B$ . Since  $\bar{B}/B$  has rank  $\xi^{\aleph_0}$ , the result follows.  $\square$

This brings us to the main goal of this section, i.e., the generalization of the Existence Theorem for Principal  $p$ -Groups from [9] promised in the introduction. It is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.7, Lemma 2.10 and the fact that an  $\omega_1$ -bounded  $n$ -summable valued  $p^n$ -socle has an  $\omega_1$ -high tower.

**THEOREM 2.11.** *Suppose  $V$  is an  $\omega_1$ -bounded  $n$ -summable valued  $p^n$ -socle and  $f = f_V$ . Then  $V$  is realizable iff for every countable limit ordinal  $\lambda$  we have*

$$\int_{\lambda+n-1}^{\lambda+\omega} f \leq \bar{f}(\lambda)^{\aleph_0}.$$

For example, if  $f(\alpha) = 1$  for  $\alpha \leq \omega$ ,  $f(\omega + 1) = \kappa$  and  $f(\alpha) = 0$  for  $\alpha > \omega + 1$ , then  $f$  is 2-admissible, so there is a valued  $p^2$ -socle  $V$  with  $f = f_V$ . However, this  $V$  is realizable iff  $\kappa \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$ .

### 3. Unique Realization

We say a function  $f : \omega_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is  $\omega_1$ -countable, if  $f(\alpha)$  is countable for all  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . The following is similar to ([4], Corollary 1.7).

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Suppose  $V$  is a valued  $p^n$ -socle and  $f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_V$  is  $\omega_1$ -countable. Then  $V$  is  $n$ -summable iff it is summable and  $f$  is  $n$ -thin.*

**PROOF.** Suppose first that  $V$  is  $n$ -summable, so that  $f$  is  $n$ -admissible. It follows immediately that  $V$  is summable. And it follows from (1.B) that  $f$  is  $n$ -thin.

Conversely, suppose  $V$  is summable and  $f$  is  $n$ -thin. Let  $\alpha_0 = 0$  and for  $0 < i < \omega_1$ , let  $\alpha_i$  be a strictly increasing enumeration of a CUB subset of countable limit ordinals such that  $f'(\alpha_i) = 0$  for all  $0 < i < \omega_1$ . After col-

lecting terms, there is a valuated decomposition  $V[p] = \bigoplus_{i < \omega_1} S_i$ , where  $S_0 \subseteq V[p]$  is  $\alpha_1$ -high and for  $i > 0$ ,  $S_i \subseteq V(\alpha_i + n - 1)[p]$  is  $\alpha_{i+1}$ -high. Clearly, each  $S_i$  is countable.

Let  $W_i$  be an  $\alpha_{i+1}$ -high subgroup of  $V(\alpha_i)$  such that  $W_i[p] = S_i$ . It follows that  $W_i$  is countable and  $n$ -isotype in  $V$ . By ([4], Corollary 1.6), there is a valuated decomposition  $V = \bigoplus_{i < \omega_1} W_i$ , completing the argument.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *Suppose  $G$  is a group such that  $f_G$  is  $\omega_1$ -countable. Then  $G$  is  $n$ -summable iff it is summable and  $f_G$  is  $n$ -thin. In this case,  $G/p^\alpha G$  will be countable for all  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .*

**PROOF.** The first conclusion follows by applying Proposition 3.1 to  $G[p^n]$ . To verify the last sentence, if  $H$  is  $p^{\alpha+\omega}$ -high in  $G$ , then there is an isomorphism  $H/p^\alpha H \cong G/p^\alpha G$ . Since  $H[p]$  is countable, so is  $H$ . Therefore,  $G/p^\alpha G$  is also countable.  $\square$

For example, if  $f(\alpha) = 1$  for all  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , and  $V$  is a free valuated vector space with  $f = f_V$ , then  $V$  is realizable, so there is a summable group  $G$  such that  $f_G = f$ . Since  $G/p^\alpha G$  will be countable for all  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , this  $G$  will be a  $C_{\omega_1}$ -group; this is the type of group constructed in [1]. Note that this group will not be 2-summable, since  $f$  is not 2-thin. More generally, if we define  $f$  so that  $f(\alpha) = 0$  whenever  $\alpha$  is an  $n$ -limit and  $f(\alpha) = 1$  whenever  $\alpha$  is  $n$ -isolated, then there is an  $n$ -summable group  $G$  such that  $f = f_G$ . However, since  $f_G$  is not  $n + 1$ -thin,  $G$  will not be  $n + 1$ -summable.

We now turn to a discussion of when a realizable  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socles is, in fact, uniquely realizable. We start with the case where the Ulm function is  $\omega_1$ -countable.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $V$  be a realizable  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socle such that  $f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_V : \omega_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is  $\omega_1$ -countable. Assuming the continuum hypothesis (CH),  $V$  is uniquely realizable iff it is countable.*

**PROOF.** Clearly, if  $V$  is countable then any group supported by  $V$  will be countable, and such groups are classified by their Ulm functions. [This direction clearly does not depend on CH.]

Conversely, suppose  $V$  is uncountable; i.e.,  $\text{supp}(f)$  is unbounded. We need to construct non-isomorphic groups  $G_S$  and  $G_T$  supported by  $V$ . Let  $\{W_\lambda\}_{\lambda < \omega_1}$  be an  $\omega_1$ -high tower of  $V$ . Let  $S_\lambda, T_\lambda$ , for limit ordinals  $\lambda < \omega_1$ , be a smoothly ascending chains of countable sets such that  $S_\lambda \cap V = T_\lambda \cap V = W_\lambda$  and  $|S_{\lambda+\omega} - (S_\lambda \cup V)| = |T_{\lambda+\omega} - (T_\lambda \cup V)| = \aleph_0$  and let  $S, T$

be their unions. As sets, we will let  $G_S = S$  and  $G_T = T$  and we will inductively define group structures on  $S_\lambda$  and  $T_\lambda$  so that  $S_\lambda[p^n] = T_\lambda[p^n] = W_\lambda$ . It is important to note that since  $S_\omega$  will be pure and  $\omega$ -dense in  $S_\lambda$ , if  $A$  is a reduced group, then a homomorphism  $f : S_\lambda \rightarrow A$  is uniquely determined by its restriction to  $S_\omega$ .

Given CH, the cardinality of the set of functions  $f : S_\omega \rightarrow T$  is  $\aleph_1^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$ , so we can index them by  $f_i$  for  $i < \omega_1$ . Let  $E_i \subseteq \omega_1$  for  $i < \omega_1$  be a collection of pairwise disjoint stationary subsets consisting of limit ordinals (see, for example, [12], Lemma 8.8).

Suppose  $\lambda'$  is a limit and for all  $\lambda < \lambda'$  we have defined group structures on  $S_\lambda$  and  $T_\lambda$  supported by  $W_\lambda$ . If  $\lambda'$  is a limit of limits, then we simply take unions. Suppose then, that we have constructed our group structures on  $S_\lambda$  and  $T_\lambda$ , and  $\lambda' = \lambda + \omega$ . We now divide the construction into two parts.

CASE 1.  $\lambda \in E_i$  and  $f_i(S_\omega) \subseteq T_\lambda$  extends to an isomorphism  $g : S_\lambda \rightarrow T_\lambda$ .

Identify  $S_\lambda$  and  $T_\lambda$  using  $g$  and call the result  $G_\lambda$ . Then as in the proof of Lemma 2.6, there are group structures on  $S_{\lambda+\omega}$  and  $T_{\lambda+\omega}$  that extend  $G_\lambda$  and are supported by  $W_{\lambda+\omega}$ , for which  $S_{\lambda+\omega}/p^2 S_{\lambda+\omega}$  and  $T_{\lambda+\omega}/p^2 T_{\lambda+\omega}$  map to distinct subgroups of  $L_\lambda G_\lambda$ . In particular, this means that the isomorphism  $g : S_\lambda \rightarrow T_\lambda$  does not extend to an isomorphism  $S_{\lambda+\omega}/p^2 S_{\lambda+\omega} \rightarrow T_{\lambda+\omega}/p^2 T_{\lambda+\omega}$ .

CASE 2. Case 1 does not apply.

Extend the group structures on  $S_\lambda$  and  $T_\lambda$  to  $S_{\lambda+\omega}$  and  $T_{\lambda+\omega}$  in any way so that they are supported by  $W_{\lambda+\omega}$ .

Let  $G_S = \bigcup_{\lambda < \omega_1} S_\lambda$  and  $G_T = \bigcup_{\lambda < \omega_1} T_\lambda$ . It follows that  $V$  is supported by both  $G_S$  and  $G_T$ . We claim that they are not isomorphic; assume otherwise, and let  $h : G_S \rightarrow G_T$  be such an isomorphism. It follows that  $C = \{\lambda < \omega_1 : h(S_\lambda) = T_\lambda\}$  is closed and unbounded in  $\omega_1$ . Let  $i < \omega_1$  be such that  $h$  restricted to  $S_\omega$  agrees with  $f_i$ . Since  $E_i$  is stationary, it follows that there is an  $\lambda \in E_i \cap C$ . Note that  $h$  will induce an isomorphism from  $G_S/p^2 G_S \cong S_{\lambda+\omega}/p^2 S_{\lambda+\omega}$  to  $G_T/p^2 G_T \cong T_{\lambda+\omega}/p^2 T_{\lambda+\omega}$ , which is an extension of the isomorphism  $g \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} h|_{S_\lambda} : S_\lambda \rightarrow T_\lambda$ . This is contrary to the construction from Case 1, which shows that  $G_S$  and  $G_T$  are not isomorphic. So  $V$  is not uniquely realizable. □

Observe that the continuum hypothesis is equivalent to the condition that  $\aleph_0^{\aleph_0} \leq \aleph_1 = \aleph_0^+$ . By the CEH (for “countable exponent hypothesis”) we

will mean the statements that  $\kappa^{\aleph_0} \leq \kappa^+$  for all infinite cardinals  $\kappa$ . Clearly, the generalized continuum hypothesis implies the CEH. The following result, therefore, generalizes Theorem 3.3.

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Suppose  $V$  is a realizable  $n$ -summable valuated  $p^n$ -socle and  $f = f_V$ . If  $V(\omega + n - 1)$  is countable, then  $V$  is uniquely realizable. In fact, any group supported by  $V$  must be a dsc group.*

*Conversely, assuming the CEH, if  $V(\omega + n - 1)$  is uncountable, then  $V$  is not uniquely realizable.*

**PROOF.** Suppose first that  $V(\omega + n - 1)$  is countable and  $G$  is a group supported by  $V$ . Let  $H$  be  $p^{\omega+n-1}$ -high in  $G$ . Since  $G$  is  $n$ -summable, by ([4], Theorem 3.5),  $H$  must be a dsc group. Since  $r(G/H) = r(V(\omega + n - 1)) \leq \aleph_0$ , it follows from Wallace’s Theorem (see, for example, [3], Proposition 1.1) that  $G$  is a dsc group. Therefore,  $G$  is determined by  $V$ .

Conversely, suppose  $V(\omega + n - 1)$  is uncountable. Let  $\kappa = \int_{\omega+n-1}^{\omega 2} f$ .

**CASE 1.**  $\kappa$  is uncountable.

Let  $\mu = \bar{f}(\omega)$ . If  $\kappa \leq \mu$ , then it follows from Corollary 2.8(2) that  $V$  is not uniquely realizable. We may therefore assume that  $\kappa > \mu$ , so that the CEH implies  $\kappa \geq \mu^{\aleph_0}$ . By Theorem 2.11,  $\kappa \leq \mu^{\aleph_0}$ , so that  $\kappa = \mu^{\aleph_0} = r(P_\omega(V))$ . In this case, Corollary 2.8(1) implies that  $V$  is not uniquely realizable.

**CASE 2.**  $\kappa = \aleph_0$ .

**Subcase 2a:** For all  $\alpha$  with  $\omega + n - 1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$  we have  $f(\alpha) \leq \aleph_0$ .

It follows from Theorem 3.3 that there are non-isomorphic groups  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  supported by  $V(\omega + n - 1)$  (where, again, we shift values by  $\omega + n - 1$ ). These two groups are contained in groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  supported by  $V$ , where  $A_0 = p^{\omega+n-1}G_1$  and  $A_1 = p^{\omega+n-1}G_2$ . Since  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  will also not be isomorphic, it follows that  $V$  is not uniquely realizable.

**Subcase 2b:** For some  $\alpha$  with  $\omega + n - 1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$  we have  $f(\alpha) \geq \aleph_1$ .

Let  $\alpha \geq \omega$  be chosen minimally so that  $f(\alpha) \geq \aleph_1$ . Since  $\kappa = \int_{\omega+n-1}^{\omega 2} f = \aleph_0$ , we can conclude that  $\alpha \geq \omega 2$ . Since  $f$  is  $n$ -admissible,  $\alpha$  must be  $n$ -isolated. If  $q_\omega(\alpha) = \lambda \geq \omega 2$ , then  $\bar{f}(\lambda) \leq \int_{\omega+n-1}^{\lambda} f = \aleph_0$ . In addition, by Theorem 2.11

$$\aleph_1 \leq \int_{\lambda+n-1}^{\lambda+\omega} f \leq r(P_\lambda(V)) = \bar{f}(\lambda)^{\aleph_0} \leq \aleph_0^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1.$$

It follows from Corollary 2.8(1) that  $V$  is not uniquely realizable, completing the proof.  $\square$

Again, assuming the CEH, the last result states that an  $n$ -summable group  $G$  is uniquely determined by  $f_G$  iff it is a dsc group and  $p^{\omega+n-1}G$  is countable. We now consider the particular case where  $n = 1$ .

A group  $G$  is said to be  $\omega$ -totally  $\Sigma$ -cyclic if every separable subgroup of  $G$  is  $\Sigma$ -cyclic. These groups were studied in [2], where, for example, it was shown that they are precisely the dsc groups  $G$  for which  $p^\omega G$  is countable. Therefore, we have the following consequence of Theorem 3.4 for  $n = 1$ .

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *Assuming the CEH, a summable group  $G$  is uniquely determined by  $f_G$  iff it is  $\omega$ -totally  $\Sigma$ -cyclic.*

For example, if  $f(\alpha) = \aleph_1$  for all  $\alpha \leq \omega$  and  $f(\alpha) = 0$  for  $\alpha > \omega$ , then as in Example 2.4, there are summable groups  $A$  and  $A'$  with Ulm function  $f$  such that  $A$  is a dsc group and  $A'$  is not. So, as a summable group  $A$  is not uniquely determined by  $f$ . However by Theorem 3.4, as a 2-summable group  $A$  is uniquely determined by  $f$ .

In ([4], Theorem 3.8), it was shown that if  $G$  is a group and  $G[p^n]$  is  $n$ -summable for all positive integers  $n$ , then  $G$  must be a dsc group. We conclude this paper with an analogous result for Ulm functions that illustrates the power of our realization results.

**THEOREM 3.6.** *A function  $f : \omega_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is admissible iff it is  $n$ -admissible for all positive integers  $n$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $f$  is admissible, then there is a dsc group  $G$  such that  $f = f_G$ . It follows that  $G[p^n]$  is  $n$ -summable and  $f = f_{G[p^n]}$ . So by Theorem 1.10,  $f$  is  $n$ -admissible for each positive integer  $n$ .

Conversely, suppose for each positive integer  $n$ ,  $f$  is  $n$ -admissible. So by Theorem 1.10, there is an  $n$ -summable valued  $p^n$ -socle  $V_n$  such that  $f_{V_n} = f$ . It is clear that  $V_{n+1}[p^n]$  will also be an  $n$ -summable valued  $p^n$ -socle and that  $f_{V_{n+1}[p^n]} = f$ , so that by Theorem 0.1 there is an isometry  $V_n \cong V_{n+1}[p^n]$ . If we identify these two, then we can define  $G$  to be the union  $\bigcup_{1 \leq n < \omega} V_n$ . Note that  $G$  has a valuation  $|\cdot|_G$  determined by the valuations on the various  $V_n$ . In addition, if  $x \in G$  and  $\alpha < |x|_G$ , then  $x \in V_n$  for some  $n$ , and so  $x \in V_{n+1}[p^n]$ . Hence, there is a  $y \in V_{n+1} \subseteq G$  such that  $py = x$  and  $\alpha \leq |y|_G$ . This means that for all  $x \in G$ ,  $|x|_G = \sup\{|y|_G + 1 : y \in G \text{ and } py = x\}$ . An easy induction then implies that  $|\cdot|_G$

is the height function on  $G$ . Since  $G[p^n] = V_n$  is  $n$ -summable for each  $n$ , it follows from ([4], Theorem 3.8) that  $G$  is a dsc group. Therefore,  $f = f_G$  will be admissible.  $\square$

The last result could be proven combinatorially using the definition (1.A and B), but the argument is less intuitive and significantly longer than the above.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. CUTLER, *Another summable  $C_\Omega$ -group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **26** (1970), pp. 43–44.
- [2] P. DANCHEV - P. KEEF, *An application of set theory to  $\omega + n$ -totally  $p^{\omega+n}$ -Projective primary abelian groups*, Mediterr. J. Math. (to appear).
- [3] P. DANCHEV - P. KEEF, *Generalized Wallace theorems*, Math. Scand., **104** (1) (2009), pp. 33–50.
- [4] P. DANCHEV - P. KEEF,  *$n$ -Summable valuated  $p^n$ -socles and primary abelian groups*, Commun. Algebra, **38** (2010), pp. 3137–3153.
- [5] P. DANCHEV - P. KEEF, *Nice elongations of primary abelian groups*, Publ. Mat., **54** (2) (2010), pp. 317–339.
- [6] L. FUCHS, *Infinite Abelian Groups, Volumes I & II*, Academic Press, (New York, 1970 and 1973).
- [7] L. FUCHS, *Vector spaces with valuations*, J. Algebra, **35** (1975), pp. 23–38.
- [8] P. GRIFFITH, *Infinite Abelian Group Theory*, The University of Chicago Press (Chicago and London, 1970).
- [9] K. HONDA, *Realism in the theory of abelian groups III*, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli, **12** (1964), pp. 75–111.
- [10] R. HUNTER - F. RICHMAN - E. WALKER, *Existence Theorems for Warfield Groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **235** (1978), pp. 345–362.
- [11] J. IRWIN - P. KEEF, *Primary abelian groups and direct sums of cyclics*, J. Algebra, **159** (2) (1993), pp. 387–399.
- [12] T. JECH, *Set Theory (Third Millennium Edition)*, Springer (Berlin, 2002).
- [13] P. KEEF, *On  $\omega_1$ - $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective primary abelian groups*, J. Algebra and Number Theory Academia, **1** (1) (2010), pp. 53–87.
- [14] P. KEEF - P. DANCHEV, *On  $m, n$ -balanced projective and  $m, n$ -totally projective primary abelian groups*, Submitted.
- [15] P. KEEF - P. DANCHEV, *On  $n$ -simply presented primary abelian groups*, To appear in Houston J. Math.
- [16] F. RICHMAN - E. WALKER, *Valuated groups*, J. Algebra, **56** (1) (1979), pp. 145–167.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 3 gennaio 2011.