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Abstract - Let $n$ be an integer greater than 1 . A group $G$ is said to be $n$-rewritable (or a $Q_{n}$-group) if for every $n$ elements $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ in $G$ there exist distinct permutations $\sigma$ and $\tau$ in $S_{n}$ such that $x_{\sigma(1)} x_{\sigma(2)} \ldots x_{\sigma(n)}=x_{\tau(1)} x_{\tau(2)} \ldots x_{\tau(n)}$. In this paper we have completely characterized abelian-by-cyclic 3-rewritable groups: they turns out to have an abelian subgroup of index 2 or the size of derived subgroups is less than 6 . In this paper, we also prove that $G / F(G)$ is an abelian group of finite exponent dividing 12 , where $F(G)$ is the Fitting subgroup of $G$.

## 1. Introduction and results.

Let $n$ be an integer greater than 1 . A group $G$ is said to be $n$-rewritable (or a $Q_{n}$-group) if for every $n$ elements $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ in $G$ there exist distinct permutations $\sigma$ and $\tau$ in $S_{n}$ such that

$$
x_{\sigma(1)} x_{\sigma(2)} \ldots x_{\sigma(n)}=x_{\tau(1)} x_{\tau(2)} \ldots x_{\tau(n)} .
$$

The class of 2-rewritable groups is precisely the class of abelian groups, while $Q_{3}, Q_{4}$, etc. are successively weaker properties.

In the above definition, if one of the permutations $\sigma$ or $\tau$ can always be chosen to be the identity then the group $G$ is said to
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be $n$-permutable (or a $P_{n}$-group). Thus $P_{n} \subseteq Q_{n}$ for all $n$. But for all $n>2, P_{n}{ }_{\neq} Q_{n}$, (see Proposition 2.10 of [5]).

We define $P=\bigcup_{n>1} P_{n}$ and $Q=\bigcup_{n>1} Q_{n}$, so again $P \subseteq Q$. A complete classification of $P$-groups and $Q$-groups are given in [9] and [5] respectively; namely that the classes of $P$-groups and $Q$-groups both coincide with the class of finite-by-abelian-by-finite groups.

However there exist such a nice characterization for $P$-groups and $Q$ groups, the informations about $P_{n}$-groups and $Q_{n}$-groups for various $n$ is very little.

Curzio, Longobardi and Maj [8] showed that a group $G$ has the property $P_{3}$ if and only if $\left|G^{\prime}\right| \leqslant 2$. Also Longobardi, Maj and Stonehewer $[12,13,11,10]$ proved that a group $G$ has the property $P_{4}$ if and only if either $\left|G^{\prime}\right| \leqslant 8$ or $G$ has an abelian subgroup of index 2. Blyth [4] has shown that $Q_{4}$-groups are soluble and Maj [12] proved that $Q_{3}$-groups are metabelian.

Although $Q_{2}$-groups are just the abelian groups, there is no classification for $Q_{3}$-groups. Recently Blyth [3] has shown that a finite group of odd order is in $Q_{3}$ if and only if $\left|G^{\prime}\right| \leqslant 5$. In view of this result and Lemma 2.1 of [1], to study finite, nilpotent $Q_{3}$-groups we need only consider finite nilpotent 2 -groups. In [1, Theorem B], we characterized all finite 2 groups in $Q_{3}$, it is proved that a nilpotent 2-group $G$ of class 2 lies in $Q_{3}$ if and only if $\left|\langle x, y, z\rangle^{\prime}\right| \leqslant 4$ for all $x, y, z \in G$. Also a bound for the nilpotency class of certain finite 2 -groups in $Q_{3}$ is given in [1, Theorem A]. The main aim of this paper is to characterize abelian-by-cyclic groups in $Q_{3}$. It is done as Theorem 1.1, below. It seems that toward having a complete characterization for all $Q_{3}$-groups, the first step is to characterize abe-lian-by-cyclic groups.

The main results of this paper are as follows.
Theorem 1.1. Let $G$ be a finite abelian-by-cyclic group. Then $G$ is a 3 -rewritable group if and only if either $G$ has an abelian subgroup of index 2 or its derived subgroup has order less than 6.

As consequence of Theorem 1.1 and using similar methods as [2], we obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.2. Let $G$ be a finite 3 -rewritable group. Then $G / F(G)$ is an abelian group of finite exponent dividing 12, where $F(G)$ denotes the Fitting subgroup of $G$.

## 2. Proofs.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $G$ is a $Q_{3}$-group and $A$ is an abelian subgroup of $G$ containing $G^{\prime}$. Let $a, b \in A$ and $x \in G$. Then one of the following holds.
(i) $[x, b]=1$,
(ii) $[a, x]=1$,
(iii) $\left[b, x^{2}\right]=1$,
(iv) $[a, x]^{x}=[x, b]$,
(v) $[x, a]^{x}=[x, b]$,
(vi) $[a, x]=[b, x]$,
(vii) $[a, x]=[x, b]$
(viii) $[x, b]=[b, x]^{x}[x, a]^{x}$,
(ix) $[x, b]=[b, x]^{x}[a, x]^{x}$.

Proof. It is easy to see, applying the 3-rewritability property on the elements $a x, b x$ and $x$, that the result follows.

Lemma 2.2. Let $G=A\langle x\rangle$ be a $Q_{3}$-group where $A$ is a torsion abelian normal subgroup of $G$. Let $p$ be a prime number and let a be a pelement of $A$ such that $\left[a, x^{2}\right] \neq 1$. Then $x$ centralises the $p$-complement of $A$.

Proof Let $b \in A$ be a $p^{\prime}$-element. Then it follows from Lemma 2.1, using the elements $a, b$ and $x$, that $[b, x]=1$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $G$ be a $Q_{3}$-group and let $A$ be an abelian normal subgroup of $G$. Suppose also that $G=A\langle x\rangle$. If $\left[a, x^{2}\right] \neq 1$ for some element $a \in A$, then $G^{\prime}=\langle[a, x]\rangle^{G}$.

Proof. Since $\left[a, x^{2}\right] \neq 1,[a, x] \neq 1$. Let $b \in A$ and use Lemma 2.1 and the fact that $G^{\prime}=[A, x]$ to establish the lemma.

In view of Lemma 2.2, to characterize finite abelian-by-cyclic $Q_{3^{-}}$ groups, we need only consider groups $G=A\langle x\rangle$ where $A$ is an abelian normal $p$-group for some prime $p$. In what follows $G$ is a finite abelian-by-cyclic 3-rewritable group and $p$ is a prime number, $A$ a normal abelian $p$-subgroup of $G$ and $x$ an element of $G$ such that $G=A\langle x\rangle$. To characterize groups $G=A\langle x\rangle$ where $A$ is an abelian normal $p$-subgroup, we need the following.

Lemma 2.4. Let $G=A\langle x\rangle$ where $A$ is an abelian normal p-subgroup. Let $a \in A$ be such that $\left[a, x^{2}\right] \neq 1$. Then one of the following holds:

1) $[a, x]^{x^{2}}=[a, x]^{-1}$
2) $[a, x]^{x^{2}}=[a, x]$
3) $[a, x]^{x}=[a, x]$
4) $[a, x]^{x}=[a, x]^{-1}$
5) $[a, x]^{x^{2}}=[a, x]^{x}[a, x]$
6) $\left[a, x^{3}\right]=1$.

Proof. It follows easily from Lemma 2.1 by replacing $a$ by $a^{x}$ and $b$ by $a$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $G=A\langle x\rangle$ where $A$ is an abelian normal $p$-subgroup of $G$ and $a \in A$ is such that $\left[a, x^{2}\right] \neq 1$. Then one of the following holds:
I) $[a, x]^{2}=1$
II) $[a, x]^{2 x}=[a, x]^{-1}$
III) $[a, x]^{2 x}=[a, x]$
IV) $[a, x]^{3}=1$
V) $[a, x]^{x}=[a, x]$
VI) $[a, x]^{3 x}=[a, x]^{-1}$.

Proof. Replacing $a$ by $a^{2}$ and $b$ by $a$ in Lemma 2.1 the result follows easily.

Lemma 2.6. Let $G=A\langle x\rangle$ where $A$ is an abelian normal $p$-subgroup of $G$. Let $a \in A$ be such that $\left[a, x^{2}\right] \neq 1$, then one of the following holds:
(A) $[a, x]^{x}=[a, x]$
(B) $[a, x]^{x^{2}}=[a, x]^{x}[a, x]^{-1}$
(C) $[a, x]^{2 x}=[a, x]$
(D) $[a, x]^{x^{2}}=[a, x]^{-x}[a, x]$
(E) $[a, x]^{x^{2}}=[a, x]^{-1}$

Proof. Applying the 3-rewritability property on the elements $a x, a^{x}$ and $x a$ one sees that the result follows.

Lemma 2.7. Let $G$ be a $Q_{3}$-group, $A$ a normal abelian $p$-subgroup of $G$ and $x$ an element of finite order in $G$ such that $G=A\langle x\rangle$. If $\left[A, x^{4}\right]=1$, then either $\left[A, x^{2}\right]=1$ or $\left|G^{\prime}\right| \leqslant 4$.

Proof. Applying the 3 -rewritability property on the elements $a x, a x^{2}$ and $a x^{3}$, where $a$ is any element in $A$, we have either $\left[a, x^{2}\right]=1$ or $[a, x]^{x^{2}}=[a, x]$.

Suppose that there exists $a \in A$ such that $\left[a, x^{2}\right] \neq 1$. Then $[a, x]^{x^{2}}=$ $=[a, x]$ and by Lemma 2.3, $G^{\prime}=\left\langle[a, x],[a, x]^{x}\right\rangle$. Let $x=y z$ where $y$ is a 2 -element, $z$ is a $2^{\prime}$-element and $[y, z]=1$. Then we have $G^{\prime}=\left\langle[a, y],[a, y]^{y}\right\rangle$.

Now if $p=2$ then $G$ is a finite 2 -group and by [1, Lemma 2.4] $\left|G^{\prime}\right| \leqslant$ $\leqslant 4$. So suppose that $p \neq 2$. Then by exercise 6 , page 282 of [14], $A=$ $=C_{A}(y) \times[A, y]$ and so $y^{2} \in A$ which gives a contradiction.

Lemma 2.8. Let $G$ be a finite $Q_{3}$-group, $A$ a normal abelian subgroup of $G$ and $x$ an element of finite order such that $G=A\langle x\rangle$. If $\left[a, x^{2}\right] \neq 1$ for some element $a \in A$ and $[a, x]^{x}=[a, x]$, then $\left|G^{\prime}\right| \leqslant 5$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, $G^{\prime}=\langle[a, x]\rangle^{\langle x\rangle}$ and by the hypothesis $G^{\prime}=$ $=\langle[a, x]\rangle \leqslant Z(G)$ and so $G$ is nilpotent of class at most 2 . Thus $G=P \times Q$; where $P$ is the Sylow 2 -subgroup and $Q$ is the Sylow $2^{\prime}$-subgroup of $G$. By [1, Lemma 2.1], either $P$ or $Q$ is abelian. If $P$ is abelian, then $G^{\prime}=Q^{\prime}$ and by the main Theorem of [3, Lemma 2.1], $\left|G^{\prime}\right| \leqslant 5$. If $Q$ is abelian, then $G^{\prime}=P^{\prime}$, and $P$ is an abelian-by-cyclic 2 -group in $Q_{3}$. In this case, Lemma 2.4 of [1] completes the proof.

Lemma 2.9. Let $G=A\langle x\rangle$ be in $Q_{3}$ where $A$ is an abelian normal $p$ subgroup of $G$. If $a \in A$ is such that $\left[a, x^{2}\right] \neq 1$ and $[a, x]^{7}=1=\left[a, x^{3}\right]$, then $G$ is abelian.

Proof. Let $K=\langle a\rangle^{G}\langle x\rangle$. Then $x^{3}$ and $a^{7} \in Z(K)$ so that $\frac{K}{Z(K)}$ is a $\{3,7\}$-group in $Q_{3}$ and is nilpotent. Thus $K$ is nilpotent. Now $G^{\prime}=K^{\prime}$ and by lemma 2.3, $K^{\prime}=G^{\prime}=\left\langle[a, x] \mid\left[a, x^{7}\right]=1\right\rangle$. But since $K$ is nilpotent $K=P \times Q$ where $P$ is the Sylow 2 -subgroup and $Q$ is the 2-complement of $K$. Since $Q$ is a finite group of odd order in $Q_{3}$, so by the main Theorem of $[3],\left|Q^{\prime}\right| \leqslant 5$ and since $Q^{\prime} \leqslant K^{\prime}$ it follows that $\left|Q^{\prime}\right|=1$. Also since $P$ is a 2 -group and $P^{\prime} \leqslant K^{\prime}$, we have $P^{\prime}=1$ and the proof is complete.

Lemma 2.10. Every group containing an abelian subgroup of index 2 is in $Q_{3}$.

Proof. Let $G$ be a group containing an abelian subgroup $A$ of index 2. Thus $G=A\langle x\rangle$ for some element $x \in G$ such that $x^{2} \in A$. Suppose that $x_{1}, x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ are arbitrary elements in $G$. Then there are $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \in A$ such that $x_{i}=a_{i} x$ for $i=1,2,3$. Now it is easy to see that

$$
\left(a_{1} x\right)\left(a_{2} x\right)\left(a_{3} x\right)=\left(a_{3} x\right)\left(a_{2} x\right)\left(a_{1} x\right)=x^{3} a_{1}^{x} a_{3}^{x} a_{2}
$$

It follows that we have $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}=x_{3} x_{2} x_{1}$ for all elements $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ in $G$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $G=A\langle x\rangle$ where $A$ is an abelian normal $p$-subgroup of $G$ such that $\left[A, x^{2}\right] \neq 1$. If $G$ contains an abelian subgroup of index 2, then Lemma 2.10 implies that $G \in Q_{3}$ and if $\left|G^{\prime}\right| \leqslant 5$, then [5, Proposition 2.4] yields that $G \in Q_{3}$.

Now assume that $G \in Q_{3}$ and there is an element $a \in A$ such that $\left[a, x^{2}\right] \neq 1$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $\left|G^{\prime}\right| \geqslant 5$. Then by Lemma 2.7, $\left[a, x^{4}\right] \neq 1$. Considering the 36 cases arising from Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 we see that one of the following must hold:
(a) $[a, x]^{3}=1$ and $[a, x]^{x^{2}}=[a, x]^{-1}$
(b) $[a, x]^{3}=1$ and $[a, x]^{x^{2}}=[a, x]$
(c) $[a, x]^{x^{2}}=[a, x]$ and $[a, x]^{x}=[a, x]$
(d) $[a, x]^{x^{2}}=[a, x]$ and $[a, x]^{3 x}=[a, x]^{-1}$
(c) $[a, x]^{x}=[a, x]$
(f) $[a, x]^{3}=1$ and $[a, x]^{x^{2}}=[a, x]^{x}[a, x]$
(g) $\left[a, x^{3}\right]=1$ and $[a, x]^{2 x}=[a, x]$
(h) $\left[a, x^{3}\right]=1$ and $[a, x]^{3}=1$
(i) $\left[a, x^{3}\right]=1$ and $[a, x]^{3 x}=[a, x]^{-1}$

Now comparing each one of the cases (A)-(E) from Lemma 2.6 with each one of the cases (a)-(i) above we have either $[a, x]^{x}=[a, x]$ or $[a, x]^{7}=1$, which cannot happen by Lemmas 2.8 and 2.9, respectively.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. First we prove that $G / F(G)$ is an abelian $\{2,3\}$-group. By [12], $G$ is metabelian and so it is clear that $G / F(G)$ must be abelian. Now assume $G$ is a counterexample of minimum order with respect to the property that $G / F(G)$ is not a $\{2,3\}$-group. It follows from [7, Satz 2.9] that $G$ is a semidirect product of a group $N$ by a cyclic
group $Q$ of order $p$, where $p$ is a prime other than 2 and 3 . Since $p$ is odd it follow from the main Theorem of [3, Satz 2.9] that $N$ is an elementary abelian 2-group. Furthermore $G^{\prime}=N=C_{G}(N)=F(G)$ is a minimal normal subgroup of $G$. Now by Theorem 1.1, $|N| \leqslant 4$. Therefore $G$ itself is a $\{2,3\}$-group, which is a contradiction.

Hence $G / F(G)$ is an abelian $\{2,3\}$-group. Now suppose, for a contradiction, that $G$ is a counterexample of least possible order subject to the property that the Sylow 2-subgroup of $G / F(G)$ does not have exponent dividing 4 . Thus by [7, Satz 2.9] $G$ is a semidirect product of a group $N$ by a cyclic group $Q=\langle b\rangle$ of order 8 and $N$ is an elementary abelian $2^{\prime}$ group. Moreover $C_{N}\left(b^{4}\right)=1$ and $N=G^{\prime}$. It follows from Theorem 1.1, that $|N|=3$ or 5 . But then the order of $\operatorname{Aut}(N)$ divides 4 and so $b^{4}=1$, a contradiction. Therefore the exponent of the Sylow 2-subgroup of $G / F(G)$ divides 4 . By a similar argument one can prove that the Sylow 3subgroup of $G / F(G)$ is elementary abelian. It completes the proof.
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