

RENDICONTI  
*del*  
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO  
*della*  
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

CRISTIÁN U. SÁNCHEZ

ANA L. CALÍ

JOSÉ L. MORESCHI

**Homogeneous totally real submanifolds of  
complex projective space**

*Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova*,  
tome 101 (1999), p. 83-94

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP\\_1999\\_\\_101\\_\\_83\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1999__101__83_0)

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1999, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

## Homogeneous Totally Real Submanifolds of Complex Projective Space (\*)

CRISTIÁN U. SÁNCHEZ(\*\*) - ANA L. CALÍ(\*\*\*) - JOSÉ L. MORESCHI(\*\*\*)

ABSTRACT - This paper is devoted to the study of a family of totally real submanifolds of complex projective space  $CP^n$ . This is the family of the so called R-spaces or real flag manifolds which have very natural immersions into certain complex projective spaces. The main result determines the geometric properties that characterize these immersions.

### 1. - A natural immersion.

Every R-space (real flag manifold)  $M^n$  has a natural totally real immersion into a complex projective space  $CP^{n+q}$ . This immersion arises naturally from the canonical embedding associated to the R-space. Let us recall that an R-space  $M^n$ , by definition, has a canonical embedding into  $R^{n+p}$  defined as follows. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a real semisimple Lie algebra without compact factors,  $\mathfrak{k}$  a maximal compactly embedded subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$  the Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  relative to  $\mathfrak{k}$ . If we denote by  $B$  the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}$  then  $\mathfrak{p}$  can be considered a Euclidean space with the inner product defined by the restriction of  $B$  to  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $G = \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$  be the group of inner automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ; whose Lie algebra may be identified with  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $K$  be the analytic subgroup of  $G$  corre-

(\*) This research partially supported by CONICET, SECYT-UNSL and SECYT-UNCOR of Argentina.

(\*\*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Fa. M.A.F., Universidad de Córdoba, Medina Allende y Haya de la Torre, Ciudad universitaria, 5000, Córdoba, Argentina.

(\*\*\*) Indirizzo degli AA.: Fac. Ci. Fi. Mat. y Nat., Universidad de San Luis, Chacabuco y Pedernera, 5700, San Luis, Argentina.

sponding to  $\mathfrak{k}$ ; then  $K$  is compact and acts on  $\mathfrak{p}$  as an isometry group. The R-space  $M$  is the orbit of a non zero vector  $E \in \mathfrak{p}$  i.e.  $M = \text{Ad}_G(K)E$ . A particular case of this situation is that of the complex flag manifolds (see for instance [12]) where one takes a compact semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{u}$  and consider  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{u} \oplus i\mathfrak{u}$  as a real semisimple Lie algebra. Then  $\mathfrak{u} \oplus i\mathfrak{u}$  is a Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  [5][p. 185] and  $U = \text{Int}(\mathfrak{u})$  acting on  $i\mathfrak{u}$  by the adjoint representation has orbits which are complex flag manifolds.

Let  $\varrho: M^n \rightarrow (\mathfrak{p}, B)$  be the canonical embedding of an R-space. We may consider that it is isometric by taking on  $M^n$  the induced metric. It is clear that the image of the embedding  $\varrho$  lies on a sphere. This defines a new embedding

$$(1) \quad \varrho_1: M^n \rightarrow S^{n+q}$$

where  $n + q + 1$  is the dimension of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . We may take now the induced immersion

$$(2) \quad \varrho_2: M^n \rightarrow RP^{n+q}$$

which followed by the natural embedding  $\sigma: RP^{n+q} \rightarrow CP^{n+q}$  yields an immersion

$$(3) \quad \varphi: M^n \rightarrow CP^{n+q}, \quad \varphi = \sigma \circ \varrho_2.$$

which obviously is totally real.

In the present paper we determine the geometric properties that, in terms of the second fundamental form, characterize these immersions.

One of the motivations for this work is a result mentioned in [3] (specifically Theorem C in page 354) where the authors study minimal totally real submanifolds of  $CP^N$  obtaining a nice characterization of them in terms of properties of the second fundamental form of the immersion under a restriction on the Ricci curvature.

Another motivation is the known characterization of R-spaces as submanifolds of  $R^N$  with canonically parallel second fundamental form [8].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we find the relevant geometric properties of the immersion  $\varphi$  defined in (3). Section 3 contains the proof of the fact that these properties determine the totally real immersion  $\varphi$ .

## 2. – Geometric properties of the immersion $\varphi$ .

We describe now the fundamental properties of the immersion  $\varphi$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *The second fundamental form  $\alpha$  of the isometric immersion  $\varphi$  has the following properties:*

i)  $\alpha$  is canonically parallel,

ii) If  $J$  denotes the complex structure on  $CP^{n+q}$  at any point  $p \in \varphi(M)$  then

$$\langle \alpha_p(X, X), J_p X \rangle = 0, \quad \forall X \in T_p(M^n).$$

**PROOF.** It is known, [8], that the canonical embedding  $\varrho$  of the manifold  $M^n$  into  $\mathfrak{p} = R^{n+q+1}$  described above, has canonically parallel second fundamental form in the sense of [9] with respect to any one of the possible canonical connections that can be defined on  $M^n$ .

Recall that a linear connection  $\nabla^c$  on  $M^n$  is said to be *canonical* if it satisfies the following properties

i)  $\nabla^c \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = 0$ ;

ii)  $\nabla^c D = 0$ , where  $\nabla$  is the Riemannian connection of the induced metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $M^n$  and  $D = \nabla - \nabla^c$ .

With the canonical connection  $\nabla^c$  on  $M^n$  we can define as in [9] the *canonical covariant derivative of the second fundamental form*  $\alpha_0$  of the embedding  $\varrho$  by the formula

$$(4) \quad (\nabla_X^c \alpha_0)(Y, Z) = \nabla_X^\perp (\alpha_0(Y, Z)) - \alpha_0(\nabla_X^c Y, Z) - \alpha_0(Y, \nabla_X^c Z).$$

We say that  $\alpha_0$  is *canonically parallel* if

$$(\nabla_X^c \alpha_0)(Y, Z) = 0, \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in T_p(M^n), \quad \forall p \in M^n.$$

Let  $\alpha_1$  be the second fundamental form of the embedding  $\varrho_1$  defined in (1) and let  $\alpha_2$  be that of  $\varrho_2$  in (2). It is easy to see that  $(\nabla_X^c \alpha_0) = 0$  implies  $(\nabla_X^c \alpha_1) = 0$  which in turn immediately yields  $(\nabla_X^c \alpha_2) = 0$ . Since  $\sigma$  is a totally geodesic embedding we have proved the first part of the proposition.

Let us prove (ii). We do this in two steps. Let us assume first that  $M^n$  is a *complex flag manifold* and let  $\varrho: M^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  be one of its canonical embeddings. There exists on  $M^n$  an integrable almost complex structure  $J_1$  (see for instance [1]) which commutes with the shape operators  $A_\xi$  of the

imbedding  $\varrho$  (see [10] and references therein) i.e. for each  $X \in T_p(M)$ ,  $\xi \in T_p(M)^\perp$  and  $p \in M^n$

$$A_\xi J_1 X = J_1 A_\xi X.$$

Then the second fundamental form  $\alpha_0$  satisfies

$$\alpha_0(J_1 X, J_1 Y) = \alpha_0(X, Y)$$

and since

$$(5) \quad \alpha_0(Y, Z) = \alpha_1(Y, Z) + h(Y, Z) \xi,$$

where  $h$  is a real valued symmetric bilinear form and  $\xi$  is a locally defined normal vector field, we clearly have

$$\alpha_1(J_1 X, J_1 Y) = \alpha_1(X, Y).$$

In turn  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha$  satisfy the same equalities. On the other hand, since the immersion  $\varphi$  is totally real, its second fundamental form  $\alpha$  has to satisfy the following identity where  $J$  is the complex structure in the complex projective space  $CP^N$  (see for instance [7, p. 431])

$$\langle \alpha(X, Y), JZ \rangle = \langle \alpha(X, Z), JY \rangle, \quad \forall X, Y, Z, \in T_p(M), \quad \forall p \in M^n.$$

Then we have

$$\langle \alpha(X, X), JX \rangle = \langle \alpha(J_1 X, J_1 X), JX \rangle = \langle \alpha(J_1 X, X), J J_1 X \rangle = 0$$

because  $\alpha(X, J_1 X) = 0$ ,  $\forall X \in T_p(M)$  and therefore the identity (ii) holds in this case.

Let us consider now the case in which  $M^n$  is a real flag manifold (i.e. an R-space) *which is not a complex flag manifold*. We have then the data associated to the R-space  $M^n$  and its canonical imbedding namely a real semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  without compact factors,  $\mathfrak{k}$  a maximal compactly imbedded subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$  the Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  relative to  $\mathfrak{k}$ . Let  $B$  denote the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ; then  $\mathfrak{p}$  can be considered a Euclidean space with the inner product defined by the restriction of  $B$  to  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $G = \text{Int}(G)$  be the group of inner automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We may identify  $\mathfrak{g}$  with the Lie algebra of  $G$ . Let  $K$  be the analytic subgroup of  $G$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{k}$ ;  $K$  is compact and acts on  $\mathfrak{p}$  as a group of isometries. The R-space  $M^n$  is the orbit of a non zero vector  $E \in \mathfrak{p}$  i.e.  $M = \text{Ad}(K)E$ .

Let  $\alpha \subset \mathfrak{p}$  be a maximal abelian subspace; we may assume  $E \in \alpha$  [5, p. 247]. Let us extend  $\alpha$ , to a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \alpha$ . Consider

the complexification  $\mathfrak{g}_c$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and let  $\omega$  be the corresponding conjugation. Since  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$  is a Cartan decomposition there exists a compact real form  $\mathfrak{g}_u$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_c$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \mathfrak{g}_u &\subset \mathfrak{g}_u \\ \mathfrak{k} &= \mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{g}_u, \quad \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g} \cap i\mathfrak{g}_u \\ \mathfrak{g}_u &= \mathfrak{k} \oplus i\mathfrak{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $G_c$  be the complex, simply connected, semisimple Lie group associated to the complex Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_c$  and let  $G_1$  and  $G_u$  be the analytic subgroups of  $G_c$  corresponding to the subalgebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_u$  respectively. They are closed in  $G_c$  by [5, p. 152, 4, (ii)]. Let  $K_1$  be the analytic subgroup of  $G_c$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{k}$ , clearly  $K_1 \subset G_1 \cap G_u$  and  $\text{Ad}: G_1 \rightarrow G$  is an analytic homomorphism onto  $G$  such that  $\text{Ad}_{G_1}(K_1) = K$ .

The manifold  $\text{Ad}_{G_u}(K_1)(iE) \subset \mathfrak{g}_u$  is again our R-space  $M$  because the representations of  $K_1$  in  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $i\mathfrak{p}$  are equivalent. By [5][p. 180], if  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{p}$  then

$$-B_u(iX, iY) = -B_c(iX, iY) = B_c(X, Y) = B(X, Y)$$

and so, if we take on  $i\mathfrak{p}$  the Euclidean metric induced by  $-B_u$  then  $M^n$  and  $\text{Ad}_{G_u}(K_1)(iE)$  are isometric.

Let  $M_c = \text{Ad}_{G_u}(G_u)(iE)$ ; this is obviously a complex flag manifold, contains  $M$  and, if we consider on  $M_c$  the Riemannian metric induced by the inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}_u$  defined by  $-B_u$ , it is clear that  $M^n$  is isometrically embedded in  $M_c$ .

Our construction of the immersion  $\varphi: M^n \rightarrow CP^{n+q}$  is not changed if we use  $i\varrho: M^n \rightarrow i\mathfrak{p}$  instead of  $\varrho: M^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ . Now we can associate to  $\varphi$  an immersion  $\varphi^c: M_c \rightarrow CP^N$  defined as the bottom line in the next diagram ( $\varphi^c = \sigma^c \circ \varrho^c_2$ )

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & S(i\mathfrak{p}) & & \\ & \nearrow i\varrho_1 & & \searrow \pi & \\ M & & \xrightarrow{\varrho_2} & RP(S(i\mathfrak{p})) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & CP(S(i\mathfrak{p})) \\ \cap & & & \cap & & \cap \\ M_c & & \xrightarrow{\varrho^c_2} & RP(S(\mathfrak{g}_u)) & \xrightarrow{\sigma^c} & CP(S(\mathfrak{g}_u)) \\ & \searrow \varrho^c_1 & & \nearrow \pi^c & & \\ & & S(\mathfrak{g}_u) & & & \end{array}$$

where both spheres have radius  $\|iE\|$ . In fact  $S(ip) = ip \cap S(\mathfrak{g}_u)$ ,  $M = ip \cap M_c$  and  $\varphi = (\varphi^c | M)$ . We keep the notation above ( $\alpha_1$  is the second fundamental form of  $\varrho_1$ , or  $i\varrho_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$  that of  $\varrho_2$ , etc.) we just add a «c» to indicate the corresponding second fundamental forms for  $M_c$ . Let  $\gamma$  denote the second fundamental form of  $M$  in  $M_c$ .

Then, for  $X, Y \in T_p(M)$ , we have

$$\alpha_j(X, Y) = \gamma(X, Y) + \alpha_j^c(X, Y) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2$$

and hence

$$\alpha(X, Y) = \gamma(X, Y) + \alpha^c(X, Y).$$

Then, for  $X \in T_p(M)$  and the complex structure  $J$  in  $CP(S(\mathfrak{g}_u))$ , we have that

$$\langle \alpha(X, X), JX \rangle = \langle \gamma(X, X), JX \rangle + \langle \alpha^c(X, X), JX \rangle.$$

In view of the fact that (ii) holds for complex flag manifolds we have  $\langle \alpha^c(X, X), JX \rangle = 0$  and since  $M_c$  is totally real in  $CP(S(\mathfrak{g}_u))$ , it is clear that  $\langle \gamma(X, X), JX \rangle = 0$ . Therefore we obtain

$$\langle \alpha(X, X), JX \rangle = 0$$

and the proof of the theorem is complete. ■

### 3. – The characterization.

In this section we prove that the conditions of Theorem 1 essentially determine the immersion  $\varphi$ . In fact we have

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $M^n$  be a simply connected, compact, Riemannian manifold with a canonical connection and  $f: M^n \rightarrow CP^{n+q}$  an isometric immersion which satisfies the following conditions.*

i)  *$f$  is totally real.*

ii) *The second fundamental form  $\alpha$  of the immersion  $f$  is canonically parallel ( $\nabla^c \alpha = 0$ ).*

iii) *If  $J$  is the complex structure on  $CP^{n+q}$  then*

$$\langle \alpha_p(X, X), J_p X \rangle = 0, \quad \forall p \in f(M^n), \quad X \in T_p(M).$$

*Then  $M^n$  is a real flag manifold (R-space) and there is a vector  $E \in \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $i\varrho_1(hK_E) = \text{Ad}(h) iE$  and  $f$  is of the form  $f = \sigma \circ \pi \circ i\varrho_1 = \varphi$ .*

PROOF. The proof will be divided into several lemmas.

Let us take a point  $p \in M^n$  which we shall keep fixed for the rest of the proof. We shall use the following notation.

$$N_p(M) = \text{Span}_R \{ \alpha(X, Y) : X, Y \in T_p(M) \}$$

is the first normal space at  $p$  and

$$O_p(M) = T_p(M) \oplus N_p(M),$$

the first osculating space at  $p$ . Also  $N_p(M)^\perp$  will denote the orthogonal complement of  $N_p(M)$  in  $T_p(M)^\perp$ . Since  $M$  is totally real in  $CP^{n+q}$  we have  $J(T_p(M)) \subset T_p(M)^\perp$ .

As it is well known, the way to construct symmetric subspaces (i.e. totally geodesic submanifolds) of a symmetric space, such as  $CP^{n+q}$ , is to consider a Lie triple system in the tangent space at a point  $p \in CP^{n+q}$ .

The following lemma is a modification, to our conditions ( $\nabla^c \alpha = 0$  instead of  $\nabla \alpha = 0$ ), of [6, p. 101, 13].

LEMMA 3. Under the conditions of Theorem 2, if  $\bar{R}$  denotes the curvature tensor in  $CP^{n+q}(c)$  then

- A)  $\bar{R}_p(T_p(M), T_p(M))T_p(M) \subset T_p(M)$ ,
- B)  $\bar{R}_p(T_p(M), T_p(M))N_p(M) \subset N_p(M)$ .

PROOF. Since  $f$  is totally real, (A) follows from [2, p. 260, 3.1]. To prove (B) we take  $X, Y \in T_p(M)$ ,  $H \in N_p(M)$  and  $\xi \in N_p(M)^\perp$ . Clearly  $A_\xi \equiv 0$  on  $T_p(M)$ .

Let us prove that  $R^\perp(X, Y)H \in N_p(M)$ . We may assume that  $H = \alpha(U, V)$  for some  $U, V \in T_p(M)$ ; then

$$\nabla_X^\perp(\alpha(U, V)) = \alpha(\nabla_X^c U, V) + \alpha(U, \nabla_X^c V)$$

because  $\nabla_X^c \alpha = 0$ . This shows that  $R^\perp(X, Y)H$  may be written in terms of elements belonging to  $N_p(M)$  and then  $R^\perp(X, Y)H \in N_p(M)$ .

Now

$$\langle \bar{R}(X, Y)H, \xi \rangle = \langle R^\perp(X, Y)H, \xi \rangle + \langle [A_H, A_\xi]X, Y \rangle = 0$$

and therefore  $\bar{R}(X, Y)H \in O_p(M)$ .

Now for  $Z \in T_p(M)$  we have

$$\langle \bar{R}(X, Y)Z, H \rangle + \langle Z, \bar{R}(X, Y)H \rangle = 0$$



and by part (A) the first term is zero so  $\bar{R}(X, Y)H \in N_p(M)$  and part (B) is proved. ■

LEMMA 4. *Under the conditions of Theorem 2, at the chosen point  $p \in M^n$ ,  $J_p(T_p(M)) \subset N_p(M)^\perp$ .*

PROOF.  $J_p(T_p(M)) \subset T_p(M)^\perp = N_p(M) \oplus N_p(M)^\perp$ . For a totally real immersion, the second fundamental form satisfies the following identity [7, p. 431]. For every  $X, Y, Z \in T_p(M)$ ,

$$\langle \alpha_p(X, Y), J_p Z \rangle = \langle \alpha_p(X, Z), J_p Y \rangle.$$

Then the three-linear function  $\langle \alpha_p(X, Y), J_p Z \rangle$  is symmetric on  $T_p(M)$ . By condition (iii) of Theorem 2 it vanishes on the diagonal of  $T_p(M) \times T_p(M) \times T_p(M)$  and hence it is identically zero. This clearly means that

$$J_p(T_p(M)) \subset N_p(M)^\perp$$

as was to be proven. ■

LEMMA 5. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2*

$$C) \bar{R}_p(T_p(M), N_p(M))T_p(M) \subset N_p(M),$$

$$D) \bar{R}_p(T_p(M), N_p(M))N_p(M) \subset O_p(M).$$

PROOF. According to Lemma 4 we have  $J(T_p(M)) \subset N_p(M)^\perp$ .

The proof of the present lemma is *formally analogous to that of* [7, p. 433]. The proof is omitted. ■

LEMMA 6. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2*

$$E) \bar{R}_p(N_p(M), N_p(M))T_p(M) \subset O_p(M),$$

$$F) \bar{R}_p(N_p(M), N_p(M))N_p(M) \subset O_p(M).$$

PROOF. Let  $X, Y, Z$  be vector fields tangent to  $M^n$  and  $H$  a section of  $N(M)$ . Since  $CP^{n+q}$  is symmetric we have  $(\bar{\nabla}_Z \bar{R})(X, H)Y = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_Z(\bar{R}(X, H)Y) &= \bar{R}(\bar{\nabla}_Z X, H)Y + \bar{R}(X, \bar{\nabla}_Z H)Y + \bar{R}(X, H)\bar{\nabla}_Z Y = \\ &= \bar{R}(\nabla_Z X, H)Y + \bar{R}(\alpha(Z, X), H)Y - \bar{R}(X, A_H(Z))Y + \\ &\quad + \bar{R}(X, \nabla_Z^\perp H)Y + \bar{R}(X, H)\nabla_Z Y + \bar{R}(X, H)\alpha(Z, Y). \end{aligned}$$

We may assume, as above, that  $H = \alpha(U, V)$ . Then, since  $\nabla^c \alpha = 0$ , we have

$$\nabla_Z^\perp H = \nabla_Z^\perp (\alpha(U, V)) = \alpha(\nabla_Z^c U, V) + \alpha(U, \nabla_Z^c V)$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X, \nabla_Z^\perp H) Y &\in N_p(M) && \text{by (C),} \\ \bar{R}(\nabla_Z X, H) Y &\in N_p(M) && \text{by (C),} \\ \bar{R}(X, A_H(Z)) &\in T_p(M) && \text{by (A),} \\ \bar{R}(X, H) \nabla_Z Y &\in N_p(M) && \text{by (C),} \\ \bar{R}(X, H) \alpha(Z, Y) &\in N_p(M) && \text{by (D),} \\ W = \bar{R}(X, H) Y &\in N_p(M) && \text{by (C).} \end{aligned}$$

We may proceed then as we did with  $H$  and hence

$$\bar{\nabla}_Z(W) = -A_W(Z) + \nabla_Z^\perp W \in T_p(M) \oplus N_p(M).$$

We conclude that

$$\bar{R}(\alpha(Z, X), H) Y \in O_p(M),$$

which yields (E).

Now we prove (F). Let  $X, Y$  be vector fields on  $M^n$  and  $H, W$ , sections of  $N(M)$ . We have  $(\bar{\nabla}_Y \bar{R})(X, H) W = 0$  and then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_Y(\bar{R}(X, H) W) &= \bar{R}(\bar{\nabla}_Y X, H) W + \bar{R}(X, \bar{\nabla}_Y H) W + \bar{R}(X, H) \bar{\nabla}_Y W = \\ &= \bar{R}(\nabla_Y X, H) W + \bar{R}(\alpha(Y, X), H) W - \bar{R}(X, A_H(Y)) W + \\ &\quad + \bar{R}(X, \nabla_Y^\perp H) W + \bar{R}(X, H) A_W Y + \bar{R}(X, H) \nabla_Y^\perp W. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of (E) we may show that  $\nabla_Y^\perp H$  and  $\nabla_Y^\perp W$  belong to  $N(M)$  and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(\nabla_Y X, H) W &\in O_p(M) && \text{by (D),} \\ \bar{R}(X, A_H Y) W &\in N_p(M) && \text{by (B),} \\ \bar{R}(X, \nabla_Y^\perp H) W &\in O_p(M) && \text{by (D),} \\ \bar{R}(X, H) A_W Y &\in N_p(M) && \text{by (C),} \\ \bar{R}(X, H) \nabla_Y^\perp W &\in O_p(M) && \text{by (D),} \\ \bar{R}(X, H) W &\in O_p(M) && \text{by (D).} \end{aligned}$$

We may write  $\bar{R}(X, H)W = U + \xi$  with  $U \in T(M)$  and  $\xi \in N(M)$  and therefore

$$\bar{\nabla}_Y(U + \xi) = \nabla_Y U + \alpha(Y, U) - A_\xi Y + \nabla_Y^\perp \xi$$

which clearly belongs to  $O_p(M)$ .

It follows that  $\bar{R}(\alpha(X, Y), H)W \in O_p(M)$ ; this proves (F) and completes the proof of the lemma. ■

The contents of Lemmas 3, 5 and 6 clearly yield the following

LEMMA 7. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2, the first osculating space  $O_p(M)$  is a Lie triple system in  $T_p(CP^{n+q})$ .* ■

Then there exists a totally geodesic submanifold  $L \subset CP^{n+q}$  such that  $T_p(L) = O_p(M)$ . The submanifold  $L$  is complete.

Since  $c > 0$  we have two possibilities for  $L$ : either

- a)  $L = CP^{n+s}$  for  $s \leq q$  or
- b)  $L = RP^{n+s}(c/4)$  for  $s \leq q$ .

By Lemma 4 the manifold  $L$  can not be of type  $CP^{n+s}(c)$ .

We have to show now that  $f(M) \subset L$  and to that end we need

THEOREM 8. *Let  $M \xrightarrow{f} M_1$  be an isometric immersion of a compact connected Riemannian manifold  $M$  into a Riemannian manifold  $M_1$  and assume that:*

- i)  $M$  admits a canonical connection.
- ii) *The second fundamental form of the immersion  $f$  is canonically parallel i.e.  $\nabla^c \alpha \equiv 0$ .*

Then for each point  $p \in M$  and each unitary vector  $X \in T_p(M)$ , if  $\gamma$  is the  $\nabla^c$ -geodesic on  $M^n$  defined by  $X$ , then  $c(t) = f(\gamma(t))$  is a  $W$ -curve in  $M_1$ , [4, p. 57], of osculating rank  $r \leq \dim M_1$  such that for  $j = 1, \dots, r$  the  $j$ -th element of the Frenet frame can be written as  $V_j(t) = P_j(t) + Q_j(t)$  where  $P_j$  and  $Q_j$  are the tangent and normal components respectively and satisfy

$$\nabla_\gamma^c P_j(t) = 0 = \nabla_\gamma^\perp Q_j(t). \quad \blacksquare$$

REMARK. This is a generalization of a part of Theorem 13 of

[11] which is proven in that article for  $M_1 = R^N$ . The extension of the proof given there, to the general case, is straightforward.

Now the fact that  $\nabla^c \alpha \equiv 0$  implies that  $Q_1(0), \dots, Q_r(0) \in N_p(M)$  and therefore  $V_1(0), \dots, V_r(0) \in T_p(L)$ . Let  $b(t)$  be the Frenet curve on  $L$  of osculating rank  $r$  with initial conditions  $c(0), V_1(0), \dots, V_r(0)$  and the constant curvatures  $k_1, \dots, k_r$  of the  $W$ -curve  $c(t)$ . The curve  $b(t)$  is a Frenet curve in the ambient space  $CP^{n+q}(c)$  because  $L$  is totally geodesic in  $CP^{n+q}(c)$ . But, since  $c(t)$  and  $b(t)$  satisfy the same equations and have the same initial conditions in  $CP^{n+q}(c)$  we have  $c(t) = b(t), \forall t$ . Since in a manifold with a linear connection every pair of points can be joined by a broken geodesic, we have proved

LEMMA 9.  $f(M) \subset L$ . ■

We observe that for each  $q \in M^n$  we have  $T_q(L) = O_q(M)$  because due to Lemma 9 we have  $T_q(L) \supset O_q(M)$  and since the dimension of  $O_q(M)$  is constant along  $M^n$  (recall that  $\nabla^c \alpha \equiv 0$ ) and at the chosen point  $p \in M^n$  we have  $T_p(L) = O_p(M)$  we have that they coincide everywhere in  $M^n$ .

We have

$$M^n \rightarrow RP^{n+s} \left( \frac{c}{4} \right) \rightarrow CP^{n+q}.$$

Since  $M^n$  is simply connected we have a lifting

$$M^n \xrightarrow{\mu} S^{n+s} \left( \frac{c}{4} \right) \xrightarrow{\pi} RP^{n+s} \left( \frac{c}{4} \right) \rightarrow CP^{n+q}$$

and since  $M^n$  has canonically parallel second fundamental form in  $CP^{n+q}(c)$  and  $RP^{n+s}(c/4)$  is totally geodesic in  $CP^{n+q}(c)$  then  $M^n$  has canonically parallel second fundamental form in  $RP^{n+s}(c/4)$  and hence the immersion  $\mu$  has the same property. It is easy to show that in this situation the immersion  $\mu$  considered as an immersion in  $R^{n+s+1}$  has canonically parallel second fundamental form. In view of [8, p. 195] the proof of Theorem 2 is now complete. ■

REMARK. If the manifold  $M^n$  is not simply connected we need an extra condition to get the existence of the lifting  $\mu$ . This condition is obviously  $f_*[\pi_1(M^n)] = \{1\}$  in  $\pi_1(RP^{n+s})$ . To have this we may ask, instead of the simple connectedness of  $M^n$ , that the immersion  $f$  be such that:

For every totally geodesic submanifold  $N \subset CP^{n+q}$  such that  $f(M^n) \subset N \subset CP^{n+q}$

$$f_*[\pi_1(M^n)] = \{1\} \quad \text{in } \pi_1(N).$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. L. BESSE, *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg (1987). *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 3F. Bd. 10.*
- [2] B. Y. CHEN - K. OGIUE, *On totally real submanifolds*, *Transactions of the Amer. Math. Soc.*, **193** (1974), pp. 257-266.
- [3] M. DAJCZER - G. THORBERGSSON, *Holomorphicity of Minimal Submanifolds in Complex Space Forms*, *Math. Ann.*, **277** (1987), pp. 353-360.
- [4] D. FERUS - S. SCHIRRMACHER, *Submanifolds in Euclidean space with simple geodesics*, *Math. Ann.*, **260** (1982), pp. 57-62.
- [5] S. HELGASON, *Differential geometry, Lie groups and symmetric spaces*, Academic Press, New York (1978).
- [6] H. NAITOH, *Isotropic submanifolds with parallel second fundamental forms in Symmetric Spaces*, *Osaka J. Math.*, **17** (1980), pp. 95-110.
- [7] H. NAITOH, *Isotropic submanifolds with parallel second fundamental forms in  $P^m(c)$* , *Osaka J. Math.*, **18** (1981), pp. 427-464.
- [8] C. OLMOS C.- SÁNCHEZ C., *A geometric characterization of the orbits of s-representations*, *J. reine angew. Math.*, **420** (1991), pp. 195-202.
- [9] SÁNCHEZ C., *A characterization of extrinsic k-symmetric submanifolds of  $R^N$* , *Revista de la Union Matemática Argentina*, **38** (1992), pp. 1-15.
- [10] C. SÁNCHEZ, *The tightness of certain almost complex submanifolds*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **110** (1990), pp. 807-811.
- [11] C. SÁNCHEZ - W. DAL LAGO - A. GARCÍA - E. HULETT, *On some properties which characterize Symmetric and general R-Spaces*, to appear in *Differential Geometry and its applications*.
- [12] J. WOLF, *The action of a real semisimple group on a complex flag manifold I: Orbit structure and holomorphic arc components*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **75** (1968), pp. 1121-1237.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 9 maggio 1997.