

RENDICONTI  
*del*  
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO  
*della*  
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

RITA GIULIANO ANTONINI

**Subgaussian random variables in Hilbert spaces**

*Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova*,  
tome 98 (1997), p. 89-99

<[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP\\_1997\\_\\_98\\_\\_89\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1997__98__89_0)>

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1997, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

*Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques*  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

## Subgaussian Random Variables in Hilbert Spaces (\*).

RITA GIULIANO ANTONINI (\*\*)

### 0. - Introduction.

In the paper [1] the following definition is given:

(0.1) DEFINITION. A real r.v.  $X$  is said to be subgaussian if there exists a number  $a \geq 0$  such that

$$E[e^{tX}] \leq \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}a^2t^2\right), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},$$

If this is the case, the number

$$\tau_{cl}(X) = \inf \left\{ a \geq 0: E[e^{tX}] \leq \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}a^2t^2\right), \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

is called the *gaussian standard* of  $X$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{SG}(\Omega)$  the set of real subgaussian variables. In [1] it is proved that  $\mathcal{SG}(\Omega)$  is a vector space and  $\tau_{cl}$  is a norm in it. Moreover  $\mathcal{SG}(\Omega)$ , endowed with the norm  $\tau_{cl}$ , is a Banach space.

In this paper we consider random variables taking their values in a separable Hilbert space  $H$ , and we give three different definitions of subgaussianity (the first of them is subgaussianity with respect to a linear trace class operator  $R$ , symmetric and positive definite; the second is subgaussianity with respect to a complete orthonormal system  $E$  in

(\*) This paper is partially supported by GNAFA, CNR.

(\*\*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pisa, Via F. Buonarroti 2, 56100 Pisa (Italy).

$H$ ; the last one is subgaussianity *tout-court* (i.e., our definition will not depend on any  $R$  or  $E$ ). We investigate the relations between these concepts; moreover, we show that the set of  $E$ -subgaussian variables, endowed with a suitable norm, is a Banach space; for subgaussian variables in the other two senses, we prove the same thing when  $H$  is finite dimensional.

### 1. – Subgaussianity with respect to an operator.

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space (finite or infinite dimensional), and denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  its inner product. Let  $X$  be an  $H$ -valued random variable and  $R$  a linear operator on  $H$ . Suppose that  $R$  is a trace class operator, symmetric and positive definite. We shall denote by  $\mathcal{L}_1$  the set of such operators.

We give the following

(1.1) DEFINITION. We say that  $X$  is *subgaussian with respect to*  $R \in \mathcal{L}_1$  (or  *$R$ -subgaussian*) if there exists  $a \geq 0$  such that

$$E[e^{\langle x, X \rangle}] \leq \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}a^2\langle Rx, x \rangle\right) \quad \text{for every } x \in H.$$

If this is the case, we put

$$\sigma_R(X) = \inf\left\{a \geq 0: E[e^{\langle x, X \rangle}] \leq \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}a^2\langle Rx, x \rangle\right) \text{ for every } x \in H\right\}.$$

(1.3) REMARK. It is clear that

$$(i) \quad \sigma_R(X) = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\tau_{cl}(\langle x, X \rangle)}{(\langle Rx, x \rangle)^{1/2}},$$

$$(ii) \quad E[e^{\langle x, X \rangle}] \leq \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma_R(X)^2\langle Rx, x \rangle\right) \quad \text{for every } x \text{ in } H.$$

(1.4) REMARK. In [4] the following definition is given:  $X$  is a subgaussian variable if there exists an  $H$ -valued gaussian vector  $G$  such that, for every  $x$  in  $H$ , we have

$$E[e^{\langle x, X \rangle}] \leq E[e^{\langle x, G \rangle}];$$

now, according to the results of [2], we have

$$E[e^{\langle x, G \rangle}] = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}E[\langle x, G \rangle^2]\right) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle S_G x, x \rangle\right),$$

where  $S_G$  is the covariance operator of  $G$ .

Since  $G$  is gaussian,  $S_G$  is in  $\mathcal{L}_1$  (see [2]); hence  $X$  is  $S_G$ -subgaussian.

Conversely, if  $X$  is  $R$ -subgaussian, the operator  $\sigma_R^2(X)R$  is in  $\mathcal{L}_1$ , hence it is the covariance operator of some gaussian vector  $G$ , and we have

$$E[\langle x, G \rangle^2] = \langle Sx, x \rangle.$$

Then

$$E[e^{\langle x, X \rangle}] \leq \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle Sx, x \rangle\right) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}E[\langle x, G \rangle^2]\right) = E[e^{\langle x, G \rangle}],$$

and  $X$  is subgaussian in the sense of [4].

(1.5) REMARK. Definition (1.1) is a generalization of the one given in [3] for the case  $H = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

We shall denote by  $S\mathcal{G}_R(\Omega)$  the set of  $H$ -valued  $R$ -subgaussian variables. By recalling that  $\tau_{\text{cl}}$  is a norm in the space of real subgaussian variables (see [1]), Remark (1.3)(i) yields immediately that  $S\mathcal{G}_R(\Omega)$  is a vector space and  $\sigma_R$  is a norm in it, i.e.  $(S\mathcal{G}_R(\Omega), \sigma_R)$  is a metric space. As we shall see in Section 3, it is a Banach space when  $H$  is finite dimensional.

## 2. – Subgaussianity with respect to a complete orthonormal system.

Let  $E = \{e_n\}$  be a complete orthonormal system (C.O.N.S.) in  $H$ .

(2.1) DEFINITION. We say that  $X$  is *subgaussian with respect to  $E$*  (or  *$E$ -subgaussian*) if the two following conditions are verified:

(i) For every  $x \in H$ , the real random variable  $\langle x, X \rangle$  is subgaussian;

(ii) We have

$$\tau_E^2(X) \equiv \sum_n \tau_{\text{cl}}^2(\langle e_n, X \rangle) < +\infty.$$

We shall denote by  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$  the set of  $H$ -valued  $E$ -subgaussian variables. (This notation is quite similar to the one introduced in Section 1 for the set of variables which are subgaussian with respect to an operator, but this should cause no confusion).

We shall prove the following

(2.2) THEOREM.  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$  is a vector space and  $\tau_E$  is a norm in  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega)$ ; moreover,  $(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{E}}(\Omega), \tau_E)$  is a Banach space.

The proof of (2.2) is a straightforward application of the following general result:

(2.3) THEOREM. Let  $(B, \nu)$  be a Banach space, and consider the set

$$B_2^{\mathbb{N}} = \left\{ x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in B^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ and } \sum_n \nu^2(x_n) < +\infty \right\}.$$

Then  $B_2^{\mathbb{N}}$  (with sum and product by a scalar defined in the usual way) is a Banach space with norm

$$\rho(x) = \left( \sum_n \nu^2(x_n) \right)^{1/2}.$$

Theorem (2.3) is standard. Anyway, by the sake of completeness, we sketch the proof in the appendix.

Theorem (2.2) follows from Theorem (2.3) by identifying  $X$  with the vector  $(\langle X, e_1 \rangle, \langle X, e_2 \rangle, \dots)$  and by taking  $\nu = \tau_{\text{cl}}$  (recall that, by the results of [1], the set of real subgaussian variables is a Banach space with norm  $\tau_{\text{cl}}$ ).

### 3. - Relation between $R$ -subgaussianity and $E$ -subgaussianity.

(3.1) PROPOSITION. Let  $X$  be subgaussian with respect to an operator  $R \in \mathcal{L}_1$ , and let  $E$  be any C.O.N.S. in  $H$ . Then  $X$  is subgaussian with respect to  $E$  and

$$\tau_E^2(X) \leq \sigma_R^2(X) \text{tr}(R) < +\infty,$$

where  $\text{tr}(R)$  denotes the trace of  $R$ .

PROOF. It is clear by (1.2) and (1.3)(ii) that, for every  $x$  in  $H$ ,  $\langle x, X \rangle$  is subgaussian and

$$\tau_{\text{cl}}^2(\langle x, X \rangle) \leq \sigma_R^2(X) \langle Rx, x \rangle.$$

Then

$$\tau_{\text{cl}}^2(\langle e_n, X \rangle) \leq \sigma_R^2(X) \langle R e_n, e_n \rangle.$$

We get the conclusion by summing over  $n$  and recalling that

$$\text{tr}(R) = \sum_n \langle R e_n, e_n \rangle. \quad \blacksquare$$

(3.2) REMARK. Proposition (3.1) says that  $(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}_R}(\Omega), \sigma_R)$  can be continuously imbedded in  $(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}_E}(\Omega), \tau_E)$ .

We now drop for a moment the assumption that  $R \in \mathcal{L}_1$ , and let  $E_R = \{f_n\}$  be the set of normalized eigenvectors of  $R$ . We are going to compare  $\sigma_R$  with  $\tau_E$ . To this extent, we need the following

(3.3) LEMMA. *Let  $E = \{e_n\}$  by any C.O.N.S. in  $H$ . Then, for every  $x \in H$ ,*

$$\tau_{\text{cl}}(\langle x, X \rangle) \leq \sum_n |\langle x, e_n \rangle| \tau_{\text{cl}}(\langle e_n, X \rangle).$$

PROOF. For every  $\omega \in \Omega$  and every  $n$ , put

$$Y_n(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x, e_k \rangle \cdot \langle e_k, X(\omega) \rangle.$$

Then  $Y_n(\omega)$  converges to  $\langle x, X(\omega) \rangle$  for each  $\omega$  in  $\Omega$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Moreover, by the triangular inequality for  $\tau_{\text{cl}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\text{cl}}^2(Y_n) &= \tau_{\text{cl}}^2\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \langle x, e_k \rangle \cdot \langle e_k, X \rangle\right) \leq \left[\sum_{k=1}^n |\langle x, e_k \rangle| \tau_{\text{cl}}(\langle e_k, X \rangle)\right]^2 \leq \\ &\leq \left[\sum_{k=1}^n |\langle x, e_k \rangle|^2\right] \cdot \left[\sum_{k=1}^n \tau_{\text{cl}}^2(\langle e_k, X \rangle)\right] \leq \\ &\leq \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, e_k \rangle|^2\right] \cdot \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tau_{\text{cl}}^2(\langle e_k, X \rangle)\right] = \|x\|^2 \tau_E^2(X) < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_n E[(e^{tY_n})^{1+\varepsilon}] &= \sup_n E[e^{t(1+\varepsilon)Y_n}] \leq \\ &\leq \sup_n \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2(1+\varepsilon)^2 \tau_{\text{cl}}^2(Y_n)\right) < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

so that the r.v.  $e^{tY_n}$  are uniformly integrable and, by Lebesgue theorem,

we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[e^{t\langle x, X \rangle}] &= E[e^{t \lim_n Y_n}] = E[\lim_n e^{tY_n}] = \lim_n E[e^{tY_n}] \leq \\ &\leq \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2 \sup_n \tau_{\text{cl}}^2(Y_n)\right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\text{cl}}(\langle x, X \rangle) &\leq \sup_n \tau_{\text{cl}}(Y_n) = \sup_n \tau_{\text{cl}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \langle x, e_k \rangle \cdot \langle e_k, X \rangle\right) \leq \\ &\leq \sup_n \sum_{k=1}^n |\langle x, e_k \rangle| \tau_{\text{cl}}(\langle e_k, X \rangle) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, e_k \rangle| \tau_{\text{cl}}(\langle e_k, X \rangle). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

(3.4) PROPOSITION. *Let  $0 < \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \dots$  be the eigenvalues of  $R$ , and assume that  $X$  is  $E_R$ -subgaussian. Then*

$$\tau_{\text{cl}}^2(\langle x, X \rangle) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \tau_{E_R}^2(X) \langle Rx, x \rangle.$$

PROOF. By Lemma (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\text{cl}}^2(\langle x, X \rangle) &\leq \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, f_k \rangle| \tau_{\text{cl}}(\langle f_k, X \rangle) \right]^2 = \\ &= \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\alpha_k} |\langle x, f_k \rangle| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_k}} \tau_{\text{cl}}(\langle f_k, X \rangle) \right]^2 \leq \\ &\leq \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k |\langle x, f_k \rangle|^2 \right] \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_k} \tau_{\text{cl}}^2(\langle f_k, X \rangle) \right] = \\ &= \langle Rx, x \rangle \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_k} \tau_{\text{cl}}^2(\langle f_k, X \rangle) \right] \leq \langle Rx, x \rangle \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \tau_{E_R}^2(X). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

If  $H$  is finite dimensional, Proposition (3.4) yields the following upper bound for  $\sigma_R(X)$ :

(3.5) PROPOSITION. *We have*

$$\sigma_R^2(X) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \tau_{E_R}^2(X).$$

PROOF. For every  $x \in H$  we have, by (3.4),

$$E[e^{\langle x, X \rangle}] \leq \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \tau_{cl}^2(\langle x, X \rangle)\right) \leq \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \tau_{E_R}^2(X) \langle Rx, x \rangle\right),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_R^2(X) &= \inf \left\{ b \geq 0: E[e^{\langle x, X \rangle}] \leq \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} b \langle Rx, x \rangle\right) \text{ for every } x \in H \right\} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \tau_{E_R}^2(X). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Propositions (3.1) and (3.4), together with Theorem (2.2), allows us to state the following result

(3.6) THEOREM. *If  $H$  is finite dimensional and  $R$  is injective, then  $S\mathcal{G}_R(\Omega) = S\mathcal{G}_{E_R}(\Omega)$  (this is a set-theoretical inclusion). Moreover the two norms  $\tau_{E_R}$  and  $\sigma_R$  are equivalent; hence  $(S\mathcal{G}_R(\Omega), \sigma_R)$  is a Banach space.*

#### 4. – The space of subgaussian variables.

The two definitions of subgaussianity we have given in Section 1 and 2 depend strongly on the operator  $R$  in  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and the C.O.N.S.  $E$  respectively. Here we give a definition which will not depend on such objects.

(4.1) DEFINITION. We say that  $X$  is *subgaussian* if there exists  $R \in \mathcal{L}_1$  such that  $X$  is  $R$ -subgaussian. We shall denote by  $S\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$  the set of such variables, and define the quantity

$$\sigma(X) = \sup \{ (\text{tr } R)^{1/2} \sigma_R(X); R \in \mathcal{L}_1 \}.$$

By virtue of the results of Section 2,  $\sigma$  is obviously a norm in  $S\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ . Our aim is now to prove the following

(4.2) THEOREM. *If  $H$  is finite dimensional,  $(S\mathcal{G}(\Omega); \sigma)$ , is a Banach space.*

PROOF. Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(S\mathcal{G}(\Omega); \sigma)$ . The inequality

$$(\text{tr } R)^{1/2} \sigma_R(X_n - X_m) \leq \sigma(X_n - X_m)$$

yields that, for every  $R$  in  $\mathcal{L}_1$ ,  $\{X_n\}$  is Cauchy in  $(S\mathcal{G}_R(\Omega), \sigma_R)$ . Since the



last space is Banach, for each  $R$  there exists  $Y^{(R)}$  in  $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{G}_R(\Omega)$  such that

$$(\operatorname{tr} R)^{1/2} \sigma_R(X_n - Y^{(R)}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let now  $E = \{e_n\}$  be any C.O.N.S. in  $H$ . From Proposition (3.1) it follows that  $\{X_n\}$   $\tau_E$ -converges to  $Y^{(R)}$ , so that  $Y^{(R)}$  cannot depend on  $R$ ; let's call it  $Y$  from now on.

From the triangular inequality we now deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (\operatorname{tr} R)^{1/2} \sigma_R(X_n - Y) &\leq (\operatorname{tr} R)^{1/2} \sigma_R(X_n - X_m) + (\operatorname{tr} R)^{1/2} \sigma_R(X_m - Y) \leq \\ &\leq \sigma(X_n - X_m) + (\operatorname{tr} R)^{1/2} \sigma_R(X_m - Y). \end{aligned}$$

By interchanging the roles of  $n$  and  $m$  we get

$$|(\operatorname{tr} R)^{1/2} \sigma_R(X_n - Y) - (\operatorname{tr} R)^{1/2} \sigma_R(X_m - Y)| \leq \sigma(X_n - X_m).$$

The above inequality yields that  $(\operatorname{tr} R)^{1/2} \sigma_R(X_n - Y) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly in  $R$ , and this in turn implies that  $\sigma(X_n - Y) \rightarrow 0$ . ■

(4.3) REMARK. It is easy to see that  $X$  is subgaussian in the sense of Definition (4.1) if and only if there exists a C.O.N.S.  $E$  such that  $X$  is  $E$ -subgaussian (in the sense of (2.1)). In  $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$  one can then consider the quantity

$$\tau(X) = \sup \{ \tau_E(X); E \text{ C.O.N.S. in } H \}.$$

It is immediate to see that  $\tau$  is a norm in  $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$  and, by arguments similar to the previous ones (using (3.4) instead of (3.1)), one can easily show that  $(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{G}(\Omega); \tau)$  is a Banach space. By Proposition (3.1),  $(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{G}(\Omega); \sigma)$  can be imbedded continuously in  $(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{G}(\Omega); \tau)$ .

## 5. - A condition for subgaussianity with respect to an operator.

In this section we are looking for a condition which assures the existence of an operator  $R$  such that  $X$  is  $R$ -subgaussian. We need the following

(5.1) LEMMA. *Let  $E = \{e_n\}$  be a C.O.N.S. in  $H$ ; suppose that  $X$  is subgaussian with respect to  $E$  and that the following assumption holds:*

(5.2) for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\tau_{\text{cl}}^2 \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \langle e_k, X \rangle \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^2 \tau_{\text{cl}}^2 (\langle e_k, X \rangle).$$

Then

$$\tau_{\text{cl}}^2 (\langle x, X \rangle) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x, e_k \rangle^2 \tau_{\text{cl}}^2 (\langle e_k, X \rangle)$$

(the last series converges since it is not greater than  $\|x\|^2 \tau_E^2(X)$ ).

PROOF. For every  $\omega \in \Omega$  and every  $n$ , put, as in (3.3),

$$Y_n(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x, e_k \rangle \cdot \langle e_k, X(\omega) \rangle.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\text{cl}}^2(Y_n - \langle x, X \rangle) &= \\ &= \tau_{\text{cl}}^2 \left( \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \langle x, e_k \rangle \cdot \langle e_k, X \rangle \right) \leq \left[ \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |\langle x, e_k \rangle|^2 \right] \left[ \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \tau_{\text{cl}}^2 (\langle e_k, X \rangle) \right] \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

By the continuity of the norm  $\tau_{\text{cl}}$ , it follows that

$$\tau_{\text{cl}}(Y_n) \rightarrow \tau_{\text{cl}}(\langle x, X \rangle).$$

On the other hand, by assumption (5.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\text{cl}}^2(Y_n) &= \tau_{\text{cl}}^2 \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x, e_k \rangle \cdot \langle e_k, X \rangle \right) = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x, e_k \rangle^2 \tau_{\text{cl}}^2 (\langle e_k, X \rangle) \rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x, e_k \rangle^2 \tau_{\text{cl}}^2 (\langle e_k, X \rangle). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

(5.3) REMARK. Recall that the variance of the sum of two independent random variables is the sum of their variance. From this point of view, condition (5.2) may be regarded as a sort of independence among the variables  $\langle e_n, X \rangle$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(5.4) PROPOSITION. Suppose that  $\text{span}(\text{Im } X) = H$ . Suppose moreover that there exists a C.O.N.S.  $E = \{e_n\}$  such that  $X$  is subgaussian with respect to  $E$  and (5.2) holds. Then  $X$  is subgaussian with respect to

the operator  $R$  defined by

$$R e_n = \tau_{\text{cl}}^2(\langle e_n, X \rangle) e_n .$$

Moreover  $\sigma_R(X) = 1$ .

PROOF. It is easily seen that, by Lemma (5.1),

$$\langle Rx, x \rangle = \tau_{\text{cl}}^2(\langle x, X \rangle) .$$

Since  $\text{span}(\text{Im } X) = H$ , the operator  $R$  is definite positive. It is also a trace class operator since

$$\text{tr}(R) = \sum_n \langle R e_n, e_n \rangle = \tau_R^2(X) < +\infty .$$

Then

$$E[e^{\langle x, X \rangle}] \leq \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \tau_{\text{cl}}^2(\langle x, X \rangle)\right) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \langle Rx, x \rangle\right) .$$

The infimum property of  $\tau_{\text{cl}}(\langle x, X \rangle)$  gives the last statement of the proposition. ■

### Appendix. Proof of Theorem (2.3).

If  $x$  and  $y$  are two elements of  $B_2^{\mathbb{N}}$ , then

$$\sum_n \nu^2(x_n + y_n) \leq \sum_n (\nu(x_n) + \nu(y_n))^2 \leq 2 \left[ \sum_n \nu^2(x_n) + \sum_n \nu^2(y_n) \right],$$

so that  $x + y \in B_2^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

It is immediate to see that, for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda x \in B_2^{\mathbb{N}}$  if  $x \in B_2^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

Let's now see that  $\varrho$  is a norm in  $B_2^{\mathbb{N}}$ . The only non trivial thing to check is the triangular inequality. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho^2(x + y) &= \sum_n \nu^2(x_n + y_n) \leq \sum_n (\nu(x_n) + \nu(y_n)) \nu(x_n + y_n) = \\ &= \sum_n \nu(x_n) \nu(x_n + y_n) + \sum_n \nu(y_n) \nu(x_n + y_n) \leq \\ &\leq \left( \sum_n \nu^2(x_n) \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_n \nu^2(x_n + y_n) \right)^{1/2} + \\ &+ \left( \sum_n \nu^2(y_n) \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_n \nu^2(x_n + y_n) \right)^{1/2} = \varrho(x) \varrho(x + y) + \varrho(y) \varrho(x + y), \end{aligned}$$

(where the first  $\leq$  is due to the triangular inequality for  $\nu$  and the second  $\leq$  to the Schwartz inequality).

We now prove that  $\varrho$  is a Banach norm.

Let  $(x^{(p)})_p$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $B_2^N$ . This means that, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $p_0$  such that, for every  $p, q > p_0$ , we have

$$(A.1) \quad \varrho^2(x^{(p)} - x^{(q)}) = \sum_n \nu(x_n^{(p)} - x_n^{(q)})^2 < \varepsilon,$$

and it is easy to see that the series  $\sum_n \nu(x_n^{(p)} - x_n^{(q)})^2$  converges uniformly in  $p, q$ .

The inequality

$$\nu(x_n^{(p)} - x_n^{(q)})^2 \leq \varrho^2(x^{(p)} - x^{(q)}) < \varepsilon,$$

valid for  $p, q > p_0$ , implies that, for each  $n$ ,  $(x_n^{(p)})$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $B$ , hence converges in  $B$  (since  $B$  is Banach). Let

$$y_n = \lim_p x_n^{(p)}, \quad y = (y_1, y_2, \dots).$$

Passing to the limit in (A.1) with respect to  $q$ , we get, for  $p > p_0$ ,

$$(A.2) \quad \lim_q \sum_n \nu(x_n^{(p)} - x_n^{(q)})^2 = \sum_n \lim_q \nu(x_n^{(p)} - x_n^{(q)})^2 = \sum_n \nu(x_n^{(p)} - y_n)^2 \leq \varepsilon$$

(where the first equality is due to the uniform convergence of the series with respect to  $q$ , and the second to the continuity of the norm  $\nu$ ).

Hence, for  $p > p_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n \nu^2(y_n) &= \sum_n \nu^2(y_n - x_n^{(p)} + x_n^{(p)}) \leq \\ &\leq 2 \left[ \sum_n \nu(x_n^{(p)} - y_n)^2 + \sum_n \nu(x_n^{(p)})^2 \right] \leq 2\varepsilon + 2 \sum_n \nu(x_n^{(p)})^2 < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

that is,  $y \in B_2^N$ . Finally, relation (A.2) may be rephrased as « $(x^{(p)})_p$  converges to  $y$  in norm as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ ». ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. V. BULDYGIN - YU. V. KOZACHENKO, *Sub-Gaussian random variables*, Ukrainian Math. J., **32** (1980), pp. 483-489.
- [2] H.-H. KUO, *Gaussian Measures in Banach Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math., **463**, Springer-Verlag (1975).
- [3] E. I. OSTROVSKII, *Exponential bounds for the distribution of the maximum of a non-Gaussian random field*, Th. Prob. Appl., **35** (1980), pp. 487-499.
- [4] M. TALAGRAND, *Regularity of Gaussian processes*, Acta Math., **159** (1987), pp. 99-149.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 12 ottobre 1995  
e, in forma revisionata, il 18 gennaio 1996.