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# A Remark on Semiglobal Existence for $\bar{\partial}$. 

Alberto Scalari (*)

AbStract - It is proved in [6] that a domain $\Omega$ of $X=\mathrm{C}^{n}$ is pseudoconvex if and only if $\bar{\partial}$-closed forms (of positive degree) are $\bar{\partial}$-exact in $\Omega$. We prove here by elementary techniques that pseudoconvexity of $\Omega$ is still characterized by solvability of $\bar{\partial}$-forms over relatively compact subsets of $\Omega$.

## 1. - Semiglobal solvability in $\mathrm{C}^{2}$.

Let $X=\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and let $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ be the sheaf of holomorphic functions on $X$. Let $\Omega$ be a domain of $X$ with $C^{2}$-boundary $M=\partial \Omega$, and denote by $\delta(z)$, $z \in \Omega$ the Euclidian distance to $M$. Let $z$ be a point of $M$; one defines the Levi form of $M$ at $z$ (from the exterior side of $\Omega$ ) by

$$
L_{M}(z)=-\left.\partial \bar{\partial}(\delta)(z)\right|_{T_{z}^{\mathrm{c}} M},
$$

where $T_{z}^{\mathrm{C}} M=T_{z} M \cap \sqrt{-1} T_{z} M$. One denotes by $s_{M}^{+}(z), s_{M}^{-}(z)$, and $s_{M}^{0}(z)$ the numbers of respectively positive, negative, and null eigenvalues of $L_{M}(z)$.

One denotes by $H^{j}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right), 0 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, the space of $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(0, j)$ forms on $\Omega$ (with $C^{\infty}$-coefficients) modulo $\bar{\partial}$-exact forms.

We begin our discussion in $X=\mathbb{C}^{2}$. The proof of the following statement was suggested word by word by professor Alexander Tumanov.
${ }^{(*)}$ Indirizzo dell'A.: Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Padova, Via Belzoni 7, 35131 Padova, Italy.

Proposition 1.1. Let $\Omega^{\prime}$ be a domain of $\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ such that $z_{0}$ belongs to a compact component of $\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash \Omega^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.H^{1}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)\right|_{\Omega^{\prime}} \neq 0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assume $z_{0}=0$. Define:

$$
f= \begin{cases}\bar{\partial}\left(\frac{\bar{z}_{2}}{z_{1}\left(\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)}\right), & z_{1} \neq 0 \\ -\bar{\partial}\left(\frac{\bar{z}_{1}}{z_{2}\left(\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)}\right), & z_{2} \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to prove that $f$ is a (closed) 1-form in $\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$. Assume by absurd that $\left.H^{1}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)\right|_{\Omega^{\prime}}=0$. Then there exists $g$ in $\Omega^{\prime}: \bar{\partial} g=f$ in $\Omega^{\prime}$ whence

$$
h:=z_{1} g-\frac{\bar{z}_{2}}{\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}}
$$

is holomorphic in $\Omega^{\prime}$ since it is holomorphic in $\Omega^{\prime} \backslash\left\{z_{1}=0\right\}$ and bounded in $\Omega^{\prime}$ far from 0 . By Hartogs' theorem $h$ extends to the compact components of $\mathrm{C}^{2} \backslash \Omega^{\prime}$ and in particular to 0 . But $\left.h\right|_{z_{1}=0}=-1 / z_{2}$ does not. Q.E.D.

PROPOSITION 1.2. Let $\Omega$ be a domain of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ with $C^{2}$ boundary $M=\partial \Omega$. For $z_{0} \in \partial \Omega$, assume $L_{M}\left(z_{0}\right)<0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.H^{1}\left(\mathrm{C}^{2} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)\right|_{\Omega^{\prime}} \neq 0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for suitable $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \subset$.

Proof. Let $z_{0}=0$. (a) We prove that for convenient $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \subset \Omega$, we can find $\widetilde{\Omega}^{\prime} \supset \Omega^{\prime}, z_{0} \in \widetilde{\Omega}^{\prime}$, connected along a complex curve $L$ through $z_{0}$ such that any $h \in \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$ extends to $\widetilde{\Omega}^{\prime}$. In fact in complex coordinates $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right), z=x+i y$, we can assume:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\left\{z ; x_{2}>-x_{1}^{2}+o\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)^{2}\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{t}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) ; x_{2}=\frac{t^{2}}{4},-t \leqslant x_{1} \leqslant t\right\} \cup\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) ; x_{1}=t, \frac{-t^{2}}{2} \leqslant x_{2} \leqslant \frac{t^{2}}{4}\right\}, \\
J_{t}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) ;-t<x_{1}<t, \frac{t^{2}}{4}-\frac{t}{3}\left(x_{1}+t\right)<x_{2}<\frac{t^{2}}{4}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $K_{c t}=\left\{\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) ;\left|y_{1}, y_{2}\right|<c t\right\}$. We have $\Omega \supset K_{c t} \times I_{t}, \forall c \gg 1$ and for suitable $t$. Let $B$ be a fixed neighborhood of $z_{0}$ and $\Omega^{\prime}=\Omega^{\prime}{ }_{t} \subset \subset \Omega$ s.t. $\Omega^{\prime} \cap B \supset K_{c t} \times I_{t}$. By [8,Theorem 5] any holomorphic function on $K_{c t} \times I_{t}$ extends to $K_{c t / 2} \times J_{t}$. In particular (a) follows with $L$ being the $z_{2}$ axis.
(b) Choose complex coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ s.t. the curve $L$ of ( $a$ ) coincides with the $z_{2}$ axis. Define $f$ as in the preceding Proposition, solve $\bar{\partial} g=f$ in $\Omega^{\prime}$ and set $h=z_{1} g-\bar{z}_{2} /\left(\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)$ (holomorphic in $\Omega^{\prime}$ ). Take $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \subset \Omega$ such that ( $a$ ) holds. Then $h$ (and therefore also $\left.h\right|_{L}=$ $=-1 / z_{2}$ ) extends at $z_{0}=0$ which is a contradiction.

## 2. - Semiglobal solvability in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.

Let $X=\mathbb{C}^{n}, M=\partial \Omega$ a $C^{2}$ real submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^{n}, \Omega=\{z \in X$ : $\delta(z)>0\}$.

DEFINITION 2.1. $\Omega$ is pseudoconvex if and only if $s_{M}^{-}(z) \equiv 0$, $\forall z \in M$.

It is classical that $\Omega$ is pseudoconvex if and only if $H^{j}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=0$, $\forall j>0$. With the notation $\Omega_{\varepsilon}=\{z \in \Omega ; \delta>\varepsilon\}$ we can generalize the above characterization as follows:

THEOREM 2.2. $\Omega$ is pseudoconvex if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.H^{j}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)\right|_{\Omega_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}}=0, \quad \forall \varepsilon, \forall \varepsilon^{\prime}, \text { with } \varepsilon^{\prime}>\varepsilon \geqslant 0, \forall j>0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The «Only if» follows from the fact that $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ is pseudoconvex [6, Theorem 2.6.12].
«If»: (a) Let $L$ be a complex submanifold of $X$, and set $\omega=L \cap \Omega$, $\omega_{\varepsilon}=\left\{z \in \omega ; \delta_{L}(z)>\varepsilon\right\}$ where $\delta_{L}$ is the distance along $L$. Then (2.1) implies that $\forall \varepsilon \exists \varepsilon^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.H^{j}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon}, \mathcal{O}_{L}\right)\right|_{\omega_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}}=0 \quad \text { with } \varepsilon^{\prime}>\varepsilon \geqslant 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\varepsilon^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. This follows from adapting the proof of [6, Theorem 4.2.9] as we show here. Let $f$ be a $\bar{\partial}$-closed form in $\left\{z \in \omega ; \delta_{L}>\varepsilon\right\}$. Let $\phi \in C^{\infty}, \phi=1$ in a neighborhood of $\omega$ and $\phi=0$ in a neighborhood of $\{z \in \Omega ; \pi z \notin \omega\}$ ( $\pi: X \mapsto L$ the orthogonal projection). By a recoursive argument it is not restrictive to assume $\operatorname{cod}_{X} L=1$. Choose coordinates so that $L=\left\{z: z_{n}=0\right\}$. Solve

$$
\bar{\partial} v=z_{n}^{-1} \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge \pi^{*} f \quad \text { in } \Omega_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}
$$

thus

$$
F:=\phi \pi^{*} f-z_{n} v
$$

is $\bar{\partial}$-closed in $\Omega_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$. Solve $\bar{\partial} G=F$ in $\Omega_{\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}}, \varepsilon^{\prime \prime}>\varepsilon^{\prime}$. Then $g:=j^{*} G$ $(j: L \hookrightarrow X)$ solves $\bar{\partial} g=f$ in $\omega_{\varepsilon^{\prime \prime \prime}}, \varepsilon^{\prime \prime \prime}>\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}$ with $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. This proves (2.2).
(b) If $n=1$ there is nothing to prove, and if $n=2$ the theorem follows from § 1. Set $n \geqslant 3$, take $z_{0} \in \partial \Omega, v \in T_{z_{0}}^{\mathrm{C}} M$ and consider $L=\mathrm{C} v \oplus$ $\oplus \mathbb{C} u$ ( $u$ transversal to $M$ ). Then (2.2) holds and this implies in particular $\left.H^{1}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}, \mathcal{O}_{L}\right)\right|_{\omega^{\prime}}=0, \forall \omega^{\prime} \subset \subset \omega$. Thus with $N=\partial \omega$,

$$
L_{M}\left(z_{0}\right) v^{t} \bar{v}=L_{N}\left(z_{0}\right) v^{t} \bar{v} \geqslant 0 . \quad \text { Q.E.D. }
$$

We can localize our statement:
Definition 2.3. $\Omega$ is pseudoconvex at $z_{0}$ if and only if $s_{M}(z)=0$, $\forall z \in M$ close to $z_{0}$.

Let $B$ (or $B^{\prime}$ ) range through the family of neighborhoods of the points $z$ close to $z_{0}$ on $M$.

Theorem 2.4. For any $B$ there exists $B^{\prime} \subset B$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.H^{1}\left(\Omega \cap B, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)\right|_{\Omega^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime}}=0, \quad \forall \Omega^{\prime} \subset \subset \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if $\Omega$ is pseudoconvex at $z_{0}$.
Proof. «If»: by [1] we may find $\widetilde{\Omega}: \widetilde{\Omega}$ is pseudoconvex, $\widetilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega \cap B$, $\widetilde{\Omega} \cap B^{\prime}=\Omega \cap B^{\prime}$. Then (2.3) follows.
«Only if»: (a) $X=\mathbb{C}^{2}$. If $\Omega$ is not pseudoconvex at $z_{0}$ one may find $z_{\nu} \rightarrow z_{0}$ with $s_{M}^{-}\left(z_{v}\right)=1$. Then the proof of Proposition (1.2) shows that

$$
\left.H^{1}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash\left\{z_{\nu}\right\}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)\right|_{\Omega^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime}} \neq 0
$$

for any $B^{\prime}$ neighborhood of $z_{v}$ and for suitable $\Omega^{\prime}=\Omega_{B^{\prime}}^{\prime} \subset \subset$. In particular (2.3) is violated.
(b) Let $X=\mathrm{C}^{n}, n \geqslant 3$, take $L$ with $\operatorname{cod}_{X} L \geqslant 1$, define $b=B \cap L$, $\omega=\Omega \cap L$ and consider $f \bar{\partial}$-closed in $\omega \cap b$. By the same argument as in Theorem $2.2 f$ can be «lifted» to $F \bar{\partial}$-closed in $\Omega^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime}$ for any $\Omega^{\prime}$ relatively compact in $\Omega$ and for suitable $B^{\prime}$. For any $\varepsilon$ we take $\Omega^{\prime}$ (dependent on $\varepsilon$ ) and $B^{\prime \prime}$ (independent of $\varepsilon$ ) s.t.

$$
-n \varepsilon+\left(\Omega \cap B^{\prime \prime}\right) \subset \Omega^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime}
$$

(where $n$ is the outward normal) and define

$$
F_{1}(x)=F(x-n \varepsilon) \quad \text { in } \Omega \cap B^{\prime \prime}
$$

Solve $\bar{\partial} G_{1}=F_{1}$ in $\Omega^{\prime \prime} \cap B^{\prime \prime \prime}$ (independent of $\varepsilon$ ) then $g_{1}$ solves $\bar{\partial} g_{1}=f_{1}$ in $\omega^{\prime \prime} \cap b^{\prime \prime \prime}$ (independent of $\varepsilon$ ) and thus $\bar{\partial} g=f$ in $-n \varepsilon+\left(\omega^{\prime \prime} \cap b^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \supset \omega^{\prime \prime \prime} \cap$ $\cap b^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}$ where $\omega^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is any relatively compact open subset of $\omega$ and $b^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}$ a suitable neighborhood.
(c) The end of the proof follows from combining (a) and (b).
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