# RENDICONTI del SEMINARIO MATEMATICO della UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES

M. C. Pedraza-Aguilera

M. D. PÉREZ-RAMOS

On  $\Pi$ -normally embedded subgroups of finite soluble groups

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, tome 96 (1996), p. 115-120

<a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP</a> 1996 96 115 0>

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1996, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

## $\mathcal{N}_{\text{UMDAM}}$

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

# On $\Pi$ -Normally Embedded Subgroups of Finite Soluble Groups.

A. Ballester-Bolinches (\*) - M. C. Pedraza-Aguilera (\*) M. D. Pérez-Ramos (\*)

### 1. - Introduction and statement of results.

All groups considered in this paper are finite and soluble.

Let  $\pi$  be a set of primes. A subgroup H of a group G is said to be  $\pi$ -normally embedded in G if a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of H is also a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of some normal subgroup of G. A Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of a normal subgroup of G is an obvious example of a  $\pi$ -normally embedded subgroup of G. This embedding property was studied in [1] and [2]. The present paper represents an attempt to carry this study further. In fact we analyze what properties related to p-normal embedding property (p a prime number) can be extended to a set of primes  $\pi$ .

Our first Theorem concerns  $\mathcal{F}$ -normalizers associated to a saturated formation  $\mathcal{F}$ . Chambers [3] proved that in a group G with abelian Sylow p-subgroups, the  $\mathcal{F}$ -normalizers of G are p-normally embedded in G, where  $\mathcal{F}$  is saturated formation. We prove:

THEOREM 1. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a saturated formation. If G is a group with abelian Hall  $\pi$ -subgroups, then the  $\mathcal{F}$ -normalizers of G are  $\pi$ -normally embedded in G.

Let  $\pi$  be a set of primes. A subgroup U of a group G is said to be  $\pi$ -pronormal in G if U and  $U^g$  are conjugate in  $O^{\pi}(\langle U, U^g \rangle)$  for all  $g \in G$ . Note that the  $\pi$ -pronormality is just the  $\mathcal{F}$ -pronormality introduced in [6] when  $\mathcal{F}$  is the saturated formation of all soluble

(\*) Indirizzo degli AA.: Departament d'Algebra, Universitat de València, C/Doctor Moliner 50, 46100 Burjassot (València), Spain.

116

 $\pi$ -groups. This embedding property is closely related to  $\pi$ -normal embedding one as it is shown in the following Theorem.

THEOREM 2. For a  $\pi$ -subgroup U of a group G, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) U is  $\pi$ -normally embedded in G.
- (ii) U is  $\pi$ -pronormal in G and a CAP subgroup of G.
- (iii) U permutes with every Hall  $\pi'$ -subgroup of G and is normalized by each Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of G containing it.

Wood [5] proves the following result:

THEOREM (Wood). Let p be a prime and let G be a group. The following statements are pairwise equivalent:

- (a) All the maximal subgroups of G are p-normally embedded in G.
- (b) Every Sylow p-subgroup of every maximal subgroup of G is pronormal in G.
  - (c) G has p-length at most one.

The obvious extension of Wood's Theorem to a set of primes  $\pi$  does not hold. Let H be the symmetric group of degree 3. It is known that H has an irreducible and faithful module over GF(5), the finite field of 5 elements, V say. Let  $\pi = \{2, 5\}$  and G = [V]H. Then the Hall  $\pi$ -subgroups of the maximal subgroups of G are pronormal in G. However the  $\pi$ -length of G is bigger than 1.

THEOREM 3. Let  $\pi$  be a set of primes. Let G be a group. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) All maximal subgroups of G are  $\pi$ -normally embedded in G.
- (ii) Every Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of a maximal subgroup of G is  $\pi$ -pronormal in G.

If either (i) or (ii) hold, then G has  $\pi$ -length at most one.

The symmetric group of degree 3 has  $\pi$ -length one for  $\pi = \{2, 3\}$ . However the maximal subgroup  $\langle (12) \rangle$  of G is not  $\pi$ -normally embedded in G. So the assertion in Theorem 3: G has  $\pi$ -length at most one, does not imply (i) and (ii) in general.

### 2. - Preliminaries.

In this section we collect some results which are needed in proving our Theorems. All the known results concerning finite soluble groups which we will need appear in [4]. This book is the main reference for the notation and terminology.

From now on  $\pi$  will be a set of primes.

LEMMA 1[2]. Let U be a  $\pi$ -normally embedded subgroup of a group G, K a normal subgroup of G, and L a subgroup of G. Then:

- (i) If U is a subgroup of L, then U is  $\pi$ -normally embedded in L.
- (ii) UK is  $\pi$ -normally embedded in G and UK/K is  $\pi$ -normally embedded in G/K.
- (iii) If K is a subgroup of L and L/K is  $\pi$ -normally embedded in G/K, then L is  $\pi$ -normally embedded in G.
- LEMMA 2. Assume that  $\tau$  is a set of primes with  $\pi \cap \tau = \emptyset$ . Let P be a  $\pi$ -subgroup of a group G and let Q be a  $\tau$ -subgroup of G. Suppose that P is  $\pi$ -normally embedded in G and Q is  $\tau$ -normally embedded in G. If  $\langle P, Q \rangle$  is a  $(\pi \cup \tau)$ -group, then PQ = QP.

PROOF. By virtue of Lemma 1, we have that P is a  $\pi$ -normally embedded subgroup in  $T = \langle P, Q \rangle = P[P, Q]Q$ . So P is a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of its normal closure  $\langle P^T \rangle = P[P, Q]$ . Since Q is a  $\tau$ -group and  $\tau \cap \pi = \emptyset$ , it follows that P is a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of T. Analogously we have that Q is a Hall  $\tau$ -subgroup of T. This implies that T = PQ = QP because T is a  $(\pi \cup \tau)$ -group.

LEMMA 3. If a group G has an abelian Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup, then G has  $\pi$ -length at most one.

PROOF. Consider the upper  $\pi'\pi$ -series of G:

$$1 \unlhd P_0 \unlhd N_0 \unlhd P_1 \unlhd \dots \unlhd G$$

where  $N_0=O_{\pi'}(G)$ ,  $P_1=O_{\pi'\pi}(G)$  and  $N_1/P_1=O_{\pi'}(G/P_1)$ . Let H be a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of G. By ([4] [I; (3.2)]), we know that  $HN_0/N_0$  is a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $G/N_0$ . Since  $P_1/N_0=O_{\pi}(G/N_0)$  is a normal subgroup of  $G/N_0$ , it follows that  $P_1/N_0 \leq HN_0/N_0$ . Now  $HN_0/N_0$  is abelian. This implies that  $HN_0/N_0 \leq C_{G/N_0}(P_1/N_0) \leq P_1/N_0$  by virtue of [7]. In particular  $H \leq P_1$  and  $G/P_1$  is then a  $\pi'$ -group. This means that  $N_1=G$  and G has  $\pi$ -length at most one.

### 3. - Proofs of the Theorems.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. We argue by induction on |G|. Let D be an F-normalizer of G associated to the Hall system  $\Sigma$  of G. It is clear that we can assume that D < G. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G. Then DN/N is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -normalizer of G associated to the Hall system  $\Sigma N/N$  of G/N ([4] [V; (3.2)]). Since G/N has abelian Hall  $\pi$ -subgroups, it follows that DN/N is  $\pi$ -normally embedded in G/N and so DN is  $\pi$ normally embedded in G. Assume that  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are two distinct minimal normal subgroups of G. Then  $DN_i$  is  $\pi$ -normally embedded in G for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Since  $\Sigma$  reduces in  $DN_1$  and  $DN_2$ , we have that  $DN_1 \cap DN_2$  is  $\pi$ -normally embedded in G by ([2] [Th. 1]). Now, by ([4] [V; (3.2)]), D either covers or avoids  $N_i$ . If  $N_i \leq D$  for some i, it follows that D is  $\pi$ -normally embedded in G and we are done. So D avoids  $N_1$  and  $N_2$ . This implies that  $D = DN_1 \cap DN_2$  is  $\pi$ -normally embedded in G and we are done. Consequently G has a unique minimal normal subgroup, N say. Then F(G) is a p-group for some prime p. Since DN is  $\pi$ -normally embedded in G, we have that  $p \in \pi$ . In particular,  $O_{\pi'}(G) = 1$  and G has a normal Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup H because G has  $\pi$ -length at most one by Lemma 3. Since H is abelian and  $F(G) \leq H$ , it follows that  $H \leq C_G(F(G)) \leq$  $\leq F(G)$ . This means that F(G) is an abelian Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of G. By ([4] [V; (3.6) and (3.7)]), there exists a maximal subgroup M of G such that G = F(G)M and D is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -normalizer of M. By induction, D is  $\pi$ -normally embedded in M. Let A be a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of D. Then A is a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $\langle A^M \rangle$ . Since  $D \leq F(G)$  and F(G) is abelian, we have that  $\langle A^M \rangle = \langle A^G \rangle$  and so  $\langle A^M \rangle$  is a normal subgroup of G. Therefore D is  $\pi$ -normally embedded in G and the Theorem is proved.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. (i) implies (ii). Since U is a Hall subgroup of a normal subgroup of G, it follows that U is pronormal in G. Then, there exists  $x \in J = \langle U, U^g \rangle$  with  $U^g = U^x$ . By Lemma 1, U is  $\pi$ -normally embedded in J and  $UO^\pi(J)/O^\pi(J)$  is  $\pi$ -normally embedded in  $J/O^\pi(J)$ , which is a  $\pi$ -group. This implies that  $J = UO^\pi(J)$ . In particular x = uz, with  $u \in U$  and  $z \in O^\pi(J)$ . So  $U^g = U^x = U^z$  and U is  $\pi$ -pronormal in G.

Let H/K be a chief factor of G. If H/K is a  $\pi'$ -group, then U avoids H/K. Assume that H/K is a  $\pi$ -group. Let  $\Sigma$  be a Hall system of G reducing into U. Then  $\Sigma$  reduces into UK. By Lemma 1, UK is  $\pi$ -normally embedded in G. Since  $\Sigma$  also reduces into H, we have that  $UK \cap H$  is  $\pi$ -normally embedded in G by ([2] [Th. 1]). In particular,  $UK \cap H$  is also subnormal in G, we have that  $UK \cap H \preceq G$ , and U either covers or avoids H/K. Therefore U is a CAP subgroup of G.

(ii) implies (i). Assume that U is  $\pi$ -pronormal in G and a CAP subgroup of G. We prove that U is  $\pi$ -normally embedded in G by induction on |G|. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G. Since UN/N is  $\pi$ -pronormal in G/N and UN/N is a CAP subgroup of G, we have that UN is a  $\pi$ -normally embedded subgroup of G by induction. If G is a G-group, then G is G-normally embedded in G and we are done. Hence we may assume  $G_{\pi'}(G) = 1$ . Now G is G-pronormal in G and G is G-group. This means that G is a normal subgroup of G. Moreover, G either covers or avoids G if G is G-normally embedded in G and we are done. Thus G is G-normally embedded in G-normally embedded in G-normal subgroup of G-normal subgr

Therefore we may assume that U centralizes every minimal normal subgroup of G. With the same arguments to those used in ([4] [I; (7.12)]), we conclude that U is normal in G and so U is  $\pi$ -normally embedded in G.

- (i) implies (iii). Assume that U is  $\pi$ -normally embedded in G and let  $G_{\pi'}$  be a Hall  $\pi'$ -subgroup of G. By Lemma 2, U permutes with  $G_{\pi'}$ , because  $G_{\pi'}$  is  $\pi'$ -normally embedded in G.
- Let  $G_{\pi}$  be a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of G such that  $U \leq G_{\pi}$ . Since U is  $\pi$ -normally embedded in G, we have that U is  $\pi$ -normally embedded in  $G_{\pi}$ . This means that U is normal in  $G_{\pi}$ . Hence  $G_{\pi}$  normalizes U.
- · (iii) implies (i). Suppose that  $G_{\pi'}$  is a Hall  $\pi'$ -subgroup of G such that  $G_{\pi'}$  permutes with U and let  $G_{\pi}$  be a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of G such that  $U \leq G_{\pi}$ . Then U is normalized by  $G_{\pi}$ . Moreover  $G = G_{\pi}G_{\pi'}$  and hence  $\langle U^G \rangle = \langle U^{G_{\pi'}} \rangle \leq UG_{\pi'}$ . Since U is a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $UG_{\pi'}$  and  $U \leq \langle U^G \rangle$ , it follows that U is a Hall U-subgroup of  $UG_{\pi'}$  and U is U-normally embedded in G.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. Since the maximal subgroups of G are CAP subgroups of G, it follows that every Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of every maximal subgroup is a CAP subgroup of G. So the equivalence between (i) and (ii) in Theorem 3 follows from the equivalence between (i) and (ii) in Theorem 2. Assume that there exists a group G such that G has the property (i) but G does not have  $\pi$ -length at most one. Let us consider G of minimal order. Since the property (i) is invariant under epimorphic images, we have that G/N has  $\pi$ -length at most one for every minimal normal subgroup N of G. Since the class of all groups with  $\pi$ -length at most one is a saturated formation, it follows that G has a unique minimal normal subgroup, N say, such that G = MN and  $M \cap N = 1$  for some maximal subgroup M of G. By hypothesis M is  $\pi$ -normally embedded in G. If N is a  $\pi$ -group, then M should be a  $\pi'$ -group and then G has  $\pi$ -length at most one, a contradiction. So N must be a  $\pi'$ -group. But then G also has  $\pi$ -length at most one, a contradiction.

### REFERENCES

- [1] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES M. D. PÉREZ-RAMOS, Permutability in finite soluble groups, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 115 (1993), pp. 393-396.
- [2] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES M. D. PÉREZ-RAMOS, π-normally embedded subgroups of finite soluble groups, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, 94 (1995), pp. 113-119.
- [3] G. A. Chambers, p-normally embedded subgroups of finite soluble groups, J. Algebra, 16 (1970), pp. 442-455.
- [4] K. DOERK T.HAWKES, Finite Soluble Groups, Walter De Gruyter, Berlin, New York (1992).
- [5] G. J. Wood, On pronormal subgroups of finite soluble groups, Arch. Math., 25 (1974), pp. 578-588.
- [6] N. MÜLLER, F-Pronormale Untergruppen endlich auflösbarer Gruppen, Diplomarbeit, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität, Mainz (1985).
- [7] D. J. S. ROBINSON, A Course in the Theory of Groups, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 9 febbraio 1995 e, in forma revisionata, l'8 giugno 1995.