

RENDICONTI
del
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO
della
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

JOHN C. LENNOX

JAMES WIEGOLD

A result about cosets

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 93 (1995), p. 185-186

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1995__93__185_0

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1995, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

A Result About Cosets.

JOHN C. LENNOX - JAMES WIEGOLD (*) (*)

Marking some final year honours exercises on right coset representations has led us to the following problem:

When is it the case that every proper non-trivial subgroup H of a finite group G has a coset Hx consisting of elements of one and the same order $a(x, H)$?

We call finite groups with this property CSO-groups. It is not surprising that CSO-groups are rare. However, they are not hugely uncommon either.

THEOREM. A soluble group G is a CSO-group if and only if G is a p -group and $G \setminus \Phi(G)$ consists of elements of the same order. Therefore, for every soluble CSO-group, there exists a number α depending only on G such that every proper non-trivial subgroup has a coset Hx consisting of elements of order α .

Solubility is an essential ingredient in our proof. Indeed we would make the following

CONJECTURE. Every CSO-group is soluble.

Quite possibly, one would need the classification theorem for simple groups to verify this! It is easy to see that the alternating groups of degree more than 4 are not CSO-groups.

Turning now to the proof of the theorem, let G be a soluble CSO-

(*) Indirizzo degli AA.: School of Mathematics, University of Wales, College of Cardiff, Cardiff CF2 4YH, U.K.

group and M a maximal normal subgroup. Then M is of prime index p , say, and there is a coset Mx consisting of elements of the same order. Since x has order $p \bmod M$, x must have p -power order p^t , say, so that Mx consists of elements of that order.

We claim that $G \setminus M$ consists of elements of order p^t . To see this, consider any coset Mx^i with $1 \leq i < p$: every element of $G \setminus M$ is in such a coset. Let j be a positive integer such that $ji \equiv 1 \pmod p$. For every element mx^i of Mx^i , we have $(mx^i)^j = m^j x$ for some $m^j \in M$. But $m^j x$ has order p^t ; since $(j, p) = 1$, so does mx^i .

Thus $G \setminus M$ consists of elements of order p^t . A simple count shows that every maximal normal subgroup N must have the same index p as M and that the elements of $G \setminus N$ have order p^t . Therefore G/G' is a p -group.

We claim that G is a p -group. If not, we can choose a non-trivial Hall p' -subgroup Q of G inside G' and a Sylow p -subgroup P permuting with Q , so that $G = PQ$. By the CSO-property, P has a coset Py consisting of elements of p' -order. Thus $Py \subseteq G'$; since $y \in G'$, we have $P \subseteq G'$ and $G = G'$, a contradiction. Thus G is a p -group after all, and by the first part of the proof, $G \setminus \Phi(G)$ consists of elements of the same order $p^t = \alpha$.

Conversely, let G be a p -group such that $G \setminus \Phi(G)$ consists of elements of the same order α . Let H be a proper non-trivial subgroup and M a maximal subgroup containing H . For $x \in G \setminus M$ we have $Hx \subseteq G \setminus M$, so that Hx consists of elements of order α , as required. This completes the proof.

Obvious examples of CSO-groups are groups of prime exponent. Less obvious are the second nilpotent products of cyclic p -groups of the same odd order. A full classification is probably out of the question.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 28 settembre 1993.