RENDICONTI del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova

A. SCHINZEL

U. ZANNIER

Distribution of solutions of diophantine equations $f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2) = f_3(x_3)$, where f_i are polynomials. - II

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, tome 92 (1994), p. 29-46

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1994__92__29_0

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1994, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

\mathcal{N} umdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/ REND. SEM. MAT. UNIV. PADOVA, Vol. 92 (1994)

Distribution of Solutions of Diophantine Equations $f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2) = f_3(x_3)$, where f_i are Polynomials. - II.

A. SCHINZEL - U. ZANNIER (*)

Introduction and statement of results.

The present paper is a sequel to [1] and the notation of that paper is retained, in particular a_i is the leading coefficient and Δ_i the discriminant of the quadratic polynomial f_i ($1 \le i \le 3$). The purpose of the paper is to perform the programme outlined in Remark 7 of [1], at least in the simplest case, when $a_i = 1$, $\Delta_i = 16$ (i = 1, 2), $a_3 = 1$, $\sqrt{\Delta_3} \in 4\mathbb{Z}$.

As a by-product one obtains the following purely algebraic

THEOREM 1. Let k be a field, δ_1 , δ_2 , $\delta_3 \in k$, $\delta_1 \delta_2 \neq 0$, $\delta_0 = \delta_1 \delta_2 + \delta_3$. The equation

(1)
$$(p_1^2 - \delta_1)(p_2^2 - \delta_2) = p_3^2 - \delta_3$$

has infinitely many solutions in polynomials $p_i \in k[t]$ not all constant only if one of the following three conditions is satisfied

(2a)
$$\sqrt{\delta_3} \in k \text{ and } \sqrt{\delta_i} \in k \text{ for an } i \in \{0, 1, 2\},$$

(2b)
$$\sqrt{\frac{\delta_0}{\delta_i}} \in k \text{ and } \sqrt{\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_i}} \in k \text{ for an } i \in \{1, 2\},$$

(2c)
$$\sqrt{\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_1}}, \sqrt{\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_2}} \in k.$$

Then the set S of all solutions of (1) in polynomials $p_i \in k[t]$ not all constant is the minimal set S_0 with the following properties. For every choice of quadratic roots, every choice of $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ and every

(*) Indirizzo degli AA.: A. SCHINZEL: Math. Inst. P.A.N., P.O. Box 137, 00950 Warszawa (Poland); U. ZANNIER: D.S.T.R. Ist. Univ. Arch., S. Croce 191, 30135 Venezia (Italy).

 $p \in k[t] \setminus k$

$$(3a) \quad \left\langle p, p \; \frac{\sqrt{\delta_0} + \sqrt{\delta_3}}{\delta_1} \;, \; \varepsilon \left(p^2 \; \frac{\sqrt{\delta_0} + \sqrt{\delta_3}}{\delta_1} \; - \sqrt{\delta_0} \right) \right\rangle \in S_0$$
if we have (2a) with $i = 0$,

(3b)
$$\langle \sqrt{\delta_1}, p, \sqrt{\delta_3} \rangle \in S_0$$
 if we have (2a) with $i = 1$,

(3c)
$$\langle p, \sqrt{\delta_2}, \sqrt{\delta_3} \rangle \in S_0$$
 if we have (2a) with $i = 2$,

(3d)
$$\left\langle p, \sqrt{\frac{\delta_0}{\delta_1}}, \sqrt{\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_1}} p \right\rangle \in S_0 \text{ if we have (2b) with } i = 1,$$

(3e)
$$\left(\sqrt{\frac{\delta_0}{\delta_2}}, p, \sqrt{\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_2}}p\right) \in S_0 \text{ if we have (2b) with } i=2,$$

$$(3f) \quad \left\langle p, p\sqrt{\frac{\delta_2}{\delta_1}} + \sqrt{\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_1}}, \varepsilon \left((p^2 - \delta_1)\sqrt{\frac{\delta_2}{\delta_1}} + p\sqrt{\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_1}} \right) \right\rangle \in S_0$$

Moreover if $\langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle \in S_0$, $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$, then

if (2c) holds.

$$(4a) \left\langle p_{1}, \frac{2p_{1}^{2}p_{2} + 2\varepsilon p_{1}p_{3} - \delta_{1}p_{2}}{\delta_{1}}, \frac{2p_{1}^{2}p_{3} + 2\varepsilon p_{1}p_{2}(p_{1}^{2} - \delta_{1}) - \delta_{1}p_{3}}{\delta_{1}} \right\rangle \in S_{0},$$

$$(4b) \left\langle \frac{2p_{1}p_{2}^{2} + 2\varepsilon p_{1}p_{3} - \delta_{2}p_{1}}{\delta_{2}}, p_{2}, \frac{2p_{2}^{2}p_{3} + 2\delta p_{1}p_{2}(p_{2}^{2} - \delta_{2}) - \delta_{2}p_{3}}{\delta_{2}} \right\rangle \in S_{0}.$$

If $\sqrt{\delta_i} \in k$ (i = 1, 2, 3) then the set S can be obtained simpler as the minimal set S_1 with the following properties. For every choice of quadratic roots and every $p \in k[t] \setminus k$ we have (3b), (3c), (3d) and (3e) with S_0 replaced by S_1 . Moreover if $\langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle \in S_1$, $\eta \in \{1, -1\}$, then

$$\begin{split} & \left\langle p_1, \; \frac{p_1 p_2 + \eta p_3}{\sqrt{\delta_1}} \;, \; \frac{p_1 p_3 + \eta p_2 (p_1^2 - \delta_1)}{\sqrt{\delta_1}} \right\rangle \in S_1 \;, \\ & \left\langle \frac{p_1 p_2 + \eta p_3}{\sqrt{\delta_2}} \;, \; p_2, \; \frac{p_1 p_3 + \eta p_1 (p_2^2 - \delta_2)}{\sqrt{\delta_2}} \right\rangle \in S_1 \;, \end{split}$$

The principal result runs as follows.

THEOREM 2. If $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 1$, $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = 16$, $\sqrt{\Delta_3} \in 4\mathbb{Z}$, then the number N(x) of integers x_3 such that $|x_3| \leq x$ and there exist integers x_1, x_2 such that

$$f_1(x_1) f_2(x_2) = f_3(x_3)$$

satisfies the asymptotic formula

$$N(x) = 2\sqrt{x} + O(x^{1/3}).$$

1. Proof of Theorem 1.

LEMMA 1. Under the assumptions of the theorem all solutions of the equation (1) in which one but not each polynomial $p_i \in k[t]$ is constant are given by

(5a)
$$\langle p, \sqrt{\delta_2}, \sqrt{\delta_3} \rangle, \quad \text{if } \sqrt{\delta_2}, \sqrt{\delta_3} \in k,$$

(5b)
$$\langle \sqrt{\delta_1}, p, \sqrt{\delta_3} \rangle, \quad \text{if } \sqrt{\delta_1}, \sqrt{\delta_3} \in k,$$

(5c)
$$\left\langle p, \sqrt{\frac{\delta_0}{\delta_1}}, \sqrt{\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_1}}p \right\rangle, \quad if \sqrt{\frac{\delta_0}{\delta_1}}, \sqrt{\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_1}} \in k,$$

(5d)
$$\left(\sqrt{\frac{\delta_0}{\delta_2}}, p, \sqrt{\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_2}}p\right), \quad \text{if } \sqrt{\frac{\delta_0}{\delta_2}}, \sqrt{\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_2}} \in k,$$

where $p \in k[t] \setminus k$ and the choice of quadratic roots is arbitrary.

PROOF. Suppose that $\langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle$ is a required solution. By symmetry we may assume that $p_1 \in k$. If $p_1^2 = \delta_1$, we obtain the case (5b). If $p_1^2 \neq \delta_1$, we have

$$(p_3 - \sqrt{p_1^2 - \delta_1} p_2)(p_3 + \sqrt{p_1^2 - \delta_1} p_2) = \delta_3 - (p_1^2 - \delta_1) \delta_2$$

and since the two factors cannot simultaneously be constant we have $\delta_3 - (p_1^2 - \delta_1) \delta_2 = 0$, which gives (5d). On the other hand, (5a), (5b), (5c), (5d) are solutions of (1) with the required properties.

LEMMA 2. Under the assumptions of the theorem all solutions of the equation (1) in polynomials $p_i \in k[t]$ such that deg $p_1 = \text{deg } p_2 > 0$

are given by

(6a)
$$\left\langle p, p \frac{\sqrt{\delta_0} + \sqrt{\delta_3}}{\delta_1}, \varepsilon \left(p^2 \frac{\sqrt{\delta_0} + \sqrt{\delta_3}}{\delta_1} - \sqrt{\delta_0} \right) \right\rangle$$
 if $\sqrt{\delta_0}, \sqrt{\delta_3} \in k$,
(6b) $\left\langle p, p \sqrt{\frac{\delta_2}{\delta_1}} + \sqrt{\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_1}}, \varepsilon \left((p^2 - \delta_1) \sqrt{\frac{\delta_2}{\delta_1}} + p \sqrt{\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_1}} \right) \right\rangle$
if $\sqrt{\frac{\delta_2}{\delta_1}}, \sqrt{\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_1}} \in k$,

where $p \in k[t] \setminus k$, the choice of quadratic roots is arbitrary and $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$.

PROOF. Suppose that $\langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle$ is a required solution. Let $l(p_i)$ denote the leading coefficient of p_i and choose $\varepsilon = l(p_1p_2)/l(p_3)$ and $\sqrt{p_1^2 - \delta_1}$ so that at $t = \infty$, $\sqrt{p_1^2 - \delta_1} = p_1(t) + o(1)$, which implies

$$\sqrt{p_1^2 - \delta_1} = p_1(t) + O(|t|^{-\deg p_1}).$$

(If char k > 0 the notation should be suitably interpreted). Then define

$$p_{3}' + \varepsilon \sqrt{p_{1}^{2} - \delta_{1}} p_{2}' = (p_{3} + \varepsilon \sqrt{p_{1}^{2} - \delta_{1}} p_{2}) \frac{p_{1} - \sqrt{p_{1}^{2} - \delta_{1}}}{\sqrt{\delta_{1}}}$$

We have at $t = \infty$

$$p_3' + \varepsilon \sqrt{p_1^2 - \delta_1} p_2' = O(|t|^{\deg p_3 - \deg p_1}),$$

but also

$$p_{3} - \varepsilon \sqrt{p_{1}^{2} - \delta_{1}} p_{2} = \frac{\delta_{3} - \delta_{2}(p_{1}^{2} - \delta_{2})}{p_{3} + \varepsilon \sqrt{p_{1}^{2} - \delta_{1}} p_{2}} = O(|t|^{2 \deg p_{1} - \deg p_{3}}) = O(1),$$

hence

$$p_3'-arepsilon\sqrt{p_1^2-\delta_1}\,p_2'=(p_3-arepsilon\sqrt{p_1^2-\delta_1}\,p_2)\,rac{p_1+\sqrt{p_1^2-\delta_1}}{\sqrt{\delta_1}}=$$

 $= O(|t|^{3 \deg p_1 - \deg p_3})$

•

and so

$$p_2' = O(1)$$
.

However p'_1 , p'_2 , p'_3 satisfy (1) and $p'_i \in k(\sqrt{\delta_1})[t]$, hence by virtue of Lemma 1 applied with $k(\sqrt{\delta_1})$ instead of k we have for a suitable choice of quadratic roots either

$$\langle p_2', p_3' \rangle = \langle \sqrt{\delta_2}, \varepsilon \sqrt{\delta_3} \rangle$$

 \mathbf{or}

$$\langle p_2', p_3'
angle = \left\langle \sqrt{rac{\delta_0}{\delta_1}}, \, \epsilon \sqrt{rac{\delta_3}{\delta_1}} \, p_1
ight
angle.$$

In the first case we obtain

$$p_3 + \varepsilon \sqrt{p_1^2 - \delta_1} p_2 = \varepsilon (\sqrt{\delta_3} + \sqrt{p_1^2 - \delta_1} \cdot \sqrt{\delta_2}) \frac{p_1 + \sqrt{p_1^2 - \delta_1}}{\sqrt{\delta_1}}$$

which gives (6b).

In the second case we obtain

$$p_3 + \varepsilon \sqrt{p_1^2 - \delta_1} \, p_2 = \varepsilon \left(\sqrt{\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_1}} \, p_2 + \sqrt{p_1^2 - \delta_1} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\delta_0}{\delta_1}} \right) \frac{p_1 + \sqrt{p_1^2 - \delta_1}}{\sqrt{\delta_1}}$$

which gives (a). On the other hand, (6a) and (6b) are solutions of (1) with the required properties. \blacksquare

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. We have under the specified conditions (2a), (2b), or (2c) for $p \in k[t] \setminus k$

$$\begin{split} &\left\langle p, p \; \frac{\sqrt{\delta_0} + \sqrt{\delta_3}}{\delta_1}, \varepsilon \left(p^2 \; \frac{\sqrt{\delta_0} + \sqrt{\delta_3}}{\delta_1} - \sqrt{\delta_0} \right) \right\rangle, \quad \langle p_1 \sqrt{\delta_2}, \sqrt{\delta_3} \rangle, \\ &\left\langle \sqrt{\delta_1}, p, \sqrt{\delta_3} \rangle, \quad \left\langle p, \sqrt{\frac{\delta_0}{\delta_1}}, \sqrt{\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_1}} p \right\rangle, \quad \left\langle \sqrt{\frac{\delta_0}{\delta_2}}, p, \sqrt{\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_2}} p \right\rangle, \\ &\left\langle p, p \sqrt{\frac{\delta_2}{\delta_1}} + \sqrt{\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_1}}, \varepsilon \left((p^2 - \delta_1) \sqrt{\frac{\delta_2}{\delta_1}} + p \sqrt{\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_1}} \right) \right\rangle \in S \end{split}$$

33

and if $\langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle \in S$ then for i = 1, 2

$$(p_i^2 - \delta_i) \left(\left(\frac{2p_i^2 p_{3-i} + 2\varepsilon p_i p_3 - \delta_i p_{3-i}}{\delta_i} \right)^2 - \delta_{3-i} \right) - \left(\frac{2p_i^2 p_3 + 2\varepsilon p_i p_{3-i} (p_i^2 - \delta_i) - \delta_i p_3}{\delta_i} \right)^2 = (p_1^2 - \delta_1)(p_2^2 - \delta_2) - p_3^2 = -\delta_3.$$

Moreover $\begin{vmatrix} 2p_i^2 - \delta_i & 2\varepsilon p_i \\ 2\varepsilon p_i(p_i^2 - \delta_i) & 2p_i^2 - \delta_i \end{vmatrix} = \delta_i^2 \neq 0 \quad (i = 1, 2), \text{ thus if } \\ \langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle \notin k^3 \text{ then also for } i = 1, 2 \end{vmatrix}$

$$\left\langle p_i, \frac{2p_i^2 p_{3-i} + 2\varepsilon p_i p_3 - \delta_i p_{3-i}}{\delta_i}, \frac{2p_i^2 p_3 + 2\varepsilon p_i p_{3-i} (p_i^2 - \delta_i) - \delta_i p_3}{\delta_i} \right\rangle \notin k^3$$

hence $S_0 \,\subset S$. In order to prove that $S \,\subset S_0$ we proceed by induction with respect to $m = \max \{ \deg p_1, \deg p_2, \deg p_3 \}$. If m = 1(1) implies that $p_1^2 - \delta_1 \in k$ or $p_2^2 - \delta_2 \in k$, hence by Lemma 1 either (2a) holds with $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle \in S_0$ by (3b) or (3c) or (2b) holds and $\langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle \in S_0$ by (3d) or (3e).

Assume now that (1) implies $\langle p_1,\,p_2,\,p_3\rangle \in S_0$ provided m < n and let

$$\max \{ \deg p_1, \deg p_2, \deg p_3 \} = n > 1.$$

If $p_1^2 - \delta_1 = 0$ or $p_2^2 - \delta_2 = 0$ we have (2a) with i = 1 or 2 and $\langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle \in S_0$ by (3b) or (3c). If $p_1^2 - \delta_1 \neq 0 \neq p_2^2 - \delta_2$ we have deg $p_3 = n$. If deg $p_2 = 0$ we have (2a) with i = 1 and $\langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle \in S_0$ by (3d). If deg $p_1 = 0$ we have similarly (2a) with i = 2 and $\langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle \in S_0$ by (3e). We may assume therefore that deg $p_1 > 0$, deg $p_2 > 0$.

Consider first the case, where deg $p_1 = \text{deg } p_2$. By Lemma 2 this can happen only if we have either

(7)
$$p_1 = p$$
, $p_2 = p \frac{\sqrt{\delta_0} + \sqrt{\delta_3}}{\delta_1}$, $p_3 = \varepsilon \left(p^2 \frac{\sqrt{\delta_0} + \sqrt{\delta_3}}{\delta_1} - \sqrt{\delta_0} \right)$,

or

(8)
$$p_1 = p$$
, $p_2 = p \sqrt{\frac{\delta_2}{\delta_1}} + \sqrt{\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_1}}$, $p_3 = \varepsilon \left((p^2 - \delta_1) \sqrt{\frac{\delta_2}{\delta_1}} + p \sqrt{\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_1}} \right)$.

Now, (7) implies that (2a) holds with i = 0 and we have (3a); (8) im-

plies that (2c) holds and we have (3f), hence $\langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle \in S_0$. Consider now the case, where deg $p_1 < \text{deg } p_2$. Choose $\varepsilon = l(p_1 p_2)/l(p_3)$ and $\sqrt{p_1^2 - \delta_1}$ so that at $t = \infty \sqrt{p_1^2 - \delta_1} = p_1(t) + o(1)$, which implies

$$\sqrt{p_1^2 - \delta_1} = p_1(t) + O(|t|^{-\deg p_1}).$$

Then define

(9)
$$p'_{3} + \varepsilon \sqrt{p_{1}^{2} - \delta_{1}} p'_{2} = (p_{3} + \varepsilon \sqrt{p_{1}^{2} - \delta_{1}} p_{2}) \left(\frac{p_{1} - \sqrt{p_{1}^{2} - \delta_{1}}}{\sqrt{\delta_{1}}} \right)^{2}$$

We have at $t = \infty$

$$p_3' + \varepsilon \sqrt{p_1^2 - \delta_1} p_2' = O(|t|^{\deg p_3 - 2 \deg p_1}),$$

but also

$$p_3 - \varepsilon \sqrt{p_1^2 - \delta_1} p_2 = \frac{\delta_3 - \delta_2(p_1^2 - \delta_1)}{p_3 + \varepsilon \sqrt{p_1^2 - \delta_1} p_2} = O(|t|^{2 \deg p_1 - \deg p_3}),$$

hence

(10)
$$p_3' - \varepsilon \sqrt{p_1^2 - \delta_1} p_2' = (p_3 - \varepsilon \sqrt{p_1^2 - \delta_1} p_2) \left(\frac{p_1 + \sqrt{p_1^2 - \delta_1}}{\sqrt{\delta_1}} \right)^2 =$$

 $= O(|t|^{4 \deg p_1 - \deg p_3})$

and so

$$\begin{split} p_3' &= O(\,|t|^{\max\{\deg p_3 - 2\deg p_1, 4\deg p_1 - \deg p_3\}})\,,\\ p_2' &= O(\,|t|^{\max\{\deg p_3 - 3\deg p_1, 3\deg p_1 - \deg p_3\}})\,. \end{split}$$

Since $1 \leq \deg p_1 < \deg p_2 = \deg p_3 - \deg p_1$ we obtain

$$\max \{ \deg p_1, \deg p_2', \deg p_3' \} < n$$
.

On the other hand, p'_1 , p'_2 , $p'_3 \in k[t]$ and satisfy (1), hence by the induc-

tive assumption $\langle p_1, p'_2, p'_3 \rangle \in S_0$. Now however

(11)
$$p_3 - \varepsilon \sqrt{p_1^2 - \delta_1} p_2 = (p_3' + \varepsilon \sqrt{p_1^2 - \delta_1} p_2') \left(\frac{p_1 + \sqrt{p_1^2 - \delta_1}}{\sqrt{\delta_1}} \right)^2$$

and so

$$p_2 = rac{2p_1^2 \, p_2' + 2 arepsilon p_1 \, p_3' - \delta_1 \, p_2'}{\delta_1} \;, \quad p_3 = rac{2p_1^2 \, p_3' + 2 arepsilon p_1 \, p_3' \, (p_1^2 - \delta_1) - \delta_1 \, p_3'}{\delta_1} \;,$$

hence by (4a) $\langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle \in S_0$.

By symmetry between (4a) and (4b) the same holds if

 $\deg p_2 < \deg p_1 .$

In order to prove the last assertion of the theorem we observe that for i = 1, 2,

(12)
$$(p_i^2 - \delta_i) \left(\left(\frac{p_i p_{3-i} + \eta p_3}{\sqrt{\delta_i}} \right)^2 - \delta_{3-i} \right) - \left(\frac{p_i p_3 + \eta p_{3-i} (p_i^2 - \delta_i)}{\sqrt{\delta_i}} \right)^2 = (p_1^2 - \delta_1)(p_2^2 - \delta_2) - p_3^2$$

and

$$\begin{vmatrix} p_i & \eta \\ \eta(p_i^2 - \delta_i) & p_i \end{vmatrix} = \delta_i \neq 0,$$

which implies $S_1 \subset S$. The proof of the inclusion $S \subset S_1$ is similar to that of the inclusion $S \subset S_0$ with this difference that the case deg $p_1 = \text{deg } p_2$ is treated in the same way as deg $p_1 < \text{deg } p_2$ and in the formulae (9), (10) and (11) the exponent 2 is replaced by 1.

2. Proof of Theorem 2.

We shall deal with the equation

(13)
$$y_3^2 - \delta_3 = (y_1^2 - 4)(y_2^2 - 4)$$

where $\delta_3 = 4b^2$, $b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We define τ as the minimal set of triples $\langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle$ of polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ with the following properties Distribution of solutions of diophantine equations etc. - II

37

(i) For every choice of ε , $\eta \in \{1, -1\}$ we have

(ia)
$$\langle x, 2\varepsilon, 2b\eta \rangle \in \tau$$
,

(ib)
$$\langle 2\varepsilon, x, 2b\eta \rangle \in \tau$$
.

(ii) For every choice of $\eta \in \{1, -1\}$, putting $\mathbf{p} = \langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle \in \tau$ both

(iia)
$$\varphi_{1,\eta}(\mathbf{p}) = \left\langle p_1, \frac{p_1 p_2 + \eta p_3}{2}, \frac{p_1 p_3 + \eta p_2 (p_1^2 - 4)}{2} \right\rangle \in \tau$$

and

(iib)
$$\varphi_{2,\eta}(\mathbf{p}) = \left\langle \frac{p_1 p_2 + \eta p_3}{2}, p_2, \frac{p_2 p_3 + \eta p_1 (p_2^2 - 4)}{2} \right\rangle \in \tau.$$

LEMMA 3. If $\langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle \in \tau$, then

(iii) $p_i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ for i = 1, 2, 3;

(iv) $\langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle$ satisfies (13);

(v) for all $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \in \{1, -1\}$ there exists $\langle q_1, q_2, q_3 \rangle \in \tau$ such that $\eta_i p_i(x) = q_i(\varepsilon x)$ for i = 1, 2, 3;

(vi) if $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ is such that $p_i(x_0) \in \mathbb{Z}$, i = 1, 2, 3, then $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

PROOF. Since $\langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle$ is obtained by a finite iteration of operations of type $\varphi_{j,\eta}$ starting from either (ia) or (ib), and since (ia) and (ib) satisfy the four assertions of the Lemma, it suffices to prove that if some triple **p** satisfies the assertions, then $\varphi_{j,\eta}(\mathbf{p})$ does.

Now, since **p** satisfies both (iii) and (iv) by assumption, we have $p_3 \equiv p_1 p_2 \pmod{2\mathbb{Z}[x]}$ whence (iii) holds for $\varphi_{j, \gamma}(\mathbf{p})$. Also, (iv) follows from (12). (v) follows from the identity

$$\left\langle \eta_1 p_1, \eta_2 \frac{p_1 p_2 + \eta p_3}{2}, \eta_3 \frac{p_1 p_3 + \eta p_2 (p_1^2 - 4)}{2} \right\rangle = \\ = \varphi_{1, \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3 \eta} (\eta_1 p_1, \eta_1 \eta_2 p_2, \eta_1 \eta_3 p_3).$$

As to (vi) we observe that if the components of $\varphi_{j, \eta}(\boldsymbol{p})(\boldsymbol{x}_0)$ belong to \mathbb{Z} , the same is true for the components of $\varphi_{j, -\eta} \circ \varphi_{j, \eta}(\boldsymbol{p})(\boldsymbol{x}_0)$, by the same argument which proved $p_i \in \mathbb{Z}[\boldsymbol{x}]$. But $\varphi_{j, -\eta} \circ \varphi_{j, \eta}$ is the identity, and induction applies.

LEMMA 4. Let $\langle s_1, s_2, s_3 \rangle$ be a solution of (13) in polynomials

 $s_i \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ not all constant. Then there exists a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ and a triple $\langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle \in \tau$ such that $s_i(t) = p_i(p(t))$ i = 1, 2, 3.

This follows from the last assertion of Th. 1 on noticing that $b^2 + 4$ is not a square, for $b \neq 0$.

The proof of the last assertion of Th. 1 also shows.

LEMMA 5. Each triple $\mathbf{p} = \langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle \in \tau$ may be obtained starting from some triple $\mathbf{p}_0 \in \tau$ such that the maximum degree of the components of \mathbf{p}_0 is 1, and applying successively operations of type $\varphi_{j,\eta}$ in such a way as to increase strictly the maximum degree at each step.

Define $|\mathbf{p}| = \max \deg p_i$.

LEMMA 6. If $p \in \tau$ and |p| = 1, then, either

$$\boldsymbol{p} = \langle \eta_1(x+a), 2\eta_2, 2b\eta_3 \rangle$$

or

$$p = (2\eta_1, \eta_2(x+a), 2b\eta_3)$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and some $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \in \{1, -1\}$.

PROOF. Let $p = (p_1, p_2, p_3)$. By (13) either $p_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ or $p_1 \in \mathbb{Q}$ and by Lemma 1 either $p = \langle p(x), 2\eta_2, 2b\eta_3 \rangle$ or $p = \langle 2\eta, p(x), 2b\eta_3 \rangle$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, deg p = 1. Now, for instance by (vi) of Lemma 3 the leading coefficient of p must be ± 1 . (Alternatively one may show by induction that leading coefficients of nonconstant polynomials appearing in some triple in τ are ± 1).

LEMMA 7. Let $\mathbf{p} = \langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle \in \tau$, $|\mathbf{p}| \ge 2$, $d_1 = \deg p_1 \le d_2 = \deg p_2$, and assume $\mathbf{p}' = \varphi_{j,\eta}(\mathbf{p}) = \langle p_1', p_2', p_3' \rangle$ is such that $|\mathbf{p}'| > |\mathbf{p}|$, $d_1' = \deg p_1' \le d_2' = \deg p_2'$. Then

$$\langle d_1', d_2' \rangle = \begin{cases} \langle d_1, d_1 + d_2 \rangle & or \\ \langle d_2 - d_1, d_2 \rangle & or \\ \langle d_2, d_1 + d_2 \rangle \end{cases}$$

where the second possibility may happen only if $d_2 > 2d_1$. (Observe that $|\mathbf{p}| \ge 2$ implies that min deg $p_i \ge 1$.)

PROOF. From (13) one gets $p_3^2 - p_1^2 p_2^2 = \delta_3 - 4(p_1^2 + p_2^2) + 16$, whence

$$\deg(p_1p_2 + p_3) + \deg(p_1p_2 - p_3) = 2d_2,$$

say that $\deg(p_1p_2 + p_3) = \deg p_3 = d_1 + d_2$ (the argument being symmetrical if deg $(p_1 p_2 - p_3) = deg p_3$). So deg $(p_1 p_2 - p_3) = d_2 - d_1$.

If j = 1, since $|\mathbf{p}'| > |\mathbf{p}|$ we must have $\eta = 1$, and we fall in the first case. If j = 2 we may have either $\eta = 1$ falling in the third case, or $\eta = -1$, provided $d_2 - d_1 > d_1$, and we fall in the second case.

Let now $p_1, p_2 \in \tau$. We define $p_1 \sim p_2$ if $p_2(x) = p_1(\eta(x+a))$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}, \eta \in \{1, -1\}$. Clearly this is an equivalence relation which preserves the max deg function, so we may define $\mathcal{N}(D)$ to be the number of equivalence classes of triples p in τ such that $|p| \leq D$.

LEMMA 8.
$$\mathcal{N}(D) \leq D^4$$
, for all $D \geq 2$.

PROOF. By Lemma 5 we obtain each triple in τ starting from the equivalence class of either (ia) or (ib) and applying operators $\varphi_{i, r}$ to increase the degree at each step (observe $\varphi_{j,\eta}$ preserves the equivalence). After the first step we obtain an equivalence class of one of the eight triples given by (6b) with p replaced by x, i.e. $\langle x, \varepsilon_1(x + z_1) \rangle$ $(+\eta b), \varepsilon_2(x^2 + \eta bx - 4))$, where $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \eta \in \{1, -1\}$. Define for pairs $\langle d_1, d_2 \rangle$ of integers with $1 \leq d_1 \leq d_2$ three operations

$$\begin{split} &\alpha(d_1, \, d_2) = \langle d_1, \, d_1 + d_2 \rangle, \\ &\beta(d_1, \, d_2) = \langle d_2, \, d_1 + d_2 \rangle, \\ &\gamma(d_1, \, d_2) = \langle d_2 - d_1, \, d_2 \rangle, \end{split}$$

- .

where γ is defined only if $d_2 > 2d_1$. In view of Lemma 7 we have $\mathcal{N}(D) \leq 8C(D) + 8$ where C(D) is the number of sequences $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_k$ such that each δ_i is either α , or β , or γ and the sum of the components of $\delta_k \circ \ldots \circ \delta_1 \langle 1, 1 \rangle$ is bounded by D. If every δ_i is of type α we have at most D-1 such sequences. Otherwise let r be the greatest index such that δ_r is either β or γ , so δ_{μ} is α for $r < \mu \leq k$.

Put $\delta_r \circ \ldots \circ \delta_1 \langle 1, 1 \rangle = \langle m, n \rangle$. Then, setting k = r + s we have n + s+ $(s+1)m \leq D$. Also $\langle m, n \rangle$ is in the image of either β of γ so $m \geq n/2$, whence

(14)
$$n+m \leq \frac{3}{s+3} (n+(s+1)m) \leq \frac{3}{s+3} D.$$

Assume $\delta_r = \beta$. Then, if $\langle m', n' \rangle = \delta_{r-1} \circ \ldots \circ \delta_1 \langle 1, 1 \rangle$ we have

39

 $\beta(m', n') = \langle m, n \rangle$, whence

(15)
$$m' + n' \leq \frac{2}{3} (m + n).$$

If on the other hand $\delta_r = \gamma$, necessarily $\delta_{r-1} = \alpha$. Observing that $\gamma \circ \alpha = \beta$, and setting $\langle m', n' \rangle = \delta_{r-2} \circ \ldots \circ \delta_1 \langle 1, 1 \rangle$ again we have $m' + n' \leq \langle 2/3 \rangle (m+n)$. In conclusion we may write

(16)
$$C(D) + 1 \le D + 2 \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} C\left(\frac{2D}{s+3}\right)$$

Clearly, C(2) = 1. Assume that $C(y) + 1 \le (1/8)y^4$ for $2 \le y \le D - 1$, $D \ge 3$. Then (16) shows

$$C(D) + 1 \le D + \frac{1}{4} \left(D^4 \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{s+3} \right)^4 \right) < D + \frac{1}{12} D^4 < \frac{1}{8} D^4.$$

The inequality $C(D) + 1 \le D^4$ holds, by induction, for all integers $D \ge 2$.

REMARK 1. The inequality $\mathcal{N}(D) \ll D^B$ (B constant) may be proved also by the (essentially equivalent) method of proof of Th. 4 in [1], cf. Remark 7. Perhaps the present method is slightly simpler.

DEFINITION 1. We say that a solution of (13) $\langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle$ in integers y_1, y_2, y_3 is polynomial if there is a polynomial solution $\langle s_1, s_2, s_3 \rangle$ of (13) with $s_i \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$, not all constant, and a complex number t_0 such that

$$y_i = s_i(t_0)$$
 $i = 1, 2, 3.$

By Lemma 4 we have $y_i = (p(t_0))$ for some triple $\langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle \in \tau$, $p \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$. By (vi) of Lemma 3 we have

(17)
$$y_i = p_i(x_0)$$
 $i = 1, 2, 3$

for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

DEFINITION 2. We define the degree $\partial(\boldsymbol{y})$ of a polynomial solution $\boldsymbol{y} = \langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle$ to be $\min_{\boldsymbol{p}} |\boldsymbol{p}|$ where $\boldsymbol{p} = \langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle$ is a triple in τ such that (17) holds for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We choose now $C_1 = \max \{1/2 \sqrt{\delta_3 + 16}, \exp 720\}$. Let $\langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle$ be an integer solution of (13), where $0 \le y_1 \le$ $\leq y_2, 0 \leq y_3$. If $y_1^2 < 4$ we have clearly a finite number of possibilities for y_2, y_3 . If $y_1^2 > 4$ we set $w = y_1^2 - 4$, $\zeta = (y_1 + \sqrt{w})/2$, $\xi = y_3 + y_2\sqrt{w}$, $B = \delta_3 - 4w$, $C = |B| \zeta^{-1}$. There exists a unique $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$C^{1/2} \le \xi \zeta^a < C^{1/2} \zeta$$

In view of the choice of C_1 such a satisfies

(18)
$$|a| \leq \frac{\log(y_3 + y_2\sqrt{w})}{\log\zeta} + \frac{1}{2} \leq \begin{cases} C_1 & \text{if } y_1 < C_1, \\ 2\log y_3/\log y_1 & \text{if } y_1 \ge C_1. \end{cases}$$

We set $y_3^* + y_2^* \sqrt{w} = \xi \zeta^a$ and observe that y_2^* , y_3^* are integers and that $\langle y_1, y_2^*, y_3^* \rangle$ is a solution of (13).

Moreover we have easily (cf. [1], formula (33))

(19)
$$|y_2^*| \leq \frac{|B|^{1/2} \zeta^{1/2}}{\sqrt{w}} \leq \begin{cases} C_1 & \text{if } 3 \leq y_1 \leq C_1 ,\\ 2y_1^{1/2} & \text{if } y_1 \geq C_1 ; \end{cases}$$

(20)
$$|y_3^*| \leq \begin{cases} C_1 & \text{if } 3 \leq y_1 \leq C_1 \\ 2y_1^{3/2} & \text{if } y_1 \geq C_1 \end{cases}$$

We set

(21)
$$\begin{cases} \varphi(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \begin{cases} \langle y_1, |y_2^*|, |y_3^*| \rangle, & \text{if } y_1 \leq |y_2^*|, \\ \langle |y_2^*|, y_1, |y_3^*| \rangle, & \text{if } y_1 > |y_2^*|, \\ a(y_1, y_2, y_3) = |a|. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 9. If the solution $\mathbf{y} = \langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle$ is polynomial, the same is true of $\varphi(\mathbf{y})$, and conversely. Moreover if $\varphi(\mathbf{y})$ is of degree d, then $\partial(\mathbf{y}) \leq 2^{|a|} d$.

The proof of the first statement is immediate. We prove the second statement by induction on |a|. If a = 0 we have $\varphi(\boldsymbol{y}) = \boldsymbol{y}$, hence the inequality $\partial(\boldsymbol{y}) \leq 2^{|a|} \partial(\varphi(\boldsymbol{y}))$ holds. Assume that it is true whenever $|a(\boldsymbol{y})| < n$ and that

$$arphi\left(oldsymbol{y}
ight) = egin{cases} \left\langle \left(oldsymbol{y}_{1}, \left| oldsymbol{y}_{2}^{0}
ight|, \left| oldsymbol{y}_{3}^{0}
ight|
ight
angle
ight. \mathrm{or} \ \left\langle \left| oldsymbol{y}_{2}^{0}
ight|, oldsymbol{y}_{1}, \left| oldsymbol{y}_{3}^{0}
ight|
ight
angle, \ \left\langle \left| oldsymbol{y}_{2}^{0}
ight|, oldsymbol{y}_{1}, \left| oldsymbol{y}_{3}^{0}
ight|
ight
angle, \end{cases}$$

where

$$y_3^* + y_2^* \sqrt{w} = \xi \zeta^a , \qquad |a| = n .$$

Put

$$y' = \langle y_1, |y_2'|, |y_3'| \rangle$$
, where $y_3' + y_2' \sqrt{w} = \xi \zeta^{\operatorname{sgn} a}$

By the inductive assumption

(22)
$$\partial(\boldsymbol{y}') \leq 2^{n-1} \partial(\varphi(\boldsymbol{y})).$$

By the definition of $\partial(\mathbf{y}')$ and by Lemma 3 (vi) there exists a triple $\mathbf{p}' = \langle p_1', p_2', p_3' \rangle \in \tau$ and an integer $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$y_1 = p'_1(x_0), \quad y'_i = p'_i(x_0) \quad (i = 2, 3),$$

and $|\mathbf{p}'| = \partial \mathbf{y}'$. However

$$y_3 + y_2 \sqrt{w} = \xi = (y'_3 + y'_2 \sqrt{w}) \xi^{-\text{sgn}a}$$

hence

$$\langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle = \varphi_{1, -\operatorname{sgn} a}(\mathbf{p}')(x_0)$$

and

(23)
$$\partial(\boldsymbol{y}) \leq |\varphi_{1,-\operatorname{sgn} a}(\boldsymbol{p}')| \leq \deg p_1' + \deg p_3' \leq 2 |\boldsymbol{p}'|.$$

The inequalities (14) and (15) imply the desired inequality

$$\partial(\boldsymbol{p}) \leq 2^n \partial(\varphi(\boldsymbol{p})).$$

We now define the following procedure, applied to any solution of (13) in natural numbers y_1, y_2, y_3 ; namely we apply several times the function φ until we reach a solution z_1, z_2, z_3 such that $z_i \ge 0$ $i = 1, 2, 3, z_1 \le z_2, z_1 \le C_1$. By (19) this will happen sooner or later. We have $z = = \langle z_1, z_2, z_3 \rangle = \varphi^m(y_1, y_2, y_3)$ (possibly m = 0). Three cases may occur.

- A) $z_1^2 = 4$: now the solution z is clearly polynomial and $\partial(z) = 1$.
- B) $z_1^2 < 4$: as observed before we have $z_2 \le C_1$, $z_3 \le C_1$.

C) $3 \le z_1 \le C_1$. Now a new application of φ produces a solution with components bounded by C_1 , by (19), (20).

42

We set

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \begin{cases} z & \text{if we are in case } B \end{pmatrix},\\ \varphi(z) & \text{if we are in case } C \end{pmatrix}.$$

We first deal with case A):

By Lemma 9 the solution $\langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle$ is polynomial of degree $\leq 2^{|a_1| + \ldots + |a_m|} \partial(z) = 2^{|a_1| + \ldots + |a_m|}$. To estimate such degree we define $\Pi(Y) = \max\{|a_1| + \ldots + |a_m|\}$ where the maximum is taken over all solutions with $y_3 \leq Y$.

If $y_1 < C_1$ we have m = 0 and no a_i appears. Thus if $Y < C_1$ we have $\Pi(Y) = 0$. If $y_1 \ge C_1$, then, by (18), $|a_1| \le 2 (\log Y/\log y_1)$, whence by (22)

$$\Pi(Y) \leq 2 \, \frac{\log Y}{\log y_1} + \Pi(y_1^{3/2}).$$

Since $y_1 \leq y_2$ we have $y_3^2 \geq (y_1^2 - 4)^2$, whence $y_1 \leq \sqrt{Y+4}$, so

$$\Pi(Y) \leq 2 \, \frac{\log Y}{\log C_1} + \Pi((Y+4)^{3/4}) \leq 2 \, \frac{\log Y}{\log C_1} + \Pi(Y^{4/5}) \, .$$

By an easy induction we get

(24)
$$\Pi(Y) \le 10 \ \frac{\log Y}{\log C_1} \le \frac{\log Y}{72}$$

for all integers $y \ge 1$.

LEMMA 10. Let $\mathbf{s} = \langle s_1, s_2, s_3 \rangle$ be a solution of (13) in natural numbers s_i . Then the number H(Y) of solutions $\langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle$, $y_i \ge 0$, $y_3 \le Y$ such that the solution $\rho(y_1, y_2, y_3)$ introduced above coincides with \mathbf{s} satisfies

$$H(Y) \ll_{\delta_2} Y^{1/3}$$
 .

(Clearly we assume that $\langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle$ falls in case B) or C))

PROOF. Let $\boldsymbol{y} = \langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle$ be counted in H(Y). If $y_1 \leq C_1$ then either $\boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{s}$ or $\varphi(\boldsymbol{y}) = \boldsymbol{s}$. If $y_1 > C_1$ then, by (20), $\varphi(\boldsymbol{y})$ is counted in $H((Y+4)^{3/4})$.

On the other hand the number of solutions $\langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle$, $y_3 \leq Y$, such that $\varphi(y_1, y_2, y_3)$, is a given solution is easily seen to be bounded by $C_2 \log Y$, $C_2 = C_2(\delta_3)$ (cf.[1], formula (30)) whence

$$H(Y) \leq C_2 \log Y \cdot \{H((Y+4)^{3/4}) + 1\}.$$

Iterating this inequality we get the Lemma, and even

 $H(Y) \ll_{\delta_2} \exp\left(3(\log\log Y)^2\right)$.

REMARK 2. Imitating the proof of Theorem 4 in [1] one can prove $H(Y) \ll \log^{c} Y$.

LEMMA 11. Let $p \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ be a polynomial of degree $n \ge 1$ with the leading coefficient at least 1 in absolute value, and let I be an interval of length T. Then

$$\#(p(\mathbb{Z})\cap I) \leq \#\{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid p(x) \in I\} \leq nT^{1/n} + n.$$

PROOF. The first inequality is trivial. Let us prove the second by induction on n, the case n = 1 being immediate. Set $x_1 = = \min \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid p(x) \in I\}$ and put

$$p_1(x) = \frac{p(x+x_1) - p(x_1)}{x}$$

Observe that

$$-x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, \ p(x_0) \in I \Leftrightarrow x_0 \ge x_1, \ p(x_0) - p(x_1) \in I - p(x_1)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow (x_0 - x_1) \ p_1(x_0 - x_1) \in I - p(x_1) = I_1,$$

say. I_1 is an interval of length T containing 0. So $\# \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid p(x) \in I\} \leq \# \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid xp_1(x) \in I_1\} \leq$

$$\leq \# \{ z \in \mathbb{N} \, | \, z \leq T^{1/n} \} + \# \{ x \in \mathbb{N} \, | \, x > T^{1/n}, \, x p_i(x) \in I_1 \} \leq \\ \leq T^{1/n} + 1 + \# \left\{ z \in \mathbb{N} \, | \, p_1(z) \in \frac{1}{T^{1/n}} \, I_1 \right\}$$

since I_1 contains 0. By induction the last set contains $\leq (n-1) \cdot (T^{1-1/n})^{1/n-1} + n - 1 = (n-1)T^{1/n} + n - 1$ elements, whence the Lemma follows.

REMARK 3. Dr. F. Amoroso has observed that the lemma can be improved by using the properties of the transfinite diameter.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Define now $\mathscr{L}_{\mu}(Y)$ as the number of natural numbers $y_3 \leq Y$ such that there exist y_1, y_2 such that y_1, y_2, y_3 is a polynomial solution of degree μ .

Then $\mathscr{L}_{\mu}(Y)$ is bounded by the cardinality of the union of sets of

type $y_3(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \{0, 1, ..., Y\}$, where y_3 runs over the third components of triples in τ of degree = μ . But we may clearly take one triple only from each equivalence class.

By Lemma 11 each such set has $\leq \mu Y^{1/\mu} + \mu \leq 2\mu Y^{1/\mu}$ elements, and, combining this with Lemma 8 we get

$$\#\mathscr{P}_{\mu}(Y) \leq 2\mu^2 Y^{1/\nu}.$$

When $\mu = 2$ we directly see that $y_3(x)$ may be taken as $\pm (x^2 + \eta bx - 4)$ where $\eta \in \{1, -1\}$. The set $y_3(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \{0, ..., Y\}$ contains either O(1) elements or $\sqrt{Y} + O(1)$ elements, whence

(26)
$$\# \mathscr{L}_2(Y) = \sqrt{Y} + O(1)$$

(In fact $(x - b)^2 + b(x - b) - 4 = x^2 - bx - 4$.)

By the observations following Lemma 11 and by (24) we see that each solution $\langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle$ is either polynomial of degree $\leq 2^{\log Y/72}$ or we fall in case *B*) or *C*), where Lemma 10 applies. Thus, since $2^{\log Y/72} \leq \leq Y^{1/72}$, we get, putting everything together

$$\# \{ y_3 \mid 0 \le y_3 \le Y, y_3^2 - \delta_3 = (y_1^2 - 4)(y_2^2 - 4) \text{ for some } y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \} =$$

$$= \sqrt{Y} + O\left(\sum_{2 \le \mu \le Y^{1/72}} \mu^5 Y^{1/\mu}\right) + O(H(Y)) =$$

$$= O(Y^{1/3}) + O\left(Y^{1/4} \sum_{\mu \le Y^{1/72}} \mu^5\right) = \sqrt{Y} + O(Y^{1/3})$$

The equation $f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2) = f_2(x_3)$ under the assumption of the theorem reduces to (13) by a linear substitution. The condition $|x_3| \le x$ becomes $|y_3| \le x + O(1)$ and the theorem follows from (27) on setting Y = x + O(1) and allowing y_3 both positive and negative.

Note added in proof.

K. Kashihara in his paper Explicit complete solution in integers of a class of equations $(ax^2 - b)(ay^2 - b) = z^2 - c$, Manuscripta Math., 80 (1993), pp. 373-392, gives a method to find all integer solutions of the equation in the title for $a \neq 0$, c and $b = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4$. However Kashihara does not give any asymptotic formulae.

Paper [1] requires a small correction at page 62, lines 3, 5; namely in the expressions under square root on the left, a minus sign must be inserted before $\Delta_3/4$. Also, we point out the following paper related to [1]: S. D. COHEN, P. ERDÖS, M. B. NATHANSON, *Prime Polynomial sequences*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 14 (1976), pp. 559-562.

REFERENCE

[1] A. SCHINZEL - U. ZANNIER, Distribution of solutions of diophantine equations $f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2) = f_3(x_3)$, where f_i are polynomials, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, 87 (1992), pp. 39-68.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 23 novembre 1992.