## RENDICONTI del SEMINARIO MATEMATICO della UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

GÜLIN ERCAN İSMAIL Ş. GÜLOĞLU On the Fitting length of  $H_n(G)$ 

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, tome 89 (1993), p. 171-175

<a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP\_1993\_\_89\_\_171\_0">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP\_1993\_\_89\_\_171\_0</a>

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1993, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

## Numdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

## On the Fitting Length of $H_n(G)$ .

Gülin Ercan - İsmail Ş. Güloğlu (\*)

For a finite group G and  $n \in N$  the generalized Hughes subgroup  $H_n(G)$  of G is defined as  $H_n(G) = \langle x \in G | 1 \neq x^n \rangle$ . Recently, there has been some research in the direction of finding a bound for the Fitting length of  $H_n(G)$  in a solvable group G with a proper generalized Hughes subgroup in terms of n. In this paper we want to present a proof for the following

THEOREM 1. Let G be a finite solvable group,  $p_1, p_2, ..., p_m$  pairwise distinct primes and  $n = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot ... \cdot p_m$ . If  $H_n(G) \neq G$ , then the Fitting length of  $H_n(G)$  is at most m+3.

This result is an immediate consequence of

THEOREM 2. Let G be a finite solvable group, H a proper, normal subgroup of G such that the order of every element of  $G \setminus H$  divides  $n = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_m$ , where  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m$  are pairwise distinct primes. Then the Fitting length f(H) of H is at most m+3.

The proof of Theorem 2 will be given as usual by showing that a counterexample to the theorem does not exist. If G is a minimal counterexample to the theorem, then clearly |G:H|=p is a prime,  $G=H\langle\alpha\rangle$  for some element  $\alpha\in G\diagdown H$  of order p and every element of  $G\diagdown H$  has order dividing  $n=p\cdot q_1\cdot q_2\cdot\ldots\cdot q_{m-1}$  for pairwise distinct primes  $p,\ q_1,\ q_2,\ \ldots,\ q_{m-1}$ .

Therefore Theorem 2 is a corollary of the following result

THEOREM 3. Let H be a finite solvable group,  $\alpha$  an automorphism of H of prime order p and let  $G = H(\alpha)$  be the natural semidirect

(\*) Indirizzo degli AA.: Middle East Technical University, Department of Mathematics, 06531 Ankara, Turkey.

product of H with  $\langle \alpha \rangle$ . Suppose that  $\alpha$  acts on H in such a way that the order of any element of  $G \setminus H$  divides  $N = p \cdot q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot q_m$  where  $q_1, \ldots, q_m$  are (not necessarily distinct) primes different from p. If  $A \times N$ , then the Fitting length of H is at most m+4. Furthermore, if  $H = [H, \alpha]$ , then the Fitting length of H is at most m+2.

Unfortunately, we were not able to see whether the bound given in the above theorem is the best possible bound although one can construct an example to show that the best bound in the case  $H = [H, \alpha]$  must be greater than or equal to m + 1.

For the proof of the theorem, we need a technical lemma, which is essentially well known.

- LEMMA 1. Let the cyclic group Z of prime order p act on the finite solvable group  $1 \neq H$  in such a way that the orders of elements of the natural semidirect product G = HZ lying outside H are not divisible by  $p^2$ . If f = f(H) is the Fitting length of H, then there exist subgroups  $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_f$  of H and subgroups  $D_i \triangleleft C_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, f$  and an element  $x \in G \backslash H$  of order p such that the following conditions are satisfied:
- (i)  $C_i$  is a  $p_i$ -subgroup for some prime  $p_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., f and  $p_i \neq p_{i+1}$  for i = 1, 2, ..., f 1.
- (ii)  $C_i$  and  $D_i$  are  $C_{i+1}C_{i+2}\dots C_f\langle x\rangle$ -invariant for any  $i=1,2,\dots,f$ .
- (iii)  $\overline{C}_i = C_i/D_i$  is a special group on the Frattini factor group of which  $C_{i+1}C_{i+2}...C_f\langle x\rangle$  acts irreducibly for any i=1,2,...,f.  $C_{i+1}$  acts trivially on  $\Phi(\overline{C}_i)$ , i=1,2,...,f.
- (iv)  $[C_i, C_{i+1}] = C_i$  for i = 1, 2, ..., f-1. The same equation holds also for i = f, if  $[H/F_{f-1}(H), x^{(s)}] \neq 1$  for any  $s \in N$ ; otherwise  $[C_f, x] \leq D_f$  and  $C_f/D_f$  is of prime order. (The notation  $[G, x^{(s)}]$  for a group G and an element x is defined inductively as  $[G, x^{(s)}] = [[G, x^{(s-1)}], x]$  for any  $s \in N$ ).
- (v)  $C_{C_{i+1}}(\overline{C}_i/\Phi(\overline{C}_i))=C_{C_{i+1}}(\overline{C}_i)$  is contained in  $\Phi(C_{i+1} \mod D_{i+1})$ ,  $i=1,2,\ldots,f-1$ .
- (vi) For any i = 2, ..., f and any  $1 \le j < i, [C_j, C_i]$  is not contained in  $\Phi(C_i \mod D_i)$ .
- Proof. A slight modification of Lemma 2.7 in [3] gives that H has nilpotent subgroups  $H_1, \ldots, H_f$  and that there exists  $x \in G \setminus H$  of order p such that  $H_i$  is  $H_{i+1} \ldots H_f \langle x \rangle$ -invariant,  $F_i(H) = F_{i-1}(H)H_i$  and  $H_i$  is

a  $\pi_i$ -group, where  $\pi_i = \pi(F_i(H)/F_{i-1}(H))$  for any i = 1, 2, ..., f. Observe that for any prime q and any i = 1, 2, ..., f a Sylow q-subgroup of  $H_i$  is  $H_{i+1} ... H_f(x)$ -invariant.

If  $[H/\overline{F}_{f-1}(H), x^{(s)}] = 1$  for some  $s \in N$ , then there exists a subgroup  $\overline{Y}$  of  $H/F_{f-1}(H)$  of prime order which is centralized by x. Let  $p_f = |\overline{Y}|$ . If  $[H/F_{f-1}(H), x^{(s)}] \neq 1$  for all  $s \in N$ , then the same result holds for some Sylow subgroup of  $H/F_{f-1}(H)$ . Let  $p_f$  be the corresponding prime. By a Hall-Higman reduction, there exists an  $\langle x \rangle$ -invariant subgroup  $\overline{Y}$  of  $O_{p_f}(H/F_{f-1}(H))$  of minimal order on which  $\langle x \rangle$  acts nontrivially. In this case  $p_f \neq p, [\overline{Y}, x] = \overline{Y}, \overline{Y}$  is a special group,  $[\Phi(\overline{Y}), x] = 1$  and  $\langle x \rangle$  acts irreducibly on  $\overline{Y}/\Phi(\overline{Y})$ .

In both cases, there exists an  $\langle x \rangle$ -invariant subgroup  $C_f$  of  $O_{p_\ell}(H_f)$ of minimal order such that  $C_f F_{f-1}(H)/F_{f-1}(H) = \overline{Y}$ . Let  $C_f \cap$  $\cap F_{i-1}(H) = D_i$ . Suppose now, we have already chosen  $C_{i+1}, C_{i+2}, ..., C_i$ such that  $C_i$  is a  $p_i$ -subgroup of  $F_i(H)$  contained in  $H_i$  such that  $C_i$  is  $C_{i+1}C_{i+2}...C_f\langle x\rangle$ -invariant for any j=i+1,...,f.  $C_i/D_i=\overline{C_i}$  is a nontrivial special group on the Frattini factor group of which  $C_{i+1} \dots C_f(x)$ acts irreducibly for any j = i + 1, ..., f, where  $D_j = C_j \cap F_{j-1}(H)$ ,  $C_{j+1}$ acts trivially on  $\Phi(\overline{C}_j)$  and  $[C_j, C_{j+1}] = C_j$  for j = i+1, ..., f-1. on the Frattini factor group of  $C_{i+1}/D_{i+1}$ acts faithfully  $O_{p_{i-1}}(F_i(H)/F_{i-1}(H))$ . So there exists a prime  $p_i \neq p_{i+1}$  such that  $C_{i+1}$ acts nontrivially on  $O_{p_i}(F_i(H)/F_{i-1}(H))$  and hence on  $O_{p_i}(H_i/H_i \cap$  $\cap F_{i-1}(H)$ ). Let now  $C_i$  be a  $C_{i+1}C_{i+2}\dots C_f\langle x\rangle$ -invariant subgroup of  $O_{p_i}(H_i)$  of minimal order such that  $C_{i+1}$  acts nontrivially on  $C_i F_{i-1}(H)/F_{i-1}(H)$  but trivially on any  $C_{i+1} C_{i+2} \dots C_f \langle x \rangle$ -invariant subgroup of it. Then  $[C_i, C_{i+1}] = C_i$  and  $C_i/D_i$  is a special group on the Frattini factor group of which  $C_{i+1} \dots C_f \langle x \rangle$  acts irreducibly and the Frattini subgroup of which is centralized by  $C_{i+1}$  where  $D_i = C_i \cap$  $\cap \, F_{i-1}(H). \ \ \, \text{Clearly,} \ \, [D_{i+1},\, C_i] \leqslant D_i \ \, \text{and} \ \, C_{C_{i+1}}(\overline{C}_i) \ \, \text{is contained in}$  $\Phi(C_{i+1} \operatorname{mod} D_{i+1})$  as  $1 \neq C_{i+1}/\Phi(C_{i+1} \operatorname{mod} D_{i+1})$  is irreducible. So, recursively  $C_i$ 's can be constructed such that (i)-(v) are satisfied.

If  $[C_j, C_i] \leq \Phi(C_j \mod D_j)$  for some i, j with  $2 \leq i \leq f$  and  $1 \leq j \leq i$ , then three subgroup lemma yields that  $[C_{j+1}, C_i, C_j] \leq \Phi(C_j \mod D_j)$ , i.e.  $[C_i, C_{j+1}] \leq \Phi(C_{j+1} \mod D_{j+1})$ . Repeating this argument, one gets  $[C_i, C_k] \leq \Phi(C_k \mod D_k)$  for any  $j \leq k < i$  and hence  $C_{i-1} = [C_i, C_{i-1}] \leq i \leq \Phi(C_{i-1} \mod D_{i-1})$  which is not the case. This completes the proof.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. Let f = f(H). By lemma, there exist subgroups  $C_1, \ldots, C_f$  of H and subgroups  $D_i \lhd C_i$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, f$  and an element  $x \in G \setminus H$  of order p satisfying (i)-(vi). Put  $K = C_1 \ldots C_f$ . Now  $K\langle x \rangle$  satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem. Note that if  $[H, \alpha] = H$ , then we have  $[C_f, x] = C_f$  and so we may assume that [K, x] = K.

Suppose that there exist k and l in  $\{1, ..., f\}$  with k < l so that  $C_k$ and  $C_l$  are both p-groups. Put  $L = C_k C_{k+1} C_l$ . Obviously, f(L) = 3. By lemma, there exist  $\langle x \rangle$ -invariant subgroups  $E_1, E_2, E_3$  of L and subgroups  $F_i \triangleleft E_i$  for i = 1, 2, 3 satisfying (i)-(vi), where  $E_1$  and  $E_3$  are pgroups.  $1 \neq C_{E_1/\Phi(E_1)}(F_3)$  is  $E_2E_3\langle x \rangle$ -invariant and hence  $[E_1, F_3] \leq$  $\leq \Phi(E_1)$  where also we have  $C_{E_3}(E_1/\Phi(E_1)) \leq F_3$ . Thus  $F_3 = 0$  $=C_{E_3}(E_1/\Phi(E_1))$ . Put  $\overline{E}=E_1E_2E_3/\Phi(E_1)F_2F_3$ . Observe that  $f(\overline{E})=3$ and  $[\overline{E}_3, x] = 1$ . If  $[\overline{E}_2, x] = 1$ , then  $[\overline{E}_1, x] = 1$  whence  $[\overline{E}, x] = 1$ . Then a Sylow p-subgroup of  $\overline{E}$  has exponent p and ([5], IX. 4.3) gives that plength of  $\overline{E}$  is one which is not the case. Thus  $[\overline{E}_2, x] = \overline{E}_2$ . As in the proof of Proposition 1, the exceptional action of x on the elementary abelian p-group  $\overline{E}_1$  gives that  $\overline{E}_2$  is a nonabelian 2-group. Using ([2], 5.3.16), we get an element  $\overline{y} \in \overline{E}_3 \langle x \rangle \setminus \overline{E}_3$  such that  $\overline{y}$  centralizes a nontrivial element in the Frattini factor group of  $\overline{E}_2$  on which  $\overline{E}_3\langle x\rangle$  acts irreducibly. Thus  $\overline{y}$  must centralize  $\overline{E}_2$ . It follows that  $\overline{E}_2$  is of exponent 2 and hence abelian. This contradiction shows that there is at most one pgroup among the  $C_i$ 's say  $C_k$ . Thus  $U = \prod C_i$  is a p'-group and  $f-2 \le f(U)$ . By ([5], IX.4.3) q-length of  $C_U(x)$  is at most the multiplicity of q in N for any prime q. Thus  $f(C_U(x)) \leq m$  and hence  $f(U) \le m+2$  by ([8], 3.2). It follows that  $f \le m+4$ .

Furthermore, assume that [K,x]=K. Take  $C_j$  for j>k. Let V be an irreducible composition factor of  $GF(p)[C_j,x]\langle x\rangle$ -submodule of the Frattini factor group of  $C_k/D_k$  on which  $[C_j,x]$  acts nontrivially and let  $C=\ker([C_j,x]\langle x\rangle)$  on V). If  $x\in C$ , then  $[C_j,x]\leqslant C\cap [C_j,x]<[C_j,x]$ . So  $C<[C_j,x]$ . Now applying ([7], 2.8) to  $[C_j,x]/C$  on V, we get  $[C_j,x]/C$  is a nonabelian special group by ([4], III.13.6) as x acts exceptionally on V. The irreducibility of V and ([5], IX.3.2) yields that  $C_j$  is a 2-group. Thus f=k+1. If there exists s< k such that  $C_s$  is a 2-group, put  $M=[C_s,C_k]C_kC_{k+1}$ . We have [M,x]=M and M is a  $\{2,p\}$ -group. It follows that  $f(M)\leqslant 2$  by [1] which is not the case. Thus  $Y=\prod_{i=1}^{k-1}C_i$  is a  $\{2,p\}'$ -group and so  $\exp(C_Y(x))$  divides a product of m-1 primes. ([6], Satz 3) implies that  $f([Y,x])\leqslant m$ . If  $[Y,x]\leqslant F_{k-2}(Y)$ , then  $[C_{k-1},x]\leqslant F_{k-2}(Y)\cap C_{k-1}=D_{k-1}$  which is not the case. Consequently,  $f(K)=f(Y)+2=f([Y,x])+2\leqslant m+2$ .

## REFERENCES

<sup>[1]</sup> G. Ercan - İ. Ş. Güloğlu, On the Fitting length of generalized Hughes subgroup, Arch. Math., 55 (1990), pp. 5-9.

<sup>[2]</sup> D. Gorenstein, Finite Groups, New York (1969).

- [3] F. Gross, Of finite groups of exponent  $p^m q^n$ , J. Algebra, 7 (1967), pp. 238-253.
- [4] B. HUPPERT, Endliche Gruppen I, Berlin (1967).
- [5] B. HUPPERT N. BLACKBURN, Finite Groups II, Berlin New York (1982).
- [6] H. Kurzweil, p-Automorphismen von aufläsbaren p'- Gruppen, Math. Z., 120 (1971), pp. 254-326.
- [7] T. MEIXNER, The Fitting length of solvable  $H_{p^n}$ -groups, Israel J. Math., 51 (1985), pp. 68-78.
- [8] A. TURULL, Fitting height of groups and of fixed points, J. Algebra, 86 (1984), pp. 555-566.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 13 marzo 1992.