

RENDICONTI
del
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO
della
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

Z. Y. DUAN

Extensions of abelian by hyper-(cyclic or finite) groups (II)

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 89 (1993), p. 113-126

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1993__89__113_0

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1993, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

Extensions of Abelian by Hyper-(Cyclic or Finite) Groups (II).

Z. Y. DUAN(*)

ABSTRACT - If G is a hypercyclic (or hyperfinite and locally soluble) group and A a noetherian $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module with no nonzero cyclic (or finite) $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factors then Zaïcev proved that any extension E of A by G splits conjugately over A . For G being a hyper-(cyclic or finite) locally soluble group, if A is a periodic artinian $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module with no nonzero finite $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factors, then we have shown that any extension E of A by G splits conjugately over A , too. Here we consider the noetherian case and prove the splitting theorem which generalizes that of Zaïcev for G being a hyperfinite and locally soluble group.

In [1], we have proved: if G is a hyper-(cyclic or finite) locally soluble group and if A is a periodic artinian $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module with no nonzero finite $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factors, then any extension E of A by G splits conjugately over A . Now we continue the work and are going to prove the same result for A being noetherian.

The following lemma generalizes the corresponding one in Zaïcev's paper [6] and is very important in our later proof.

LEMMA 1. Let H be a normal hyper-(cyclically or finitely) embedded subgroup of a group G , and let A be a nonzero noetherian $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module. If $C_A(H) = 0$, then there is a subgroup K of H and a nonzero $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule B of A such that K is normal in G , $C_B(K) = 0$, and K induces in B a cyclic or finite group of automorphisms.

PROOF. Suppose the lemma is false. Using the noetherian condition we may assume that the lemma is true in all proper $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module A . We may also assume that G acts faithfully on A .

(*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Department of Mathematics, Southwest Teachers University, Beibei, ChongQing, 630715, P. R. China.

There is a cyclic or finite subgroup $F \leq H$ with F being normal in G . If $C_A(F) = 0$ then the lemma is true taking F, A for K, B .

Consider the second possibility $C_A(F) \neq 0$. We let A_1 be the $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule $C_A(F)$ and let $H_1 = C_H(F)$. Then H_1 is normal in G and $|H/H_1| < \infty$.

(1) Suppose that the centralizer $A_2/A_1 = C_{A/A_1}(H)$ is nonzero, i.e., $A_2 \neq A_1$. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}H_1$ -isomorphism $A_2/C_{A_2}(f) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}H_1} A_2(f-1)$, where $f \in F$. Since $A_1 \leq C_{A_2}(f)$ and A_2/A_1 is H_1 -trivial, we have that $A_2(f-1)$ is H_1 -trivial for any $f \in F$. It follows that

$$[A_2, F] = \sum_{f \in F} A_2(f-1)$$

is H_1 -trivial and so H induces a finite group of automorphisms on $[A_2, F]$. Since $A_2 \neq A_1$ the $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule $[A_2, F] \neq 0$ and $C_{[A_2, F]}(H) = 0$ since $C_A(H) = 0$. Therefore the lemma is true with $K = H, B = [A_2, F]$.

(2) Suppose now that $A_2 = A_1$, i.e., $C_{A/A_1}(H_1) = 0$. Then the $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module A/A_1 and the normal subgroup H_1 satisfy the hypotheses of the lemma and so there is a subgroup K_1 of H_1 and nonzero $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule B_1/A_1 of A/A_1 such that K_1 is normal in G , $C_{B_1/A_1}(K_1) = 0$, and K_1 induces in B_1/A_1 a cyclic or finite group of automorphisms.

Put $G_1 = C_G(F)$; clearly $H_1 = H \cap G_1$, $|G/G_1| < \infty$.

(a) We consider firstly the case that $K_1/C_{K_1}(B_1/A_1)$ is cyclic. Let $B_2 = [B_1, F]$ and let $K_0 = C_{K_1}(B_1/A_1)$. Since $A_1 = C_A(F)$, so

$$[K_0, B_1, F] = [[K_0, B_1], F] \leq [A_1, F] = 0;$$

also by $K_0 \leq K_1 \leq H_1 = C_H(F)$, we have

$$[F, K_0, B_1] = [[F, K_0], B_1] = [1, B_1] = 0.$$

Thus by the three subgroup lemma,

$$[B_2, K_0] = [[B_1, F], K_0] = [B_1, F, K_0] = 0.$$

Therefore $B_2 \leq C_A(K_0)$ and we then can view the noetherian $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module B_2 as a noetherian $\mathbb{Z}(G/K_0)$ -module. Applying Lemma 3 in [5] to the cyclic normal subgroup K_1/K_0 of G/K_0 , there is an integer m such that

$$B_2(k-1)^m \cap C_{B_2}(k) = 0,$$

where k is an element such that $K_1 = K_0 \langle k \rangle$.

If $B_2(k - 1)^m = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = B_2(k - 1)^m &= \left(\sum_{f \in F} B_1(f - 1) \right) (k - 1)^m = \sum_{f \in F} B_1((f - 1)(k - 1)^m) = \\ &= \sum_{f \in F} B_1((k - 1)^m(f - 1)) = \sum_{f \in F} (B_1(k - 1)^m)(f - 1). \end{aligned}$$

That is, $B_1(k - 1)^m \leq C_A(F) = A_1$. But this is contrary to

$$C_{B_1/A_1}(k) = C_{B_1/A_1}(K) = 0.$$

So we have $B_2(k - 1)^m$ and then the lemma is true by taking $B = B_2(k - 1)^m$ and $K = K_1$.

(b) Secondly, we consider the case that $K_1/C_{K_1}(B_1/A_1)$ is finite.

Choose in F a least set of elements $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ satisfying

$$A_1 = C_{B_1}(F) = C_{B_1}(x_1) \cap \dots \cap C_{B_1}(x_n)$$

and put $B_2 = C_{B_1}(x_1) \cap \dots \cap C_{B_1}(x_{n-1})$ if $n > 1$ and $B_2 = B_1$ if $n = 1$. Then

$$(1) \quad B_2 \neq A_1$$

and $C_{B_2}(x_n) = C_{B_1}(x_1) \cap \dots \cap C_{B_1}(x_n) = A_1$. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -isomorphism

$$(2) \quad B_2/A_1 = B_2/C_{B_2}(x_n) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}G_1} B_2(x_n - 1).$$

Since $K_1 \leq G_1$, $B_2 \leq B_1$, and K_1 induces a finite group of automorphisms on B_1/A_1 , so K_1 induces a finite group of automorphism on B_2/A_1 and hence on $B_2(x_n - 1)$. Since $C_{B_1/A_1}(K_1) = 0$ we also have $C_{B_2(x_n - 1)}(K_1) = 0$.

Let $D = B_2(x_n - 1)$. Then D is a $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -submodule of B_1 , $C_D(K_1) = 0$, and $|K_1/C_{K_1}(D)| < \infty$. Let \bar{D} be the $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module generated by D , then $\bar{D} = \sum_{g \in T} Dg$ is a finite sum of $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -submodules Dg , where T is a transversal to G_1 in G .

Note that since K_1 is normal in G , $C_{Dg}(K_1) = C_D(K_1)g = 0$, and $C_{K_1}(Dg) = g^{-1}C_{K_1}(D)g$. It follows that $|K_1/\bigcap_{g \in T} C_{K_1}(Dg)| < \infty$ and so K_1 induces a finite group of automorphisms in \bar{D} .

Now consider two cases.

(A) D contains an element of finite order.

Then D contains a maximal elementary abelian p -subgroup $D_1 (\neq 0)$

and we let $\bar{D}_1 = \sum_{g \in T} D_1 g$. Let S be the K_1 -socle of the $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -submodule D_1 , i.e., the sum of all irreducible $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -submodules (these irreducible $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -submodules are all finite since K_1 induces a finite group of automorphisms in D). Since D_1 is a $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -submodule and K_1 is normal in G so S is a $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -submodule and $\bar{S} = \sum_{g \in T} Sg$ is a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule. Now Sg is a sum of irreducible $\mathbb{Z}K_1$ -submodules and so \bar{S} is a sum of irreducible $\mathbb{Z}K_1$ -submodules each being contained in some Sg . Since $C_{Dg}(K_1) = 0$ it follows that $C_{\bar{S}}(K_1) = 0$. Thus we can take K_1 and \bar{S} satisfying the conclusion of the lemma.

(B) *The group D is torsion-free.*

Let $T(\bar{D})$ be the torsion part of \bar{D} . Since \bar{D} is a noetherian $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module, $T(\bar{D})$ has a finite exponent. Therefore $n\bar{D} \cap T(\bar{D}) = 0$ for some n and $n\bar{D}$ is torsion-free.

We put $m = |K_1/C_{K_1}(\bar{D})|$, $C = C_{\bar{D}}(K_1)$ and show that

$$(3) \quad [mn\bar{D}, K_1] \cap C = 0.$$

In fact, if $a \in [mn\bar{D}, K_1] \cap C$, then $a \in [mn\bar{D}, K_1] \cap C$, for some finitely generated K_1 -admissible subgroup \bar{D} of \bar{D} . Since $n\bar{D} \cap C = C_{n\bar{D}}(K_1)$, $\bar{D} \leq \bar{D}$, and $n\bar{D}$ is torsion-free, so $n\bar{D}/(n\bar{D} \cap C)$ is torsion-free and then $n\bar{D} = (n\bar{D} \cap C) \oplus V$, where V is a free abelian subgroup. Applying Theorem 4.1 in [2], there is in $n\bar{D}$ a K_1 -admissible subgroup W such that $(n\bar{D} \cap C) \cap W = 0$ and the factor group $n\bar{D}/[(n\bar{D} \cap C) \oplus W]$ has a finite exponent, dividing m . Thus $mn\bar{D} \leq (n\bar{D} \cap C) \oplus W$. It follows that $[mn\bar{D}, K_1] \leq W$ and so $[mn\bar{D}, K_1] \cap C = 0$. Hence $a = 0$ and (3) is proved.

Note now that $[mn\bar{D}, K_1] \neq 0$. In fact, if $[mn\bar{D}, K_1] = 0$, then $mn\bar{D} \leq C_{\bar{D}}(K_1) = C$. Therefore $mnD \leq C$ and since D is torsion-free, $D \leq C$. This shows that D is a K_1 -trivial $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -module and since $D = B_2(x_n - 1)$ and is G_1 -isomorphic to B_2/A_1 by (2) we have B_1/A_1 is also K_1 -trivial. But $C_{B_1/A_1}(K_1) = 0$ and so $B_2 = A_1$ contrary to (1). Thus $[mn\bar{D}, K_1] \neq 0$. Since $[mn\bar{D}, K_1]$ is a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule and K_1 induces in it (as in \bar{D}) a finite group of automorphisms then it follows from (3) that the conditions of the lemma are satisfied by K_1 and $[mn\bar{D}, K_1]$. The lemma is proved.

As in the hyperfinite case, we need:

LEMMA 2. Let G be a hyper-(cyclic or finite) group, A a noetherian $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module, and B a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule of A such that B is of finite index in A and B has no nonzero finite $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factors, then

B has a complement in A , i.e., $A = B \oplus C$ for some finite $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule C of A .

PROOF. Suppose that B does not have a complement in A . By considering an appropriate factor-module of A we may assume that for every $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule D of B with $D \neq 0$, B/D has a complement in A/D .

Put $H = C_G(A/B)$, then, since G/H is finite and the irreducible $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factors of B are all infinite, we have $C_B H = 0$ so we can apply Lemma 1 to the subgroup H and the $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module B . So there is a subgroup K of H and a nonzero $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule D of B such that K is normal in G , $C_D(K) = 0$ and K induces on D a cyclic or finite group of automorphisms, i.e., $K/C_K(D)$ is cyclic or finite.

We write A as a sum $A = B + A_1$ with $B \cap A_1 = D$ and we will consider the $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule A_1 as a faithful $\mathbb{Z}G_0$ -module, where $G_0 = G/C_G(A_1)$. It is clear that D is a $\mathbb{Z}G_0$ -submodule of A_1 such that D is of finite index in A_1 and D has no nonzero finite $\mathbb{Z}G_0$ -factors. Also D has no complements in A_1 for otherwise if $A_1 = D \oplus C_1$ for some $\mathbb{Z}G_0$ -submodule C_1 of A_1 then C_1 can be viewed as a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule of A by $G_0 = G/C_G(A_1)$ and then $A = B + A_1 = B \oplus C_1$, a contradiction.

Since $C_D(K) = 0$ and $D \leq A_1$, so K is not contained in $C_G(A_1)$. Let $K_0 = (KC_G(A_1))/C_G(A_1)$, then $K_0 \neq 1$. Also, it is clear that $C_D(K_0) = 0$ and K_0 induces on the $\mathbb{Z}G_0$ -submodule D of A_1 a cyclic or finite group of automorphisms. We prove that $C_{K_0}(D) = 1$. For suppose $C_{K_0}(D) \neq 1$ and let F_0 be a nontrivial cyclic or finite normal subgroup of G_0 contained in $C_{K_0}(D)$. If $x \in F_0$, then $D \leq C_{A_1}(x)$. Since $|A_1/D| = |A/B| < \infty$ and, as groups, $A_1/C_{A_1}(x) \cong A_1(x-1)$, we see that $A_1(x-1)$ is finite. Thus the $\mathbb{Z}G_0$ -submodule $[A_1, F_0]$ is finite. Also

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 \leq C_{K_0}(D) \leq K_0 &= (KC_G(A_1))/C_G(A_1) \leq (HC_G(A_1))/C_G(A_1) = \\ &= (C_G(A/B)C_G(A_1))/C_G(A_1), \end{aligned}$$

thus $[A_1, F_0] \leq B$, and then $[A_1, F_0] \leq D$. By D having no nonzero finite $\mathbb{Z}G_0$ -factors, we have $[A_1, F_0] = 0$ contrary to G_0 acting faithfully on A_1 . So $C_{K_0}(D) = 1$ and hence K_0 is cyclic or finite.

Now put

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= C_{G_0}(K_0), & K_0 &= \langle x_1 = 1, x_2, \dots, x_m \rangle, & C_n &= C_{A_1}(\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle), \\ & & & & n &= 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

We prove that $A_1 = D + C_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

It is clear that $A_1 = D + C_1$. Suppose $A_1 = D + C_n$ we prove $A_1 =$

$= D + C_{n+1}$. Consider the isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -modules

$$C_n/C_{n+1} = C_n/C_{C_n}(x_{n+1}) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}G_1} C_n(x_{n+1} - 1),$$

where $C_n(x_{n+1} - 1)$ may not be contained in C_n if K_0 is nonabelian. Since

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} \in K_0 &= (KC_G(A_1))/C_G(A_1) \leq (HC_G(A_1))/C_G(A_1) \leq \\ &\leq (C_G(A/B)C_G(A_1))/C_G(A_1), \end{aligned}$$

the $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -module $C_n(x_{n+1} - 1)$ of A_1 is contained in B and then in D . Since $|G_0/G_1| < \infty$ it follows from Proposition 2 in [4] that the irreducible $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -factors of D are all infinite, hence so are the factors of C_n/C_{n+1} . But

$$C_n/(C_{n+1} + (D \cap C_n)) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}G_1} (C_n + D)/(C_{n+1} + D),$$

a factor module of the finite module A_1/D . Hence $C_n + D = C_{n+1} + D$ and so $A_1 = C_{n+1} + D$. Thus $A_1 = C_n + D$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots, m$. In particular, put $n = m$, $C_m = C_{A_1}(K_0)$ and $A_1 = D + C_{A_1}(K_0)$. Since $C_{A_1}(K_0)$ is clearly a $\mathbb{Z}G_0$ -submodule of A_1 and since $D \cap C_{A_1}(K) = C_D(K) = 0$ we have $A_1 = D \oplus C_{A_1}(K)$, contrary to D having no complements in A_1 . The proof is completed.

From the proof of Lemma 2, we have:

LEMMA 3. Let G be a hyper-(cyclic or finite) group, A a noetherian $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module, and B a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule of A such that, as group, A/B is a finite \mathfrak{p} -group for some prime \mathfrak{p} and the $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule B contains no nonzero $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factors being finite \mathfrak{p} -groups. Then B has a complement in A , i.e., $A = B \oplus C$ for some $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule C of A .

Dual to Lemma 2, we have:

LEMMA 4. Let G be a hyper-(cyclic or finite) group, A a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module, and B a finite $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule of A such that all irreducible $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factors of A/B are infinite. Then B has a complement on A , i.e., $A = B \oplus C$ for some $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule C of A .

PROOF. By Zorn's Lemma, A has a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule D maximal with respect to $B \cap D = 0$. We show that $A = B \oplus D$. Suppose not, then by replacing A by A/D we may assume that for any nonzero $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule C of A , $B \cap C \neq 0$. We also assume that G acts faithfully on A .

Put $H = C_G(B)$, $|G/H| < \infty$ so there is a normal subgroup K of G contained in H such that K is either cyclic or finite. Put $H_1 = C_H(K)$.

Since H_1 is normal in G and $|G/H_1| < \infty$ it follows from Proposition 2 in [4] that the irreducible $\mathbb{Z}H_1$ -factors of A/B are infinite. If $x \in K$, then $B \leq C_A(x)$ and so the irreducible $\mathbb{Z}H_1$ -factors of $A/C_A(x)$ and hence $A(x-1)$ are infinite.

We prove that $[A, K] \cap B = 0$. If not, then there is a minimal set of elements x_1, \dots, x_n such that $B_1 = B \cap \sum_{i=1}^n A(x_i - 1) \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &\cong_{\mathbb{Z}H_1} \left(b_1 \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A(x_i - 1) \right) \left/ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A(x_i - 1) \right) \right. = \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n A(x_i - 1) \right) \left/ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A(x_i - 1) \right) \right. \cong_{\mathbb{Z}H_1} \\ &\cong_{\mathbb{Z}H_1} A(x_n - 1) \left/ \left(A(x_n - 1) \cap \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A(x_i - 1) \right) \right. . \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $A(x_n - 1)$ has a nonzero finite $\mathbb{Z}H_1$ -factor contrary to the fact that the irreducible $\mathbb{Z}H_1$ -factors of $A(x-1)$ are all infinite. Thus $[A, K] \cap B = 0$ and hence $[A, K] = 0$, contrary to G acting faithfully on A . So the result is true.

An immediate consequence of Lemma 4 is:

COROLLARY 5. Let G be a hyper-(cyclic or finite) group, and A a noetherian $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module. Then A has a nonzero finite $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factor if and only if A has a nonzero finite $\mathbb{Z}G$ -image.

PROOF. We only need to suppose that A has a finite $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factor B/C , then using the noetherian condition we may assume that every irreducible $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factor of A/B is infinite. Then applying Lemma 4 to A/C with the finite $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule B/C we obtain a finite $\mathbb{Z}G$ -image of A .

As before, we have:

LEMMA 6. Let G be a hyper-(cyclic or finite) group, A a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module and B a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule of A . If as a group B is a finite \mathfrak{p} -group for some prime \mathfrak{p} , and if the factor module A/B contains no nonzero finite $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factors being \mathfrak{p} -groups, then B has a complement in A , i.e., $A = B \oplus C$ for some $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule C of A .

COROLLARY 7. Let G be a hyper-(cyclic or finite) group, and A a noetherian $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module. Then A has a nonzero $\mathbb{Z}G$ -image being

a finite \mathfrak{p} -group for some prime \mathfrak{p} if and only if A has such a nonzero $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factor.

Before we prove the main splitting theorem, we need to prove the following three results.

LEMMA 8. Let G be a hyper-(cyclic or finite) group, B a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module, and A a noetherian $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule of B such that all irreducible $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factors of A are infinite. If B/A is torsion-free and G -trivial, then $B = A \oplus B_1$ for some $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule B_1 of B .

PROOF. Suppose that A has no complements in B . Since A is noetherian, we may assume that for each nonzero $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule C of A , A/C has a complement in B/C .

In B , we choose a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule M maximal with respect to $A \cap M = 0$. We show that if S is any $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule such that $B = A + S$ then $M \leq S$.

Since $B/A \geq (A \oplus M)/A \cong_{\mathbb{Z}G} M$, we have M is a G -trivial $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module and hence all of its irreducible $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factors are finite. Also

$$A/(A \cap S) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}G} (A + S)/S = B/S \geq (M + S)/S \cong_{\mathbb{Z}G} M/(M \cap S).$$

Since A is noetherian and having no nonzero finite $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factors, we must have $M = M \cap S$, i.e., $M \leq S$.

Consider the factor-module B/M . Every nonzero $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule of B/M has nonzero intersection with $(A \oplus M)/M$. In particular, $(A \oplus M)/M$ has no complements in B/M . If V/M is a nonzero $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule of $(A \oplus M)/M$ then $V = C \oplus M$, where $C = A \cap V$ is nonzero and so $B/C = A/C \oplus S_1/C$ for some $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule S_1 of B . As above, $M \leq S_1$ and so $(A \oplus M) \cap S_1 = (A \cap S_1) \oplus M = C \oplus M = V$. Thus S_1/V is a complement to $(A \oplus M)/V$ in B/V .

By passing to the factor-module B/M we may assume that $M = 1$ so that: (a) A has no complements in B but for any nonzero $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule C of A , A/C has a complement in B/C ; (b) if N is a nonzero $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule of B then $A \cap N \neq 0$.

We may assume that A is torsion-free. For otherwise, we may let $A[\mathfrak{p}]$ be the nonzero $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule generated by all the elements of order \mathfrak{p} , where \mathfrak{p} is a prime. By (a), $B/A[\mathfrak{p}] = A/A[\mathfrak{p}] \oplus B_1/A[\mathfrak{p}]$. Since $B_1/A[\mathfrak{p}] (\cong_{\mathbb{Z}G} B/A)$ is torsion-free, $\mathfrak{p}B_1 \neq 0$, then, by (b), $0 \neq A \cap \mathfrak{p}B_1 \leq A[\mathfrak{p}] \cap B_1$. That is, B_1 has elements of order \mathfrak{p}^2 , contrary to $B_1/A[\mathfrak{p}]$ being torsion-free. So A is torsion-free and then B is torsion-free. Since A has no nonzero finite $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factors, we have $C_A(G) = 0$. By Lemma 1, G has a normal subgroup K and A has a nonzero $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule A_1 such that $C_{A_1}(K) = 0$ and $K/C_K(A_1)$ is cyclic or finite. By (a),

$B/A_1 = A/A_1 \oplus B_1/A_1$. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module B_1 and we prove that $B_1 = A_1 \oplus B_2$ for some $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule B_2 (and hence we get $B = A \oplus B_2$ as required).

Suppose $B_1 \neq A_1 \oplus B_2$ for any $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule B_2 and suppose that G acts faithfully on B_1 , i.e., $C_G(B_1) = 1$. It is clear that we still have that K is normal in G , $C_{A_1}(K) = 0$, and $K/C_K(A_1)$ is cyclic or finite. If $C_K(A_1) \neq 1$, then, since $C_K(A_1) = K \cap C_G(A_1)$ is a normal subgroup of G , $C_K(A_1)$ contains a nontrivial cyclic or finite subgroup F being normal in G . Let $F = \langle f_i, \dots, f_n \rangle$ and let $G_1 = C_G(F)$, then $|G/G_1| < \infty$. By Proposition 2 in [4], the irreducible $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -factors of A_1 are infinite. Since B_1/A_1 is G -trivial, it is also G_1 -trivial. By $B_1/C_{B_1}(f_i) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}G_1} B_1(f_i - 1) \leq A_1$ and $A_1 \leq C_{B_1}(f_i)$, we must have $B_1(f_i - 1) = 0$, for all i . That is, $1 \neq F \leq C_G(B_1)$, contrary to G acting faithfully on B_1 . So $C_K(A_1) = 1$ and so K is a nontrivial cyclic or finite normal subgroup of G . Let $K = \langle k_1, \dots, k_t \rangle$. Being similar with the above, we have $B_1/C_{B_1}(k_i) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}G_2} B_1(k_i - 1) \leq A_1$ for all i , where $G_2 = C_G(K)$. Thus $B_1/(A_1 + C_{B_1}(k_i))$ must be zero for all i . That is, $B_1 = A_1 + C_{B_1}(k_i)$ for any i . Let $C_m = C_{B_1}(\langle k_1, \dots, k_m \rangle)$, $m = 1, \dots, t$. Then we have $B_1 = A_1 + C_1$. Suppose that $B_1 = A_1 + C_m$; we prove that $B_1 = A_1 + C_{m+1}$.

Consider the $\mathbb{Z}G_2$ -modules

$$C_m/C_{m+1} = C_m/C_{C_m}(k_{m+1}) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}G_2} C_m(k_{m+1} - 1).$$

Since B_1/A_1 is G -trivial, $C_m(k_{m+1} - 1) \leq A_1$ and so $C_m(k_{m+1} - 1)$ has no nonzero finite $\mathbb{Z}G_2$ -factors; hence the irreducible $\mathbb{Z}G_2$ -factors of C_m/C_{m+1} are all infinite. But

$$C_m/(C_{m+1} + (A_1 \cap C_m)) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}G_2} (C_m + A_1)/(C_{m+1} + A_1),$$

a factor module of the G_2 -trivial $\mathbb{Z}G_2$ -module B_1/A_1 . Hence $A_1 + C_m = A_1 + C_{m+1}$. That is, $B_1 = A_1 + C_{m+1}$. Therefore $B_1 = A_1 + C_m$ for all m . Put $m = n$, then $C_n = C_{B_1}(K)$ and $B_1 = A_1 + C_{B_1}(K)$, which implies that $C_{B_1}(K) \neq 0$. Hence, by (b) and $B/A_1 = A/A_1 \oplus B_1/A_1$, we have $C_{A_1}(K) = A_1 \cap C_{B_1}(K) = A \cap C_{B_1}(K) = 0$, a contradiction. So $B_1 = A_1 \oplus B_2$ for some $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule B_2 and hence the lemma is proved.

COROLLARY 9. Let G be a hyper-(cyclic or finite) group, B a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module, and A a noetherian $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule of B such that all irreducible $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factors of A are infinite. If B/A is an infinite cyclic group, the $B = A \oplus B_1$ for some $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule B_1 of B .

PROOF. Let $G_1 = C_G(B/A)$, then $|G/G_1| \leq 2$ and B/A is torsion-free and G_1 -trivial. By Lemma 8, $B = A \oplus B_1$ for some G_1 -trivial $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -

submodule B_1 of B . For $g \in G$, if $B_1g \neq B_1$, then B_1g is G_1 -trivial and

$$0 \neq B_1g/(B_1 \cap B_1g) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}G_1} (B_1 + B_1g)/B_1 \leq B/B_1 \cong_{\mathbb{Z}G_1} A.$$

That is, A has a nonzero G_1 -trivial $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -factor and then a nonzero finite irreducible $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -factor, which will imply that A has a nonzero finite irreducible $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factor, a contradiction. So $B_1g = B_1$ for all $g \in G$. That is, B_1 is a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule of B . The result is proved.

LEMMA 10. Let E be an extension of the abelian group A by a hyper-(cyclic or finite) group G such that A is a noetherian $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module and all irreducible $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factors of A are infinite. Then if C/A is a normal subgroup of E/A and $C \leq C_E(A)$, then $C = A \times N$, where N is a normal subgroup of E and is contained in every supplement to A in E .

PROOF. Let N be a normal subgroup of E contained in C and maximal subject to $N \cap A = 1$. By considering the factor group E/N we may suppose that $N = 1$. Then E satisfies the following condition: if S is normal in E , $S \leq C$, and $S \neq 1$, then $S \cap A \neq 1$. We show that this implies that $A = C$.

Suppose that $A \neq C$. Since E/A is hyper-(cyclic or finite), there is a nontrivial finite subgroup $K/A \leq C/A$ such that K is normal in E or an infinite cyclic subgroup $L/A \leq C/A$ such that L is normal in E .

For K , by the hypothesis of the lemma, $K \leq C_E(A)$ and so K is a finite extension of its central subgroup A . Hence K' is finite (Theorem 10.1.4 in [3]). It follows that $A \cap K'$ is finite and so $A \cap K' = 1$ by A having no nonzero finite $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factors. By the condition above, we have $K' = 1$ and so K is abelian. Apply Lemma 2 to the $\mathbb{Z}(E/K)$ -module K and its submodule A , then $A = A \times K_1$ for some normal subgroup K_1 of E , contrary to the condition above.

For L , by the hypothesis of the lemma, $L \leq C_E(A)$ and so L is a cyclic extension of its central subgroup A . Thus L is abelian. By Corollary 9, $L = A \times L_1$ for some normal subgroup L_1 of E , contrary to the condition above.

Thus we have proved that $C = A \times N$, where N is normal in E .

Now let E_1 be a supplement to A in E so that $E = AE_1$, $C = A(C \cap E_1)$ and $C \cap E_1$ is normal in AE_1 . We have

$$N(C \cap E_1)/(C \cap E_1) \leq C/(C \cap E_1) = A(C \cap E_1)/(C \cap E_1).$$

Since N is hyper-(cyclically or finitely) embedded in E and the irreducible $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factors of A are all finite, we must have $N(C \cap E_1)/(C \cap E_1) = 1$, i.e., $N(C \cap E_1) = C = E_1$. Hence $N \leq E_1$ as required.

Now we prove the main result of this paper.

THEOREM. Let G be a hyper-(cyclic or finite) locally soluble group and A a noetherian $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module. If A has no nonzero finite $\mathbb{Z}G$ -images, then the extension E of A by G splits conjugately over A and A has no nonzero finite $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factors.

PROOF. By Corollary 5, A has no nonzero finite $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factors.

Suppose the theorem is false, then using the fact that A is a noetherian $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module we may assume that: A has conjugate complements in E modulo any nontrivial E -invariant subgroup of A .

Since A has no nonzero finite $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factors, $C_A(E) = 1$. By Lemma 1, E/A has a normal subgroup K/A and A has a nontrivial E -invariant subgroup A_0 such that $C_{A_0}(K) = 1$ and $K/C_K(A_0)$ is cyclic or finite.

(1) If $K/C_K(A_0)$ is finite, then we may choose K and A_0 such that $K/C_K(A_0)$ is minimal and so $K/C_K(A_0)$ is a chief factor of E . (For if L is normal in E and $C_K(A_0) < L < K$ then if $C_{A_0}(L) = 1$ we have L, A_0 contrary to minimality of $|K/C_K(A_0)|$ and if $C_{A_0}(L) \neq 1$ then $K, C_{A_0}(L)$ is contrary to minimality of $|K/C_K(A_0)|$.) Hence $K/C_K(A_0)$ has order p^k for some prime p and integer $k \geq 1$. From $C_{A_0}(K) = 1$ it follows that $A_0[p] = 1$ and so $A_0^{p^k} \neq 1$.

By the assumption on A , we have E splits conjugately over A modulo $A_0^{p^k} \neq 1$.

Let E_1 be a complement to A in E modulo $A_0^{p^k} \neq 1$: $E = AE_1$, $A \cap \langle E_1 \rangle = A_0^{p^k} \neq 1$; put $E_0 = A_0 E_1$, $K_0 = K \cap E_0$, and $C_0 = C_{K_0}(A_0)$. By Lemma 10, $C_0 = A_0 \times N$, where N is normal in E_0 and is contained in $\langle E_1 \rangle$. Consider the factor group $\bar{E}_0 = E_0/N$ and the subgroups \bar{K}_0, \bar{A}_0 . Since

$$\bar{K}_0/\bar{A}_0 = \bar{K}_0/\bar{C}_0 \cong K_0/C_0 \cong K/C_K(A_0),$$

we have $|\bar{K}_0/\bar{A}_0| = p^k$. Corresponding to $C_{A_0}(K) = 1$ we have $C_{\bar{A}_0}(\bar{K}_0) = \bar{1}$ and also $\bar{A}_0 \cap \bar{E}_1 = \bar{A}_0^{p^k}$. It follows, by applying Lemma 6 in [6] to \bar{E}_0 and its subgroups \bar{K}_0, \bar{A}_0 , that \bar{E}_0 splits over \bar{A}_0 : $\bar{E}_0 = \bar{A}_0 \bar{E}_2$, $\bar{A}_0 \cap \bar{E}_2 = \bar{1}$. The complete preimage E_2 of \bar{E}_2 in E_0 gives $E_0 = A_0 E_2$ and $A_0 \cap E_2 = 1$. So that E_2 is a complement to A in E . Let S_1, S_2 be any two complements to A in E . Then, since E splits conjugately over A modulo $A_0^{p^k}$, we have S_1 and S_2 are conjugate modulo $A_0^{p^k}$ and we may assume that $A_0^{p^k} S_1 = A_0^{p^k} S_2$. Put $E_0 = A_0 S_1 = A_0 S_2$, $K_0 = K \cap E_0$, and $C_0 = C_{K_0}(A_0)$. By Lemma 10, $C_0 = A_0 \times N$, where N is normal in E_0 and is contained in every supplement to A_0 in E_0 ; in particular, $N \leq S_1 \cap S_2$. Consider the factor group $\bar{E}_0 = E_0/N$ and its subgroups \bar{K}_0, \bar{A}_0 . Since $\bar{K}_0/\bar{A}_0 \cong K/C_K(A_0)$, so \bar{K}_0/\bar{A}_0 is a group of order p^k , and also $C_{\bar{A}_0}(\bar{K}_0) =$

= $\bar{1}$ by $C_{A_0}(K) = 1$. From $A_0^{p^k} S_1 = A_0^{p^k} S_2$ it follows that \bar{S}_1 and \bar{S}_2 are complements to \bar{A}_0 in \bar{E}_0 which coincide modulo $\bar{A}_0^{p^k}$. Applying Lemma 6 in [6] to the group \bar{E}_0 and its subgroups \bar{K}_0, \bar{A}_0 , we have the conjugacy of the complements: $\bar{S}_1^a = \bar{S}_2, a \in A_0$. Since $\bar{S}_1 = S_1/N, \bar{S}_2 = S_2/N$, and N is normal in E_0 it follows that $S_1^a = S_2$, i.e., E splits conjugately over A , a contradiction.

(2) Now we may suppose that $K/C_K(A_0)$ is cyclic.

In this case, we let $A_1 = [A_0, K] \leq A_0$, then, by $C_{A_0}(K) = 1$, we have $A_1 \neq 1$. Thus E splits conjugately over A modulo A_1 , i.e., $E = AE_1, A \cap E_1 = A_1$. Let $K_1 = K \cap E_1$ and $C_1 = C_{K_1}(A_0)$. It is clear that $A_1 \leq C_1 \leq C_{K_1}(A_1) \leq C_{E_1}(A_1)$. By Lemma 10, $C_1 = A_1 \times N$ for some normal subgroup N of E_1 . Since $K_1/C_1 \cong K/C_K(A_0)$, we have $K_1 = C_1 \langle x \rangle$ for some $x \in K_1$. Let $M = N \langle x \rangle$, then $K_1 = C_1 \langle x \rangle = A_1 M$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} [A_1 \cap M, K] &= [A_1 \cap M, C_K(A_0) \langle x \rangle] = [A_1 \cap M, x] = \\ &= [A_1 \cap M, \langle x \rangle] \leq [A_1, x] \cap [M, x] \leq A_1 \cap N = 1, \end{aligned}$$

we have $A_1 \cap M \leq C_{A_0}(K) = 1$. Thus $K_1 = A_1 M$, i.e., M is a complement to A_1 in K_1 .

Suppose that M_0 is also a complement to A_1 in K_1 with $N \leq M_0$; we show that M and M_0 are conjugate by an element of A_0 . We can write $x = a_1 x_0$ with $a_1 \in A_1$ and $x_0 \in M_0$. Since

$$A_1 = [A_0, K] = [A_0, C_K(A_0) \langle x \rangle] = [A_0, \langle x \rangle] = [A_0, x^{-1}],$$

so $a_1 = [a_0^{-1}, x^{-1}]$ for some $a_0 \in A_0$, and therefore

$$x = a_1 x_0 = [a_0^{-1}, x^{-1}] x_0 = a_0 (a_0^{-1})^{x^{-1}} x_0 = (a_0^{-1})^{x^{-1}} a_0 x_0 = x (x^{-1})^{a_0} x_0,$$

i.e., $x_0 = x^{a_0}$. Since $N \leq M_0$ and $N \leq C_1 = C_{K_1}(A_0)$, we have

$$M^{a_0} (N \langle x \rangle)^{a_0} = N \langle x^{a_0} \rangle = N \langle x_0 \rangle \leq M_0.$$

As $C_K(A_0) = AC_{K_1}(A_0)$ and $K = K_1 C_K(A_0)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} AM_0 &= A(A_1 M_0) = AK_1 = AC_{K_1}(A_0) K_1 = C_K(A_0) K_1 = \\ &= K = K^{a_0} = (AM)^{a_0} = AM^{a_0}, \end{aligned}$$

also $A \cap M_0 = A_1 \cap M_0 = 1$ and $A \cap M = 1$ implies that $A \cap M^{a_0} = 1$. Thus $M_0 = M^{a_0}$.

We now prove that A has conjugate complements in E and that the complements are of the form $L = N_{E_0}(M)$, where $E_0 = A_0 E_1$ and M is, as above, a complement to A_1 in K_1 containing N .

If $g \in E_1$, then since N and K_1 are both normal in E_1 and the sub-

group M^g is a complement to A_1 in K_1 containing N , thus $M_g = M^{a_0}$ for some $a_0 \in A_0$ and so $ga_0^{-1} \in N_{E_0}(M) = L$, hence $E = AE_1 = AL$. We show that L is a complement to A in E . That is, we need to prove that $A \cap L = 1$.

Since $L \leq E_0 = A_0E_1$ and $A \cap E_1 = A_1$, so

$$\begin{aligned} A \cap L &= A \cap (E_0 \cap L) = (A \cap E_0) \cap L = (A \cap A_0E_1) \cap L = \\ &= A_0(A \cap E_1) \cap L = A_0A_1 \cap L = A_0 \cap L; \end{aligned}$$

also A_0 is normal in E and $L = N_{E_0}(M)$, hence $[A_0 \cap L, M] \leq A_0 \cap M$.

Since

$$A_0 \cap M = A_0 \cap (E_1 \cap M) = (A_0 \cap E_1) \cap M = A_1 \cap M = 1,$$

so $A \cap L \leq C_{A_0}(M)$. Therefore, by $K = AM$ and $C_{A_0}(K) = 1$, we have $A \cap L \leq C_{A_0}(M) = C_{A_0}(K) = 1$. That is, $A \cap L = 1$ and so L is a complement to A in E .

Now let S be any complement to A in E . Thus S and L are conjugate modulo A_1 and we may assume that $A_1L = A_1S$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 = E_0 \cap E &= E_0 \cap AL = (E_0 \cap A)L = (A_0E_1 \cap A)L = \\ &= A_0L = A_0A_1L = A_0A_1S = A_0S. \end{aligned}$$

Since $K_1 = A_1M \leq A_1L = A_1S$, so $K_1 = A_1M_1$, $A_1 \cap M_1 = 1$, where $M_1 = K_1 \cap S$; thus M and M_1 are complements to A_1 in K_1 . We show that $N \leq M_1$. By $K_1 \leq A_1S$ and $C_1 = C_{K_1}(A_0) \leq K_1$ we have $C_1 = C_1 \cap A_1S = A_1(C_1 \cap S)$, thus $C_1 = A_1 \times N_1$, where $N_1 = C_1 \cap S \leq M_1$ and N_1 is normal in $A_0S = E_0$ since $C_1 = C_{K_1}(A_0)$ is normal in $A_0E_1 = E_0$. In particular, N_1 is normal in $E_1 \leq E_0$ and, since E_1/A_1 is hyper-(cyclic or finite), N_1 is hyper-(cyclically or finitely) embedded in E_1 . Consider the product NN_1 . If $NN_1 \neq N_1$ then, by $C_1 = A_1 \times N = A_1 \times N_1$, $NN_1 \cap A_1 \neq 1$ and so A_1 contains a nontrivial cyclic or finite subgroup normal in E_1 . By $A_1 \leq A$ and $E_1/A_1 \cong E/A \cong G$, we have A has a nonzero cyclic or finite $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module and hence contains a nonzero finite $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factor, a contradiction. Thus $NN_1 = N_1$, $N \leq N_1$ and so $N \leq M_1$.

This shows that M and M_1 are conjugate by anelement $a_0 \in A_0$, i.e., $M^{a_0} = M_1$, and hence $L^{a_0} = N_{E_0}(M)^{a_0} = N_{E_0}(M^{a_0}) = N_{E_0}(M_1)$. From $K_1 = A_1M$ and M is normal in L it follows that K_1 is normal in A_1L . Therefore, by $A_1L = A_1S$, we have K_1 is normal in A_1S , and so $M_1 = K_1 \cap S$ is normal in S and $S \leq N_{E_0}(M_1)$. By $L^{a_0} = N_{E_0}(M_1)$, we have $S \leq L^{a_0}$ and so

$$L^{a_0} = AS \cap L^{a_0} = (A \cap L^{a_0})S = S.$$

That is, S and L are conjugate in E , i.e., E splits conjugately over A , a contradiction again.

Thus, we have finished the proof of the theorem.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Dr. M. J. Tomkinson for the guidance of the research. Also I am grateful to the Sino-British Friendship Scholarship Scheme for the financial support.

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Y. DUAN, *The extension of abelian-by-hyper-(cyclic or finite) groups*, *Comm. Alg.*, **20**: 8 (1992), pp. 2305-2321.
- [2] D. S. PASSMAN, *Infinite Crossed Products*, Academic Press (1989).
- [3] D. J. S. ROBINSON, *A Course in the Theory of Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1982).
- [4] J. S. WILSON, *On normal subgroups of \overline{SI} -groups*, *Arch. Math.*, **25** (1974), pp. 574-577.
- [5] D. I. ZAĬCEV, *On estensions of abelian groups*, AN USSR, *Inst. Mat.*, Kiev (1980), pp. 16-40.
- [6] D. I. ZAĬCEV, *Hyperfinit extensions of abelian groups*, AN USSR, *Inst. Mat.*, Kiev (1988), pp. 17-26.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 12 febbraio 1992.