

RENDICONTI
del
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO
della
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

O. M. DI VINCENZO

A. VALENTI

***-multilinear polynomials with invertible values**

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 86 (1991), p. 47-55

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1991__86__47_0

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1991, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

*-Multilinear Polynomials with Invertible Values.

O. M. DI VINCENZO - A. VALENTI(*)

Let R be a ring with involution $*$ and S and K the sets of symmetric and skew elements respectively. Several authors have related the algebraic structure of S or K to that of R . For instance, in [3, Theorem 2.18] the hypothesis that all non zero traces $x + x^*$ are invertible determines the structure of R . Similar results have been obtained for the skew case.

In this paper we will examine a more general situation. In fact we consider the case when all the non zero valuations of a $*$ -multilinear polynomial f are invertible in R .

More precisely, let $X = \{x_1, x_1^*, \dots, x_n, x_n^*, \dots\}$ be a countable set of unknowns and $F\{X, *\}$ be the free associative algebra with involution $*$ in the x_i 's and x_i^* 's. The elements of $F\{X, *\}$ are called $*$ -polynomials. A $*$ -polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*) \in F\{X, *\}$ is multilinear if, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, either x_i or x_i^* , but non both, appears in each monomial of f .

We shall denote by D a division ring, $Z(D)$ its center, D_m the ring of $m \times m$ matrices over D and D_m^{op} its opposite ring. Notice that $D_m \oplus D_m^{\text{op}}$ has a natural exchange involution given by $(x, y)^* = (y, x)$.

We shall prove the following result.

THEOREM. *Let F be a field of characteristic different from two such that $|F| > 5$. Let R be a semiprime F -algebra with involution $*$ and let $f = f(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*)$ be a $*$ -multilinear polynomial such that for every r_1, \dots, r_n in R either $f(r_1, \dots, r_n, r_1^*, \dots, r_n^*) = 0$ or $f(r_1, \dots, r_n, r_1^*, \dots, r_n^*)$ is invertible in R .*

If $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^, \dots, x_n^*)$ is not a $*$ -polynomial identity for R then there exists a division ring D such that R is either*

(*) Indirizzo degli AA.: O. M. DI VINCENZO: Istituto di Matematica, Università della Basilicata, Via N. Sauro 85, 85100 Potenza; A. VALENTI: Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Palermo, Via Archirafi 34, 90123 Palermo.

1) D_m where if $m \geq 2$ then $\dim_{Z(D)} D$ is finite and f is a $*$ -central polynomial for $m \geq 3$; or

2) $D_m \oplus D_m^{\text{op}}$ with exchange involution, where if $m \geq 2$ then $\dim_{Z(D)} D$ is finite and f is a $*$ -central polynomial.

The conclusion of the Theorem is not surprising, because one cannot expect that f is a $*$ -central polynomial even if $m \leq 2$. Infact the polynomial $f = f(x, x^*) = x - x^*$ is not a $*$ -central polynomial in the ring R of 2×2 matrices over a field F with transpose type involution but it still takes zero or invertible values. The same conclusion holds for f and the ring $D \oplus D^{\text{op}}$ with exchange involution.

We also remark that if R is a ring and f is a multilinear polynomial an analogous theorem was proved in [1].

Throughout this paper F will be a field with more then five elements, $\text{char. } F \neq 2$, R will be an associative F -algebra with 1 and $Z = Z(R)$ its center. Also, $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*)$ will be a multilinear $*$ -polynomial such that for every r_1, \dots, r_n in R either $f(r_1, \dots, r_n, r_1^*, \dots, r_n^*) = 0$ or $f(r_1, \dots, r_n, r_1^*, \dots, r_n^*)$ is invertible in R ; moreover we will assume that f is not a $*$ -polynomial identity for R .

We begin by looking the case when R is a simple artinian ring.

In this case $R = D_m$ is the ring of $m \times m$ matrices over a division ring D and two different types of involutions are defined in R :

1) *The transpose type involution*: let $-: D \rightarrow D$ be an involution in D and $X = \text{diag} \{c_1, \dots, c_n\} \in D_m$ such that $0 \neq c_i = \bar{c}_i$ for all i .

If $A = (a_{ij}) \in D_m$ then $*$ is given by

$$A^* = (a_{ij})^* = X(\bar{a}_{ji})X^{-1}.$$

2) *The symplectic type involution*: in this case $D = F$ is a field, $m = 2k$ is even and $*$ is given by $(A_{ij})^* = (A^*_{ji})$, where the A_{ij} 's are 2×2 matrices over F with involution given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^* = \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Given a sequence $\mathbf{u} = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ of matrices from D_m , the value of \mathbf{u} is defined to be

$$|\mathbf{u}| = A_1 A_2 \dots A_n.$$

Now, let $Z_2 = \{1, *\}$ be the group with two elements, S_n the symmetric group of n symbols and $H_n = Z_2 \sim S_n$ the wreath product of Z_2 and S_n .

Also, if $(g, \sigma) = (g_1, \dots, g_n; \sigma) \in H_n$, we write

$$\mathbf{u}^{(g, \sigma)} = (A_{\sigma(1)}^{g_1}, \dots, A_{\sigma(n)}^{g_n}) \text{ where } A^{g_i} = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } g_i = 1, \\ A^* & \text{if } g_i = *. \end{cases}$$

Let e_{ij} be the usual matrix units of D_m ($i, j = 1, \dots, m$). We recall that a sequence $\mathbf{u} = (a_1 e_{i_1 j_1}, \dots, a_n e_{i_n j_n})$ where $a_i \in D$, is called simple. Moreover a simple sequence \mathbf{u} is even if there exists $(1, \sigma) \in H_n$ such that $|\mathbf{u}^{(1, \sigma)}| = b e_{ii} \neq 0$, for some $b \in D$; \mathbf{u} is odd if $|\mathbf{u}^{(1, \sigma)}| = b e_{ij} \neq 0$ for some $(1, \sigma) \in H_n$, $b \in D$ and $i \neq j$ (see [5]).

For any simple sequence $\mathbf{u} = (a_1 e_{i_1 j_1}, \dots, a_n e_{i_n j_n})$ write $l(\mathbf{u}, t)$ (respectively $r(\mathbf{u}, t)$) for the number of occurrences of the number t as a left (respectively right) index of one of the unit matrices occurring in \mathbf{u} . It is proved in [5] that if \mathbf{u} is a simple even sequence then $l(\mathbf{u}, t) = r(\mathbf{u}, t)$ for every t ; and if \mathbf{u} is an odd simple sequence then there exist two indices i, j such that $l(\mathbf{u}, t) = r(\mathbf{u}, t)$ for every $t \neq i, j$ while $l(\mathbf{u}, i) = r(\mathbf{u}, i) + 1$ and $l(\mathbf{u}, j) = r(\mathbf{u}, j) - 1$.

Also, we remark that if \mathbf{u} is a simple sequence of matrices from D_m with $|\mathbf{u}| \neq 0$ then $|l(\mathbf{u}, t) - r(\mathbf{u}, t)| \leq 1$ for all $t = 1, \dots, m$; moreover $l(\mathbf{u}, t) - r(\mathbf{u}, t) = l(\mathbf{u}, t') - r(\mathbf{u}, t') \neq 0$ implies $t = t'$ or $|\mathbf{u}| = 0$.

LEMMA 1. *Let \mathbf{u} be a simple sequence from D_m and $(g, \sigma) \in H_n$. Then we have:*

- 1) *If $|\mathbf{u}| = a e_{ii} \neq 0$ then $|\mathbf{u}^{(g, \sigma)}| = b e_{jj}$ for some $b \in D$, $1 \leq j \leq m$.*
- 2) *If $|\mathbf{u}| = a e_{ij} \neq 0$, with $i \neq j$, then, for some $b, c \in D$, either $|\mathbf{u}^{(g, \sigma)}| = b e_{ij}$ or $|\mathbf{u}^{(g, \sigma)}| = c e_{ij}^*$.*

PROOF. If $*$ is of transpose type the conclusion of the Lemma follows by [2, Lemma 1].

Suppose now that $*$ is of symplectic type. Recall that the involution $*$ acts in the following way on the matrix units

$$e_{ij}^* = \begin{cases} -e_{j+1i-1} & \text{if } i \text{ is even and } j \text{ is odd,} \\ -e_{j-1i+1} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd and } j \text{ is even,} \\ e_{j+1i+1} & \text{if } i \text{ and } j \text{ are odd,} \\ e_{j-1i-1} & \text{if } i \text{ and } j \text{ are even.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, if we denote by

$$t^* = \begin{cases} t+1 & \text{if } t \text{ is odd,} \\ t-1 & \text{if } t \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

then for every simple sequence \mathbf{u} and for each $(g, \sigma) \in H_n$ we have

$$\begin{cases} l(\mathbf{u}^{(g, \sigma)}, t) = l(\mathbf{u}, t) + d \Leftrightarrow r(\mathbf{u}^{(g, \sigma)}, t^*) = r(\mathbf{u}, t^*) - d, \\ r(\mathbf{u}^{(g, \sigma)}, t) = r(\mathbf{u}, t) + f \Leftrightarrow l(\mathbf{u}^{(g, \sigma)}, t^*) = l(\mathbf{u}, t^*) - f. \end{cases}$$

This says that

$$l(\mathbf{v}, t) - l(\mathbf{u}, t) = r(\mathbf{u}, t^*) - r(\mathbf{v}, t^*)$$

and

$$r(\mathbf{v}, t) - r(\mathbf{u}, t) = l(\mathbf{u}, t^*) - l(\mathbf{v}, t^*)$$

where $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}^{(g, \sigma)}$ for some $(g, \sigma) \in H_n$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} [l(\mathbf{v}, t) - r(\mathbf{v}, t)] + [r(\mathbf{u}, t) - l(\mathbf{u}, t)] &= \\ &= [r(\mathbf{u}, t^*)] - l(\mathbf{u}, t^*) + [l(\mathbf{v}, t^*) - r(\mathbf{v}, t^*)]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $|\mathbf{u}| = ae_{ii} \neq 0$, then as we said above $r(\mathbf{u}, t) - l(\mathbf{u}, t) = 0$, for all $t = 1, \dots, m$, hence we can write $l(\mathbf{v}, t) - r(\mathbf{v}, t) = l(\mathbf{v}, t^*) - r(\mathbf{v}, t^*)$. Since $t \neq t^*$ it follows, by the above remarks, that either $|\mathbf{v}| = be_{jj}$, for some $b \in D$, or $|\mathbf{v}| = 0$.

Suppose now that $|\mathbf{u}| = ae_{ij} \neq 0$, and, first, assume that $i^* \neq j$ (hence $i \neq j^*$ too). In this case we have:

$$l(\mathbf{v}, i) - r(\mathbf{v}, i) - 1 = l(\mathbf{v}, i^*) - r(\mathbf{v}, i^*)$$

and

$$l(\mathbf{v}, j) - r(\mathbf{v}, j) + 1 = l(\mathbf{v}, j^*) - r(\mathbf{v}, j^*).$$

Hence, in order to have $|\mathbf{v}| \neq 0$ it must happen one of the following case

- a) $l(\mathbf{v}, i) - r(\mathbf{v}, i) = 1$ and $l(\mathbf{v}, j) - r(\mathbf{v}, j) = -1$,
- b) $l(\mathbf{v}, i) - r(\mathbf{v}, i) = 0$ (that is $l(\mathbf{v}, i^*) - r(\mathbf{v}, i^*) = -1$) and $l(\mathbf{v}, j) - r(\mathbf{v}, j) = 0$ (that is $l(\mathbf{v}, j^*) - r(\mathbf{v}, j^*) = 1$).

If a) holds then $|\mathbf{v}| = be_{ij}$ for some $b \in D$; if b) holds it follows that $|\mathbf{v}| = ce_{j^*i^*} = c'e_{ij}^*$ for some c and c' in D .

Finally let $i^* = j$. In this case we have

$$l(\mathbf{v}, i) - r(\mathbf{v}, i) - 1 = 1 + l(\mathbf{v}, j) - r(\mathbf{v}, j),$$

therefore if $|\mathbf{v}| \neq 0$, by above remarks, we must have $l(\mathbf{v}, i) - r(\mathbf{v}, i) = 1$ and $l(\mathbf{v}, j) - r(\mathbf{v}, j) = -1$; this implies $|\mathbf{v}| = be_{ij}$.

We recall the following definition which is a slight generalization of that given above (see also [2]).

DEFINITION. Let \mathbf{u} be a simple sequence. Then \mathbf{u} is called even if for some $(g, \sigma) \in H_n$ $|\mathbf{u}^{(g, \sigma)}| = be_{ii} \neq 0$, and it is odd if for some $(g, \sigma) \in H_n$ $|\mathbf{u}^{(g, \sigma)}| = be_{ij} \neq 0$, where $i \neq j$.

Since $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*)$ is a *-multilinear polynomial we may assume that f is of the following form

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*) = \sum \alpha_{(g, \sigma)} x_{\sigma(1)}^{g_1} \dots x_{\sigma(n)}^{g_n}$$

where

$$(g, \sigma) = (g_1, \dots, g_n; \sigma) \in H_n \quad \text{and} \quad x^{g_i} = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } g_i = 1, \\ x^* & \text{if } g_i = *. \end{cases}$$

As a consequence of the previous result we have:

LEMMA 2. Let $\mathbf{u} \in D_m$ be a simple sequence. Then

- 1) If \mathbf{u} is even, $f(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}^*) = \sum_1^m \alpha_i e_{ii}$ with $\alpha_i \in D$.
- 2) If \mathbf{u} is odd, for some $a, b \in D$, $f(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}^*) = ae_{ij} + be_{ij}^*$.

We are now ready to prove the main result for simple artinian ring.

LEMMA 3. Let D be a division ring of characteristic different from two and with more than five elements. If $m \geq 3$, then f is a *-central polynomial for D_m

PROOF. Since all the nonzero valuations of f are invertible in $R = D_m$, by Lemma 2, $f(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}^*) = 0$ for all odd simple sequences \mathbf{u} .

Therefore, by the previous Lemma, for all $A_1, \dots, A_n \in D_m$ we have

$$f(A_1, \dots, A_n, A_1^*, \dots, A_n^*) = \sum a_i f(\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_i^*)$$

where the u_i' are even simple sequences. This says that f takes diagonal values in D_m .

Let W be the subalgebra of D_m generated by all the elements of the form $f(r_1, \dots, r_n, r_1^*, \dots, r_n^*)$, for all $r_1, \dots, r_n \in D_m$. We observe that $xWx^* \subseteq W$ for all x unitary elements of R . Thus, if the involution $*$ on R is symplectic by [4, Theorem 5] we have either $W = 0$ or $W \subseteq Z$, the center of R . The first case is impossible because f is not a $*$ -polynomial identity, so $W \subseteq Z$ and f is a $*$ -central polynomial. On the other hand, if $*$ is an involution of transpose type, since $m \geq 3$ by [4, Theorem 17] f is a $*$ -central polynomial.

LEMMA 4. *Let $R = D_2$. Then D is finite dimensional over its center and, if $*$ is the symplectic involution, f is a $*$ -central polynomial.*

PROOF. If $*$ is of transpose type for all $A \in D_2$ we have

$$A^* = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 \end{pmatrix} \bar{A}^t \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

where $\bar{}$ is an involution in D and the c_i' are non zero symmetric elements of D . Let ${}^{-(1)}: D \rightarrow D$ be the involution on D defined by $x \rightarrow c_1 \bar{x} c_1^{-1}$.

Then, for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in D$, we have

$$f(a_1 e_{11}, \dots, a_n e_{11}, (a_1 e_{11})^*, \dots, (a_n e_{11})^*) = f(a_1, \dots, a_n, \bar{a}_1^{(1)}, \dots, \bar{a}_n^{(1)}) e_{11}.$$

Since this value is not invertible in R , then $f(a_1, \dots, a_n, \bar{a}_1^{(1)}, \dots, \bar{a}_n^{(1)})$ is zero in D , so D satisfies a $*$ -polynomial identity and D is finite dimensional over its center.

If $*$ is the symplectic involution then $D = F$ is a field. Moreover, if u is an odd simple sequence, $f(u, u^*) = a e_{12} + b e_{21}^* = (a - b) e_{12}$ and this value is not invertible in R . It follows that $f(u, u^*) = 0$ for all u odd simple sequences and so all the valuations of f are diagonal elements.

As in Lemma 3, the subalgebra W generated by $f(R, R^*)$ is invariant under conjugation by unitary elements of R . In particular, if we consider the unitary $u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ then, for all $w = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \in W$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & -a + b \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \in W. \text{ This implies } a = b \text{ and so } f$$

is a $*$ -central polynomial.

We will examine now the general case.

We shall use the notation Z^+ for $Z \cap S$. We have the following:

LEMMA 5. *If R is any ring then Z^+ is a field. Moreover, if R is prime then Z is a field.*

PROOF. Let z be an element of Z^+ and $r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$ such that $f(r_1, \dots, r_n, r_1^*, \dots, r_n^*)$ is invertible.

Then $f(zr_1, \dots, r_n, zr_1^*, \dots, r_n^*) = zf(r_1, \dots, r_n, r_1^*, \dots, r_n^*)$, hence, either $f(zr_1, \dots, r_n, zr_1^*, \dots, r_n^*)$ is invertible and this implies that z is invertible or $f(zr_1, \dots, r_n, zr_1^*, \dots, r_n^*) = 0$ and it follows that $z = 0$.

Now, if R is a prime ring, for all $z \in Z - \{0\}$, $0 \neq zz^* \in Z^+$ and by the above zz^* , and so z , is invertible.

We continue with the following:

LEMMA 6. *If R is semiprime then R is *-simple. Moreover, if R is prime then R is simple.*

PROOF. Let $0 \neq I = I^*$ be a proper ideal of R invariant under the involution $*$. Since the values of $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*)$ in R are zero or invertible, we have $f(r_1, \dots, r_n, r_1^*, \dots, r_n^*) = 0$ for all $r_1, \dots, r_n \in I$.

Hence f is a *-polynomial identity for I and by [3, Theorem 1.4.2] $Z(I) \neq 0$. Also, by [3, Lemma 1.1.5], $Z(I) \subseteq Z(R)$. Now, if $Z(I) \cap S = 0$ then, for all $z \in Z(I)$, $z + z^* = zz^* = 0$ and this implies $z^2 = 0$, a contradiction as R is semiprime. Hence $0 \neq Z(I) \cap S \subseteq Z(R) \cap S = Z^+$. By Lemma 5, Z^+ is a field and so $I = R$, a contradiction again. Therefore R is *-simple-.

Now, if R is prime, let $I \neq (0)$ be an ideal of R ; then II^* is a *-ideal. Since R is *-simple then either $II^* = (0)$ or $II^* = R$ and this implies that $I = R$, that is R is a simple ring.

In the following lemma we study the case when R is a prime ring.

LEMMA 7. *If R is a prime ring, $\text{char } R \neq 2$, then*

- 1) *either R is a division ring, or*
- 2) *$R \cong D_m$ is a finite dimensional central simple algebra and, if $m \geq 3$, $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*)$ is a *-central polynomial.*

PROOF. By the previous Lemma, R is a simple ring. If every symmetric element of R is nilpotent or invertible, by [3, Theorem

2.3.3], then either R is a division ring or the ring of 2×2 matrices over a field and we are done.

Therefore we may assume that there exists $s \in S$ such that s is neither nilpotent or invertible. Let $R_1 = sRs$; for all $r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$ we have $f(sr_1s, \dots, sr_ns, sr_1^*s, \dots, sr_n^*s) = sas$, since s is not invertible $sas = 0$ and so f is a *-polynomial identity for R_1 . By [3, Theorem 5.5.1] sRs satisfies an identity, hence R satisfies a generalized polynomial identity.

Since R is a simple ring with 1, R coincides with its central closure and so, by [3, Corollary 2 to the Theorem 1.2.2] either $R \cong D_m$ or, for all $m \geq 1$, R contains a *-invariant subring $R^{(m)}$ such that $R^{(m)} \cong D_m$.

In the first case the conclusion follows by Lemma 3 and Lemma 4. In the second case, by Lemma 3, for all $m \geq 3$, f is a *-central polynomial for D_m . Then, by [3, Lemma 5.1.5] D_m satisfies a polynomial identity of degree $2(\deg f + 1)$ for all $m \geq 3$, a contradiction.

We can now prove the main theorem of this note.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. By Lemma 6, R is a *-simple ring thus either R is simple or R has a simple homomorphic image R_1 such that $R \cong R_1 \oplus R_1^{\text{op}}$ and $*$ is the exchange involution (see [6, Proposition 2.1.12]).

In the first case the result follows from Lemma 5. We may, therefore, assume that $R = R_1 \oplus R_1^{\text{op}}$ with involution $*$, where R_1 is a simple ring and $*$ the exchange involution.

By setting

$$x_i = \frac{1}{2}[(x_i + x_i^*) + (x_i - x_i^*)] \quad \text{and} \quad x_i^* = \frac{1}{2}[(x_i + x_i^*) - (x_i - x_i^*)]$$

we can write $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*)$ as a polynomial in the symmetric variables $y_i = x_i + x_i^*$ and in the skew variables $z_i = x_i - x_i^*$.

Let $f = g(y_1, \dots, y_n, z_1, \dots, z_n)$, then $g(y_1, \dots, y_n, z_1, \dots, z_n)$, is a polynomial of degree n in $2n$ unknowns such that, for every monomial M of g we have

$$\deg_{y_i} M + \deg_{z_i} M = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \deg M = n.$$

Moreover, for all substitutions

$$\begin{cases} y_i \rightarrow (a_i, a_i) = \bar{a}_i, \\ z_i \rightarrow (b_i, -b_i) = \bar{b}_i, \end{cases}$$

we have that $g(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n, \dots, \bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_n)$ is either zero or invertible in R .

Let h be one of the blended components of g ; that is h is the sum of all the monomials of g in which appear the variables $y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_t}, z_{j_1}, \dots, z_{j_s}$ for some partition of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ in the disjoint subsets $\{i_1, \dots, i_t\}$ and $\{j_1, \dots, j_s\}$.

Then

$$h(\bar{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \bar{a}_{i_t}, \bar{b}_{j_1}, \dots, \bar{b}_{j_s}) = g(0, \dots, \bar{a}_{i_1}, \dots, 0, \dots, \bar{a}_{i_t}, \bar{b}_{j_1}, 0, \dots, \bar{b}_{j_s}, 0, \dots)$$

is zero or invertible in R .

If M is a monomial of h we indicate with M^{op} the opposite monomial of M . Then

$$\begin{aligned} M(\bar{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \bar{a}_{i_t}, \bar{b}_{j_1}, \dots, \bar{b}_{j_s}) &= \\ &= (M(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_t}, b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_s}), (-1)^s M^{\text{op}}(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_t}, b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_s})) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} h(\bar{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \bar{a}_{i_t}, \bar{b}_{j_1}, \dots, \bar{b}_{j_s}) &= \\ &= (h(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_t}, b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_s}), (-1)^s h^{\text{op}}(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_t}, b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_s})). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that h is a multilinear polynomial (without *) that assumes zero or invertible values in R_1 .

Since R_1 is a simple ring with 1, by [1, Theorem] either R_1 is a division ring or $R_1 \cong D_m$ where $m \geq 2$, D is a finite dimensional central division ring and h is a central polynomial in D_m .

This leads to desired conclusion.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. M. DI VINCENZO - R. SAGONA, *Multilinear polynomials with invertible values*, *Comm. Algebra*, **19** (1991), pp. 539-550.
- [2] A. GIAMBRUNO - A. VALENTI, *Nil *-polynomials in rings*, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, **24** (1989), pp. 127-134.
- [3] I. N. HERSTEIN, *Rings with involution*, University of Chicago Press (1976).
- [4] P. H. LEE, *On unitary invariant subalgebras*, *Chinese J. Math.* (17), **2** (1989), pp. 87-108.
- [5] U. LERON, *Nil and power central polynomials in rings*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **202** (1975), pp. 97-103.
- [6] L. H. ROWEN, *Polynomials identity in ring theory*, Academic Press, New York-London (1980).

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 27 agosto 1990.