

RENDICONTI
del
SEMINARIO MATEMATICO
della
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

PAOLO SECCHI

**A note on the generic solvability of the
Navier-Stokes equations**

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 83 (1990), p. 177-182

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1990__83__177_0

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1990, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

A Note on the Generic Solvability of the Navier-Stokes Equations.

PAOLO SECCHI (*)

1. - Introduction.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^3 with boundary $\partial\Omega$ of class C^2 . Consider the Navier-Stokes equations

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} u' + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \Delta u + \nabla\pi &= f && \text{in } Q_T \equiv (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0 && \text{in } Q_T, \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \Sigma_T \equiv (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \\ u(0) &= u_0 && \text{in } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

with some $T > 0$. By a strong solution $(u, \nabla\pi)$ of (1.1) we mean a solution with

$$\begin{aligned} u &\in W_p^{2,1}(Q_T) \equiv L^p(0, T; W_p^2(\Omega)^3) \cap W_p^1(0, T; L^p(\Omega)^3), \\ \nabla\pi &\in L^p(Q_T) \equiv L^p(0, T; L^p(\Omega)^3) \end{aligned}$$

for some p with $2 \leq p < \infty$. Let $J_p^{2-2/p}(\Omega)$ denote the closure in the norm of $W_p^{2-2/p}(\Omega)^3$ of the set of smooth finite solenoidal vectors equal

(*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Dipartimento di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, Università di Padova, via Belzoni 7, 35131 Padova, Italy.

to zero on $\partial\Omega$. Consider $u_0 \in \mathcal{J}_p^{2-2/p}(\Omega)$ and $f \in L^p(Q_T)$. Then it is known that these assumptions on the data assure the existence of a local in time unique strong solution of (1.1) (see [4]). The existence of strong solutions for arbitrary $T > 0$ is an important open problem. Therefore it is interesting to know properties of the set

$$R(u_0) = \{f \in L^p(Q_T) / (1.1) \text{ has a unique strong solution } (u, \nabla\pi) \text{ with data } u_0, f\}$$

for a fixed initial value $u_0 \in \mathcal{J}_p^{2-2/p}(\Omega)$. It is not known whether or not $R(u_0) = L^p(Q_T)$; however it is interesting to prove some density properties of this set, since this gives information about how many f do exist such that (1.1) is strongly solvable. In this concern H. Sohr and W. von Wahl [3] have proved the following interesting result: *the set $R(u_0) \subset L^p(Q_T)$ is dense in the norm of $L^s(0, T; L^q(\Omega)^3)$ for all $s, q \in (1, \infty)$ with $4 < 2/s + 3/q$ (see also [2] for a weaker previous result).* Their result is proved by a regularization procedure for (1.1) using an approximation of Yosida type and an estimate of the non-linear term $(u \cdot \nabla)u$ using the exponent $p = 5/4$ (see [3]). The aim of the present note is to prove the same result with a completely different method. We use an approximation method due to H. Beirão da Veiga [1] plus Sobolev imbedding and Hölder inequality. This approach is particularly simple and so we think it is of interest, even if the result is not new. Denote by $|\cdot|_p$ the norm in $L^p(\Omega)^3$ and by $\|\cdot\|_{s,q,T}$ the norm in $L^s(0, T; L^q(\Omega)^3)$. Our result reads as follows

THEOREM A. *Let $2 < p < \infty$ and $u_0 \in \mathcal{J}_p^{2-2/p}(\Omega)$. Then the set $R(u_0) \subset L^p(Q_T)$ is dense in $L^s(0, T; L^q(\Omega)^3)$ for all $s, q \in (1, \infty)$ with $4 < 2/s + 3/q$. Therefore, for every $f \in L^p(Q_T)$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $g_\varepsilon \in L^p(Q_T)$ with $\|g_\varepsilon\|_{s,q,T} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and such that*

$$\begin{aligned} u' + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \Delta u + \nabla\pi &= f + g_\varepsilon && \text{in } Q_T, \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0 && \text{in } Q_T, \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \Sigma_T, \\ u(0) &= u_0 && \text{in } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

has a unique strong solution $(u, \nabla\pi)$.

2. - Proof of Theorem A.

Following [1] we define the set of vectors

$$A \equiv \{v \in C^\infty(\overline{Q_T})/v(t) \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)^3, \operatorname{div} v(t) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \text{ for all } t \in [0, T]\},$$

where $T > 0$ arbitrary, and consider the linearized system

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} u' + (v \cdot \nabla)u - \Delta u + \nabla \pi &= f && \text{in } Q_T, \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0 && \text{in } Q_T, \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \Sigma_T, \\ u(0) &= u_0 && \text{in } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

for $v \in A$. For convenience define

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} A(u_0, f) &= |u_0|_2 + \|f\|_{1,2,T}, \\ A_1^2(u_0, f) &\equiv |u_0|_2^2 + 2\|f\|_{1,2,T}^2. \end{aligned}$$

From [4] (Theorem 4.2, p. 487) we have the following preliminary result:

THEOREM 1. *Let $v \in A$, $u_0 \in \dot{J}_p^{2-2/p}(\Omega)$, $f \in L^p(Q_T)$. Then there exists a unique solution $(u, \nabla \pi)$ of problem (2.1) such that*

$$(2.3) \quad u \in W_p^{2,1}(Q_T), \quad \nabla \pi \in L^p(Q_T).$$

We quote now the result which gives us the approximating solutions we shall use later. It is proved in [1] (see Theorem 1.6, p. 329) as a consequence of a very interesting general approximation theorem.

THEOREM 2 ([1]). *Let $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $f \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)^3)$ be given and let $1 < q \leq 5/4$. Then, in correspondence to every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist*

$$\begin{aligned} u_\varepsilon \in A, \quad u_\varepsilon \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)^3) \cap L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap W_q^{2,1}(Q_T), \\ \pi_\varepsilon \in L^q(0, T; W_q^1(\Omega)^3) \end{aligned}$$

verifying the system

$$(2.4)_\varepsilon \quad \begin{aligned} u'_\varepsilon + (v_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u_\varepsilon - \Delta u_\varepsilon + \nabla \pi_\varepsilon &= f && \text{in } Q_T, \\ \operatorname{div} u_\varepsilon &= 0 && \text{in } Q_T, \\ u_\varepsilon &= 0 && \text{on } \Sigma_T, \\ u_\varepsilon(0) &= u_0 && \text{in } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

and for which

$$(2.5) \quad \|u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon\|_{2,2,T} < \varepsilon.$$

Moreover the following estimates hold

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u_\varepsilon\|_{\infty,2,T} &\leq A(u_0, f), \\ \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_{2,2,T} &\leq A_1(u_0, f) \end{aligned}$$

Estimates (2.6)₁ and (2.6)₂ hold also for v_ε and ∇v_ε respectively.

Let now u_0, f and s, q as in Theorem A. A combination of Theorems 1 and 2 gives us that the approximating solution given by Theorem 2 satisfies also (2.3). We are now in position to prove our result. We write (2.4)_ε in the form

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} u'_\varepsilon + (u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u_\varepsilon - \Delta u_\varepsilon + \nabla \pi_\varepsilon &= f + (u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u_\varepsilon - (v_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u_\varepsilon && \text{in } Q_T, \\ \operatorname{div} u_\varepsilon &= 0 && \text{in } Q_T, \\ u_\varepsilon &= 0 && \text{on } \Sigma_T, \\ u_\varepsilon(0) &= u_0 && \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(u_\varepsilon, \nabla \pi_\varepsilon)$ is a strong solution of (1.1) with external force $f + g_\varepsilon$, $g_\varepsilon \equiv (u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u_\varepsilon - (v_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u_\varepsilon$. Observe that, because $4 < 2/s + 3/q$, we have $s < 2, q < 3/2$; it follows that $L^p(Q_T)$, $2 \leq p < \infty$, is densely contained in $L^s(0, T; L^q(\Omega)^3)$. Hence the theorem is proved if we show that $g_\varepsilon \in L^p(Q_T)$ and $\|g_\varepsilon\|_{s,q,T} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Since $v_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(u_\varepsilon, \nabla \pi_\varepsilon)$ satisfies (2.3), using some Sobolev imbeddings it easily follows that $g_\varepsilon \in L^p(Q_T)$. On the other hand, using the Hölder inequality gives

$$(2.8) \quad \|g_\varepsilon\|_{s,q,T} = \|((u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla) u_\varepsilon\|_{s,q,T} \leq \|u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon\|_{s_1, q_1, T} \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_{2,2,T}$$

where $(1/s_1) + (1/2) = 1/s$, $(1/q_1) + (1/2) = 1/q$. Since $1 < s < 2$, $1 < q < 3/2$ with $2/s + 3/q > 4$ we obtain $2 < s_1$, $2 < q_1 < 6$ with $2/s_1 + 3/q_1 > 3/2$. Let s_2, q_2 be the solution of

$$(2.9) \quad 2/s_2 + 3/q_2 = 3/2$$

$$(2.10) \quad (1 - 2/q_1)1/s_2 - (1 - 2/s_1)1/q_2 = 1/s_1 - 1/q_1.$$

The estimates on s_1, q_1 yield $2 < s_1 < s_2$, $2 < q_1 < q_2 < 6$. Using the Hölder inequality gives

$$(2.11) \quad \|u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon\|_{s_1, q_1, T} \leq \|u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon\|_{2, 2, T}^a \|u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon\|_{s_2, q_2, T}^b$$

where a, b must satisfy

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} a + b &= 1, \\ a/2 + b/q_2 &= 1/q_1, \\ a/2 + b/s_2 &= 1/s_1. \end{aligned}$$

System (2.12) has a solution if and only if the determinant of the corresponding complete matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1/2 & 1/q_2 & 1/q_1 \\ 1/2 & 1/s_2 & 1/s_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is zero. This condition is satisfied since (2.10) holds. Hence we find a solution of (2.12)

$$a = (1/q_1 - 1/q_2)/(1/2 - 1/q_2), \quad b = (1/2 - 1/q_1)/(1/2 - 1/q_2)$$

with $a, b > 0$. Since we have

$$1/q_2 = (1 - 2/s_2)/2 + (2/s_2)/6$$

with $0 < (2/s_2) < 1$ it follows

$$\|u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon\|_{q_2} \leq \|u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon\|_2^{1-(2/s_2)} \|u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon\|_6^{2/s_2} \leq C_1 \|u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon\|_2^{1-(2/s_2)} |\nabla(u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon)|_2^{2/s_2},$$

where C_1 is a positive constant. Integrating in time at the s_2 -th power and the Young's inequality give

$$(2.13) \quad \|u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon\|_{s_2, a, T} \leq C_2 [\|u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon\|_{\infty, 2, T} + \|\nabla(u_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon)\|_{2, 2, T}],$$

where C_2 is a positive constant; the right-hand side of (2.13) is bounded because of (2.6). Hence from (2.5), (2.6) (also for v_ε), (2.8), (2.11), (2.13) we obtain

$$\|g_\varepsilon\|_{s, a, T} \leq C_3 \varepsilon^a,$$

where C_3 is a positive constant independent of ε . The theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. BEIRÃO DA VEIGA, *On the construction of suitable weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations via a general approximation theorem*, J. Math. Pures Appl., **64** (1985), pp. 321-334.
- [2] A. V. FURSIKOV, *On some problems of control and results concerning the unique solvability of a mixed boundary value problem for the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes and Euler systems*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **252** (1980), pp. 1066-1070.
- [3] H. SOHR - W. VON WAHL, *Generic solvability of the equations of Navier-Stokes*, Hiroshima Math. J., **17** (1987), pp. 613-625.
- [4] V. A. SOLONNIKOV, *Estimates for the solutions of nonstationary Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Soviet Math., **8** (1977), pp. 467-529.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 29 Giugno 1989.